

Marlborough District Council

Report and Minutes of a Meeting of the ASSETS & SERVICES COMMITTEE held in the Council Chambers, District Administration Building, Seymour Street, Blenheim on THURSDAY, 18 NOVEMBER 2010 commencing at 8.30 am

Present

Clrs G Taylor (Chairman), T M Sloan, J L Andrews, J P Bagge, J C Leggett, Mr R Hunter (Iwi representative) and Mayor A T Sowman.

Also Present

Clrs J A Arbuckle, G S Barsanti, T E Hook (9.25 am), P J S Jerram.

In Attendance

Mr M S Wheeler, Manager – Assets and Services Department and Ms J R North, Support Services Administrator.

P.10/11.157 Marlborough Stadium Trust M110-03

The Chairman of the Marlborough Stadium Trust, Luke van Velthooven, presented his report, along with Matt Kerr, Treasurer who presented the audited accounts for the year ended 2010.

The Trust was delighted to once again be delivering a trading surplus. They acknowledged their many local sponsors who despite tough times have continued to be an important part of their ongoing success; and their major contributors. The Council's contribution to the operating costs of the pool and stadium is \$310,000 annually. This includes a subsidy of \$50,000 to assist with energy costs. Given there were 566,734 total Stadium visits this represents an extremely busy community facility with a nationally low Council funding ratio.

Treasurer, Matt Kerr reported that the turnover of the Trust, attributable to their core services, was \$1,575,543 which was consistent with the previous year's result dropping by only 2.8%. The Trust's operating surplus for the year was \$229,505. After depreciation and amortisation the net trading result was a surplus of \$91,663. This result was the most positive trading surplus in their 10 years of operation. Mr Kerr gave an overview of where they spend their money ie; 54% wages; 13% energy; 13% repairs and maintenance; and 20% other costs.

Clrs Bagge/Leggett:

That the Marlborough Stadium Trust's report and audited annual accounts for the year ended 30 June 2010 be received.

Carried

P.10/11.158 Assets and Services Committee - Functions C135-A01

In order for individual committee members to utilise their skills and experience by having a particular involvement with individual functions of the Committee's work, Clr Taylor recommended the allocation of responsibilities listed below.

Staff will liaise with the councillor given the portfolio to keep them informed about current issues/important activities in that portfolio. The councillor will be expected to be familiar with Council reports brought to the Committee and be prepared to introduce such reports, particularly in terms of public consultation or expected public reaction.

It was reported that ward councillors should also be involved in issues particular to their wards. The portfolio holder should make sure that the ward councillor is familiar with the issue; processes involved and encourage them to attend Committee meetings to discuss such matters. Staff should in the first instance keep ward councillors informed of issues and activities within their wards.

Jenny Andrews	Footpaths Halls and Memorials Mobility Forum Public Conveniences Public Transport
Jessica Bagge	CBD Cemeteries Parking Community and Sports Centres Swimming Pools Walking and Cycling
John Leggett	A & S Finance Rivers and Drainage Road Stopping Stormwater
Terry Sloan	Reserves Sewerage Water Wharves and Jetties
Graeme Taylor	Civil Defence and Emergency Management/Rural Fire Land Transport Recycling Waste Management

Clrs Andrews/Bagge:
That the information be received.

Carried

P.10/11.159 Financial Report for Period Ended 30 September 2010 ***F045-07***

A detailed financial report for the Assets and Services Department for the period ended 30 September 2010 was presented by Finance and Information Supervisor, David Craig.

It was reported that both revenues and operating expenditures were tracking close to budgets. Capital expenditure variations were more pronounced and were largely dependent on timing and progress of respective projects.

Because rates are the primary source of income for many of the department's activities, revenues were somewhat insulated from the current economic recession. The main exceptions were in the areas of subdivisional development; trade waste charges (sewer); metered water sale; dump fees; parking collections; roading subsidies; and gravel extraction sales.

Operating expenditures have been affected by the high winter rainfall, with reinstatement works required in the Reserves, Rivers & Drainage and Roothing activities. Subsequent spring growth was also proving challenging.

The total budgeted capital expenditure for the department, for 2010/11, is \$59.3 million, including Council approved carryovers. Total actual expenditure to 30 September was \$5.4 million.

Mr Hunter/Clr Leggett:

That the financial report for the period ended 30 September 2010 be received.

Carried

ATTENDANCE: Marlborough Roads staff – Frank Porter, David Miller, Steve Murrin for the following roading items.

P.10/11.160 Flood Damage Claim No 2 - 2010 R945-01

The Committee was made aware, by Marlborough Roads, of the large increase in emergency repairs required on the roading network.

Marlborough Roads reported that Council sustained flood damage in July/August and had funding approved at a level of \$420,000. Further damage was sustained in September with some of the heaviest rainfall events experienced in the Rai Valley and Outer Sounds area.

The additional damages incurred to Council's roading network were estimated to cost an additional \$704,000 or a total of \$1,124,000. The indicated Financial Assistance Rate is 46% (current base rate), with a Council share of \$608,040.

Council has historically set aside a sum of \$300,000 per annum in roading budgets for flood damage. For some years this was sufficient, however, more recently, changing rainfall patterns are causing major damage with associated funding demands now being in excess of \$1m per annum.

Council emergency reserves were currently sufficient to cover the increases but would require regular review for adequacy.

Mr Hunter/Clr Sloan:

- 1. That Council approve expenditure to the level as reported for the 2010/11 year of \$1,124,000.**
- 2. That Council consider increasing its annual budget provision for emergency works in future years.**

Carried

P.10/11.161 Kenepuru Seal Extension Proposals R945-01

David Miller of Marlborough Roads reported on funding streams and intentions in respect of the Kenepuru seal extension.

The lengths proposed for seal extension have been considered and approved by the Residents' Roothing Committee.

A meeting of new sounds' councillors and the ratepayer group was planned for 20 November 2010.

Mr Hunter/Clr Leggett:

1. That Council approve the works and funding policies as described in Marlborough Roads' report to the Committee.
2. That the information be made available to the Kenepuru and Outer Sounds Ratepayer Committees.

Carried

P.10/11.162 Jacksons Road/Old Renwick Road Upgrading R945-01

Steve Murrin of Marlborough Roads provided background information on the Jacksons Road/Old Renwick Road intersection.

Following a second fatal crash at the intersection in April 2007, Marlborough Roads has been working towards a major upgrade of the intersection.

In the middle of 2007 six options for the upgrading of the intersection were put in front of Council. Council decided to progress Option 4a, which was constructing an off-set 'T' intersection with right-turn bays on Old Renwick Road. Marlborough Roads commissioned an independent safety audit of the proposed Option 4a. The auditor has some concerns with the proposed off-set 'T' intersection manoeuvring difficulties due to heavy vehicle and sight line challenges. Additionally an adjoining landowner is unhappy with the extent of land required for this option and the loss of significant trees which would result.

Marlborough Roads recommends that Council now approve option 3 of the previous Opus Report which is leaving the intersection as a cross-intersection but install right-turn bays on Old Renwick Road.

Council has budgeted \$637,000 over 2010/11 and 2011/12 for this project. "R" funding at 56% has been approved by NZTA. Option 3 is estimated to be \$50,000 cheaper than Option 4a. Land acquisition costs may however still put pressure on this budget.

Clrs Bagge/Sloan:

1. That Council approve Option 3 instead of Option 4a to be progressed as the preferred option.
2. That Marlborough Roads continue negotiations with the Stitchbury family and Jackson Estate to acquire the land required for the Option 3 upgrade.
3. That a review be undertaken of the speed limit on Old Renwick Road in the vicinity of Jacksons Road.

Carried

**P.10/11.163 Holiday Speed Limits – Ngakuta and Momorangi Bays
R945-01**

Steve Murrin presented a report which proposed holiday speed limits for Ngakuta and Momorangi Bays on Queen Charlotte Drive.

Clrs Sloan/Andrews:

1. That Council approve the 30 km/h holiday speed limit be implemented in Ngakuta Bay, Queen Charlotte Drive from a point 300m measured easterly along Queen Charlotte Drive from the Ngakuta Bay Bridge to a point 300m measured westerly along Queen Charlotte Drive from Ngakuta Bay Bridge.

2. **That Council approve the 30 km/h holiday speed limit be implemented at Momorangi Bay, Queen Charlotte Drive from a point 100m measured easterly along Queen Charlotte Drive from the Momorangi Bay culvert to a point 400m measured westerly along Queen Charlotte Drive from the Momorangi Bay culvert.**
3. **That this speed limit is to apply from the 20 December through to the 31 January annually.**

Carried

P.10/11.164 Picton Directional Signage

T135-05

Over recent years there have been a number of concerns expressed to Marlborough Roads regarding the suitability of directional signage in Picton.

A working group consisting of members from Interislander, Port Marlborough, Destination Marlborough, Picton Business Group and Marlborough Roads was formed in September 2010 to establish proposals for a signage upgrade.

The main problem identified with the signage by this group and also reported in the Urbanism+ report was that the existing signs carried too many words and needed to be simplified. Also identified was a need for directional signage to the town centre to stand out.

A new suite of signs has been developed by the working group; the cost of which is likely to be in the order of \$40,000. A cost-share agreement is to be worked out between NZ Transport Agency funding highway signs, Marlborough District Council, Port Marlborough and Interislander. Council's share can be met from the capital signs budget.

Clr Bagge/Mr Hunter:

1. **That Council approve the proposed signage upgrade.**
2. **That Marlborough Roads negotiate an equitable cost-sharing agreement with Council's share capped at \$20,000.**

Carried

P.10/11.165 Footpath Management Policy

C250-01

Council's approval was sought to the consultation with business people in Blenheim and Picton on a Footpath Management Policy and Outdoor Dining Permit Application.

The implementation of a Footpath Management Policy would assist in effectively managing the streetscape in Marlborough, more particularly Blenheim and Picton.

The objective is to ensure that a consistent clear pathway for pedestrians and the safety of other street users and the visual amenity of the street environment are maintained.

Clrs Bagge/Andrews:

1. **That the Footpath Management Policy as presented be further consulted with CBD stakeholders.**
2. **That the Outdoor Dining Permit Application as presented be further consulted with CBD stakeholders.**

Carried

P.10/11.166

Wynen Street – Road Exchange

R810-01

Council's authorisation was sought to an exchange under the Public Works Act for a parcel of Council land at the Wynen Street carpark. An aerial showed that at the Wynen Street end an inset whilst at the other end a triangular area which does not fit easily within the base land parcel.

The intention is that Council will close the Wynen Street end of the carpark, so the boundary aligned with the other boundaries on the Wynen Street end with the exchange coming from vesting the triangular piece as legal road to fit with the current access lane.

Clr Leggett/The Mayor:

That Council authorise the processes for an exchange proposal under the Public Works Act whereby the inset at the Wynen Street end is closed and an exchange of the triangular piece at the other end of the Wynen Street property is vested as road.

Carried

P.10/11.167

**Marlborough Civil Defence Emergency Management
Co-ordinating Executive Group (CEG)**

C090-01

The Assets and Services Committee has this term been delegated the responsibilities of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Group (CDEM Group).

The Committee (acting as the Civil Defence Emergency Management Group) is responsible for overseeing the development, maintenance, monitoring and evaluation, and implementation of the Group Plan required by section 17(1)(i) of the Civil Defence and Emergency Management Act 2002.

A further responsibility is overseeing the direction of the Marlborough Civil Defence Emergency Management Co-ordinating Executive Group (CEG). The CEG is made up of members of Council staff, Emergency Services (Fire, Police), Nelson Marlborough District Health Board, the Group Controller and Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management.

Clrs Taylor/Andrews:

That the minutes of the Marlborough Civil Defence Emergency Management Co-ordinating Executive Group (CEG) meeting held on 22 September 2010 be ratified and the actions contained within those minutes approved.

Carried

P.10/11.168

Business Advisor Pilot Programme

W090-01

A report was presented by Annie McDonald, Council's Education Officer, along with Neil Henry, Economic and Community Policy Manager and Willi Borst, Waste Recovery Projects Manager seeking Council support to engage a Business Sustainability Advisor on a part time contract basis.

Council has partnered with the Sustainable Business Network which was launched in the Marlborough region this year, to offer a workshop on resource efficiency to local businesses which was well supported. From this workshop, six showed a real interest to take further action. A key aspect of this project is to link businesses taking part in the pilot programme with the SBN and other available resources to ensure they are gaining best advice, and are supported beyond the pilot period as they continue to improve their resource efficiency.

It was proposed to use \$5,000 from the Waste Education budget and \$5,000 from the Economic Development budget to trial a programme with a part-time contract position for a Business Sustainability

Advisor. Initially this would be for a six month trial. The pilot would work with the six businesses identified at the workshop with a strong focus on resource efficiency.

If the pilot is successful it was also proposed to make an application to the Waste Minz fund by March 2011 to support this position in the longer term and roll out a three year programme to support more local businesses. The Waste Minz fund will provide 80% of the estimated \$50,000 per annum cost, with Council being required to fund 20% (\$10,000 per annum) for three years.

The Mayor/Clr Andrews:

- 1. That Council support the concept of a pilot programme for a Business Advisor position.**
- 2. That Council support using existing funding for the pilot programme (\$10,000).**
- 3. That Council applies to, or supports an application to the Waste Minz Fund for fund a larger programme in 2011 for a three year period subject to funding of \$10,000 per annum being confirmed through the Annual Plan process.**

P.10/11.169 Annual Drainage Report

R585-01

Drainage & Floodways Reserves Officer, Steve Bezar, presented his 2009-2010 annual Drainage Report which is a requirement of the current resource consent.

The report would be circulated to interested parties.

Clrs Bagge/Leggett:

That the report be received.

Carried

ATTENDANCE: Stephen Rooney, Operations and Maintenance Engineer.

P.10/11.170 Durham Street Stormwater Drainage

S315-04

Stephen Rooney, Operations and Maintenance Engineer reported that the property owner of 23 and 23A Durham Street has complained of the stormwater run-off from properties upslope of his property and that this run-off has now caused erosion which is likely to create a subsidence onto his and his neighbour's properties.

A report commissioned by Council from Davidson Group Ltd has confirmed this and they have provided a plan showing where the water originates from and the flow paths associated with that water. Legal advice indicates that Council has no legal liability arising from the situation and is not responsible for the cost of rectifying these problems.

However in some circumstances Council may wish to contribute to or pay their total cost of rectification to relieve the burden on landowners.

There are provisions within the Local Government Act and Public Works Act which provide powers to Council to have property owners undertake works to rectify the problem.

Clrs Sloan/Bagge:

- 1. That Council meet with the property owners contributing to the stormwater run-off seeking to rectify the problem with each property owner contributing an equal share (there are three contributing properties).**

2. **That Council enter into negotiation with the owner of 27 Durham Street (Lot 1 DP4375) seeking agreement to lay the stormwater pipe to Durham Street through this land.**

Carried

P.10/11.171 Havelock Sewage Treatment Ponds – Capacity Upgrades S135-03

The Operations and Maintenance Engineer reported that unexpected increases in the sewage strength from industrial activities within the marina at Havelock have caused the Havelock ponds to exceed their capacity.

Three options to overcome this in the short term were presented to the Committee. The option to install a power supply upgrade (\$90,000) for use with the aerators to reduce the operating costs by approximately \$12,000 per year, was supported.

It was reported that the introduction of tradewaste charges could recover capital and additional operating costs necessary to treat industrial sewage. In the longer term if the industrial capacity within Havelock was to increase a major upgrade of the Havelock oxidation ponds would be necessary to meet that demand.

Clrs Sloan/Andrews:

1. **That installation of a power supply to the Havelock ponds be actioned as soon as possible to reduce aerator operating costs.**
2. **That introduction of tradewaste charges be made for the Havelock sewerage system through the Annual Plan process for 2011.**

Carried

P.10/11.172 Solid Waste Contracts Review W090-01

The purpose of the Operations and Maintenance Engineer's report to the Committee was to review the solid waste contracts which are due for renewal from 1 July 2011.

Council and our solid waste engineers, Tonkin and Taylor, have reviewed the Solid Waste contracts. The review considered cost and qualitative factors including appropriate incentives for waste reduction and recovery. Proposals are: a standalone contract for the operation of the landfill; a contract which combines the refuse transfer stations and Resource Recovery Centre operations; that in three years time consideration be given to combining the kerbside recyclables collection with this contract also; and that the Refuse Collection contract in Blenheim and Picton be combined as one contract to include the operation of the Sounds coin skips.

Council's current contract (tendering) policy is to advertise contracts of this type nation-wide. It was considered desirable to do so on this occasion also to ensure a range of well-qualified contractors and competitive pricing is achieved.

Hours for transfer stations were also reviewed. An extension by two hours of winter closing time and reduction by one hour of summer opening time was recommended.

The Ward refuse trailer service was also discussed. Currently this is the district's only free refuse service. It was suggested a manned facility charging the district-wide waste charge be proposed in the Annual Plan.

9. That the approved connections to this property only be completed following a successful subdivision application. (Failure to obtain subdivision consent within one year of this approval will result in forfeit of the four Out of District sewer approvals).

Carried

**P.10/11.178 Stormwater Main Proposal, Middle Renwick Road
Blenheim – Cost Share S315-02**

The Infrastructure Projects Engineer advised that Council and Westwood developers required a shared stormwater pipeline to drain water from the Rose Street area to east of Murphys Road discharging into Murphys Creek at the SH 6 bridge.

The cost shared pipeline would benefit Council and the developers financially. Blenheim's stormwater capital budget could fund the works. Additionally constructing one jointly funded pipeline was much more advantageous to State Highway road quality, traffic disruption and risk to other services than separate construction of the pipelines.

The Committee was advised that Council needed to progress with its part of this stormwater upgrade to:

- (a) Enable future development of currently zoned residential land.
- (b) Improve existing stormwater level of service north of Middle Renwick Road which is not up to desired standards.
- (c) Provide for possible future rezoning along and north of Rose Street.
- (d) Replace a temporary discharge further upstream where consent expires in 2012.

Council will need to apply for resource consent before utilising its share of the new pipeline. There is a risk in that process but given that Murphys Creek has greater capacity downstream than at the current upstream discharge point this risk is considered small. Council's cost share of the capacity has been discounted accordingly achieving a saving on a standalone pipeline of an estimated \$128,000.

Clr Taylor/The Mayor:

1. That Council accept that the pipeline be installed prior to obtaining the consent to discharge to Murphy Stream.
2. That Council accept the cost share proposal. being a Council contribution in the order of 71% of the contract price to be confirmed +/- 5% depending on tendered rates ie; approximately \$632,000.

Carried

**P.10/11.179 Seddon Sewage – Key Crescent Starborough Creek
Crossing Renewal S180-05**

Engineering Officer, Andy Williams presented his report which addressed the issue of the erosion of the banks of Starborough Creek in the vicinity of the creek crossing. This has exposed and undermined the eastern abutments of a key sewer pipeline.

The consequence of a catastrophic failure of the gravity sewer main across Starborough Creek would be an uncontrolled discharge of raw sewage for several days to weeks. Any rehabilitation or replacement of the creek crossing could take several months if a failure of the banks and crossing were to occur.

Any strengthening and support of the existing structure without major stability works within the creek bed would be considered as a short to medium term measure. Any structure within the creek bed may exacerbate erosion at the toe of the banks.

The steel trussed beam was the preferred option for the replacement of the existing pipe crossing structure. This beam will span five metres further than the existing beam to ensure further erosion will not compromise the new structure.

The gravity sewer main across the creek would be replaced with 180 mm OD Polyethylene, welded to form a continuous length. Costs associated with the design, build and installation of this structure were estimated to be between \$150,000.00 and \$180,000.00 (GST excl).

Clr Andrews/Mr Hunter:

- 1. That OPUS International is contracted for the design of the proposed steel truss beam across Starborough Creek and that this design work be completed by the end of December 2010.**
- 2. That budget for these works be proposed in the 2011/12 Council Annual Plan.**
- 3. That a call for tenders for the construction of a new sewer creek crossing structure to the OPUS design be made.**
- 4. That in the event of a pipeline or stream bank failure or impending failure the delegated authority for the initiation of these works be given to the Chair of the Assets and Services Committee and the Manager of Assets and Services Department up to the value of \$180,000.00. (It is recognised that reinstatement works may be carried out on day rates in the event of a catastrophic failure and that the final cost in this situation may be higher than for standard quoted works).**

Carried

P.10/11.180 Authorisation of Dairy Effluent Washdown Facilities
Minor Dairy 13/1/1

Justine Hughes, Environmental Protection Officer, in presenting her report, advised the Committee that currently in Marlborough there are 12 dairy farms where the effluent wash water collection, containment and application systems are located within 20 metres of a road or a Council administered recreational strip. The Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan requires that in the above situation the permission of the neighbouring landowner is supplied to Council.

Permission of the Council, who administers or who shares the administration of the road reserve or esplanade strips, was sought to sign off the existing placement of wash down water collection and containment systems. This permission did not authorise the discharges themselves, which are covered by other resource management plan rules, just the locations. There were no adverse impacts from the locations on Council river, road or reserve land holdings.

Clr Bagge/Mr Hunter:

That permission is granted to authorise the existing placement of the dairy shed washdown collection, containment and application systems.

Carried

ATTENDANCE: (1) Clr Sloan returned to the meeting, and the Mayor withdrew (1.50 pm)

- (2) Reserves Department staff – Rosie Bartlett, Dafydd Pettigrew, Robin Dunn, Robert Hutchinson attended the meeting.

The purpose of the Reserves and Amenities Manager's report was to review the current Freedom Camping Policy and suggest changes to assist with the management of the issue in line with the guidelines set out by the National Freedom Camping Forum.

It was reported that Marlborough wants to benefit from tourism as much as possible and welcome camping to the district but needed to promote a positive clear message to campers. The current policy allows freedom camping for self contained vehicles in areas for a maximum of two nights but does not determine those areas. By designating particular "no go" areas enforcement will be made a lot easier and send a more positive message to the traveller. Enforcement and monitoring requires a collective approach from Council, Department of Conservation, local residents and the Police. To date all these stakeholders have been cooperative in dealing with the issue. Eight sites were proposed for approved freedom camping either for self-contained campervans, where no toilet existed or for all campers where a toilet did exist. Several sites were proposed for future toilet provision.

Further consultation, facilities design and costing work needed to be done before proposals could be further progressed. DOC had agreed to service the proposed toilets if Council installed them.

Clrs Andrews/Bagge:

- 1. That Council continue to take guidance from the National Forum and adopt initiatives which support responsible camping with environmental considerations.**
- 2. That Council continue to promote Holiday Parks, DOC camping grounds and that Council provide the areas listed in the Reserves and Amenities Manager's report as designated camping areas.**
- 3. That all other areas are prohibited from camping in Marlborough and enforcement and monitoring of the practice is continued.**
- 4. That future consideration given to the Linkwater Community Hall Area as a potential camping area.**
- 5. That areas without public convenience allow only self-contained vehicles.**
- 6. That future consideration is given in budgets for toilets to be provided at Double Bay, Ohingaroa Bay and Sandy Bay area.**
- 7. That a cooperative approach be taken where by all stakeholders are included to assist in enforcement and monitoring and that stakeholders are kept informed of any changes both regionally and nationally.**
- 8. That further investigation is done regarding location of dump stations within the Sounds' areas.**
- 9. That the policy and promotion is changed to reflect the changes.**

Carried

NB: Mr Richard Hunter (Iwi representative) and non committee member, Clr Arbuckle, declared an interest in A & P Association's application to hold a community market and withdrew from the table.

Mr Hunter/Clr Leggett:

That any amendments recommended by Council be incorporated into the 2010/11 Picton foreshore Cruise Ship Standards and Guidelines.

Carried

ATTENDANCE: Clr Hook withdrew from the meeting (3.05 pm).

P.10/11.184 Waikawa Launching Ramp and Jetty *R495-W03*

The Waikawa Residents and Ratepayers Association requested Council permission to construct a jetty in the centre of the launching area at the Waikawa Foreshore Reserve and to relocate and utilise the existing floating pontoon within the proposed new jetty.

Relocating the existing pontoon to utilise in the centre of the launching area would increase its usability as only one side of the pontoon is currently accessible.

Clrs Taylor/Andrews:

That Council approve the erection of a jetty and relocation of an existing pontoon subject to resource consents being obtained and works being funded by the Waikawa Residents' and Ratepayers' Association.

Carried

P.10/11.185 Farmers Market Storage Shed (Temporary) *R495-A01*

The Marlborough Farmers' Market sought Council permission to build a storage shed in the southwest corner of A & P Park to store equipment associated with running their Sunday markets.

It was suggested that the Pig Pens could be a solution for use by the Farmers' Market for storage. Permission would be required from the A & P Association. The Committee recommended that the matter lie on the table until the full Council meeting on 9 December. Meanwhile the Council will encourage the Farmers' Market to discuss the matter with the A & P Association.

Clrs Andrews/Sloan:

That the matter lie on the table until the full Council meeting on 9 December 2010.

Carried

P.10/11.186 Reserve Presentation – Levels of Service and Irrigation *R505-01*

The Committee was asked to consider the installation/operation of turf and garden irrigation at specific reserves where supplementary watering is required to achieve a satisfactory level of service that meets the expectations of the local community.

Irrigation is only proposed at specific reserves where there is significant difficulty with moisture retention and where a higher level of service is warranted to better reflect the local community's streetscape, overall presentation and expectations. It was proposed to develop a set of criteria to determine which reserves warranted turf irrigation, and this would be implemented and decided by the Reserves Manager and Assets and Services Committee Chairman.

