

Form 33

**Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings**

[Section 274](#), Resource Management Act 1991

**To:**

The Registrar  
Environment Court

**Christchurch**

1. The Omaka Valley Group Incorporated wishes to be a party to the proceedings set out in the table below.
2. The Omaka Valley Group Inc. has made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
3. The Omaka Valley Group Inc. is not a trade competitor for the purposes of [section 308C](#) or [308CA](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. The parts of the proceedings that the Omaka Valley Group Inc. wishes to become involved in are set out in the table below, along with the reasons for the Group's interest and view on the relief sought.
5. The Omaka Valley Group Inc. agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings relating to all the items in the table.

<b>Environment Court Ref No</b>	<b>Name of Appellant</b>	<b>Part(s) of appeal of interest to Omaka Valley Group</b>	<b>Support/Oppose relief + reasons</b>
ENV-2020-CHC-58	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	The part of the appeal relating to Rule 3.2.1.3	Oppose the relief sought, as the rule provides recognition of and protection for the recognised character and amenity values of the Omaka Valley Area.
ENV-2020-CHC-58	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	The part of the appeal relating to Policy 14.4.2	Oppose the relief sought, as the two items sought to be excluded from consideration (a) and (b) are of importance in maintaining the existing and future character of rural areas and the enjoyment of the area by those who live there.

ENV-2020-CHC-58	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	The part of the appeal relating to Policy 14.4.3	Oppose the relief sought, as rural buildings other than new residential buildings can detract from rural character and amenity values for those who live there.
ENV-2020-CHC-56	New Zealand Transport Agency	The part of the Appeal relating to Appendix 17 Rooding Hierarchy, and the lists of roads proposed under each category.	Oppose the relief sought. The Transport Agency has developed a One Network Road Classification (ONRC) and seeks its nationwide application. However, the implications of this new classification in terms of Plan policy is not clear or resolved. Further, the OVG considers that some of the categorisation of specific roads are incorrect.
ENV-2020-CHC-64	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Incorporated	Points in the Appeal numbered 8 and 11, relating to Policies 7.2.2 and 7.2.7	Support the relief sought. The Omaka Valley Group considers that the removal of the Wairau Dry Hills High Amenity Landscape from Policy leaves that landscape with no adequate management regime, and this has the potential to undermine the effectiveness of the recognition of the Omaka Valley Area policies. This means that RMA section 7(c) is not provided for and RPS Objective 7.2 will not be given effect to.




---

JC Ironside

Counsel for Omaka Valley Group Inc.

Date: 8 June 2020

Address for service of the appellant:

Ironside Law Ltd

PO Box 55

Brightwater

Nelson 7051

(03) 541 8777

[nironside@ironsidelaw.co.nz](mailto:nironside@ironsidelaw.co.nz)

Counsel: [julian@jcironside.nz](mailto:julian@jcironside.nz)