

Summary of decisions requested - by provision

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
233	Totaranui Limited	35	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (<i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - " Recognise that adverse effects are generally defined in the RMA environment as either less than minor, minor, more than minor or significant and that policy relating to adverse effects should be written in such a manner as recognizes and provides for this variation. " (<i>Inferred</i>)					
233	Totaranui Limited	36	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (<i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - " Policies using the word 'Avoid' should also be in a manner that includes recognition that adverse effects may be not be avoided but that any such adverse effects might be remedied. " (<i>Inferred</i>)					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	2	Volume 1	All		Support
Decision Requested	It is considered that the MEP would be more workable and user-friendly through merging and simplifying a large number of objectives and policies which seek overlapping outcomes, both within and between chapters. In achieving this, the style of the earlier part of Chapter 15 (relating to water) whereby Objectives are grouped together with a suite of policies below to collectively achieve those aims may be a good option. This section of the chapter avoided duplication and was found to be well set out and easy to follow.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	150	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That any issues, objectives, and policies relating specifically to coastal issues are included in Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment. (<i>Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Volume</i>)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	We request that the Plan be withdrawn and rewritten to confer Permitted Activity status on all forestry activities where the plantation forest was lawfully established under the RMA by way of Resource consent or previous Permitted Activity plan provision to Plant. In environmentally sensitive areas the Plan should only default to Controlled Activity status for harvest and is only appropriate for afforestation except for areas where the land under the plantation forest has subsequently been designated an Outstanding Landscape that were activities					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
510	Anne Allison	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
535	Adele Riddle	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
538	Andre Smith	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
539	Allen Steele	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
540	Arthur Stewart	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
543	Alistair Willis	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
549	Bryan Albrey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
551	Ben Armstrong	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
555	Blair Glover	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
559	Belinda Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
560	Brian Lee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
562	Brendon Lucas	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
564	Belinda Materoa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
565	Brent Mathews	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
582	Cory Burnett	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
583	Carmay Cheong	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
584	Corey Dixon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
588	Christopher Hall	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
590	Cameron Harvey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
600	Connor Rangı	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
603	Chee Song Chin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
606	Cindy Steele	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
611	Carla Velez	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
620	Brook Lines	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
621	Becki Findlayson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
625	Cheryl Harris	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
627	Carl Scholefield	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
628	Clinton Nott	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
649	Dave Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
654	David Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
656	David King	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
658	Dan Lawrence	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
659	Donald M Curie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
660	Daniel Manson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
661	Denis Marfell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
663	Dion McCauley	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
667	Daniel Paget	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
677	Daniel Walker	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
678	David Horton	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
680	Delwynne Horton	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
694	Elin Shin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
703	Faye Fosbender	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
704	Febe Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
705	Fay Mathews	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
708	Filisita Tuese	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
709	Ian Dunlop	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	2	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure the plan provides objectives, policies and rules addressing all activities, not just the primary production and tourism sector.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	3	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text.					
721	Grant Boyd	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
729	Graham Hayter	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
731	Grace Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
734	Gail Learmonth	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
737	Gareth McIlroy	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
741	Glen Slipper	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
753	Hope Lagden	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
758	Holly Stanford	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
759	Hudson Steele	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
761	Hilda Timoti	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	1	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring the following terms be used constantly throughout the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historic heritage and/or natural heritage • Historic heritage values and/or natural heritage values 					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	2	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring to cultural and historic heritage resources contained in the schedule they be referred to as "heritage resources included in schedule X in appendix 13".					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	3	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Where there is an intended reference to discovered and undiscovered archaeological sites, the words 'recorded archaeological site' and 'unrecorded archaeological site' be used. In the context of the Plan, 'recorded' should refer to any site with a New Zealand Archaeological Association identifier and/or included in the relevant appendices of the Plan.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	4	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring to adverse effects on archaeological sites, the Plan use 'adverse effects from the modification or destruction of archaeological sites' etc.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan. Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission. Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
781	Johann Adam	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
784	Jackie Biggs	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
787	Jo Braven	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
793	John Cleal	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
796	John Craddock	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
799	June Ethel Epere	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
803	John Healy	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
804	Jordan Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
805	James Higgin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
812	Jungmin Ko	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
817	Jemma McCowan	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
826	Jade Riri	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
829	Jason Smith	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
831	Jim Taylor	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
834	Jarod Udy	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
836	James William Epere	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	24	Volume 1	All		Support
Decision Requested	That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 1 are included in the MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
851	Kevin Hawkins	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
856	Karen Mant	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
857	Kowhai Millan	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
863	Karen Soloman	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
870	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a Freedom Camping policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
877	Lynette Ashby	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
885	Les McClung	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
886	Linda McGee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
888	Pang Lily	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
889	Lavina Rickard	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
892	Lynda Simpson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
902	Lewis Ward	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
912	Myken Augustine	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
914	Michael Burne	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
918	Maree Cleal	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
927	Mark Gillard	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
929	Mandy Hargood	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
941	Marion Marfell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
942	Marie Mitchell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
943	Martina Naplawa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
948	Melissa Smith	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
951	Michael Wallace	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
953	Mark Whittall	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
955	Moira Winter	5	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
982	Nathan Grey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
985	Niki McCulloch	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
988	Nathan Wallace	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
989	Natasha Watts	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	30	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert table of contents to outline each of the issues in the Chapter, potentially with a summary of objectives and policies under each.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Structure each chapter in Volume 1 as per Chapter 3 where all issues, all objectives, all policies and all methods have been grouped.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Renumber the objectives and policies so that they relate to the associated issue; e.g. Issue 17C -> Objective 17C.1...</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	31	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Group methods in each sub section or objective/policy clearly;</p> <p>Or</p> <p>List all methods under a specific 'Methods' heading at the end of each chapter.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	294	Volume 1	All		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Utilise better grouping and identification for methods. Or Utilise numbering for sub-sections within chapter. Suggest methods grouped for each sub section clearly.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	298	Volume 1	All		Support
Decision Requested	Retain references to NZS 6803:1999 Add a new method , such as: <i>Noise from road construction and maintenance is to comply with NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise</i>					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1026	Patricia Riri	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1029	Peter Shirley	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1031	Peter Snape	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1037	PADD Investments Limited	5	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	No changes to existing rights and regulations.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1053	Roger Bee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1055	Rory Bryant	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1057	Roger Dippie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1067	Renee Heta	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1079	Rachel Stanford	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1080	Rata Steele	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	4	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Council withdraw the MEP Plan and engage in meaningful consultation with the Tua Marina Waikakaho Residents Association Inc. in order to rewrite the Plan. Withdraw the MEP and rewrite in a logical and easy to follow format after meaningful consultation with the wider public, respecting individual property rights and pay compensation where and when necessary and to reflect the enabling intent of the RMA.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Every "Taking" in the MEP to be addressed by compensation.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1108	Shane Bray	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1115	Steve Dyer	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1119	Sharon Hill	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1127	Soon Ng	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1128	Sam Oliver	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1139	Sarah Williams	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1144	Scott Foster	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1168	Tony Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	5	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	17	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	28	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	139	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Decision Requested

The Marlborough Environment Centre SEEKS consideration of the following issues and inclusion in the MEP:

1. Consideration of the location and distribution of proposals involving Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) on a district basis, together with protection of rural resources for organic or biodynamic farming, are important resource management matters for consideration by territorial authorities in carrying out their functions under the RMA.

Potential Adverse Effects

2. The outdoor use of GMOs has a potential to cause significant adverse effects on the environment. Adverse effects could include (inter alia):

(a) biological or ecosystem harm;

(b) harm to other existing or potential forms of land use including:

(i) organic farming (including organic certification and the requirement to be GMO free); and

(ii) agricultural activities dependent on an uncontaminated environmental brand.

3. GMOs have the potential to adversely affect ecological, economic, and resource management values, and the social and cultural well-being of people, communities and tangata whenua.

4. Application of integrated management and a precautionary approach to GMOs under the RMA is the best available technique for managing the potential adverse effects posed by GMOs to other land uses within the district.

Sustainable Management and Part II

5. It is consistent with the sustainable management purpose and Part II of the RMA to establish district plan provisions (e.g. issues, objectives, policies, rules and methods) that manage the release, location and management of GMOs where they have the potential to adversely affect other land use activities.

That the following policies to be adopted by the Council under the Proposed Plan:

a. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.

b. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMO that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.

To review the Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a Genetically Modified Organism activity.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1211	Vaughan Hall	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1224	P Wood	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1226	William Kingi	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1227	Warwick Neame	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1243	Zane Charman	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1247	Robert Walker	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction		Support
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS support the MEP where it seeks to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources</p> <p>Sustainability is defined as (By Webster)</p> <p>ENVIRONMENT, NATURAL RESOURCES the idea that goods and services should be produced in ways that do not use resources that cannot be replaced and that do not damage the environment:</p> <p><i>The successful coffee chain promotes sustainability within the coffee-growing regions.</i></p>					
43	Tony Mortiboy	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Open the MEP with a statement such as:</p> <p>"Marlborough's citizens live in a fragile environment. while it presently has the country's highest annual sunshine hours, it's main town, Blenheim, sits on a major earthquake faultline and was historically known as Beavertown because, located in the bottom of a large river valley, it was prone to severe and regular flooding.</p> <p>In projecting Marlborough's future, Council believes that it is the Marlborough Sounds and tourism - not grape growing, land or fish farming, or forestry - which best uses our natural resources. Properly managed, tourism produces minimal environmental damage and provides best employment and income prospects for future generations of our citizens. "</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sections 6 and 7 should be either removed altogether or quoted in full.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend page 1-2 paragraphs 3 and 4 to read:</p> <p><u>More specific national direction is given through national policy statements, such as the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014, and through national environmental standards. Regional and district level plans must implement these documents.</u></p> <p>To achieve the purpose of the RMA, the Council is required to prepare a hierachy range of documents, some of which are mandatory, while others are optional. A regional policy statement, regional coastal plan and district plan are mandatory documents, whereas other regional plans are optional. As the Council is a unitary authority, that is, it has the roles of both a district and a regional council, it is responsible for preparing all of the required RMA policies and plans.</p> <p>The purpose of regional policy statements is set out in Section 59 of the RMA and it is "to achieve the purpose of the Act by providing an overview of the resource management issues of the region and policies and methods to achieve integrated management of the natural and physical resources of the whole region". The purpose of regional and district plans is to assist the Council in carrying out its functions in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA and specifically for a regional coastal plan, to achieve the purpose of the RMA in relation to the coastal marine area.</p> <p><u>Each planning document must give effect to the documents that are above it in the hierarchy. This applies even if all or a number of the different planning documents are incorporated into one.</u></p>					
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 1 Introduction.					
43	Tony Mortiboy	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete guiding principles. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community. A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough. The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this. The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use." Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	That the guiding principles are retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>There is a statement under "A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment" that it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters. That is incorrect. The definition in s2(1) of the RMA of "environment" includes the economic conditions that affect people and communities. Sustainable management includes enabling people to provide for their economic and social wellbeing (s 5(2) RMA).</p> <p>The guiding principles seem to ignore the fact that the farming, viticulture, aquaculture, forestry and tourism sectors all rely on resource use.</p> <p>It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community.</p> <p>A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough.</p> <p>The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this.</p> <p>The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use."</p> <p>Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.</p>					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend wording (underline and strikethrough) of second guiding principle to read: <i>A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment is a place where people and businesses are encouraged to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence.</i></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity.</p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p><i>"Economic development."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Guiding Principle as follows - "Recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief:" <i>(Inferred)</i>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the "Guiding Principles" section of Chapter 1 - Introduction in its entirety. (Inferred)					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Where the Council and other agency manage the use of the same resource, it is important that any duplication in management is avoided'.</p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7 and Rule 16.7.5 (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Use of non-regulatory methods where possible'.</p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7, Rule 16.7.5 and Issue 13C objectives and policies (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p>The fishing industry submitters oppose in part the principle: 'The council will only intervene in the exercise of private property rights to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment'.</p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the principle to clarify that the Council's ability to intervene in the exercise of private property rights is restricted to property rights within its jurisdiction under the RMA and does not extend to property rights for fisheries resources managed under the Fisheries Act.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to content under the heading:</p> <p>A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment.</p> <p><i>While it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters, it does have a role in supporting sustainable business and economic growth within a resource management framework. Maintaining and safeguarding the health of the environment will assist the primary sector in particular to continue to make a significant contribution to the Marlborough economy and the wellbeing of our communities. The productive and sustainable use of natural resources relies on both the quality of the resource as well as sustainable allocation frameworks to enable use of water, land and coastal resources for future generations.</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Engage with the quarry/aggregate and construction industry.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	5	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives ad policies and re-draft these as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	6	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 'reverse sensitivity' as a guiding principle and incorporate into subsequent objectives, policies and rules.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Guiding principles but test all objectives, policies and methods to ensure that the principles underpin the regulatory framework.					
809	Jim Jessep	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	11	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	12	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale of regional alignment.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale minimal intervention in the exercise of property rights. Make plantation forestry activities controlled activities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain guiding principle It is important that people live and work in locations and in situations that have a minimal risk of being adversely affected by a hazard event.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	157	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the Permitted Activity standards and provide for Controlled Activities to ensure the MEP aligns with this principle.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	158	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	159	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	160	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Ensure the MEP provides for controls on the siting of houses and essential infrastructure below steep land, with natural hazards being a key driver.					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	NZDFA-Marlborough in conjunction with Deer Industry New Zealand would welcome an ongoing partnership with Marlborough District Council to utilise industry information such as "The New Zealand Deer Farmers' Landcare Manual 2012", key practices identified in the Deer Industry Focus Farms Sustainable Farming Fund project (2006-2009) and industry expertise in order to more clearly identify appropriate good management practices for deer farming in Marlborough.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Guiding Principles as follows:</p> <p><i>Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two. Reverse sensitivity effects occur when people establish new activities sensitive to the effects of existing activities in the vicinity. This can lead to restraints or demands against the existing activities and can cause tension and conflict in the community. Making sure activities are appropriately located, and carried out and designed within appropriate limits is therefore very important.</i></p>					
1077	Rodney Roberts	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p>"Promote and facilitate appropriate economic development."</p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Guiding Principle Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two (page 1-4).</p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to read, 'A healthy Marlborough economy is a place where people and businesses are encourages to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence'.</p>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles.</p>					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to include economic development and associated relief.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1157	Southern Crown Limited	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Guiding Principles Volume 1, Chapter 1.					
879	Laurence Etheredge	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Structure of the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	That giving clearer directions for use of the MEP is included (<i>inferred</i>).					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Structure of the MEP	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a clear statement(s) explaining the purpose and legal weight of the reasons in (for example) Section 1, Volume 1, under the Heading "Structure of the MEP" (pg. 1-4).					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	10	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support
Decision Requested	EBCS has supported the special environment of East bay for over 15 years and seeks to protect the nationally and internationally significant values in perpetuity from unsustainable exploitation for commercial or personal gain. Our submission seeks to address the MEP issues specific to East Bay					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	5	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The document does not sufficiently recognise that the protection of one resource may have a positive or negative effect on another (<i>New Zealand Shipping Federation v Marlborough District Council</i> W038/06). This is reflected in the insufficient identification of costs in the s32 analysis. There are consistent references to "protection" throughout the MEP. However, the response to environmental integration in the MEP is insufficient. The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	6	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Oppose the approach where "avoid" has two meanings, dependent on its context. This results in the MEP being unclear, which in turn is likely to lead to significant future expenditure to determine meaning. We have addressed the use of the term "avoid" in other specific contexts where it arises.</p> <p>"Avoid" should only be used in one sense, consistent with the approach taken by the Supreme Court in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> [2014] NZSC 38.</p> <p>Avoid should have only one meaning.</p> <p>Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	7	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>A single meaning for "protect" should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i>.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Avoid should have only one meaning. Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Oppose the approach where "protect" can be interpreted in a number of different ways. This makes the MEP unclear.</p> <p>A single meaning should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i>.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	2	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include definitions in Ch 25 Definitions for the following terms, based on the descriptions in Ch 2:</p> <p>Enable means that an activity is provided for through a rule.</p> <p>Avoid is to avoid an effect by undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. If an effect is to be totally avoided the activity will be prohibited in the rules.</p> <p>Control means that controls will be used in rules to manage effects of the activity.</p> <p>Manage means that the effects of an activity can be managed through a range of mechanisms such as rules or non-regulatory methods.</p> <p>Protect means to keep safe from harm from inappropriate subdivision use and development.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	3	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend all uses of the term 'natural and human use values' to 'values' Add a definition in Ch 25 of values as follows: The worth, desirability or utility of a thing, or qualities on which these depend. Ensure that all values that exist are included and taken into account in the MEP. Amend Appendix 5 to include and recognise all values of water resource units including food production values 					
477	John Malcolm McKee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
500	Ben Clarke	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognize that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
510	Anne Allison	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
535	Adele Riddle	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
538	Andre Smith	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
539	Allen Steele	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
540	Arthur Stewart	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
543	Alistair Willis	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
549	Bryan Albrey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
551	Ben Armstrong	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
555	Blair Glover	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
559	Belinda Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
560	Brian Lee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
562	Brendon Lucas	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
564	Belinda Materoa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
565	Brent Mathews	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
582	Cory Burnett	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
583	Carmay Cheong	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
584	Corey Dixon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
588	Christopher Hall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
590	Cameron Harvey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
595	Clayton McIntyre	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
600	Connor Rangi	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
603	Chee Song Chin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
606	Cindy Steele	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
611	Carla Velez	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
618	Brad Lewis	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
620	Brook Lines	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
621	Becki Findlayson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
625	Cheryl Harris	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
627	Carl Scholefield	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
628	Clinton Nott	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
641	Dan McCall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
649	Dave Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
654	David Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
656	David King	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
658	Dan Lawrence	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
659	Donald M Curie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
660	Daniel Manson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
661	Denis Marfell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
663	Dion McCauley	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
667	Daniel Paget	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
677	Daniel Walker	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
678	David Horton	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
680	Delwynne Horton	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
694	Elin Shin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review and amend the background section to make it more streamlined and focussed. Delete the section on "Review process" in its entirety. (Inferred)					
703	Faye Fosbender	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
704	Febe Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
705	Fay Mathews	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
708	Filisita Tuese	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
709	Ian Dunlop	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the content under the heading: Does the issue involve a resource that is scarce, rare, unique and/or is under threat? <i>This includes both natural and physical resources and could include the limited availability of water in some parts of Marlborough or it may and include the habitats of threatened indigenous species.</i>					
721	Grant Boyd	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
729	Graham Hayter	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
731	Grace Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
734	Gail Learmonth	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
741	Glen Slipper	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
753	Hope Lagden	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
756	Hye Sug Ha	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
758	Holly Stanford	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
759	Hudson Steele	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
761	Hilda Timoti	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
773	Iosua Kaisara	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
781	Johann Adam	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
784	Jackie Biggs	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
787	Jo Braven	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
793	John Cleal	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
796	John Craddock	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
799	June Ethel Epere	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
803	John Healy	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
804	Jordan Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
805	James Higgin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
807	Jeremy Hunter	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
812	Jungmin Ko	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
817	Jemma McCowan	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
826	Jade Riri	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
829	Jason Smith	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
831	Jim Taylor	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
834	Jarod Udy	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
836	James William Epere	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
851	Kevin Hawkins	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
856	Karen Mant	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
857	Kowhai Millan	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
863	Karen Soloman	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
877	Lynette Ashby	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
881	Laisa Gibbins	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
885	Les McClung	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
886	Linda McGee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
888	Pang Lily	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
889	Lavina Rickard	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
892	Lynda Simpson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
902	Lewis Ward	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
912	Myken Augustine	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
914	Michael Burne	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
918	Maree Cleal	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
927	Mark Gillard	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
929	Mandy Hargood	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
941	Marion Marfell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
942	Marie Mitchell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
943	Martina Naplawa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
948	Melissa Smith	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
951	Michael Wallace	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
953	Mark Whittall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
955	Moira Winter	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	The regulation for plantation forestry be removed from the Plan.					
967	Marlborough Roads	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant within Chapter 2 Background.					
976	Norazidah Abu Yazid	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
982	Nathan Grey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
985	Niki McCulloch	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
988	Nathan Wallace	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
989	Natasha Watts	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	161	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise this section of the MEP to fairly reflect the history of consultation.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant, particularly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a conflict in resource use? • Are there any significant cumulative impacts arising from resource use? 					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1026	Patricia Riri	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1029	Peter Shirley	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1031	Peter Snape	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1053	Roger Bee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1055	Rory Bryant	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1057	Roger Dippie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1067	Renee Heta	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1073	Robert Murdoch	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	8	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to acknowledge the key role that aquaculture plays in the economic vitality of Marlborough and the opportunity it presents for the future.					
1079	Rachel Stanford	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1080	Rata Steele	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1107	Shaun Bentham	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1108	Shane Bray	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1115	Steve Dyer	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1119	Sharon Hill	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1127	Soon Ng	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1128	Sam Oliver	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1130	Sook Peng Lim	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1139	Sarah Williams	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1144	Scott Foster	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1168	Tony Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1178	Teresa Shaw	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1224	P Wood	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1225	Wayne Hollis	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1226	William Kingi	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1227	Warwick Neame	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1243	Zane Charman	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1247	Robert Walker	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Integrated management of the Marlborough environment	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the wording of the definition of integrated management to the following: <i>'Integrated management is an active process of managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources as a whole and recognises the following:</i> ... <i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic, and cultural and health and safety interests of the community.</i> ...'</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the following: <i>'The social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety of our community relies on the use, development and protection of our land, water, air, soil, mineral and energy resources, plants and animals and structures.'</i></p>					
679	David Walker	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Integrated management of the Marlborough environment	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure the integrated management of Marlborough accounts for all the industries and that they abide by the policies set out [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Integrated management of the Marlborough environment	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend text on pages 2-2 and 2-3 by adding a new point after (a) and amending point (d), as follows:</p> <p><i>(new) <u>Natural and physical resources exist on different spatial scales, some of which extend well beyond Marlborough. Resources should be managed at a scale appropriate to the nature of the resource.</u></i></p> <p><i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic and cultural interests of the community in Marlborough and New Zealand as a whole.</i></p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	74	Volume 1	2 Background	Integrated management of the Marlborough environment	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That scientific reports on environmental issues are also easily accessed by the public.</p> <p>That the dissemination of information about natural resources and their use to the wider public becomes policy.</p> <p>That compliance monitoring results be made publically available.</p> <p>That the Marlborough District Council website include a list of easily-searched resource consents including those associated with discharges to land of wastewater and solid waste, and salmon farm E.S. levels. Monitoring reports should be immediately posted, along with other relevant documents such as hearings documents.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	5	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board Public Health Service is identified under the 'Statutory agencies' section.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation under the heading Integrated management of the Marlborough environment (page 2-2) (inferred)</p> <p><i>f) the sustainability of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>This reinforces bold item on page 2.5.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	7	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include an objective and policy at RPS level setting out how MDC will engage with others including resource users in order to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of the District Plan.</p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Ensure that any regulation for plantation forests are an appropriate mix of regulatory and non regulatory controls.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	162	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a section setting out the relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP on page 2-8 as follows:</p> <p>For details of specific national policy statements and national environmental standards, refer to the Ministry for the Environment website (www.mfe.govt.nz). Copies of each of the operative national policy statements and national environmental standards are included in Volume 5 of the MEP for information and easy reference.</p> <p><u>Relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Relationship between the MEP and Long Term Plan</p> <p>Under the Local Government Act 2002, the Council has prepared the 2015-25 Long Term Plan (LTP). This sets out the Council's strategic directions and programmes for the next 10 years. The LTP provides a description of the significant activities that the Council plans to carry out over the next ten years, the objectives of those activities and their costs.</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the text on page 2-8 to explicitly recognise the relevance of the Fisheries Act and associated provisions in achieving the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support
Decision Requested	Support the Other strategies and plans section , particularly " <i>...the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan has contributed to policies and methods on infrastructure and energy, urban form and reverse sensitivity.</i> "					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the commentary in relation to national policy statements and national environmental standards in the 'Background' as follows:</p> <p><i>"Central government can also prepare national environmental standards: technical standards relating to the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources. Such national standards provide an opportunity to promote nationally the use of consistent standards, requirements or practices. National standards <u>prevails</u> override existing provisions in plans that require a lesser standard. A rule in a plan cannot duplicate or conflict with a provision in a <u>national standard</u>. National environmental standards for air quality, sources of human drinking water, telecommunications facilities, electricity transmission and managing contaminants in soil have effect.</i></p> <p><i><u>The National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) and the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities 2009 (NESETA) only apply to the National Grid and do not apply to electricity distribution. The NPSET recognises the national significance of the National Grid in its entirety. The NPSET facilitates the operation, maintenance and upgrade of the existing transmission network and the establishment of new transmission assets. The NESETA sets out a national framework of permissions and consent requirements for activities on National Grid lines existing at 14 January 2010. Activities include the operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing lines but exclude the development of new lines (post 14 January 2010) and substations.</u></i>"</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Issues that cross local authority boundaries	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording of the third paragraph page 2-9: <i>Under the RMA the mean high water spring boundary separates the primary management responsibilities for the land and coastal water between agencies. The Council, in conjunction with the Minister of Conservation, is responsible for the management of the coastal marine area the Minister of Conservation's responsibility to approve regional coastal plan only applies to the coastal marine area, the landward boundary of which is mean high water springs. In the coastal marine area, the Council has joint responsibility for promoting integrated management of natural and physical resources. The Minister has the responsibility for the final approval of regional coastal plans prepared by a regional council. Landward of mean high water springs the relationship is different and the Council has these responsibilities fall on Council alone responsibility for sustainably managing Marlborough's natural and physical resources.</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Monitoring the efficiency and effectiveness of the policies or methods	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new bullet point (bold) to the list at the bottom of page 2-10 (<i>inferred</i>): Compliance monitoring of resource consents granted will take place, at the consent holders cost at least once.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	10	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	This section of the Plan needs to be amended to better reflect the full range of policy settings and stances available under the RMA, from protection through to enabling. The RMA is not only about enabling. While Section 5 of the RMA enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being this enabling is undertaken in the context of sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources, safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems and avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects on the environment. For freshwater matters, an environmental bottom line approach applies, in order to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management 2014 Clarification should be made that the "Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15" referred to are in fact sections of the Resource Management Act and not sections of the PMEP.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	11	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the description of what it means to "avoid" adverse effects to reflect the meaning of "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of" clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision. Consequently, those policies using the term 'avoid' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not remediation or mitigation as suggested in the existing explanation.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	12	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the description of what it means to "protect" to reflect the meaning that the adverse effects to be avoided, and what is inappropriate should be assessed with reference to what is being 'protected' clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision. Consequently, those policies using the term 'protect' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not in the "number of ways" as the Plan suggests.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the section on page 2-13 under the heading "Enable" to read:</p> <p>Enable</p> <p>The RMA <u>was intended to install a regulatory regime based on bio-physical bottom lines set to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems that supported. Beyond those bottom lines use and development is enabled for people and communities to provide for their wellbeing. This is reflected in the wording of s5(2) RMA. The RMA has been described as an enabling piece of legislation. The reason for this can be found in the purpose of the RMA at Section 5(2), where it is stated: "</u>sustainable management" means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while ...':</p> <p><u>(a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) Safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</u></p> <p>Additionally, in drafting rules, different approaches are needed for different activities. In general, Section 9 of the RMA states that no person may use land (including the surface of water in any river or lake) in a way that contravenes a rule in a district plan or regional plan. In other words, if there is no rule in a plan, then there is no need for restriction on the activity under Section 9 or any need to obtain resource consent.</p> <p>Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15 adopt the opposite approach. These sections place restrictions on the use of the coastal marine area, on certain uses of the beds of lakes and rivers, on the taking, use, damming or diversion of water and on discharging contaminants into the environment. Essentially, the restrictions mean that there must be a national environmental standard, resource consent or rule in a plan that allows activities of the nature described in Sections 12-15 to occur. This includes permitted activity rules for an activity or effect of a minor nature, which are considered to be enabling rules. Therefore, where the word 'enable' appears within a provision in the MEP, there will be a related rules method.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend page 2-13 paragraph entitled "Avoid" to read: Avoid <u>The word avoid is to be given its plain, ordinary mean: to "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of". Use of the word 'avoid' may or may not have the same meaning as prevent.</u> In some cases the method used to implement an <u>avoidance</u> policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. <u>This will be the case when the avoidance directive is focused on a specific effect or effects as opposed to a specific activity.</u> In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	7	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend page 2-14 - paragraph entitled "Protect" to read: <u>'Protect' means to keep safe from harm, injury, or damage. Protection can be achieved in a variety of ways. How protection is achieved in each instance will depend on what is sought to be protected and what it is to be protected from. Similar to other words in this section, 'protect' can be interpreted in a number of ways. It can be interpreted in a narrow way that may effectively In some situations protection might limit or prevent future use and development of some of Marlborough's natural and physical resources. However, 'protect' essentially means to keep safe from harm and this can be achieved in a variety of ways. For example, the protection of areas of indigenous biodiversity In others it might be achieved through allowing use and development subject to specific controls such as height, location and color, or within specific parameters such as the amount of vegetation that can be removed. Sometimes a combination of approaches will be used. could be achieved through rules in a plan, legal protection of land, fencing, active pest control and/or improved land management practices, or a combination of these approaches.</u> <u>It is therefore important that decision makers or those using the MEP provisions read the explanation of the relevant provision, as this will inform how 'protection' is to occur. Unless there is a clear direction within a protection policy or its explanation or associated method that an activity/effect is to be prevented from occurring, a policy is open to be interpreted more broadly.</u> <u>In summary, the 'protection' anticipated by Sections 6(a) and (b) is not an absolute protection: rather, it is protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Identifying what is inappropriate is informed through other policies of the MEP.</u>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	4	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording under Explanation of Avoid on page 2-13 (<i>inferred</i>): Avoid <i>Use of the word 'avoid' may or may not have the same meaning as prevent means not allowing or prohibit. In some cases the method used to implement a policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	1	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this section to ensure the description of the RMA terms discussed in this section adequately relates to its use across the MEP, and the use and interpretation of 'avoid' is consistent with recent case law.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	299	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid' to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law. Ensure each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP.					
1140	Sanford Limited	3	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	Where there is not an intention to prohibit replace the use of the word avoid with, ' <u>take practical steps to xxxx</u> '.					
233	Totaranui Limited	34	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (<i>the Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - "The objectives and policies of Chapter 3 apply to all other provisions in the Plan." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to the Tangata Whenua chapter:					
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy 3.1.1 add (f) recognises that the principle of consultation requires both parties to have the time and resource to consult appropriately. 2. Sites, areas, and or habitats that are culturally significant could be included as an appendix and used as an overlay to ensure timely engagement between iwi, stakeholders and the council. A caveat should be placed stating that not all information needs to be disclosed by iwi and that this information should only be used to start dialogue with the appropriate groups. 3. MDC has to make explicit What Treaty Principles they MDC refer to in the MEP. 4. Include the provision of the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee and make provisions within the plan to meet legal obligations. 					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	16	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There should be a specific kaitiakitanga objective not blended as it is in the MEP. The Mauri objective could also be housed here.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	17	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Develop specific rules and methods to ensure that councils legal obligations are met. This could include:					
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. requiring resource applicants to consult with iwi in certain areas. 2. Kaitiakitanga as a permitted activity in all zones to allow for maori to have a relationship with their culture and traditions and their lands, waters, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. 3. Regional rules developed to ensure consultation between iwi, applicants and MDC. Develop a Tanagata Whenua programme, monitoring, support, information, guidelines. This could include Cultural health monitoring to measure the success of Policy 3.1.13 4. 5.M.2 Add the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee here. 5. 5.M.10 Make a rule to consult with iwi in and around coastal statutory areas. 6. 8M11 Partnership should highlight the main partner (iwi). Add a description of this relationship here and or refer to the Tangata Whenua chapter. 					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	18	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:					
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives. 2. Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values. 3. Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter. 4. Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream / river 5. Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri 6. Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan. 7. Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6 8. Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi 9. Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method. 10. Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri 11. Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu. 12. Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected. 13. Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas. 14. Policy 9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means. 15. Issue 9B Add cultural values 16. Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter 17. Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here 18. Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated 19. Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here. 20. Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as identified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed. 21. Policy 13.13.5 & 5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council. 22. Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision 					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1023	P Rene	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	1) kaitiakitanga, to be recognised and implemented and developed as a legal RMA framework.... by proper forum, before this plan becomes operational. 2) 'Public access' not supported by whanau who hold riparian rights in private ownership 3) Cultural heritage and heritage should not be confused as being the same 4) there is the need for a forum to highlight why all approaches are dysfunctional.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	36	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support
Decision Requested	Despite a small change (sub point 1186.37), this chapter should remain intact.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	20	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new policy before or after 3.1.5 as follows: <u>The Council will consult with Tangata whenua iwi on applications that may have an impact on their relationship with land, water, waahi tapu or waahi taonga, or otherwise on their cultural values.</u>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	26	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new policy or policies to avoid or manage from the outset, potential effects on Tangata Whenua iwi cultural values particularly with regards to waahi tapu and waahi taonga, mahinga kai, freshwater and coastal water.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issues of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3A.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3A	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3B.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3B	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3C.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3C	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3D.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	37	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The issue is expanded to include the coastal waters.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3D	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3E.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3E	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	10	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3F.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3F	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3G.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3G	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3H.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	10	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3H	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	13	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3I.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3I	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	14	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3J.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3J	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	15	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.1.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	13	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	16	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.2.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	14	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with the following amendments: Natural and Physical resources are managed in a manner that has particular regard to takes into account the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi as kaitiaki and respects and enables accommodates tikanga Maori.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 3.2 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure <u>have regard to potential impacts on</u> : (a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained; (b) mauri, is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air; (c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua; (d) for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:- i. aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna;- ii. life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness;- iii. depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations);- iv. continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea;- v. wilderness and natural character; vi. productive capacity; and vii. fitness to support human use, including cultural uses.- (d) how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	17	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.3.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	15	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 3.3 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	15	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Not constrain the papakainga opportunity to only Maori land. It should be available to iwi on any kind of land under the rules.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	18	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.4.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	16	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	19	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.5.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	17	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: 'Resource management decision making processes that involve Marlborough's Tangata whenua iwi, and give particular consideration to recognise and reflect the cultural and spiritual values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, and their relationship to lands, water, waahi tapu and waahi taonga '.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That (d) in the policy is deleted as follows (strike out): "(d) recognises that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management Act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public, and"					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the introductory text on page 3-2 to acknowledge the Fisheries Deed of Settlement and its implementation under the Maori Fisheries Act 2004. Amend Policy 3.1.1 by adding a new clause, as follows: <i>Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that: ...</i> <u><i>(f) recognises the fishing rights allocated and protected under the Maori Fisheries Settlement and avoids, remedies or mitigates any adverse effects on the exercise of those rights caused by activities managed under the RMA</i></u>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	20	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.1.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	18	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out by in a manner that:					
	(a) tak ing ing es into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o waitangi, including Kawanatanga, rangatiritanga, partnership, active protection of natural resources and spiritual recognition.					
	(b) recognis ing ing es that the way in which the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi will be applied will continue to evolve;					
	(c) promt ing ing es awareness and understanding of the Marlborough District Council's obligations under the Resource Management act 1991 regarding the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi among decisions makers, staff and the community;					
	(d) recognis ing ing es that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public.					
	(e) recognis ing ing es the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where it is not inconsistent wit the Resource Management Act 1991.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:					
	1. The deletion of clause (e) from Policy 3.1.1.					
	<i>"Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that:</i>					
	...					
	<i>(e) recognises the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where this is not inconsistent with the Resource Management Act 1991."</i>					
	2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is combined with 3.1.4. Our relief sought is detailed in our submission on Policy 3.1.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	21	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.2.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.2 An applicant is encouraged to consult with iwi in the development of resource consent or plan change where the scale and significance of the activity will impact on cultural values.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation to ensure that the policy does not mandate consultation, but that it encourages it where appropriate, and to recognise that consultation with iwi will provide specialist information with the Council remaining as the decision maker. This could be achieved by making the following changes to policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 3.1.2 – An applicant will be expected <u>is encouraged</u> to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) that may affect iwi so that cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></p> <p>Only Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi are able to provide advice on how a proposal may impact on cultural heritage, in particular can identify their relationship and that of their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. This means that iwi are in the best position to determine whether a proposal will affect areas of significance for iwi.</p> <p>It is therefore important beneficial that for consultation with iwi to occurs early in the planning of a development (either by resource consent or plan change) to ensure enable potential impacts to be are appropriately identified and addressed.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Include in the Plan, either as a new policy or a method, a process whereby it can be determined with certainty what is likely to be of significance to iwi, that identifies who should be consulted and which establishes some considerations of engagement expectations relating to such matters as contact and response times, information sharing protocols and cost recovery by Iwi.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Ravensdown seeks for Council to amend the policy to only apply to a plan change to be consistent with the RMA.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 3.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 3.1.2 An applicant will be expected to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	19	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 3.1.2 as follows: <i>"An applicant will be expected encouraged to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	22	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.3.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.3 to include a link between requirements to maintain and improve mauri, and iwi management plans/policy to ensure these requirements only apply when there is a level of guidance and therefore certainty for consent applicants. For example:					
	<p>Policy 3.1.3 - Where an application for resource consent of plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</p> <p>(a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;</p> <p>(b) mauri as described in the relevant iwi management plan is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;.....</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	10	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.3:					
	<p>Policy 3.1.3 – Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) how that traditional and cultural Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga will be recognised and provided for.</p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	21	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>'Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall consult with, and notify resource consent applications to iwi, and ensure that:</p> <p>(a) the ability for tangatewa whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained</p> <p>(b) mauri is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</p> <p>(c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua,</p> <p>(d) for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna; ii. life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness; iii. depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations); iv. continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea; v. wilderness and natural character; vi. productivity capacity; and vii. Fitness to support human use, including cultural uses. <p>(e) how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga kai, waahi taapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 3.1.3 as follows: <i>"...decision makers shall ensure:</i> <i>(a) particular regard is had to the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;</i> <i>(b) mauri is maintained or improved where degraded adverse effects of activities on the integrity of mauri are avoided, remedied or mitigated, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</i> <i>..."</i> Provide explanatory material in the PMEP that provides greater direction as to the elements that contribute to determining whether the integrity of the mauri of fresh and coastal waters, land and air is being maintained. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	14	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Change the wording in Policy 3.1.4. to Supporting iwi to develop iwi management plans. This will allow iwi to build capability and capacity in this space. It will ultimately help the council meets its requirements and so it should have resource dedicated for it.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read (strike out and bold):</p> <p>Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> specific requirements to address the management of coastal waters, land and air resources, including mauri, and in relation to Sections 6(e), 7(a) and 8 of the Resource Management Act 1991; protocols to give effect to their role of kaitiaki of water and land resources; sites of cultural significance; descriptions of how the document is to be used, monitored and reviewed; and the outcomes expected from implementing the management plan; and background information for large scale resource consent and plan change applicants, so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account in the preparation of an application. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	23	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.4.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.4.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.4: Policy 3.1.4 – Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain ... (c) sites of, places, areas and landscapes of historic or cultural significance;					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.4: <i>Policy 3.1.4 Encourage Require iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	22	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Ensure iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes with regards to the preparation of a regional policy statement, or regional and district plans.</i> "					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	24	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 31.5.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.5: <i>Policy 3.1.5 Ensure Require iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes.</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	23	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Ensure iwi management plans are given particular regard to taken into account in resource management decision making processes.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 3.1.5 as notified in the PMEPP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEPP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	25	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Amend policy 3.1.6 to add a cross reference to the objectives and policies in Chapter 11 Natural hazards.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	24	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That further explanation is provided before the policy is included in the Plan. That similar policies are developed which apply to the relationships between other groups, such as Marlborough's farming community, and the Council. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	26	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.7.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	25	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change the second sentence of this paragraph to: "The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area. Iwi must be consulted to identify whether they are an affected party in relation to..."					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "authority" after the first "iwi".					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	13	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an appendix of all Statutory acknowledgements relating to the MDC area. This will satisfy the last sentence in the 3.M.2 paragraph.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend method as follows: ...The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area when deciding whether the relevant trustees are affected persons in relation to an activity within, adjacent to, or directly affecting the statutory area and for which an application for resource consent is made.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 3M.2 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>3.M.2 Recognising Requiring statutory acknowledgements</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 3.M.3: Iwi management plans will be used and taken into account to: ... • <u>assist the identification of heritage resources for inclusion in the Marlborough Environment Plan and Council maps.</u>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Method 3.M.4 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Method 3.M.6 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
21	Keith M J Adams	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly <u>against</u> the current wording of Section 5, and the Council should amend the MEP Section 4 (and related sections) to recognise the necessity of a moratorium or the complete cessation of issuing new Water Resource Consents to areas not traditionally water and unable to provide at least a reasonable portion of their own water supplies or are in the business of redeveloping land otherwise unable to support Industrial Crop-Production if not naturally watered; and "Claw-back" should first be aimed at those institutional properties. Prior Use (to include Natural Watering and Traditional Use) should be explicit in the wording, not just implied to protect businesses and family farms. Lastly, are cognition that much of the responsibility regarding Water Resource fragility lays at the feet of District Council (and their predecessors) for past interventions and more recent issuances of water rights to areas that should have been zoned ineligible.					
36	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Section 4. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land.</p> <p>Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable.</p> <p>The INCLUSION of text from MEP Chapter 32 - Policy 4.1.1 into the <u>main body</u> of Section 4 of Volume 1 (as there is no other similar acknowledgement elsewhere)</p> <p>Benefits: <i>"The policy acknowledges private property rights and the inherent freedom that comes with this. Recognition of these private property rights was identified during consultation through the reviews process as being of considerable importance for those involved in primary production activities on land. There is a benefit for land owners/users in having this expressly recognised through policy."</i></p> <p>Change the autocrat liberating phrase "wider public interest" to a more constrictive "greater public good" (in Policy4.1.1), as it should compel a greater contemplation on the displacement of rights and freedoms of individuals.</p> <p>Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
263	Mark Batchelor	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of natural and physical resources provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences;</p> <p>Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a tradeoff; and</p> <p>Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of:</p> <p>Substitution;</p> <p>Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and</p> <p>Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it.</p> <p>NOTE - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)). A policy should be added to this effect.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submission point 2.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>a) Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences;</p> <p>b) Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a trade-off; and</p> <p>c) Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of:</p> <p>(i) Substitution</p> <p>(ii) Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and</p> <p>(iii) Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that, in order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)).</p> <p>A policy should be added to this effect.</p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain content of Chapter 4 Introduction.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new provision (<i>type of provision not identified in Submission</i>) as follows - "Recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay". <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new provision (<i>type of provision not identified in Submission</i>) as follows - "Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new provision (<i>type of provision not identified in Submission</i>) as follows - "Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources". <i>(Inferred)</i>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the chapter so that it provides a clear purpose within the plan and avoid duplication or unnecessary separation of similar matters between chapters. Or delete the chapter ensuring that the provisions are captured appropriately within other chapters.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made (page 4-1)</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p><i>Section 5 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) recognises that sustainable management includes the use and development of natural and physical resources to provide for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the community.</i></p> <p>(1) The purpose of this Act is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.</p> <p>(2) In this Act, sustainable management means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety while-</p> <p>(a) sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</p> <p>(b) safeguarding the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</p> <p>(c) avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</p> <p><i>This chapter contains provisions that acknowledge the importance of using and developing our land, water, coastal and air resources and strategic infrastructure in this respect. The objectives and policies provide high level direction on resource use in our environment. This direction is developed further within the resource or activity-based chapters elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Specific provisions within those chapters seek to enable appropriate use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include issues, objectives and policies in Chapter 4 that address a wider range of resource uses than the use for primary industry and tourism in order to achieve 4.AER.1.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new objective for resource uses that are not associated with Marlborough's primary production sector and tourism sector. In terms of aggregate extraction and use, this objective needs to recognise the importance of the resource to Marlborough, identify that there are factors that play a significant role in determining the cost of the resource and the products, processes and industries that rely on it, and that this resource use comes with responsibilities. For example:</p> <p><u>Objective 4.X - Marlborough's natural resources are recognised as an enabler of the economic and social wellbeing of the region.</u></p>					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure uses for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
936	Michael Jessep	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing users of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new method of implementation:</p> <p>4M6 Education</p> <p>Working with tangata whenua iwi and schools, or other education providers, education programmes will be encouraged about environmental issues and sustainable use, including traditional Maori perspectives.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
149	PF Olsen Ltd	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Maintain but note importance of diversity and capacity for adaptation. Recognising that business as usual with respect to water may not continue into the future and likewise Tourism (international) can involve high carbon based energy demands and may not be the same in the future as it is today. Flexibility is key					
314	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the explanatory text accompanying the issue is amended so that it better reflects the value of the primary sector to Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing, included but not limited to inclusion of the following paragraphs inserted after paragraph 2 (bold):</p> <p>" Primary production makes a considerable contribution to Marlborough's economy. In 2015, agriculture, fishery and forestry directly contributed \$254 million to the region's economy; 11.7% of the total GDP, and second only to manufacturing, through which many of the region's primary produce is processed. Agriculture, fishery and forestry also made the largest contribution to overall growth in the region in the year to 2015, growing by over 6.9% over the year, and employing 19.4% of the Marlborough workforce with 4,897 people employed.</p> <p>Sheep and beef production is extensive in Marlborough, with 397,030ha of land considered pastoral land, and 545,580 sheep and 59,970 beef animals run in Marlborough. Marlborough is a small dairying region, with only 56 herds and a total of 16,661 cows across 5,700 effective hectares. There are over 8000 deer in the Marlborough region.</p> <p>In addition to pastoral agriculture, the Marlborough Sounds provide 62% of New Zealand's aquaculture production by tonnes, including 62% of greenshell mussels and 61% of salmon production, and contributes almost 6%, or \$162 million, to Marlborough's regional GDP. The Marlborough region is also the largest grape producing region in New Zealand, with 23,203ha in vineyards, and exports representing 74.6% of national production in 2015. Forestry covers 57,500 ha."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new method is included in the Plan which reads as follows (bold): " Council will resource priority catchments enhancement projects that develop partnerships between industry, resource users in the catchments. " <i>(Inferred to relate to Issue 4A)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A.					
455	John Hickman	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A					
456	George Mehlhopt	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the issue to reflect the importance of the "sustainable management" of "natural and physical resources".					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council to undertake the following and amend Issue 4A a) Provide a full assessment of the social and economic benefits to Marlborough, including the added value from primary production. b) Provide an explanation of how the economic indicators are derived c) Provide reference to the economic monitoring reports that are used.					
676	Dairy NZ	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adding ' and development ' would better align with s5 and s9 RMA as well as the enabling intent of the RMA. The Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (RPS) which states: 'It is accepted that the Regional Policy Statement must ensure that the environmental limits are met while otherwise managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources (p .53 , para. 3)'. Emphasis added by submitter. <i>Issue 4A – Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing relies on the use and development of its natural resources.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Page 4-2, Paragraph 5</p> <p>That the claim that the value of the conservation estate, e.g., the Queen Charlotte Track as having significant economic value to Marlborough (with \$10 million given as the contribution to Marlborough's economy) is properly validated through a reliable economic reference, given that over the life of the MEP this figure could go either up or down markedly.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 4A.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. That reference to ecosystem services provided by natural ecosystems associated with freshwater, air, coastal waters and soils in included in the issue's explanatory paragraph.</p> <p>2. That the following amendments (bold) to the wording in the first paragraph, second line on page 4-2:</p> <p><i>Generally, Marlborough has adequate natural resources of sufficient quality to meet the needs of the primary sector. However, the reliance on natural resources also creates an inherent vulnerability to environmental change. The loss of access to natural resources or the environment or a reduction in the quality of the resources or the environment would have a significant impact on the primary sector. The implications would be felt far beyond the farm gate or vineyard, as Marlborough's townships act as service centres to rural land uses and the marine farming industry. Many businesses in Blenheim and other townships are sustained, either directly or indirectly, by the primary sector.</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include within Issue 4A a discussion of other resource uses that Marlborough relies on for its social ad economic wellbeing. For example:</p> <p>There are a number of other resource uses that plan an important role in providing for Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing. These include the use of the aggregate resource from either land or river based sources. Aggregates are a vital if under recognised component of everyday life. Without them there would be none of the infrastructure on which modern society relies. Challenges for the efficient and cost effective extraction and delivery of aggregates includes: transportation (distance between source and market); a lack recognition of the importance of the resource including in planning documents and the establishment of incompatible land use activities on or adjacent to aggregate resources.</p>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries (<i>inferred</i>).					
935	Melva Joy Robb	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries (<i>inferred</i>).					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Issue 4A with the following amendments.</p> <p>The ecosystem services benefits of commercial forestry should also be recognised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat • Recreational access • Reducing flooding • Carbon sequestration • Other non-wood values 					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	163	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this paragraph as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The value of the tall vegetation (eg areas of the conservation estate, which makes up 45% of Marlborough's land area, and commercial forests – 8%) should not be underestimated. For example, the use of the Queen Charlotte Track, part of which occurs in the conservation estate, adds approximately \$10 million to the Marlborough economy annually. There are other ecosystem services provided by the commercial forestry and the conservation estate that, although not quantified in a monetary sense, contribute to social wellbeing, such as reducing flood risk, sustaining whitebait catches and other fish and game, providing habitat, recreational opportunities and carbon sequestration."</i></p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief: Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought in the following submission points for Objective 4.1. The objectives and policies should be amended to provide new objectives and policies recognising that infrastructure required to support primary industry often has a functional need to be in certain locations such as at the interface with the Coastal Marine Area and that such infrastructure should be enabled notwithstanding that localised environmental effects may be significant (<i>inferred</i>).					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A.					
14	Nicholas Webby	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Keep 4.1 in the objectives.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Adjust to reflect further examples as noted.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The commentary of Objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels. Amend Objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and amend commentary as suggested.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by: Offsetting effects; Compensating for effects; or Substituting one use for another.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be express reference to related servicing and processing industries in objective 4.1. The tourism and primary production sectors cannot operate in the absence of related services. The commentary of objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels. Logistical considerations are important for all types of aquaculture. a) Amend objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and b) Amend commentary as suggested.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by:					
	a) Offsetting effects;					
	b) Compensating for effects; or					
	c) Substituting one use for another.					
431	Wine Marlborough	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
456	George Mehlhopt	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred).					
472	ME Taylor Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
473	Delegat Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the last sentence of the explanation:</p> <p><i>The Council can play a role in this by striving to maintain and enhance the quality of our environment particularly in the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the objective as currently worded and replace it with something that is provides clear guidance on how success of the primary production and tourism sectors will be measured.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 (<i>inferred</i>).					
648	D C Hemphill	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Objective but amend the explanation for the Objective to to reflect the Council's intention to provide certainty and equity between land uses, allowing rational decisions to achieve optimum environmental outcomes.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanatory text of Objective 4.1 by adding, after the words '<i>Freshwater is also used for the processing of crops</i>':</p> <p><i><u>Our fishing and aquaculture sectors rely on security of access to the coastal marine area, high coastal water quality, and healthy marine ecosystems.</u></i></p> <p>Add a new Policy and explanatory text following Policy 4.1.1, as follows:</p> <p>Policy: <i><u>Recognise the rights and interests of users of fisheries resources by integrating the Council's responsibilities under the RMA with the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</u></i></p> <p>Explanation: <i><u>Fisheries resources are managed by the Ministry for Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996. The purpose of the Act is to provide for the utilisation of fisheries resources while ensuring sustainability. The Council has an important role, through the provisions of the MEP, to achieve the integrated management of natural and physical resources of the region. This includes fisheries resources. While the Council is not responsible for managing fisheries resources directly, it can, through the provisions of the MEP, ensure that integrated management is achieved and that adverse effects on fisheries resources and the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Policies throughout the MEP help achieve these outcomes.</u></i></p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	57	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) That Objective 4.1 support the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries are retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop;</p> <p>(b) Add new policies under Objective 4.1 seeking to enable the ongoing use and development of existing forestry as well as the expansion, optimisation and intensification of existing forestry; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1144	Scott Foster	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>After Policy 4.1.3 insert the following policy and reason.</p> <p>Policy 4.3.1 - Integrate management of the natural and physical resources within the Marlborough District.</p> <p>There are very strong connections between land and marine environments in the Marlborough district. This means that activities occurring in one locality can easily affect the surrounding environment and other activities occurring in that environment. as a unitary authority, the Council is well placed to achieve integrated management of natural and physical resources through its policy making and consent functions. The polices in the MEP ensure that all of the effects of the use, development and protection of resources are identified and managed in a consistent manner.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Strengthen the text in relation to the commitment to intervene only when there are very well established science, economic and ecological grounds.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision requested is to include in the methods that all applicants should consult iwi if the area is within statutory acknowledgement areas. An accidental discovery protocol and iwi monitor maybe requested due to the cultural significance of the area.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold): <i>"Recognise the rights of resource users by only not intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment, unless specifically required under the Plan."</i> And, that the explanatory text is amended to better align with the intent of the Act, and the importance of protecting both existing use and private property rights unless the Act requires such interference.					
431	Wine Marlborough	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
456	George Mehlhopt	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	44	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
472	ME Taylor Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
473	Delegat Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP recognises that exotic commercial forest activity in the Marlborough Sounds, particularly Port Underwood, can result in significant negative impacts on landscapes and community amenity values extending well beyond the land boundaries of the activity.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
648	D C Hemphill	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
676	Dairy NZ	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	58	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.1: <i>Policy 4.1.1 Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect Use of private land will reflect sustainable management including protection of the environment and wider public interests in the this environment.</i>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.1 Recognise and provide for the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land where there is a clear resource management issue that requires intervention.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Policy 4.1.1: <i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and affect other people and environments. In these and other instances</i> At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land user is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity of regulation in line with the guiding principle of scale. Insert commentary about the minimal use of water by plantation forests.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	164	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users where the environmental effects of the activity are the same or less than other permitted activities (eg excavation and stock access to riverbeds / setbacks).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 4.1.1, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1, and ensure that subsidiary objectives, policies and rules in the plan 'give effect to' this.					
1042	Port Underwood Association	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 4th Paragraph of the policy as follows (strike through and bold): <i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and adversely affect other people and environments. In such instances</i> <i>At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land owner is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it.</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.1.1: <i>Policy 4.1.1 – Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.</i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to while protecting ing the environment, iwi rights and interests , and wider public interests in the environment.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 4.1.1.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 4.1.1 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
14	Nicholas Webby	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Leave Policy 4.1.2 as is.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read "Enable sustainable use and development of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read as follows (bold) - "Enable sustainable use and development of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."					
431	Wine Marlborough	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
456	George Mehlhopt	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	45	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to better reflect the intent of the explanation or include an additional separate policy as currently there is disconnect between the policy and its explanation. Fish and Game also seek that the wording of the policy be updated to refer to both natural and physical resources					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
648	D C Hemphill	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2 with the following sentence (bold) to 2nd to last sentence of the first paragraph of the explanation: <i>Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. Where the adverse effects are considered minor and there is no potential for environmental effects, resource consents will not be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable sustainable use of natural resources in the Marlborough environment by including permitted activity rules where adverse effects are no more than minor, taking into account cumulative effects.</i></p> <p><i>Many uses of coastal space marine area, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Sections 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i></p> <p><i>The use of allocation frameworks for coastal space marine area and freshwater will also assist to enable the sustainable use and development of these natural resources. These frameworks will provide certainty about the quantities and/or locations of resources available and the circumstances in which they may be used and developed.</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	The resultant regulation should be in alignment with the policy.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 4.1.2, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Support the policy. Amend the policy explanation as follows: <i>Many uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited <u>restricted</u> unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent... Where the adverse effects are considered <u>potentially</u> more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required ...</i></p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend explanation of Policy 4.1.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources <u>without the need for resource consent</u> where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment".</i></p>					
1042	Port Underwood Association	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 1st Paragraph of Policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>May uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Section 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, the resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use. To ensure natural resource sustainability long-term resource consents (over 20 years) should not be granted in public spaces.</i></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable sustainable the use of natural resources in the Marlborough Region while managing any adverse environmental effects.</i></p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 4.1.2 as notified.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 4.1.25 as follows: <i>"Enable sustainable use of natural <u>and physical</u> resources in the Marlborough environment."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
233	Totaranui Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources and physical resources so as to protect the continuing viability of production activities in the coastal marine area."</i>					
317	David Arthur Barker	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold): <i>"Maintain and, where there is community desire and costs and benefits are balanced, enhance the quality of natural resources."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy					
455	John Hickman	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3					
456	George Mehlhopt	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that both natural and physical resources are maintained or enhanced.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
648	D C Hemphill	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3: <i>Policy 4.1.3 - Maintain andor enhance the quality of natural resources.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3: <i>Policy 4.1.3 Maintain and or enhance, where degraded, the quality of natural resources.</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to indicate how this policy will be implemented. Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources, recognising and reflecting: a) that a precautionary approach may be required to maintain the quality of natural resources. b) the intergenerational needs for the quality of natural resources.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.3 as follows: Maintain and <u>or</u> enhance, <u>where degraded by human activity</u> , the quality of natural resources.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 4.1.3 as notified.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
455	John Hickman	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.1					
456	George Mehlhopt	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seek clarification (<i>inferred</i>) as to what was Rural in the Sounds appears to have now allocated to Coastal Living and whether this change reduces primary production allowance for that land and associated activities.					
648	D C Hemphill	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
455	John Hickman	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.2					
456	George Mehlhopt	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
455	John Hickman	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.3					
456	George Mehlhopt	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.3					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
455	John Hickman	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4					
456	George Mehlhopt	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the 4.M.4 Guideline should be amended to read:</p> <p><i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will rely on <u>support</u> resource user groups to implement the guidelines.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.M.4:</p> <p><i>4.M.4 Guidelines</i></p> <p><i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will rely on resource support industry good organisations and user groups to implement the guidelines.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
455	John Hickman	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.5					
456	George Mehlhopt	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.5					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Issue 4B recognises healthcare services and facilities as regionally significant infrastructure. That a definition is included in the MEP for 'healthcare services and facilities' which provides for physical and mental health facilities and services including, but not necessarily limited to, the Wairau Hospital, emergency services, general practices, and community support services.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B and the supporting policies, but submit that the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue and discussion.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows: <i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne,) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, the telecommunications network and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic all infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i>					
477	John Malcolm McKee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
500	Ben Clarke	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
510	Anne Allison	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
535	Adele Riddle	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
538	Andre Smith	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
539	Allen Steele	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
540	Arthur Stewart	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
543	Alistair Willis	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
549	Bryan Albrey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
551	Ben Armstrong	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
555	Blair Glover	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
559	Belinda Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
560	Brian Lee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
562	Brendon Lucas	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
564	Belinda Materoa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
565	Brent Mathews	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
582	Cory Burnett	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
583	Carmay Cheong	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
584	Corey Dixon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
588	Christopher Hall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
590	Cameron Harvey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
600	Connor Rangi	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
603	Chee Song Chin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
606	Cindy Steele	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
611	Carla Velez	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
618	Brad Lewis	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
620	Brook Lines	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
621	Becki Findlayson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
625	Cheryl Harris	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
627	Carl Scholefield	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
628	Clinton Nott	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
641	Dan McCall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred)					
649	Dave Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
654	David Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
656	David King	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
658	Dan Lawrence	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
659	Donald M Curie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
660	Daniel Manson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
661	Denis Marfell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
663	Dion McCauley	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
667	Daniel Paget	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
677	Daniel Walker	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
678	David Horton	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
680	Delwynne Horton	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
694	Elin Shin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
703	Faye Fosbender	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
704	Febe Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
705	Fay Mathews	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
708	Filisita Tuese	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
709	Ian Dunlop	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 4B.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B but ensure that policies addressing this issue cover more than just the existence of infrastructure but recognises and provides for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.2 but ensure that policies addressing this issue recognise and provide for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.					
721	Grant Boyd	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
729	Graham Hayter	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
731	Grace Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
734	Gail Learmonth	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
741	Glen Slipper	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
753	Hope Lagden	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
758	Holly Stanford	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
759	Hudson Steele	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
761	Hilda Timoti	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
781	Johann Adam	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
784	Jackie Biggs	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
787	Jo Braven	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
787	Jo Braven	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
793	John Cleal	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
796	John Craddock	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
799	June Ethel Epere	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
803	John Healy	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
804	Jordan Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
805	James Higgin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
812	Jungmin Ko	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
817	Jemma McCowan	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
826	Jade Riri	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
829	Jason Smith	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
831	Jim Taylor	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
834	Jarod Udy	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
836	James William Epere	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
851	Kevin Hawkins	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
856	Karen Mant	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
857	Kowhai Millan	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
863	Karen Soloman	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
877	Lynette Ashby	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
881	Laisa Gibbins	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
885	Les McClung	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
886	Linda McGee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
888	Pang Lily	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
889	Lavina Rickard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
892	Lynda Simpson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
901	Lo Wai Wing	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
902	Lewis Ward	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
912	Myken Augustine	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
914	Michael Burne	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
918	Maree Cleal	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
927	Mark Gillard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
929	Mandy Hargood	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
941	Marion Marfell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
942	Marie Mitchell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
943	Martina Naplawa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
948	Melissa Smith	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
951	Michael Wallace	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
953	Mark Whittall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
955	Moira Winter	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
967	Marlborough Roads	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B issue statement. Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows: <i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i>					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
982	Nathan Grey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
985	Niki McCulloch	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
988	Nathan Wallace	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
989	Natasha Watts	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to Issue 4B to include the following additional paragraph: <i>“Emergency services are essential to the on-going health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community. It is therefore important that emergency services are able to operate, upgrade and develop efficiently and effectively in a manner that responds to community needs without unnecessary constraints.”</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support Issue 4B , particularly its recognition of the State Highways as nationally significant infrastructure, and the recognition of their susceptibility to reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects. Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows: <i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i>					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1026	Patricia Riri	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1029	Peter Shirley	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1031	Peter Snape	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1053	Roger Bee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1055	Rory Bryant	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1057	Roger Dippie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1067	Renee Heta	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Issue as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1080	Rata Steele	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1108	Shane Bray	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1115	Steve Dyer	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1119	Sharon Hill	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1127	Soon Ng	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1128	Sam Oliver	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1131	Susana Pereyra	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1139	Sarah Williams	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1144	Scott Foster	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne,) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, the telecommunications network and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic all infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i></p>					
1168	Tony Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>"The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community are at risk if community infrastructure, <u>and particularly nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>, is not able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely"</i></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph of the explanatory text to Issue 4B as follows: <i>"We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. <u>Central government has recognised the importance of electricity transmission through the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET), which came into effect in 2008. The NPSET establishes that the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the National Grid is a matter of national significance. The Objective of the NPSET is to recognise the national significance of the National Grid by facilitating its operation, maintenance, upgrade and development while managing adverse effects of, and on, it. Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic infrastructure is able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, nNew infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district."</u></i>					
1211	Vaughan Hall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1224	P Wood	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1226	William Kingi	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1227	Warwick Neame	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1243	Zane Charman	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1247	Robert Walker	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
66	Karen and John Wills	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise the effect that Rules 5.2.1.18 and 24.3.1.5 may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adjust policy to recognise that major changes to existing infrastructure that may impose significant costs or opportunity costs to third parties should consider matters of compensation					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the wording of the explanation to Objective 4.2 is amended to the following: <i>'... This includes the ability to operate, maintain, upgrade, and replace and expand existing infrastructure.'</i></p> <p>That an objective is included which recognises the importance of the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p> <p>That policies are provided which give effect to Objective 4.2 in relation to existing regionally significant infrastructure (including the above recommended amendments) and the above recommended objective relating to the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
477	John Malcolm McKee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - "Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
500	Ben Clarke	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure</i> and regionally significant sectors. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
510	Anne Allison	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
535	Adele Riddle	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
538	Andre Smith	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
539	Allen Steele	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
540	Arthur Stewart	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
543	Alistair Willis	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
549	Bryan Albrey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
551	Ben Armstrong	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
555	Blair Glover	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
559	Belinda Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
560	Brian Lee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
562	Brendon Lucas	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
564	Belinda Materoa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
565	Brent Mathews	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
582	Cory Burnett	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
583	Carmay Cheong	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
584	Corey Dixon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
588	Christopher Hall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
590	Cameron Harvey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
600	Connor Rangi	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
603	Chee Song Chin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
606	Cindy Steele	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
611	Carla Velez	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
618	Brad Lewis	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
620	Brook Lines	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
621	Becki Findlayson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
625	Cheryl Harris	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
627	Carl Scholefield	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
628	Clinton Nott	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
641	Dan McCall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors.</i> " (Inferred)					
649	Dave Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
654	David Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
656	David King	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
658	Dan Lawrence	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
659	Donald M Curie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
660	Daniel Manson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
661	Denis Marfell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
663	Dion McCauley	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
665	Dorothy McManaway	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
667	Daniel Paget	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
677	Daniel Walker	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
678	David Horton	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
680	Delwynne Horton	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
694	Elin Shin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
694	Elin Shin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
703	Faye Fosbender	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
704	Febe Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
705	Fay Mathews	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
708	Filisita Tuese	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
709	Ian Dunlop	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy recognising the importance of access to the materials and processes required to construct use and maintain community infrastructure. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 4.2X - Recognise that the use of natural and physical resources is essential for the construction, operation and maintenance of community infrastructure.</u></p>					
721	Grant Boyd	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
729	Graham Hayter	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
731	Grace Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
734	Gail Learmonth	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
741	Glen Slipper	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
753	Hope Lagden	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
758	Holly Stanford	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
759	Hudson Steele	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
761	Hilda Timoti	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
781	Johann Adam	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
784	Jackie Biggs	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
787	Jo Braven	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
793	John Cleal	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
796	John Craddock	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
799	June Ethel Epere	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
803	John Healy	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
804	Jordan Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
805	James Higgin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
812	Jungmin Ko	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
817	Jemma McCowan	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
826	Jade Riri	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
829	Jason Smith	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
831	Jim Taylor	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
834	Jarod Udy	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
836	James William Epere	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
851	Kevin Hawkins	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
856	Karen Mant	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
857	Kowhai Millan	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
863	Karen Soloman	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
877	Lynette Ashby	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
885	Les McClung	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
886	Linda McGee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
888	Pang Lily	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
889	Lavina Rickard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
892	Lynda Simpson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
902	Lewis Ward	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
912	Myken Augustine	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
912	Myken Augustine	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
914	Michael Burne	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
918	Maree Cleal	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
927	Mark Gillard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
929	Mandy Hargood	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
941	Marion Marfell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
942	Marie Mitchell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
943	Martina Naplawa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
948	Melissa Smith	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
951	Michael Wallace	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
953	Mark Whittall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
955	Moira Winter	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
967	Marlborough Roads	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective, safe <u>and resilient</u> operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i> <i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population <u>and economy</u>. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i>					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
982	Nathan Grey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
985	Niki McCulloch	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
988	Nathan Wallace	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
989	Natasha Watts	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.2 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective and Policies that respond to Issue 4B to as follows: “[RPS, R, C, D] Objective 4.x Efficient and effective development and operation of emergency services <u>“Support and protect the health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community by enabling efficient and effective emergency services’.</u> Policy 4.x.1 Recognise the essential nature of emergency services by: (a) providing for the development and on-going use of emergency service facilities; (b) requiring adequate property access for emergency vehicles and appropriate access to, and supplies of, firefighting water; and (c) enabling emergency services training activities. <u>The policy recognises that emergency service facilities; emergency services training; and the need for adequate access and water supply for emergency response purposes is essential for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. The policy provides for emergency services, and associated activities, throughout Marlborough.”</u>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective, safe and resilient operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i> <i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population and economy. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to operate, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i>					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1026	Patricia Riri	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1029	Peter Shirley	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1031	Peter Snape	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.2.					
1053	Roger Bee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1055	Rory Bryant	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1057	Roger Dippie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1067	Renee Heta	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) <i>"Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1080	Rata Steele	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1108	Shane Bray	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1112	Sarah Cumming	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1115	Steve Dyer	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1119	Sharon Hill	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1127	Soon Ng	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1128	Sam Oliver	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1139	Sarah Williams	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1144	Scott Foster	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure</i>					
1168	Tony Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1181	Tiare Tautari	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i> Objective 4.2 – Efficient, effective and safe operation, upgrade and development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure <i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate <u>and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.”</i></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following Policy:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i> Policy 4.2.x - Enable the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of essential network utilities, including the National Grid, throughout Marlborough. <i>A number of National Grid assets are located in and traverse Marlborough, including substations, transmission lines, submarine cables and telecommunications equipment. These assets are of critical importance to the health, safety, wellbeing and prosperity of people and communities across New Zealand. This policy recognises the need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid as a matter of national importance.”</i></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following Policy:</p> <p><i><u>"[C. R. D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Policy 4.2.x – When considering the environmental effects of National Grid activities, to have regard to:</u></i> <i><u>(a) the national, regional and local benefits of sustainable, secure and efficient electricity transmission;</u></i> <i><u>(b) the locational, technical and operational requirements that constrain measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects;</u></i> <i><u>(c) the extent to which any adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated by route, site and method selection;</u></i> <i><u>(d) the extent to which existing adverse effects have been reduced as part of any substantial upgrade;</u></i> <i><u>(e) the effects on urban amenity (including town centres) areas of high recreational or amenity value and existing sensitive activities.</u></i> <i><u>(f) adverse effects on outstanding natural landscapes, areas of high natural character, town centres, areas of high recreation value and existing sensitive activities, including the extent to which adverse effects can be avoided.</u></i> <i><u>When considering an application for resource consent(s) or notice of requirement for National Grid activities, the Council will have regard to the positive and adverse effects on the environment associated with the activity. This policy provides guidance on the matters that are relevant to this consideration."</u></i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Objective 4.2 as notified.</p>					
1211	Vaughan Hall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1224	P Wood	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1225	Wayne Hollis	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1226	William Kingi	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1227	Warwick Neame	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1243	Zane Charman	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1247	Robert Walker	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.1 so that 'healthcare services and facilities' is explicitly listed and provided for, as defined in point 5. above.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in the Policy (along with other proposed changes).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Relief sought: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the policy is amended so that the list of regionally significant infrastructure is included in an appendix. • That all items of regionally significant infrastructure including irrigation and on farm-drainage schemes are included in the appendix. • In addition, that 4.2.1(c) in the list of infrastructure is amended to read as follows (strike out): "<i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i>" 					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following <u>regionally significant</u> infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant: (i) Port of Picton, Shakespeare Bay and Havelock Harbour; (m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows: Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant: (h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radio communication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;					
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.1					
477	John Malcolm McKee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –</p> <p><i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network;</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; and</i></p> <p><i>(p) areas of significant aquaculture and wine development (areas not specified in Submission).</i>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
510	Anne Allison	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
535	Adele Riddle	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
538	Andre Smith	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
539	Allen Steele	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
540	Arthur Stewart	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
543	Alistair Willis	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
549	Bryan Albrey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
551	Ben Armstrong	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
555	Blair Glover	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
559	Belinda Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
560	Brian Lee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
562	Brendon Lucas	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
564	Belinda Materoa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
565	Brent Mathews	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
582	Cory Burnett	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
583	Carmay Cheong	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
584	Corey Dixon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
588	Christopher Hall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
590	Cameron Harvey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
600	Connor Rangi	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
603	Chee Song Chin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
606	Cindy Steele	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
607	Cadeena Tepu	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
618	Brad Lewis	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
620	Brook Lines	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
621	Becki Findlayson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
625	Cheryl Harris	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
627	Carl Scholefield	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
628	Clinton Nott	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
641	Dan McCall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –</p> <p><i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network;</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; and</i></p> <p><i>(p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission).</i>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
649	Dave Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
654	David Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
656	David King	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
658	Dan Lawrence	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
659	Donald M Curie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
660	Daniel Manson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
661	Denis Marfell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
663	Dion McCauley	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
667	Daniel Paget	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
677	Daniel Walker	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
678	David Horton	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
680	Delwynne Horton	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
703	Faye Fosbender	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
704	Febe Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
705	Fay Mathews	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
708	Filisita Tuese	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
709	Ian Dunlop	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
721	Grant Boyd	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
729	Graham Hayter	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
731	Grace Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
734	Gail Learmonth	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
741	Glen Slipper	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
745	Graeme Tregidga	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
753	Hope Lagden	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
758	Holly Stanford	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
759	Hudson Steele	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
761	Hilda Timoti	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.1 by adding: p) irrigation networks and reticulation infrastructure					
773	Iosua Kaisara	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.2.1(c): <i>Policy 4.2.1 - Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i>					
781	Johann Adam	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
784	Jackie Biggs	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
793	John Cleal	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
796	John Craddock	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
799	June Ethel Epere	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
803	John Healy	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
804	Jordan Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
805	James Higgin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
812	Jungmin Ko	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
817	Jemma McCowan	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
826	Jade Riri	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
829	Jason Smith	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
831	Jim Taylor	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
834	Jarod Udy	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
836	James William Epere	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
856	Karen Mant	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
857	Kowhai Millan	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
863	Karen Soloman	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
877	Lynette Ashby	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
885	Les McClung	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
886	Linda McGee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
888	Pang Lily	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
889	Lavina Rickard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
892	Lynda Simpson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
902	Lewis Ward	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
914	Michael Burne	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
918	Maree Cleal	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
927	Mark Gillard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
929	Mandy Hargood	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
941	Marion Marfell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
942	Marie Mitchell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
943	Martina Naplawa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
948	Melissa Smith	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
951	Michael Wallace	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
953	Mark Whittall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
955	Moira Winter	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
967	Marlborough Roads	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(k) district roading network;</i></p> <p>...</p> <p>Add a definition for road network as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Means all local roads and State Highways</u></i></p> <p>Add a definition for local road as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</u></i></p>					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
982	Nathan Grey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
985	Niki McCulloch	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
988	Nathan Wallace	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
989	Natasha Watts	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to provide for future facilities.					
	Suggested amendments to wording are provided below (text struck through and underlined):					
	<i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i>					
	<i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i>					
	<i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i>					
	<i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i>					
	<i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i>					
	<i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i>					
	<i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i>					
	<i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i>					
	<i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunications facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i>					
	<i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i>					
	<i>(j) main truck railway line;</i>					
	<i>(k) district roading network</i>					
	<i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i>					
	<i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i>					
	<i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne <u>and other defence facilities</u>; and</i>					
	<i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 4.2.1 is amended so that transport infrastructure associated with primary industry is included as regionally significant infrastructure.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows: <i>Recognise the social , economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i> ... <i>(k) district-roading network;</i> ...</p>					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1026	Patricia Riri	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1029	Peter Shirley	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1031	Peter Snape	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1053	Roger Bee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1055	Rory Bryant	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1057	Roger Dippie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1067	Renee Heta	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1077	Rodney Roberts	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (p) to this Policy as follows - " Areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission)."					
1079	Rachel Stanford	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1080	Rata Steele	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1108	Shane Bray	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) – <i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> <i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i> <i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> <i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i> <i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i> <i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i> <i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i> <i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i> <i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i> <i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i> <i>(k) district roading network;</i> <i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i> <i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i> <i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i> <i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; and</i> <i>(p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission).</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> "					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1115	Steve Dyer	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1119	Sharon Hill	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1127	Soon Ng	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1128	Sam Oliver	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1139	Sarah Williams	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1144	Scott Foster	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (o) of this Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain, and privately owned quarries that are used for sourcing flood protection materials."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows: <i>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i>					
1168	Tony Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1172	Tyler Materoa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p><i>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise and provide for the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>... (e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower New Zealand Limited);</i></p> <p><i>... The policy identifies infrastructure considered regionally significant due to its contribution to the social and economic wellbeing or health and safety of a large proportion of Marlborough’s population, or because of its strategic importance nationally. These benefits will be taken into account when developing district and regional rules and when considering resource consent applications, notices of requirement and plan change requests. This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.”</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Policy 4.2.1 as notified.</p>					
1211	Vaughan Hall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>					
1221	Wayne de Joux	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>					
1224	P Wood	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>					
1225	Wayne Hollis	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1226	William Kingi	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1227	Warwick Neame	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1243	Zane Charman	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1247	Robert Walker	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of the explanation to Policy 4.2.2 to the following: 'The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in locations where reverse sensitivity effects may arise close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place.'					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold): " Protect Recognise and provide for regionally significant infrastructure. from the adverse effects of other activities. "					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
967	Marlborough Roads	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.2 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.</i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.2.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities</i>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i> Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other subdivision, use and development activities. <i>The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place. This policy recognises that there has already been significant investment in the infrastructure and that there are usually considerable difficulties relocating the infrastructure in the event of conflict with other land uses. In respect of the electricity transmission network, it is a requirement of the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) for decision makers to manage activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the network <u>to ensure that the National Grid is not compromised as much as possible.</u>”</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>“Protect Avoiding adverse effects where practical on regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method 4.M.6 as follows: The electricity transmission network, <u>State Highway buffer areas</u> , and <u>State Highway effects areas</u> will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the transmission <u>and State Highway networks</u> .					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 4.M.6 as follows: "4.M.6 Identification <i>The <u>National Grid</u> electricity transmission network will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow the other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the <u>National Grid</u> transmission network."</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows: 4.M.7 Specific Zoning and Rules <i>Recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. <i>This, in</i> conjunction with the application of district specific infrastructure (and network utility) rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 4.M.7 as follows: <u>Where not designated</u> , R recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. In conjunction with the application of district rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows: 4.M.7 Specific Zoning and Rules <i>Recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. <i>This, in</i> conjunction with the application of district specific infrastructure (and network utility) rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows: 4.M.8 Designations <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting regionally significant infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 4.M.8 as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 4.M.8.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows: 4.M.8 Designations <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting regionally significant infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 4.M.8 as follows: " 4.M.8 Designations <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying, <u>developing</u> and protecting <u>nationally and regionally significant</u> infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP."</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i></p> <p><i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008 establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</i></p> <p><i>[Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain method 4.M.9 District and Regional Rules.					
967	Marlborough Roads	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities. Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the first paragraph of Method 4.M.9 as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>"Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Rules will also be used to enable emergency services activities and facilities. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities."</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities</i></p> <p><i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid Corridor.</i></p> <p><i>A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid asset and the sensitivity of the network to the activity. This method gives effect to Policy 11 of the NPSET.</i></p> <p><i><u>A State Highway buffer area and State Highway effects area will be established through rules and supported by overlays and definitions, within which noise sensitive activities will be managed to reduce the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the State Highway. The width of the buffer and effects areas are calculated based on traffic characteristics (volume, speed, and percentage of heavy vehicles) and the road surface type.</u></i></p>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i></p> <p><i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008 establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</i></p> <p>[Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>“4.M.9 District and regional rules</i> <i>Rules will be used to provide for enable activities associated with the <u>operation, maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement</u> development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities. Rules will be used to control the proximity of activities and uses in river beds, <u>and in the coastal marine area</u>, that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development <u>in the vicinity of</u> within the National Grid corridor. A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and <u>ensure that adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid is not compromised</u>. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid assets and the sensitivity of the network to the activity. This method gives effect to <u>Policy 10 and Policy 11 of the NPSET</u>. In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 contain separate rules for the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of <u>establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing National Grid transmission lines. Except as provided for by Regulations, no rules in the MEP apply to such activities.</u>”</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike out): "Where the grant of a resource consent application may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party. Transpower NZ is required to be served notice if a resource consent application may affect the National Grid under Regulation 10 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedures) Regulations 2003."</p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.10 as follows: <i>Where the grant of a resource consent application or approval of a <u>Notice Of Requirement</u> may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party...</i> Replicate or cross-reference within applicable sections e.g. 2.37 Signage, Discretionary Activity Standards; 2.32.4 Vehicle crossing associated with permitted activities in all zones; Limited Access Roads.</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.10 to replace "Transpower NZ" with <u>"Transpower New Zealand Limited"</u>.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
100	East Bay Conservation Society	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS wishes to see the issues of unsustainable use of the environment spelt out so that they can be learned from. Issue 4C is the ideal place to highlight these issues and how they have been addressed in the past.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the word iconic or partition sounds areas into those that justify such description vs those that don't and insert the qualifier "inappropriate" use and development....					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	37	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground</i></p> <p><i>Inferred that this new Objective and policy is under Issue 4C</i></p>					
368	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to deleted Issue 4C.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the issue is moved to the Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment and is amended to reference the balancing exercise required under the Act.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it. Note - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective. Industry supports policies 4.3.1 - 4.3.5, provided this proposed objective and policy are added (as outlined below).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
477	John Malcolm McKee	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Distinguish between the Inner and Outer Marlborough Sounds, and acknowledge that the inner sounds as a highly modified working landscape.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
510	Anne Allison	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
535	Adele Riddle	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
538	Andre Smith	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
539	Allen Steele	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
540	Arthur Stewart	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
543	Alistair Willis	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
549	Bryan Albrey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
559	Belinda Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
560	Brian Lee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
562	Brendon Lucas	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
564	Belinda Materoa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
565	Brent Mathews	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included. That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.					
582	Cory Burnett	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
600	Connor Rangī	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
606	Cindy Steele	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
611	Carla Velez	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
611	Carla Velez	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
618	Brad Lewis	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
620	Brook Lines	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
627	Carl Scholefield	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
628	Clinton Nott	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4C (<i>inferred</i>).					
641	Dan McCall	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</i> " (<i>Inferred</i>)					
648	D C Hemphill	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds have been heavily modified and are a working landscape with periodic change the norm. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Issue)</i>					
649	Dave Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
654	David Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
656	David King	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
658	Dan Lawrence	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
659	Donald M Curie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
660	Daniel Manson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
661	Denis Marfell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
663	Dion McCauley	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
667	Daniel Paget	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
677	Daniel Walker	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
678	David Horton	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
680	Delwynne Horton	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
694	Elin Shin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Chapter 4 should identify that use and development should only occur within the capacity of the environment, within established non-negotiable environmental bottom lines set (in the regional context) to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems it supports. Beyond those bottom lines resource users would be left to make their own decisions with limited restrictions.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new Objective 4.3.1 and supporting policy as follows:. Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds. <u>Objective 4.3.1 – Use and development occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity</u> The Marlborough Sounds is a truly exceptional place – it is considered to be our “jewel in the crown” in terms of natural assets. The landscapes and seascapes within the Marlborough Sounds and the ecology and natural processes that occur within them are unique and highly valued. This objective seeks to maintain and enhance these qualities to ensure that the community and visitors to the district can continue to enjoy this environment now and into the future. This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but an element of precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character. <u>Policy 4.3.X – Set clear and non-derogable environmental limits for each resource that ensure use and development only occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity.</u>					
703	Faye Fosbender	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
704	Febe Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
705	Fay Mathews	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
708	Filisita Tuese	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete issue 4C.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In conjunction with Nelson City and Tasman District include a new issue, objective and policy (<i>inferred</i>) addressing the important resource management issues in Tasman Bay and show these areas in the maps. Include policy to address the concepts of natural capital and ecosystem services.					
721	Grant Boyd	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
731	Grace Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
734	Gail Learmonth	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4C (<i>inferred</i>).					
741	Glen Slipper	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included. That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.					
753	Hope Lagden	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
758	Holly Stanford	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
759	Hudson Steele	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
761	Hilda Timoti	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
796	John Craddock	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
799	June Ethel Epere	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
805	James Higgin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
812	Jungmin Ko	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
817	Jemma McCowan	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
826	Jade Riri	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
829	Jason Smith	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
831	Jim Taylor	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
834	Jarod Udy	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
856	Karen Mant	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
857	Kowhai Millan	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
863	Karen Soloman	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C by inserting the words: " <i>.... and in the case of Commercial forestry activities in the Sounds is doing so.</i> " at the end of the current wording.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
877	Lynette Ashby	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
885	Les McClung	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
886	Linda McGee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
888	Pang Lily	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
889	Lavina Rickard	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
892	Lynda Simpson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
902	Lewis Ward	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
929	Mandy Hargood	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
935	Melva Joy Robb	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4C (<i>inferred</i>).					
941	Marion Marfell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
942	Marie Mitchell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
943	Martina Naplawa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
948	Melissa Smith	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
951	Michael Wallace	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
953	Mark Whittall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
955	Moira Winter	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
985	Niki McCulloch	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
988	Nathan Wallace	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
989	Natasha Watts	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	165	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Greater recognition needs to be given to the difference between the inner and outer Sounds areas based on land use, and regulation for commercial forestry needs to be reviewed and amended in light of this.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1026	Patricia Riri	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1029	Peter Shirley	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1031	Peter Snape	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1067	Renee Heta	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1072	Rob MacGibbon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 4C as follows (bold) –</p> <p><i>"The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1080	Rata Steele	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1119	Sharon Hill	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1127	Soon Ng	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1128	Sam Oliver	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1138	Shane Turnbull	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1139	Sarah Williams	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1144	Scott Foster	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included. That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.					
1168	Tony Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1224	P Wood	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1226	William Kingi	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1227	Warwick Neame	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Distinguish between inner (highly modified) and outer sound.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1243	Zane Charman	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1247	Robert Walker	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include reference to character reflecting many highly modified environments and the element of precaution will be exercised in relation to established baseline data.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3, so long as new objective 4.3A is added. Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the objective is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - "<i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds</i> and the appropriate recognition of the land use activities that have created the landscape."</p> <p>And, that the objective is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment, or to Chapter 6: Natural Character.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support, so long as new objective 4.3A is added (see separate submission). Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete objective.</p>					
477	John Malcolm McKee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - "<i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.</i>"</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified.</p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through) to wording in Line 7 of the explanation: <i>This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but an element of precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character.</i>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Objective must recognise that the visual landscape is continuously changing and the ecological setting is highly modified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
510	Anne Allison	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
535	Adele Riddle	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
538	Andre Smith	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
539	Allen Steele	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
540	Arthur Stewart	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
543	Alistair Willis	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
549	Bryan Albrey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
559	Belinda Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
560	Brian Lee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
562	Brendon Lucas	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
564	Belinda Materoa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
565	Brent Mathews	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
582	Cory Burnett	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
595	Clayton McIntyre	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
600	Connor Rangi	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
606	Cindy Steele	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
611	Carla Velez	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
618	Brad Lewis	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
618	Brad Lewis	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
620	Brook Lines	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
627	Carl Scholefield	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
628	Clinton Nott	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
641	Dan McCall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</i> " (Inferred)					
648	D C Hemphill	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds are a working landscape, and that ecological and physical values may support certain vegetation that may be incompatible with some visual values. It should be specified that visual values have lower priority than ecological and physical values. (Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Objective)					
649	Dave Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
654	David Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
656	David King	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
658	Dan Lawrence	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
660	Daniel Manson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
661	Denis Marfell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
663	Dion McCauley	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
665	Dorothy McManaway	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
667	Daniel Paget	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
677	Daniel Walker	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
678	David Horton	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
680	Delwynne Horton	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3.					
694	Elin Shin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
703	Faye Fosbender	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
704	Febe Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
705	Fay Mathews	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
708	Filisita Tuese	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	34	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
721	Grant Boyd	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
729	Graham Hayter	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
731	Grace Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
734	Gail Learmonth	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
737	Gareth McIlroy	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
741	Glen Slipper	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
745	Graeme Tregidga	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
753	Hope Lagden	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
758	Holly Stanford	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
759	Hudson Steele	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
761	Hilda Timoti	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.3 Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities of natural and physical resources that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
796	John Craddock	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Epere	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
805	James Higgin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
809	Jim Jessep	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
812	Jungmin Ko	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
817	Jemma McCowan	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
826	Jade Riri	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
829	Jason Smith	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
831	Jim Taylor	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
834	Jarod Udy	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
856	Karen Mant	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
857	Kowhai Millan	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
863	Karen Soloman	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.3 to clearly reference the need to act in a precautionary way in terms of Commercial forestry operations in the Sounds.					
877	Lynette Ashby	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
885	Les McClung	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
886	Linda McGee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
888	Pang Lily	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
889	Lavina Rickard	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
892	Lynda Simpson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
902	Lewis Ward	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
929	Mandy Hargood	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
936	Michael Jessep	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
941	Marion Marfell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
942	Marie Mitchell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
943	Martina Naplawa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
948	Melissa Smith	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
951	Michael Wallace	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
953	Mark Whittall	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
955	Moira Winter	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
985	Niki McCulloch	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
988	Nathan Wallace	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
989	Natasha Watts	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Objective 4.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds. That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1026	Patricia Riri	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1029	Peter Shirley	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1031	Peter Snape	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3.					
1053	Roger Bee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1067	Renee Heta	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) – <i>"The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1080	Rata Steele	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1119	Sharon Hill	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1127	Soon Ng	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1128	Sam Oliver	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1130	Sook Peng Lim	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1139	Sarah Williams	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1144	Scott Foster	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming,					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical quantities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
1168	Tony Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1170	Tama Lindsay	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	38	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the commentary to formally recognise and include Te Atiawa in the meaning/application of the objective.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1224	P Wood	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1226	William Kingi	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1227	Warwick Neame	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1247	Robert Walker	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I submit that an enabling policy with regards to the above would further enhance the opportunity to implement integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
479	Department of Conservation	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	35	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 4.3.1, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.</p> <p>That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.</p>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adjust statements to recognise the need for well founded data or baseline trends to inform the potential significance of adverse effects.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment. That the policy is amended to include recognition of the importance of the Sounds as a working landscape and to specify where these qualities and values can be found in the Plan, referencing the Landscape and Coastal Natural Character Appendixes. That a schedule of the activities and characteristics of the Sounds are included within the Plan. 					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy.					
479	Department of Conservation	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Policy must include avoidance of the proliferation of subdivision along the coastal margins (inferred).</p> <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold) to the first line of explanation of Policy 4.3.2 as upholds precautionary view:</p> <p><i>In order to determine whether particular activities in the Marlborough Sounds will may have significant adverse effects, it is necessary to identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique and iconic character of the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
648	D C Hemphill	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique and iconic character of the Marlborough Sounds and protect these from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. The identification of the qualities and values is to be based on sound, peer-reviewed science."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	36	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy.					
479	Department of Conservation	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
648	D C Hemphill	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Provide direction on the appropriateness of resource use activities in the Marlborough Sounds environment. The direction provided will be based on sound, peer- reviewed science."</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	37	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.3.3 to clearly identify commercial forestry operations needing to be subject to the resource consent procedures [<i>inferred</i>].					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 4.3.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds. That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy.					
479	Department of Conservation	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	38	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	ensure this remains in full					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5 (<i>inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is a dynamic environment and some use and development activities will have positive effects.</i> " And, that the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
479	Department of Conservation	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	39	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5.					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 4.3.5:</p> <p><i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</i></p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5.					
1042	Port Underwood Association	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Council to provide more information for submitters to make an informed judgement on whether the Monitoring target is appropriate, including:</p> <p>a) A summary of the primary sector contributions to Marlborough GDP over the last 10 years.</p> <p>b) Providing the rational for the stated monitoring target " <i>The primary sector contributes over 15% of Marlborough GDP</i>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
100	East Bay Conservation Society	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>BCS requests that MDC actually takes action to Benchmark the Marine environment, Monitor the Marine environment, and regulate the Marine environment when MEP Policies and rules are not being met.</p> <p>EBCS sees the Coastal occupancy charges as an ideal opportunity to adequately resource the science and regulation of the Marine environment, EBCS strongly supports the use of Coastal occupancy charges to protect the environment</p> <p>The first step is to benchmark the environment (e.g.plastic rubbish on the beaches of the outer sounds or highly impacted Benthic environments) and to monitor these to see if they are improving.</p> <p>That is an anticipated environmental result of the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the anticipated environmental results and monitoring effectiveness. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the fourth indicator for monitoring effectiveness for this AER as follows (strike out) - " Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support the Anticipated Environmental Result.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to fourth "Monitoring effectiveness" (inferred):</p> <p>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</p> <p>Monitoring of the views of residents and ratepayers in the Sounds will be undertaken to assist Council decision making.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That consistent terminology is used in 4.AER.1 and Issue 4A in relation to the primary sector and its economic contribution to Marlborough. The baseline size of the primary sector (e.g., absolute) should be used in order to understand how the primary sector is contributing to the regional economy over time. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Monitoring effectiveness points #2 and #3 - How the infrastructure throughout Marlborough will be geared up to cope with the 1.5 million visitors by 2026 should be included <i>(inferred)</i> .					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 4.AER.1 'Monitoring effectiveness' by adding: <u><i>Marlborough continues to contribute over 1% of New Zealand's GDP.</i></u> <u><i>Where appropriate, environmental accounting is used to monitor effectiveness.</i></u>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	40	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.AER.1</p> <p><i>4.AER.1 People and communities have appropriate access to natural and physical resources in the Marlborough environment in order to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety while reflecting sustainable management.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring effectiveness - point #4</i> <i>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</i></p> <p>That the following new anticipated environmental result and monitoring effectiveness criteria (bold) is added:</p> <p><i>4.AER.2 That a majority of residents, visitors and ratepayers consider that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring effectiveness</i> (inferred)</p> <p><i>Public perception survey indicates that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the objective and policy framework to recognise and provide for the sustainable use of natural resources for all activities.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend wording to include a statement that surveys will be both independently prepared and implemented and most importantly those members of the public surveyed have been given in advance adequate information to make informed responses.					
17	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Volumes 1, 2, and Section 32 to repair not only this mammoth document, but our confidence in our District Council as well. While Council cannot undo much of the historical harm done, it can begin working with farmers and vineyard owner who have a special connection to the land. Stop issuing water rights to areas that are unsustainable and require special transporting of water to alter landscapes. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land. Regions using various Water Schemes to fleece water from the Wairau River and Aquifer should be made to fulfill their part of the original negotiations by paying immediately for the dams and catchments promised. End land-grabs done with the sole intention of acquiring Water to transfer to other arid grounds, circumventing the system for financial gain. Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable. District Council will hold the big Corporate Industrial grape-producers responsible for actions and behaviors that risk our natural resources and demand greater investment into the community from which they continue to harvest their profits. Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation.					
35	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and it should be re-worded to include recognition of "<i>Prior tempore potior iure</i>" and that "<u>Fair & Just</u>" should take precedent over "equitable" in protecting businesses and farming families that have built our community.					
91	Marlborough District Council	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Support
Decision Requested	Add the following new Anticipated Environmental Result - " <i>No occurrence of sea water intrusion into aquifers.</i> "; and the following associated new Indicator " <i>Conductivity levels as measured by Council's sentinel wells.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
293	Keith M J Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the effects of damming and draining and the resulting water issues we now face in the district.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that an amendment to the MEP be inserted to explore alternatives to slashing existing Water User permits, and feasibility studies to recharge the aquifers at risk while maintaining responsible use of our water-resources. There are alternatives to Draconian Water Resource cuts, but without District Council leadership they will never see the light of day.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2, but consider the basis and justification for the minimum flows.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	MDC undertake thorough Section 32 analysis of all sections of the MEP plan.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the health of the resource as a first priority, followed by natural and human use values supported by waterbodies					
1201	Trustpower Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 5.2 as follows: <i>"Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by waterbodies freshwater management units"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support
Decision Requested	We expect the Council to be actively monitoring the issue regarding a dangerous drop in the level of flow and not to rely on complaints or concerns before doing anything about it.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the chapter is renamed so that it explicitly relates to the allocation of freshwater resources and coastal space (or occupation).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the second paragraph of the introduction recognises that the allocation of public resources is integral to the health and safety of people and communities, including the provision of an example for the reader.					
288	Mike Croad	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
296	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Consider a wider solution to water allocation by investigating community storage that will keep aquifer's at levels that promote a wide range of land use.					
297	Red Barn Vineyards	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 5.10.4 (submission points #4), Policy 5.10.5 (submission points #5), Policy 5.10.6 (submission points #6) and Policy 5.10.7 (submission points #7).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	147	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i> That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) & Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to better reflect Objective B1 of the NPSFM and the protection of the habitat of trout and salmon and safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and ecosystems as required in section 5 of the RMA.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain content of Introduction.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction so that it identifies that allocation of natural resources for use should only occur above non-derogable environmental bottom lines set to safeguard the life-supporting capacity of the resource in question. The introduction should better reflect s5 RMA and, in the case of freshwater, to reflect Objective B1 NPSFM.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain [<i>inferred</i>]					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rename the chapter: "Freshwater Use and Allocation" Amend the introduction to align with the content of the chapter and how fresh water provides for the social and economic welfare of the community as well as life supporting capacity for the natural environment. Explain that marine water issues are addressed in the Coastal Environment Chapter. Move Issue 5J, Objective 5.1 and Policies and methods to the Coastal Environment Chapter. Merge and combine with provisions within the coastal environment chapter where possible to improve clarity and reduce repetition.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to recognise that further flow assessments are needed to ensure any future allocation retains necessary instream flows for indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous species. Amend the policies and methods to set out a process for council to review the flows of all major streams and rivers in Marlborough in order to understand what water can be subsequently allocated and to stay within those limits.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the third sentence of the second paragraph of the Introduction: Another significant contributor to the economy is the marine farming industry, which is reliant on being able to occupy coastal space in order to develop.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the second and third sentences of the third paragraph of the Introduction: Allocation of resource use will reflect sustainable management. Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could Climate change can have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing and for the sustainable management of these natural and physical resources. The two main areas where allocation of public resources is considered to be an issue are rights to occupy space in the coastal marine area, and rights to take and use freshwater. Limitations on access to resources may well be different compared to how that has been achieved today.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new method: 5.M.1A Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater resources. To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies</p>					
811	Jo Kerry	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <p>The MEP includes new policy to safeguard our water resource from being drawn and exported out of the region.</p> <p>Industry is charge more for water.</p> <p>More controls around water than presently exist, growth and land development should not be at the expense of the viability of our long-term water security.</p> <p>There is greater diversification in land use.</p> <p>The plan is amended to ensure the water rights of individual are given priority over industry.</p> <p>The plan is amended to allow for the residents of Marlborough to override any decision regarding fluoride that the Nelson Marlborough DHB might make regarding fluoride.</p>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Longfield submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Equally state that hill country is best suited to commercial forestry.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	166	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to viticulture – it infers that the council has picked this industry as being more important than others.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include: AER: Maintenance of fish passage. Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1 Chapter issues, objectives, policies and rules except for the decision requested for Policies 5.10.1 and 5.10.6 - see submission points 995.10 and 995.11					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	If the MDC is to apply a charge on coastal users, then a similar charge should be imposed on those that use public resources for private gain. Examples of this are takers and users of water for commercial gain, and discharges of pollutants to air.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create AER for the coastal allocation and cultural values.					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments: <u>Water is a taonga and is essential to all as a life-source. Water is also essential for mahinga kai, and holds particular significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi.</u> The Council frequently allocates or authorises the use of these natural resources for private benefit, especially resources in the coastal marine area, rivers, riverbeds and aquifers.</p> <p>[...]Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing. <u>However, a healthy economy which relies on the environment, must be premised on a healthy environment.</u> The three main [...]</p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject, or accept with amendments: Include a new policy to identify the natural and human use values in the district.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the issue is retained as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5A.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions, and social and economic values, within each water resource.</i> "					
479	Department of Conservation	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy is added that addresses the cumulative effects of discharges of contaminants into enclosed coastal waters, in particular the Kaituna/Pelorus and Wairau Lagoon estuaries.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.1 as follows: Water allocation and water use management regimes will reflect the values identified for the water resource. Amend the Explanation to be consistent with the objective.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that there is equitable allocation of the water resource, recognising passive and abstractive use.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	167	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1, and ensure that the approach throughout the subsidiary objectives and policies gives effect to it, including by allowing finer grained assessment at the resource stage.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 5.1 as follows: <i>"Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions within each water resource <u>freshwater management unit</u>."</i> 2. Amend the explanation to Objective 5.1 as follows: <i>"If the management applied to the taking and use of water does not reflect the hydrological, <u>physical</u> and environmental conditions that exist in each water resource, one of two things may happen: ..."</i> 3. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified; and The explanation to the policy is amended to include further information with regards to the identification of Freshwater Management Units and the manner in which they are intended to be utilised going forward. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
479	Department of Conservation	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game support the policy in its direction to establish freshwater management units but seeks amendment to provide greater clarity of the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1					
676	Dairy NZ	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert the following (bold) from NPSFM, Policy CA2: <i>By every regional council applying the following processes in developing freshwater objectives for all freshwater management units:</i> <i>a) considering all national values and how they apply to local and regional circumstances;</i> <i>b) identifying the values for each freshwater management unit, which:</i> <i>i. must include the compulsory values; and</i> <i>ii. may include any other national values or other values that the regional council considers appropriate (in either case having regard to local and regional circumstances);</i> <i>Compulsory national values:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Te Hauora o te Wai/the health and mauri of water</i> • <i>Te Hauora o te Tanqata/the health and mauri of the people</i> <i>Additional national values:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Te Hauora o te Taiao/the health and mauri of the environment</i> • <i>Mahinga kai/food gathering, places of food</i> • <i>Mahi mara/cultivation</i> • <i>Wai Tapu/Sacred Waters</i> • <i>Wai Maori/municipal and domestic water supply</i> • <i>Au Putea/economic or commercial development</i> • <i>He ara haere/navigation</i> 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.1.1 to provide further clarification around the application and differences of freshwater management units when compared with the water resource units contained in Appendix 5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy and amend the explanation to the policy to state that this will include a flow needs assessment for rivers.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.1: <i>Policy 5.1.1 Define and use freshwater management units to apply appropriate management to the taking and use of water within each water resource where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</i>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.1.1 Explanation by relacing 'hydrological and environmental circumstances' with 'identified values'. Delete 'natural and human use'					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.1.1 as notified.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1					
217	Grant Crosswell	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Prevent the sale and marketing of water outside the District so that Marlborough does not create an Ashburton Lot 9 scenario.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.1.2 Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</i></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 5.1.2</p> <p>Or reword: Recognise that the taking of water and the uses of water are interrelated and will be managed together.</p>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with the addition of a new policy:</p> <p>Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities which and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted.</p> <p>Add a new policy: <u>The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</u></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.1.2 as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Issue is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a provision that ensures that in the Sounds any new development should have rainwater collection for household consumption. Important as most occupancy takes place at the time of normal low flow time of water bodies.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5B					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Explanation to Issue 5B by deleting paragraph 1 and 1st sentence of Para 2: Marlborough's freshwater bodies sustain a diverse range of values, including cultural and spiritual values, recreation values, habitat values, landscape values, community values such as drinking water, food production values and commercial and economic values. The water that flows in rivers or is contained in aquifers, lakes and wetlands sustains Marlborough's community and environment.</p> <p>Delete all reference so to 'natural and human use' values and only use 'values'.</p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of the explanation to Objective 5.2 to the following: 'The natural and human use values supported by Marlborough's freshwater bodies are important to retain given their contribution to the social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety of the community.'					
324	Rodney Parkes	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter has not specified decision sought. Submission states values for Rural Business and Agriculture are a higher priority than other values. <i>It is inferred that this should be included or acknowledged in the MEP.</i>					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a policy under the heading "Setting of Environmental limits" that requires the Council to review the limits set in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6 as further data becomes available. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is adopted as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a new policy which states that the measurement of the flow or level of a Freshwater Management Unit is undertaken at the monitoring site specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2 and associated policies.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.2: <i>Objective 5.2 Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by waterbodies. When making decisions about water use the life supporting capacity of the waterbody must be safeguarded.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Objective 5.2 and the Explanation.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.2 as follows: <i>Water bodies retain sufficient flows and/or levels to:</i> <i>(a) safeguard the life supporting capacity.</i> <i>(b) provide for non-consumptive human use values.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include the requirement for a review of the natural and human use values through the collaborative catchment limit setting process. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the natural and human use values of freshwater management units and the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.1 as required to provide clarity and consistency as to which water unit classification this policy relates and how the different unit types inter-relate. This clarification is required through out the PMEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.1					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any reference to Appendix 5 in Policy 5.2.1 and instead describe generally what natural and human use values associated with freshwater may be. This could be achieved through definitions.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.1 and the Explanation. Delete heading 'Natural and human use values'. Amend Appendix 5 to include wider range of values including food production.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit are added to <i>Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values & Water Quality Classification Standards</i> .					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>"Maintain or enhance the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies <u>where practicable</u>."</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Maintain or enhance where degraded the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies, by : - <u>prohibiting the damming of rivers</u> - <u>requiring applications to take or divert water to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects</u> - <u>applying a precautionary approach to resource consents where there will be irreparable adverse effects on natural and human use values.</u>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.1 as follows: <i>"Maintain or enhance the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Policy 5.2.1 as follows: <u>Manage water resources to:</u> <u>(a) maintain and enhance the values that contribute to life supporting capacity and, provided (a) is met</u> <u>(b) provide for human use values</u> Add a definition of "human use values" to include the full range of uses and values associated with human use of water - both consumptive and non-consumptive.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.2					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.2: Give priority to protecting Have regard to mauri of freshwater and freshwater flows/levels.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the extent to which these values are prioritised, and that they are not to be protected at all costs.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 5.2.2 in its entirety.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values.					
317	David Arthur Barker	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that take, use, damming or diversion of water is prohibited from all waterbodies identified as having at least high natural character.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the activity status of Policy 5.2.3 is changed from prohibited to non-complying.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	There is a lack of clarity as to which freshwater bodies it applies. Amend Policy 5.2.3 as required to ensure clarity of application and consistency of language.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.2.8 in its entirety.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.3.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows: <i>Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>except when necessary for the construction, maintenance or upgrade of lawfully established regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Protect the significant values of specifically identified outstanding freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows: <i>“Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>while recognising and providing for existing lawfully established activities and infrastructure.</u>”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that the work Maintain be changed to Improve					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (bold): <i>"Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i> <i>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;</i> <i>(b) protect instream habitat and ecology;</i> <i>(c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</i> <i>(d) preserve the natural character of the river;</i> <i>(e) maintain water quality;</i> <i>(f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and</i> <i>(g) maintain amenity values; and</i> <i>(h) maintain reliability of supply for social and economic values."</i>					
431	Wine Marlborough	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policies 5.2.4 (5.2.7 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the policy with amendments that apply a consistent approach to the setting of environmental flows/levels which takes into account the values of the particular waterbodies as well as the desire to protect the specific attributes identified in Policy 5.2.4.</p> <p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows (additions underlined):</p> <p>(b) protect or enhance instream habitat and ecology, <u>including the habitat of trout and salmon</u></p> <p>(c) maintain <u>or enhance</u> fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</p> <p>(e) maintain water quality <u>and enhance it where this has been degraded</u>;</p> <p>(g) maintain <u>or enhance the following values</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity values <u>Recreational values</u> <u>Riparian vegetation</u> • <u>Public access to and along the margins of waterways</u> 					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	There should be reference to the issue of toxic metals (copper, chromium and arsenic) the leaching into the aquifers, particularly under vineyard posts. It is noted that the risk of toxic metals (e.g., copper, chromium and arsenic) from vineyard is generally perceived to be small, but if the precautionary principle is used, this issue needs to be covered in the MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody; (b) protect instream and riparian habitat and ecology; (c) maintain or enhance fish passage and fish spawning grounds; (d) preserve the natural character of the river; (e) maintain water quality or enhance it to meet freshwater quality limits; (f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and (g) maintain or enhance amenity values. 					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) protect maintain and/or enhance the mauri of the waterbody; (b) protect maintain and/or enhance instream habitat and ecology; (c) <i>maintain</i> and/or enhance fish passage and fish spawning grounds; (d) preserve maintain and/or enhance the natural character of the river; (e) <i>maintain</i> and/or enhance water quality; (f) provide maintain and/or enhance for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and (g) <i>maintain</i> and/or enhance amenity values. 					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 to apply to surfacewater generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by surfacewater. For example: Policy 5.2.4 - Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for <u>surface water</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to: (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;.....					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows: Set specific environmental flows and /or levels for Freshwater Management Units based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.4: <i>h) maintain the socio-economic well-being of the local community</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

Policy (a): To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

Policy (b): To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
 - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
 - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
 - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
 - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4, subject to PR's other concerns being addressed.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to: (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody; (b) protect instream habitat and ecology; (c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds, including sufficient velocity to accommodate native fish species ; (d) preserve the natural character of the river; (e) maintain water quality; (f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and (g) maintain amenity values, and (h) enable natural flushes to occur.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.4 to include the following additional matter: <i>"h) provide for the human use values identified in Appendix 5"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.4.					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 by adding a further matter as follows: <i>(h) provide for uses that contribute to the region's social, economic and cultural well-being</i>					
273	Bev James	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide explanation of how multiple takes, some affected by the policy and others not , taken through the same point/method of take are to be managed (inferred).					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation for this policy to clarify that the exemption does not apply to water taken for purposes other than domestic or stock, even if water for all uses is taken from the same bore. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that amend Policy 5.2.5 to replace the use of the term prevent with avoid.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water, prevent avoid the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.5as follows: With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, animal drinking water or water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops, prevent the taking.....</p> <p>Add to the Explanation: Water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops to ensure that they can be maintained in the event of a drought as such crops are not able to moved in the event of a drought and the loss of the capital investment would have serious impacts on the Marlborough community.</p> <p>Include definitions as follows: Capital rootstock protection means water required to maintain survival of permanent horticultural crops in drought, no more than the equivalent of 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p> <p>Crop survival water means water for the survival of drought intolerant food crops excluding pasture, maize and animal feed crops. Water supplied for survival should be no more than 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, firefighting purposes or animal drinking water, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4."</i></p> <p>And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Water taken for domestic needs, firefighting purposes (including training and emergencies) or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community."</i></p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5 and the exception water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water from minimum flows.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.5 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering so that such activities are not prevented in over allocated areas as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, or animal drinking water, or temporary and short term construction dewatering, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.</i></p> <p><i>Water users will not be able to continue taking water once in a Freshwater Management Unit flows and/or levels reach the management flows/levels established in the MEP. Any such abstraction would result in an adverse effect on the life-supporting capacity of the waterbody. The policy will be implemented by way of a condition(s) of resource consent.</i></p> <p><i>Water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community. <u>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to address the concerns raised. Make any consequential amendments to the rules to provide for limited water takes to protect root stock to continue during minimum flows.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.5 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Policy 5.2.5 to achieve the following (a) Differentiated proportional reductions in takes as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flows (rather than total prevention of take when management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow is reached). (b) The differentiation referred to in (a) above to be based on the following descending order of priority: i Takes for non-consumptive uses, or for fire fighting ii s14(3)(b) RMA takes iii Stock watering supplies, takes for animal welfare and sanitation (including shed wash down and milk cooling), takes for perishable food processing, and takes for domestic or municipal supply. iv Class A takes v All other takes Apply a similar regime to restrictions on ground water takes.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Fish and Game seek that 24-hour averaging is replaced with "on an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model."</p> <p>The use of 24-hour daily flow averaging to assess when irrigation restrictions are triggered is problematic due to fluctuations in flow, sometimes large, over a 24 hour period, due to natural variance, abstraction and/or hydro generation. This is particularly problematic during periods of low flow and when large volumes of water have been allocated for abstraction. Using a 24 hour average flow can enable abstractive use to manipulate flows substantially below the minimum for significant periods of time.</p> <p>An instantaneous minimum flow can be implemented as a synthetic flow at particular points on the river through the adoption of a hydrological model that filters out the effect of fluctuating inputs into the main stem Wairau from the Branch River hydro scheme, taking into account transit time, inputs from higher catchment recorders, and the existing recorder. The rules for this model should be written into the MEP by way of an Appendix, to ensure clarity, transparency, and consistency for all users.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That an additional paragraph to be inserted under Policy 5.2.6:</p> <p><i><u>Based on the preceding 24 hour average (midnight to midnight), water abstraction will be subject to rationing or shut-off by 8.00am when river flows drop below the required management flow level or conversely water abstraction will not re-commence until 8.00am when river flows rise above the required management flow level.</u></i></p>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.6 to read: Policy 5.2.6 – For rivers, establish whether the flow has reached the management flows set in the Marlborough Environment Plan on the basis of 24 hour averages (midnight to midnight) an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.6: <i>...24 hour averages (midnight to midnight).</i> Any water abstraction subject to restrictions should be complied with by 9am the following morning.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.6 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.6.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained; and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.14.					
479	Department of Conservation	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.7 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' form Policy 5.2.7					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7 on the basis that subsequent policies provide for appropriate exceptions.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.7 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy and replace it with a policy that ensures that limits cannot be changed without a plan change through the First Schedule process.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to clarify how this will work in practice.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.8 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified but amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time, unless the take is for domestic or stock drinking water.</i> " (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace Policy 5.2.9 as follows: Where a minimum flow has not been set for a tributary in Appendix 6, then either: a) a residual flow shall be set for that tributary at 90% of 7dMALF if there is not a robust relationship between the flow record in the mainstem of a river; or, b) if there is a robust relationship between the tributary and a minimum flow site listed in Appendix 6, then the take will be required to comply with that site's minimum flow.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Having regard to adverse effects does not provide any protection or mitigation to waterbodies from the instantaneous rate of take. The policy does not provide sufficient direction for decision makers. It also potentially sets up two classes of river, permanently flowing rivers and those that are ephemeral, and creates the risk of a more lax environmental management regime for those rivers. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that avoid adverse effects on any waterbody from an instantaneous rate of take.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
676	Dairy NZ	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.9 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.9 – When considering a water take application Have regard to decision-makers must consider the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time .</p> <p>The minimum flows set for rivers manage the cumulative effects of taking water on natural and human use values. However, it remains possible for a take at a discrete location to have a significant adverse effect on flow immediately downstream of the point of abstraction. The risk is probably greatest in the upper part of a catchment due to lower flow that tends to occur in those reaches. This policy allows decision makers to have regard to the adverse effects of an individual take in certain circumstances irrespective of the minimum flows established in the MEP. The proposed rate of abstraction must be calculated to exceed 5% of the river flow at the point of abstraction. Flows in excess of this threshold are considered to have the potential to adversely affect natural and human use values.</p> <p>The policy only applies if the river is perennially or intermittently flowing.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.9 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify what sort of rivers Policy 5.2.9 does, and does not, apply to.					
479	Department of Conservation	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.10 as follows: <i>Policy 5.2.10 – Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including:</i> <i>(a) the timing and duration of that flow connection;</i> <i>(b) the physical extent of any disconnection</i> <i>in flow <u>and the potential for that to disconnection to be exacerbated by abstraction;</u> and</i> <i>(c) any adverse effects on connected aquifers;</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy takes into account the connectivity of waterbodies and the contribution that intermittently flowing rivers make to hydrology in other waterbodies. However, the policy requires amendment to ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are also recognised and protected. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are recognised and protected.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments: Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including:</p> <p>(a) the timing and duration of that flow connection; (b) Any effects on mahinga kai; (b) (c) the physical extent of any disconnection in flow; and (e) (d) any adverse effects on connected aquifers, and (e) through monitoring flows.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified provided the term “human use values” is defined as sought by Fonterra. If “human use values” is not defined as sought by Fonterra, amend policy to ensure that regard is had to any effects on consumptive users.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.11 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.7) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.2.11 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.11 to apply to aquifers generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by an aquifer. For example:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.11 - Set specific minimum levels for <u>aquifer</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers to:</p> <p>(a) prevent physical damage to the structure of the aquifer;</p> <p>(b) prevent headwater recession of spring flows;</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows: Set specific minimum levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU Or amend to include: g) to provide for the identified values for the FMU.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify which Freshwater Management Units are dominated by aquifers.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1201	Trustpower Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.2.12 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.12					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.12 to either: a. remove the conductivity limit requirements; or b. limit the application of Policy 5.2.12 to the coastal FMUs (i.e. Wairau Aquifer Coastal Central FMU).					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.12.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.12 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.12 as follows: Set <i>groundwater</i> conductivity limits for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers adjoining the coast to manage the potential for saltwater contamination of the aquifer					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Limit the total amount of water available to be taken from any freshwater management unit and avoid allocating</i> but allow for the allocation of water (through the resource consent process) beyond the limit set when the applicant can demonstrate that the adverse effects on the values of that freshwater management unit will individually or cumulatively be no more than minor. "					
479	Department of Conservation	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the intent of this policy be more clearly outlined to clearly explain how the limit will be set to maintain biodiversity and the values identified for the FMU. The policy needs to be amended in a manner that splits the policy to deal with the setting of limits and the avoidance of over allocation.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13.					
676	Dairy NZ	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the explanation provided in Policy 5.2.13 (second paragraph): <i>This means that the Council cannot continue to allocate water once the cumulative level of allocation from a FMU reaches the allocation limit set in rules. For this reason, In this instance, any further allocation of water from the FMU should be avoided (unless explicitly provided for in another allocation class) except where new hydrological data or proposed consent conditions show that effects can be avoided.</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Separate Policy 5.2.13 into two policies. The first requiring water allocation limits to be set for FMUs and explaining how. The second stating that over-allocation must be avoided. Add additional text to provide clarity as to the relationship between FMUs, water resource units and the values identified in the PMEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend: <i>"generally avoid allocating water"</i> .					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.13 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained; and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.7.					
479	Department of Conservation	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed, or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14					
676	Dairy NZ	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.14 as notified.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14					
479	Department of Conservation	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide clarity on the situations where it is "identified as necessary" that the flow variability of rivers be protected. Where flow sharing is identified as appropriate, the plan needs to signal how this will be implemented.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					
676	Dairy NZ	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.15 to provide direction (for example through criteria) on when protection of flow variability is required in order to clarify and to ensure consistency in assessment and application by decision-makers.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify how this policy has been implemented, and/or how the system will work.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Accept provided that the needs of the river come first.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.15 as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Greater specificity is required in the policy about how the takes will be proportionately reduced. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that specify the hydrological parameters that govern them, how takes will be proportionately reduced, and that the policy be applied to both permitted takes and those granted through resource consents.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Implement the proposed Method of Implementation 5.M.2: 5.M.2 Water user groups <i>Encourage the establishment of water user groups to assist the Council to manage water resources. In particular, seek to work with water user groups in the Awatere and Waihopai FMUs to achieve voluntary rationing of water takes in response to falling flows in order to achieve the objectives for each river.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.16					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.16.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide further guidance as to how allocations will be reduced, to ensure this occurs in a fair and transparent manner.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.16 as follows: <i>"For resource consents consented water takes from the Waihopai River, Awatere River and other rivers that are a consumptive use and which utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, allocations for the taking of water will be reduced proportionally as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flow."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.16 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/levels for the resource from which the water is taken are reached. The water restrictions would be based on the following method...(not specified in submission).</i> " (Inferred)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
676	Dairy NZ	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.17 Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/ levels are 20% above the minimum flow or level by restricting takes that are not for essential domestic use.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.17 as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but reconsider rule 2.3.14 in light of this policy.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to make it clear how the adverse effects from the diversion of water are to be addressed through the resource consent process and to ensure that the requirements of the RMA are met to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.18.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.18					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.18.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide for short term and minor diversions as permitted activities.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	168	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit this Policy and Permitted Activity rules to provide for short-term, minor diversions of water as Permitted Activities.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy: <u>For rivers that utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, the Council will investigate and put in place downstream monitoring sites at suitable locations, in consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi.</u>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments Require resource consent for the diversion of water to enable the potential adverse effects of the diversion to be considered, including the any effects from the mixing of waters.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.18 as notified.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream/ river.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the scale and method of diversion;</i></p> <p><i>(e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted;</i></p> <p><i>(f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance;</i></p> <p><i>(h) the mixing of waters."</i></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it sets out the how diversions will be managed to meet the objective, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.19.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.19					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.19.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for consideration of the duration of the activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	169	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the rules for diversion that are captured by the full discretionary consent activity to be restricted discretionary consents (higher limit) or controlled activities (at the lower limit) with the matters of discretion/control as provided by this policy and the addition of timeframe.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments</p> <p>Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water:</p> <p>(a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects;</p> <p>(b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion;</p> <p>(c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody;</p> <p>(d) the scale and method of diversion;</p> <p>(e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted;</p> <p>(f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and</p> <p>(g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance</p> <p><u>(h) any adverse effects on the Tangata Whenua iwi values associated with the waterbody, including mahinga kai.</u></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:					
	1. Retain Policy 5.2.19 as notified.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Subject to the definition of intermittently flowing being amended, retain the policy (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the policy with a policy that encourages in stream dams/storage, and includes the waiving of resource consent fees with regard to building storage/dams. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	129	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
455	John Hickman	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20					
456	George Mehlhopt	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20					
479	Department of Conservation	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					
676	Dairy NZ	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Enable minor and small scale in-stream damming.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	170	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to provide a wider context of the purposes of dams.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject. Replace with the following. Where water is to be dammed to enable the storage of water, encourage require the construction and use of "out-of-river" dams as opposed to in preference to the construction and use of dams within the beds of perennially or intermittently flowing rivers.'					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) :</p> <p><i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i></p> <p><i>(a) retention of an effective passage of fish where there is migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs ring past the proposed dam site;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Recognise and proved for the exclusion of trout and salmon where the dam is to be used as part of restoring/establishing native species habitat; and</i></p> <p>(c) <i>(c) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i></p> <p><i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>(d) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and</i></p> <p><i>have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water."</i></p> <p>And, that the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.22.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	130	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i></p> <p><i>(a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i></p> <p><i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure;</i></p> <p><i>and have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water and any new proposal must consider any alternatives with less adverse effects on mauri and instream values.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the policy with amendments that remove the wording "have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water" as this wording is unnecessary in the policy.</p> <p>Fish and Game also seek that the maintenance of water quality downstream of the dam is specifically considered by decision makers and that this be included in the policy.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.2.21.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.21 to read: Policy 5.2.21 – Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for: (a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site; (b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain: (i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and (ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and (iii) flushing flows below the dam; (c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.21					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.21.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

Policy (a): To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

Policy (b): To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
 - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
 - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
 - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
 - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include slightly more flexible wording, as such: <i>"Generally only grant consent for a new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river if the application provides for:"</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject					
1201	Trustpower Limited	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.21 as follows: <i>"Ensure any new proposal to <u>permanently</u> dam water within the bed of a river provides for:..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.21.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	131	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water:</i> <i>(a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure;</i> <i>(b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes;</i> <i>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall;</i> <i>(d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; and</i> <i>(e) interception of surface water runoff;</i> <i>(f) the degradation of Mauri; and</i> <i>(g) the way in which the structure would be removed at the end of the consent term."</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it clearly states how the objective is going to be met, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.22.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.22					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.22: <i>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 5.2.22.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments. In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water: (a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure; (b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes; (c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall; (d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; and (e) interception of surface water runoff; and <u>(f) loss of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities to replace.</u>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.22 as follows: <i>"(a) the purpose of the damming and any positive effects;</i> <i>(a b) ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.23.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.23					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.23					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.23.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.23 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete reference to "human use values" from Policy 5.2.23					
479	Department of Conservation	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	PRW seeks amendments to the MEP provisions, including this policy, to address its concerns.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.24 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.24 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that remove the “where necessary” at the beginning and provide greater certainty to decision makers and plan users when a review of the conditions of water permits will be carried out.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Seek confirmation from MDC that the paper over-allocation of Awatere River Class A and B water will not trigger a review of resource consents under Policy 5.2.25; and that the over-allocation will be resolved through the claw-back of unutilised water allocation as Resource consents are progressively renewed or a Resource consent lapses.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.25					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25: <i>Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative. In doing this, when determining the timeframe to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are complied with, have regard to sunk and future investment.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to clarify when and how reviews will occur.					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.2.25 and Policy 5.2.23 is relied upon instead. <i>Policy 5.2.25 Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met.</i> Alternative decision requested: That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25: 5.2.25 Only where necessary to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met, the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water may be reviewed.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.25 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.2.25					
338	Gwyneth Lowe	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	That the issue is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5C.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include recognition of plantation forests lack of abstractive use of water, therefore does not rely on water in comparison to other primary industries.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	171	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5C (<i>inferred</i>).					
338	Gwyneth Lowe	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above. 					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the objective is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3. (<i>inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3					
499	Jean-Charles Christian and Marthe Marie Van Hove	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision I seek from council is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Modify Irricalc to calculate (as opposed to the actual blanket 2.2mm) the daily take of water that reflect soil and climate specificity. 2) Modify Irricalc to accomodate the best use of water to produce specifically the style of Marlborough Sauvignon blanc (as opposed to grapes). 3) Allow water use in early spring to bring soils to Field Capacity. 4) Allow for increased surface watter take after an event when the river is saturated with sediment. 					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the Objective to refer to the sustainable management of freshwater resources and ensure that access to freshwater is only enabled where the FMU is sustainably managed to align with the purpose of the RMA.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to add a new policy that implements common catchment expiry and review conditions for each catchment to ensure consents can be reviewed and cumulative adverse effects appropriately managed.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3 (<i>inferred</i>).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
907	Levide Capital Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.3. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.3. It is <i>inferred</i> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. Rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3 and supporting policies, other than Policy 5.3.7, which should be deleted.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.3 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request that the council change the hierarchy to move (c) below (d) municipal water supply. I see no justification in prioritising domestic AND stock water supply over municipal water supply.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the consumptive uses and order of priority for water allocation are reviewed and that municipal water supply is given a higher priority which recognises its importance as a critical use and provides consistency with Policy 5.3.4 of the MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
338	Gwyneth Lowe	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Policy is sought however the Submitter has not provided any specific changes in the submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.3.1 be amended (bold) to read: <i>Policy 5.3.1 - To allocate water in the following order of priority :</i> <i>(a) natural and human use values then;</i> <i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i> <i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i> <i>(d) municipal water supply; then</i> <i>(e) irrigation water supply for primary industry; and then</i> <i>(f) all other takes of water.</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" To allocate water in the following order of priority: (a) mauri and instream including natural and human use values; then (b) aquifer recharge; then (c) domestic and stock water supply; then (d) municipal water supply; and then (e) all other takes of water."</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to clearly explain the natural and human use values relating to Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6 and the relationship between these values and the Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Note comments for Policy 5.3.1 as follows: The proposed hierarchy does not reflect the importance of water takes for irrigation used for primary production across the region. In an Awatere context the Municipal supply allocation of 8000m3/day is essential and needs to be provided for.					
676	Dairy NZ	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it states " <i>Review and revise policy and the explanation to policy to provide greater clarity</i> ".					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1 to read: Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority : <u>(a) to the waterbody in the quantum required to safeguard its life supporting capacity; then</u> (a) (b) other natural and human use values; then (b) (c) aquifer recharge; then (c) (d) domestic and stock water supply; then (d) (e) municipal water supply; and then (e) (f) all other takes of water.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1 [<i>inferred</i>]					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1 to refer to "stock drinking water".					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1: a) Essential domestic supplies b) Values identified for the FMU c) Aquifer recharge d) Domestic and stock drinking water e) Capital rootstock and crop survival water f) Municipal water supply g) All other water takes Amend the Explanation to reflect the re-order of priorities.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1: <i>To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i> (a) Natural and human use values; then (b) aquifer recharge; then (c) (a) domestic, and stock water supply; then and firefighting (d) municipal water supply; and then (e) (b) all other takes of water					
907	Levide Capital Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1 and introduce other policies where necessary to recognise the status and importance of existing water allocations, and relative importance of permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture. <i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i> <i>(a) natural and human use values; then</i> <i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i> <i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i> <i>(d) municipal water supply; and then</i> <i>(e) permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture; and then</i> <i>(ef) all other takes of water.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to separate the policy into intrinsic values and aquifer recharge; and consumptive uses. And Insert appropriate recognition for regionally significant infrastructure (including defence facilities). Suggested amended wording is below - <i>"... municipal and regionally significant infrastructure water supplies ..."</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows (bold) - <i>"Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority: (a) natural and human use values; then (b) aquifer recharge; then (c) domestic, firefighting and stock water supply; then (d) municipal water supply; and then (e) all other takes of water."</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>(d) municipal water supply; and then (e) irrigation (f) all other takes of water.</i>					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.1(d):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply and any other take for industrial or commercial use; and then</i></p> <p>This amendment may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and possibly a definition of “industrial” and “commercial”.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1(e) all other takes of water</i> should be amended to rank other specific categories of take based on the economic value/unit of water used.</p> <p>It is submitted that industrial and commercial takes would rank ahead of most takes for irrigation and those would rank ahead of takes for hydro-electric power generation.</p> <p>This may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and definition of particular takes.</p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Cultural values are inserted into the hierarchy of water allocation at a) or b).					
1201	Trustpower Limited	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.1 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows: To allocate <i>establish a water quantity management framework that:</i> (a) provides for: in the following order of priority: (a) natural and human use values; then (b) ii) aquifer recharge; then (c) iii) domestic and stock water supply; then (d) iv) municipal water supply; (b) recognises: (i) <i>non consumptive human use values</i> (ii) <i>takes for non-consumptive use; and then</i> (c) <i>to the extent that the values and uses in (a) have been provided for,</i> provides for all other takes of water.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
676	Dairy NZ	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.3 as follows: Confirm and, where they have not previously been set, Establish allocation volumes that reflect the safe sustainable yield from any Freshwater Management Unit over and above the management flows/levels set through the implementation of Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.10, considering the effects of the allocation on the natural functioning of the FMU and freshwater habitats.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provisions for water allocation over and above those allocations provided for in Schedule 1 of the Plan.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the second and third paragraph be amended as follows: <i>For some rivers, two allocation classes are provided for, referred to as Class A and Class B. In many cases, the two classes are carried over from previous planning instruments. Class A water permits have a greater inherent reliability, due to their lower restrictions, than Class B permits. In some cases, a Class B allocation has been provided for the first time in order to provide for growth in demand (while the constraints of the water resource). These allocations classes provide for run-of-the-river irrigation, and other instantaneous uses and <u>for the pumping into storage.</u></i> <i>Allocation moves sequentially through the two allocation classes.</i> <i>Note that Policy 5.8.2 also provides for a Class C allocation for some water resources, specifically <u>primarily</u> for storage purposes, <u>although Class C can be utilised for direct irrigation at lower reliability.</u> Class C water can be applied for at any stage.</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.3 to more clearly establish its purpose and provide a management framework for how that purpose is to be achieved.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1201	Trustpower Limited	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.3 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 5.3.3.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider expanding Policy 5.3.4 to also include networked community water supplies not administered by the MDC.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply.</i> "					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety as municipal water takes, as with all other water takes, need to be managed within sustainable limits.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.4 to clarify its meaning and to reflect that municipal takes should be incorporated into the allocable quantum (generally via precautionary estimate) before other takes are allocated. This is necessary to ensure that the freshwater is sustainability managed and that over-allocation is avoided.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.4:</p> <p><i>... for the purpose of municipal supply.</i></p> <p>Require municipal supplies to implement a low flow management plan once river flows or aquifer flows have dropped beyond the river management flows or minimum aquifer levels.</p> <p>Alternatively, this could be included as an additional policy.</p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.4 to include specific reference to the Riverlands Irrigation Scheme; being by definition a "municipal water supply" and a protected class of water user, in addition to residential, commercial and industrial activities.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain issue 5.3.4.					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.4: <i>Policy 5.3.4 Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply and industrial and commercial use.</i>					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provision in the policy to enable the take and use of water without appropriate consideration of cumulative effects.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					
676	Dairy NZ	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.5 in its entirety.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.5 or amend Policy 5.3.5 to refer to effects on the values associated with water resources as opposed to the water resource itself and to clarify that enable in the context of this policy means permit. For example: Policy 5.3.5 - Enable as a <u>permitted activity</u> the take and use of water where it will have little or no adverse effect on the <u>values associated with water resources</u>.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy but adding 'cultural values' to the end of the sentence.					
1218	Villa Maria	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time. In addition ensure that the water to be allocated is reasonable for the intended end use.</i> "					
431	Wine Marlborough	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Allocate water within any class as follows - - 20% to iwi; - 80% on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6					
676	Dairy NZ	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the wording of Policy 5.3.6 is updated such that it specifically mentions the allocation of municipal water supply to users on a first come, first serve basis. Create new Policies and Rules if required to implement this policy.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1201	Trustpower Limited	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows: <i>"Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Policy 5.3.6					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows: Allocate water within any class on a first in first served basis through the resource consent process. until the allocation limit is reached for the first time					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
224	William Crosse	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Plan's policies acknowledge the special characteristics of vineyards on the lighter soils of the Wairau Valley floor, and allow for increased reliability in the allocation of irrigation water for these areas.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
676	Dairy NZ	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.7 by adding: Except for capital rootstock where a 10/10 reliability will apply.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.7.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that plantation afforestation is not controlled as a relief to provide water to be made available for abstractive use.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	172	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of plantation forests in the region.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain, subject to the relief sought below in terms of the method of allocation (and calculation of reasonable demand), and an amendment to specify the application efficiency as follows: <i>"Allocate water to irrigation users on the basis of a nine in ten year water demand for the crop/pasture, <u>given an irrigation application efficiency of 80%.</u>"</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1124	Steve MacKenzie	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1218	Villa Maria	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
224	William Crosse	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The paragraph in question should be deleted from Policy 5.3.8					
431	Wine Marlborough	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8. (<i>inferred</i>)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (<i>inferred</i>)					
473	Delegat Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (<i>inferred</i>)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					
676	Dairy NZ	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8 with amendments addressing the situation where the FMU is over allocated so as to provide for these activities where there is like for like replacement or a decrease in the amount of water taken and the activity continues to reflect reasonable demand for that use. For example: <p>Policy 5.3.8 - Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when:</p> <p>(a) a specific minimum flow and allocation limit for the source Freshwater Management Unit is established in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</p> <p>(ab) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</p> <p>(bc) there is to be no change to the intended use of water, or if there is a change in use, this results in a decrease in the rate of take of water; and</p> <p>(ed) the application is made at least three months prior to the expiry of the existing water permit; or</p> <p>(e) the Freshwater Management Unit is over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan and there is no change to the intended use of water, no change to the rate and volume of water taken or there is a decrease in the rate and volume of water taken, and the water allocated reflects the reasonable demand given the intended use.</p>					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.8(b): <i>Policy 5.3.8 – Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when:</i> <i>(b) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the water quantity limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Correct the list to read a) to d). Provide clarification in the commentary of this Policy as to whether each subpart is to be read conjunctively or they are disjunctive. Amend the list to have each subpart (a to d) finish with either an 'and' or an 'or'.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.8 as follows: <i>“Approve water permit applications to continue <u>damming, diverting, taking and using surface water when: ...”</u></i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.8 and/or associated explanation to set out how an application to replace water permits will be addressed if condition 1 of the policy is not met.					
91	Marlborough District Council	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	<p>In Policy 5.3.9, change the heading for column 2 in the table as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>Take of surface water, except from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</i>";</p> <p>and change heading for column 3 as follows - "<i>Take of groundwater from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	263	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the phrase " <i>1 July 1972 to 30 June 2014</i> " referred to in the row headed "Method of determination" to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>1 July 1972 to 30 June 2014 to the most recent year ending 30 June</i> ".					
221	Stephen Bradley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A slight reduction (300 cu m per ha per month) in summer (Dec- Jan) TAKE for an equivalent increase in autumn (Mar- Apr) to allow management soil moisture closer to refill point. The USE of STORED water should based on water requirements on 10 out of 10 years. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
224	William Crosse	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Eliminate the provision in IrriCalc for a monthly period for stony soils with low PAW, and require only that the annual period requirements are met.					
431	Wine Marlborough	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
676	Dairy NZ	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.9 to include provision for higher reliability for irrigation water for capital rootstock.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to address PR's concerns.					
1218	Villa Maria	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained; and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9.					
431	Wine Marlborough	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
676	Dairy NZ	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.10 in its entirety.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
1218	Villa Maria	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 5.3.11 so that it provides for reverse sensitivity effects on other activities including the effects of a water take on existing lawful point source discharges and the ability to maintain adequate assimilative capacity within receiving waters to ensure adverse effects on people's safety or the environment do not arise.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" and provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers and ensure it meets the principles of sound policy drafting.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
676	Dairy NZ	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.3.11: <i>Have regard to the potential for any new take of water (this excludes resource consent renewals) to adversely....</i>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11 to confirm that existing water use allocation is not affected by the proposed allocation model; these should apply only to new applications.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to also include limits on the total daily or annual take to appropriate mitigate the potential effects.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.3.11 as notified.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Enable the construction of bores while recognising that this policy does not authorise the taking of water for any purpose other than bore testing.</i> "					
431	Wine Marlborough	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12 as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.12 as follows: <i>Bores are used as the means to access water from Marlborough's aquifers and to investigate and monitor conditions below the ground surface. Rules identify that bore construction will be a permitted activity. The construction of a bore has limited potential to cause adverse effects, while still enabling groundwater and sub-surface conditions to be accessed [...]</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
1218	Villa Maria	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include guidance within the plan as to the definition of 'full aquifer penetration' by for example:					
	a. Specifying a minimum depth below the likely lowest groundwater level for an aquifer;					
	b. Specifying a depth below which a significant percentage (e.g. 50%) of bores are drilled at a specific date; or					
	c. A depth based on the best available technical information.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert either a definition of 'full penetration', or guidance on the interpretation of this term to provide clarity for plan users. If this definition varies across different locations, then different definitions should be inserted.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request that the council consider climate change and potentially limit water permits to a lesser duration to allow for the changing climate that may bring about longer periods of drought.					
193	Sue James	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	The minimum resource consent term should be 30 years.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i></p> <p><i>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i></p> <p><i>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) that is not over-allocated; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) not be more than tenfifteen years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) may not be more than tenfifteen years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, unless supporting information can be supplied."</i></p>					
431	Wine Marlborough	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy and replace it with one that implements shorter duration water permits and catchment expiry dates to take into account the cumulative impacts of water take. Alternatively, ensure that all water permits contain a review clause under Section 128 of the RMA which enables the Council to review consents, on a catchment or regional basis at a common date in the future. To ensure cumulative adverse effects can be addressed, common catchment expiry and review conditions are needed for each catchment to allow consents to be reviewed.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Support in full.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
676	Dairy NZ	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally, may:</i></p> <p><i>(c) not be more less than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, except the consent duration may be longer than ten years where information has been provided to demonstrate an acceptable level of effects.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.3.14 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</p> <p>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</p> <p>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(iii) that is not over-allocated ; or</p> <p>(b) not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</p> <p>(c) not be more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</p> <p><u>(d) All permits issued for a particular FMU will be subject to common review dates to allow changes to the permit to:</u></p> <p><u>i. reduce over-allocation;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Address cumulative effects;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Assess and address efficiency of use.</u></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.14.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14:</p> <p><i>(b) not be more than tenfifteen years when...</i></p> <p><i>(c) not be more than tenfifteen years when...</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to better acknowledge the importance of providing longer consent durations - and therefore surety of supply - for NZDF activities and water takes.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reduce the minimum term for water permits to 10 years.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject. Replace with a policy that is in line with the IMP, and guides the decision maker to issue permits for 10-15 years maximum. An even shorter period may be suitable in some instances, and this should be provided for.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows: <i>"The duration of water permits to <u>dam, divert, take and use</u> water will reflect the circumstances of the take activity and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i> <i>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i> <i>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 <u>or</u> Schedule 2 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>(iii) that is not over-allocated; or ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows: <i>The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i> <i>(a) not be up to 35 less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i> <i>i. that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>ii. that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>iii. that is not over-allocated; or</i> <i>(b) not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</i> <i>(c) not be up to 15 more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</i>					
91	Marlborough District Council	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 5.3.15 as follows (in bold) - " <i>Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent) in flow sensitive areas.</i> "; and amend the associated explanation (last para) as follows (in bold) - " <i>The policy does not apply to existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent), or the replanting of that forest following harvest, as the effects of this forestry on water yield are part of the existing environment.</i> "					
165	Nicholas Webby	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove policy 5.3.15					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
431	Wine Marlborough	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15. (inferred)					
440	Ian Esson	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules in Volume 2 must make it abundantly clear that the <u>replanting</u> of existing harvested trees in such areas is permitted as is stated in Volume 1 Policy 5.3.15.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
472	ME Taylor Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek for individual property owners to have the opportunity for Afforestation up to 10% of their property with a maximum limit of 15 ha.					
473	Delegat Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
676	Dairy NZ	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.3.15.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this policy.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.					
973	Ministry for Primary Industries	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI recommends that the rule is updated to make it explicit that resource consent is not required for replanting existing forests where these exist within one of the afforestation flow sensitive sites. This could be done by inserting a new definition for afforestation which includes new commercial, woodlot and farm plantings but does not include replanting of forests established before the commencement date of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.15 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	173	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy and associated rules.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.3.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</i></p> <p>Recourse should be via the law, and through the Courts if necessary.</p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.3.15.</p> <p>That land use consent is required for for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Policy 5.3.15 as notified.</p>					
1218	Villa Maria	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.15: <i>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</i>					
91	Marlborough District Council	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation associated with Policy 5.3.16 (last sentence, last para) as follows (in bold) - " <i>Any reduction in flow shall be measured against the seven day mean annual low flow at 9 June 2016, being the date of notification of the MEP, and any assessment of cumulative effects should only consider commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent) established after 9 June 2016.</i> "					
431	Wine Marlborough	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" to provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
676	Dairy NZ	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: When considering....and seek to avoids any cumulative reduction..."					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove this policy.					
973	Ministry for Primary Industries	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by citing the supporting council research on the threshold calculations and indicating what the threshold would translate to (in forestry planting) for the respective sensitive areas. This information could be placed in the Policy or in one of the meta data fields for the map layer.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.3.16.</p> <p>Also suggest land use consent for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas.</p>					
1218	Villa Maria	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.16: <i>Policy 5.3.16 When considering any application for land use consent required as a result of Policy 5.3.15, have regard to the effect of the proposed forestry on river flow (including combined effects with other commercial forestry and carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent) established after 9 June 2016) and seek to avoid any cumulative reduction in the seven day mean annual low flow of more than 5%.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the issue with amendments that ensure that it reflects the environmental effects of over-allocation of water					
676	Dairy NZ	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5D.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5D					
431	Wine Marlborough	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective (inferred).					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the objective with amendments to ensure that it refers specifically to use of water within the limits set.					
676	Dairy NZ	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.4 explanation:</p> <p><i>In a state of full allocation of water resources, and given the implications of full allocation for potential users under the NPSFM, it is essential that an alternative method to better utilise scarce water resources gain access to water is found to meet future demand.</i></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include provisions under Objective 5.4 that enable the use of common review clauses to assess how and if authorized takes are being used efficiently. An efficiency assessment should occur against clear and specified criteria applicable to the specific use. If a take is not being efficiently used then the quantum should be reduced so that it can be accessed by new users. This tool is also important in ensuring that water takes are not 'banked'. A holder of a water permit should be able to transfer water only if they have a take that is efficient for their given activity and have taken action to reduce consumption even further. It should not be available to those who seek a take greater than is required for their specific use specifically to trade the excess.</p> <p>Efficiency reviews should also be provided for on termination of a water permit for the same reasons.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Amen the explanation to make it clear what the implications are.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.4. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.4. It is <i>inferred</i> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified (Inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.4 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4.					
1258	Gary Barnett	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
249	James Jones	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than two five years.</i> " And, the Policy is combined with Policy 5.4.3.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	175	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.4.1 applies to forestry consents.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.4.1.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extent the default lapse period, or providing the ability for applicants to seek to extend the lapse period where necessary (e.g. for new vineyard operations).					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend the lapse period beyond 2 years. The submission does not provide an alternative lapse period.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows: <i>"The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than two years, <u>unless a longer lapsing period is justified for significant infrastructure or due to the scale or complexity of the activity.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows: The lapse period for water permits to take water shall <i>generally</i> be no more than two years, <i>however, a longer lapse period may be agreed where Council is satisfied that a longer period would be appropriate in the circumstances.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The explanatory text for this Policy is amend as follows (bold) - " <i>Section 125(1A)(a) specifies that a resource consent does not lapse if the consent is "given effect to."</i> There was uncertainty during the administration of the previous resource management plans as to what this term meant in the context of a water permit. To avoid confusion in the future, this policy clearly describes that a water permit is given effect to when, in conjunction with Policy 7.4, water is taken from the freshwater resource, the take is measured via an appropriate meter and the water is used for the purpose in which it was granted. Giving effect to in the case of a staged development is as when water is taken for the first stage. "					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to ensure that any water taken is used for the use authorised and not wasted.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.2.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.4.2.					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The policy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note that take required will vary from season to season and will depend on a range of factors including market demand and price for products produced by using the water. Give some indication of what proportion of a consented take should be considered sufficient to give effect to the consent. Not encourage inefficient water use simply to give effect to the consent. 					
431	Wine Marlborough	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3					
676	Dairy NZ	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.4.3.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to require water use permits to lapse in no more than two years.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.4.3 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3					
214	Bruce Mclauchlan	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Water Rights do not become a tradable asset					
272	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>That Section 5 be amended to include three passages regarding the "Portability/Transferability" of Water User rights(allocations).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be TWO classifications of Water Users:One termed specifically as "Portable/Transferable" to allow it to be removed to another location off the original property; the other as"Non-Removable/Non-Transferable", which cannot be removed from the property it sits on. • Unless a special "portable" classification of Resource Consent is sought; a Lessee of Land who seeks Resource Consent for the taking of Water, be classified as a Custodian of the Consent, with the ownership transferred automatically to the Land-Owner at the termination or scheduled end of the Lease Agreement. • As part of the approval process, the Land-Owner(Lessor) should be required to sign-off on the application, and sign an acknowledgement form if the Resource Consent application is for a"Portable/Transferable" Water Use permit. <p>My choice of wording("portable" / "transferable") is designed to confine any rule change to the REMOVAL of water-usage from a property without the expressed consent of the Land-Owner. It is NOT the intent of the author to prevent a well from being bored elsewhere on the same property under the same Resource Consent, which is a reasonable modification to a Water Take/Use permit. In forwarding this amendment recommendation, I think it is important to state that we are looking to improve the protections of all that benefit from our natural resources, we are not looking for any personal gain or business advantage for ourselves.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - "Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the transfer of water permits. Enable the transferring of water between water users either within the same FMU or catchment or groundwater aquifer provided the effects of the transfer upon existing users of the water resource is adequately mitigated."</p>					
431	Wine Marlborough	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove this policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
676	Dairy NZ	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An expectation that the person who receives the transferred water right is then responsible for monitoring, and for all other conditions attached to a permit for water take.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Water allocation, surplus to the needs of the owner, should be determined by the controlling authority with due process. The surplus water allocation can then be re-allocated on application by another party, by the controlling authority.</p> <p>2. Change the water allocation process so that there is more control by MDC, and so that more efficiency of water use is achieved.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that the water transfer regime is removed from the plan and consent holders reduced to the amount necessary to provide for their (intended) land use.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy from the MEP.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The reasons beneath this policy state that the provisions to implement this policy and policy 5.4.5 will be introduced via a Plan Change. Ngai Tahu considers that the full framework is required to form a complete view on this proposal.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.4 as follows: <i>"Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the <u>permanent or temporary</u> transfer of water permits."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1218	Villa Maria	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4 but add further guidance on the criteria that will be applied to determining the acceptability of permit transfers.					
91	Marlborough District Council	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.5(c) as follows (bold) - " <i>the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit;</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to add the following - " <i>(h) the effects of the transfer on other consented takes.</i> "					
431	Wine Marlborough	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy (inferred).					
473	Delegat Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove this Policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5					
676	Dairy NZ	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.5 to read: Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where: (a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit; (b) the transferee’s intended use is separately assessed and subject to consent to ensure that the environmental effects of that use are assessed and appropriately controlled . (b) (c) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; (c) (d) the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit; (d) (e) metered take and use data is transferred to the Council by both the transferor and the transferee in real time using telemetry; (e) (f) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016; (f) (g) the transferee holds a water permit to take water if their abstraction point differs from the that of the transferor; and (g) (h) the transferee holds a water permit to use water.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.5 to remove reference to enhanced transfer system so as to enable the transfer of water as part of this plan. For example: Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable tThe full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where: ...					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	174	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of commercial forests in the region.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
1087	Rai Mussels Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.4.5: <i>Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</i> (e) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016; <i>(g) the transferee holds a water permit to use water applied for and granted after 9 June 2016.</i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject until such time as the full package of provisions is available.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.5 as follows: <i>“(a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit; (b) it is not a transfer of a water permit from downstream of a hydro-electric power station to upstream of that station. (c) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; ...”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5					
431	Wine Marlborough	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the community are provided with information on the daily water use of all water resources and not only those that are fully allocated.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
676	Dairy NZ	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
1218	Villa Maria	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
676	Dairy NZ	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5E.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5E					
273	Bev James	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -</p> <p><i>"Exceedance's of allocable flows will be phased out by some or all of the following methods:</i></p> <p><i>a) Ceasing any new allocation of water (not including the replacement of previously consented taking of water subject to the requirements of s124B of the RMA after 9 August 2008)</i></p> <p><i>b) Encouraging voluntary reductions or promoting water augmentation/harvesting</i></p> <p><i>c) Reviewing conditions of existing consents to determine if any efficiency gains can be made, including through altering the volume, rate or timing of the take provided this does not invalidate the exercise of the consent for its original purpose</i></p> <p><i>d) Shared reduction across the catchment either by consent review for existing takes or as resource consents for takes expire. Shared reductions may also be achieved by anticipating the expiry of existing consents in a catchment</i></p> <p><i>e) Rostering users, so they are not all taking at once or alternatively reducing the rate of permissible takes</i></p> <p><i>f) Directing new applications or replacement of existing resource consents consider alternatives to the water take or to other potential sources of water (e.g. groundwater, water harvesting)</i></p> <p><i>g) Temporarily restricting the taking of water by the issuing of a water shortage direction under section 329 of the RMA</i></p> <p><i>h) Encouraging the establishment of catchment groups or voluntary agreements between water users to achieve necessary reductions in catchment water use</i></p> <p><i>i) Reduce permitted takes, excluding those provided for by s14 (3)(b) of the RMA, through a pro rata reduction in the rate of take and where necessary through a reduction in the daily permitted volume via a plan change</i></p> <p><i>j) Undertake an assessment of sustainable yield or allocable flow."</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide a specific timeframe for eliminating the over allocation of water and that over allocation is phased out by 2030.					
676	Dairy NZ	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain objective and add the following to the accompanying explanation for Objective 5.5: <i>In accordance with s131 of the RMA the Council will consider shall have regard to the matters in section 104 and to whether the activity allowed by the consent will continue to be viable after the change.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.5 and associated policies.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and add policy direction for review of consents in any catchment where allocation has unanticipated environmental effects.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.5 and the supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for reducing over-allocation over time.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.5, provided the allocation limits in Schedule 6 are properly derived, and the phase out is occurs in a fair way as between water users.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
479	Department of Conservation	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.1					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.1 as follows: Recognise that the following Freshwater Management Units are under pressure and undertake a process to identify limits for these FMU's that incorporate all identified values.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.1.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request clarification and confirmation of the status of allocations within the Omaka River FMU. NZDF would be happy to further discuss these matters with Council prior to the Plan hearings.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	PRW reserves its position - it may need to oppose this classification subject to further information being provided.					
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) - <i>"No new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016."</i> "Except as provided for by S124 of the Act, no new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from water resources which as been identified as over allocated. The council may grant permits pursuant to S124 provided the amount of water being sought is reasonable for its intended use, and is the same or lesser rate and volume of the permit already held."					
479	Department of Conservation	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.2					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.2 to refer to allocation as opposed to abstraction so as to provide for further non-consumptive water takes. Policy 5.5.2 - No new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction <u>allocation</u> from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.2.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Clarify whether the policy applies to reapplication.</p> <p>Change activity status to non-complying.</p> <p>Possible drafting would be:</p> <p><u><i>"Generally avoid granting new water permits for additional abstraction (i.e. not including applications to continue taking and using groundwater or surface water) from the water resources listed in Policy 5.5.1, so long as they remain over-allocated."</i></u></p>					
255	Warwick Lissaman	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an exception for properties and existing schemes that cross FMU boundaries.					
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " Avoid Require appropriate supporting information before considering any additional diversion of water from over-allocated water resources for use on land in other freshwater management units."					
479	Department of Conservation	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide stronger direction around the diversion of water and to ensure that no new permits for the diversion of water will be granted in over-allocated water resources similar to the wording of policy 5.5.2..					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Awatere Users Group seeks confirmation from Council that there will be <u>no additional allocation</u> of water to land outside the Awatere River FMU .					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.3					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.3.					
5	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.					
314	Dale Hulburt	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.					
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
479	Department of Conservation	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the policy applies to water takes with consents prior to 9 June 2016 and that reference is made to the reasonable use policy sought by Fish and Game. The policy also needs to be amended to reflect the total water allocated from the catchment by 2030.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.4 to read: Policy 5.5.4 – Progressively resolve over-allocation of the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit and Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit by ensuring water permits granted after 9 June 2016 to continue taking water from the Freshwater Management Units reflect the reasonable demand reasonable demand and efficient practice as assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria given the intended use .					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4 but apply a reasonable use test for all water takes.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4, subject to amendment in relation to 'reasonable demand'.					
5	Dale Hulburt	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.					
479	Department of Conservation	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	86	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the total of all water allocated does not exceed the limit set by 2030.					
676	Dairy NZ	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 5.5.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.5.5 – Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, and the type of intended use, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i></p> <p>Explanation</p> <p>This policy sets out the means by which the over-allocation of groundwater from the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer FMUs will be resolved. A reduction in the allocation that has been granted resource consent, based on reallocating the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area and current use and reasonable water requirements, is considered to be the most equitable means of reducing total allocation of water from these FMUs. This recognises already established land use reasonable water use needs and associated significant investment that may accompany this existing land use. Where water use is for non-irrigation purposes, such as winery or commercial use, the proportion of the reallocation will be calculated to be relative to irrigation water permit holders.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.5 to read: Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits. to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.5					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.5.5 and rely on Policy 5.5.4 or Policy 5.7.2 to give effect to Policy B6 of the NPS Freshwater.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.5: as follows: Apply a reasonable use test to all takes in the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka FMU's to ensure that allocations reflect required amounts. Undertake a review of the limits for the aquifers to ensure that they reflect all values. If additional reductions are then required they will be applied according to the priorities set out in Policy 5.3.1.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.5.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.5, subject to providing further guidance (including as sought) in relation to how reductions will be determined.					
1218	Villa Maria	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.5.5 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 5.5.5 Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding winery processing, domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	87	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5F (inferred)					
338	Gwyneth Lowe	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
479	Department of Conservation	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that it reflects that the taking of groundwater does not cause limits to be breached.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.6 and associated policies.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.6 to read: Objective 5.6 – Ensure that the taking of groundwater does not cause significant adverse effects on river flow limits to be breached . (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain this objective and add another objective to set out expectations for effects of groundwater abstraction on instream flows where this may where the change in flow is less than significant.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.6 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for managing significant adverse effects on river flows.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.6, subject to amendments to policies and rules to address PR's concerns.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Unless there is an identified aquifer dominant Freshwater Management Unit, all water within a catchment will be managed as a surface water resource. This means that the minimum flow, management flow and allocation limit established for the river dominant Freshwater Management Unit will also apply to groundwater takes. A transition period (the Submitter has not provided any specific duration for the period) is provided so that those with existing groundwater takes can organise alternative sources.</i> "					
479	Department of Conservation	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy but amend the titles of tables in Appendix 5 to assist plan users in identifying surface water and aquifer dominated FMUs.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.1					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.1.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.6.1 or amend to address PR's concerns.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
249	James Jones	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	More data and understanding is required before limits are set. All Wairau Aquifer groundwater users must take responsibility for maintaining minimum aquifer levels/Spring flows, not just Spring sector users.					
338	Gwyneth Lowe	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
479	Department of Conservation	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
632	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review minimum levels for the following FMU's - Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU; - Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and - Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.2					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.2.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	PRW reserves its position - it may be necessary for it to oppose this policy.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	91	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
676	Dairy NZ	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5G.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5G					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy be added under Objective 5.7 as follows - " <i>To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i> "					
431	Wine Marlborough	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include requirement for the rate required to be based on efficient irrigation/best practice.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
871	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be added stating that resource consent to abstract and use irrigation water includes all reasonable associated machinery and land uses (that is, associated non-irrigation purposes).					
907	Levide Capital Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.7. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.7. It is <i>inferred</i> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.7 and supporting policies to provide more appropriate and considered method for allocating water for given uses.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7, subject to amendment to policies to address PR's concerns (including in relation to the way in which IrriCalc is used).					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.7 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>When resource consent is to be granted to take and use water, every proposed use will be authorised where appropriate by a separate water permit. Categories include municipal, irrigation, industrial, residential, commercial and frost fighting.</i> "					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	93	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.1 by deleting 'every proposed use will be authorised by a separate water permit'.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with the addition of a new policy:</p> <p>Add a new policy: The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</p>					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
249	James Jones	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Make calculations specific to soil type and property location.					
296	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re visit Irricalc, more science based approach and make the model more adaptable.					
321	Simon and Richard Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 5. 7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. Policy 5. 7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use. That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u>Policy 5. 7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation pur poses and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u>					
322	Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Assurance that "Irricalc" be calibrated to deliver water to "worst case" scenario within variable soil types.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows (bold) - " <i>To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i> "					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
374	Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.3.					
431	Wine Marlborough	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2. (<i>inferred</i>)					
431	Wine Marlborough	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only: Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 5.7.2 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that:</p> <p>(a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate.</p> <p>(b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council".</p> <p>(c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool.</p> <p>(d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved.</p> <p>(e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	94	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the policy with a more thorough policy, one reasonable to achieve the same intent as Policy 5-12 of the One Plan .</p> <p>The amount of water taken by resource users must be reasonable and justifiable for the intended use. In addition, the following specific measures for ensuring reasonable and justifiable use of water must be taken into account when considering consent applications to take water for irrigation, public water supply, animal drinking water, dairy shed wash down or industrial use, and during reviews of consent conditions for these activities.</p> <p>(a) For irrigation, resource consent applications must be required to meet a reasonable use test in relation to the maximum daily rate of abstraction, the irrigation return period and the seasonal or annual volume of the proposed take. When making decisions on the reasonableness of the rate and volume of take sought, the Regional Council must:</p> <p>(i) consider land use, crop water use requirements, on-site physical factors such as soil water-holding capacity, and climatic factors such as rainfall variability and potential evapo-transpiration lower application efficiency), or on the basis of a higher efficiency where an application is for an irrigation system with a higher efficiency</p> <p>(iii) link actual irrigation use to soil moisture measurements or daily soil moisture budgets in consent conditions.</p> <p>(b) For domestic use, animal drinking water and dairy shed wash down water, reasonable needs must be calculated as:</p> <p>(i) up to 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs</p> <p>(ii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for drinking water</p> <p>(iii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for dairy shed wash down.</p> <p>(c) For industrial uses, water allocation must be calculated where possible in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry.</p> <p>(d) For public water supplies, the following must generally be considered to be reasonable:</p> <p>(i) an allocation of 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(ii) an allocation for commercial use equal to 20% of the total allocation for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(iii) an allocation for industrial use calculated, where possible, in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry, plus</p> <p>(iv) an allocation necessary for hospitals, other facilities providing medical treatment, marae, schools or other education facilities, New Zealand Defence Force facilities or correction facilities, plus</p> <p>(v) an allocation necessary for public amenity and recreational facilities such as gardens, parks, sports fields and swimming pools, plus</p> <p>(vi) an allocation necessary to cater for the reasonable needs of animals or agricultural uses that are supplied by the public water supply system, plus</p> <p>(vii) an allocation necessary to cater for growth, where urban growth of the municipality is provided for in an operative district plan for the area and is reasonably forecast, plus (viii) an allocation for leakage equal to 15% of the total of (i) to (vii) above.</p> <p>(e) When making decisions on consent applications where the existing allocation for a public water supply exceeds the allocation determined in accordance with (d)(i) to (d)(vi) above:</p> <p>(i) consideration must be given to imposing a timeframe within which it is reasonably practicable for the existing allocation to be reduced to the determined amount, or</p> <p>(ii) if (i) is not imposed, an alternative allocation must be determined based on the particular social and economic circumstances of the community serviced by the public water supply and the actual and potential effects of the abstraction on the relevant Schedule B Values for the reach of river or its bed affected by the take.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy wording					
	(a) That Policy 5.7.2 be amended so that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>					
	(b) That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X - To recognise that land users require water for use other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations for water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2					
676	Dairy NZ	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.2 to read: Policy 5.7.2 – To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand <u>and efficient practice assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria</u> given the intended use .					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.2 to clarify that water will be allocated to non-irrigation uses based on what is reasonable and that this will be determined on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use. For example:					
	<p>Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use <u>by:</u></p> <p><u>a) for irrigation, applying the 'irricalc' irrigation demand tool; or</u></p> <p><u>b) for other uses, determining what is reasonable on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use.</u></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2 Amend Explanation by inserting after IrriCalc: 'or alternative model where IrriCalc does not include specific crops'					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
777	Investavine Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2.					
871	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended to refer to irrigation water only.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
910	Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
966	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.7.2 to refer to "<u>irrigation water</u>".</p> <p>Amend explanation to address PR's concerns. This policy (which pertains) to water allocation should also facilitate the consideration of 'property specific information' where appropriate (this is currently only provided for at Policy 5.7.3 below, which relates to water use rather than water takes).</p>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1159	Spring Creek Vintners	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation water</u> on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
1162	Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation water</u> on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use by requiring resource consent applicants to provide detailed information on the intended use as part of applications.					
1218	Villa Maria	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>					
	That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i>					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>					
	That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i>					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
224	William Crosse	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	All water permits should be reviewed regardless of their term to ensure water is allocated according to the principles set out in Policy 5.7.3. This will help ensure water is most efficiently used and those irrigators on light soils are not compromised as described in my comments on Policy 5.3.7.					
273	Bev James	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
288	Mike Croad	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
297	Red Barn Vineyards	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-word the Policy as follows - " <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i> "					
374	Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
431	Wine Marlborough	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3. (inferred)					
431	Wine Marlborough	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i>					
473	Delegat Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 5.7.3 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that: (a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate. (b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council". (c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool. (d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved. (e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	95	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the words "except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information"					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <u>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not <u>only</u> be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use exceeds <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, except <u>or where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water <u>or need to extend the irrigation period</u> based on property specific information.</u> </u>					
676	Dairy NZ	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.3 to read: Policy 5.7.3 – Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds efficient practice or the the reasonable use calculation, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information and: a. That water is being used on site. b. That additional water use is necessary for the specific use. c. The applicant demonstrates that the water will be used efficiently d. The permit includes review dates to assess use and efficiency. e. The additional take will not result in over-allocation.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.3 to allow specific use and demand information to be considered when making decisions on non-irrigation use consents. For example: Policy 5.7.3 - Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds what is considered the reasonable use calculation in terms of Policy 5.7.2, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property, demand, or activity specific information.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 5.7.3 'Or the crop grown is not provided for in IrriCalc.'					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
776	Indevin Estates Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
777	Investavine Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3.					
871	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There should be an enabling policy direction for such circumstances. In addition, there should be rights for use up to the maximum summer daily rate, at shoulder periods (spring and autumn) even where there is not the normal summer demand, but nevertheless seasonal irrigation is desirable post-harvest or otherwise.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
966	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></u>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the ability to refer to property specific information in determining reasonable use, but replace the policy with the wording in PRW's submission. Alternatively, if the policy is retained in substantially its current form, replace "calculation" with "assessment". In any event, the references to IrriCalc in the explanation should also be substantially revised.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1159	Spring Creek Vintners	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>					
1162	Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
1218	Villa Maria	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <u>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not only be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use exceeds <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, except <u>or</u> where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water <u>or need to extend the irrigation period</u> based on property specific information.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
193	Sue James	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I agree that the water outlet should have a verified water meter at the pump. I do not agree that a datalogger is necessary for domestic users.					
322	Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council introduce these data logger/telemetry logger, only upon expiration of current water permits or for new permits.					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Require water permit holders to measure their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take and use information by the use of telemetry. Alternative methods of measurement, recording or transfer that provide the Marlborough District Council with accurate water take and use data may be considered."</i></p> <p>"Require water takes to be measured to within +/- (the Submitter has not provided any specific percentage) % of the water take."</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.7.4:</p> <p><i>Require water permit holders to measure their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take information by the use of telemetry, record and transfer the information from their water take using a meter and data management system that is capable of recording real time information, and telemetering this to the Marlborough District Council.</i></p> <p><i>Alternative methods of measurement.....</i></p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.7.4 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering by inserting an exclusion for construction dewatering as follows:</p> <p><u>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.5.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.5 to remove the unnecessary confusion and possible ambiguity arising from the separate reference to "municipal" water use in addition to other activities, but with the definition of 'municipal supply' including all of these activities when the supply is administered by Council.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	96	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that introduce a minimum efficiency standard for irrigation applications of at least 80% efficiency.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
676	Dairy NZ	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.7.6.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	The current allocation should remain at 10m3 per day or if there is to be a reduction it should be based on the size of the property and amenity area.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	97	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7.					
676	Dairy NZ	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.7.7 as notified.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposed, <u>where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and only</u> where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.8 to clarify the information that would be required to justify use of water for frost fighting.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to " <u>reasonably practicable</u> effective alternative methods".					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.8 be deleted.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8 but note that the preferred method of frost fighting in the vineyards that are contiguous with the Wairau Valley Township be by water.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposes, <u>where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and only</u> where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.9 as follows: Water takes for frost fighting purposes will be based on the requirements for the specific crop. Add to the Explanation A limitation of 44 cubic metres per hour per hectare may be applied unless the applicant demonstrates a greater requirement.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.9.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.9.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.79 [<i>inferred</i>].					
288	Mike Croad	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy (inferred).					
297	Red Barn Vineyards	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy (inferred).					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy (inferred).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between during periods of peak irrigation demand (1 January to and 30 31 April <u>March</u> in any calendar year →.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	86	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.7.10.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend: <i><u>"generally avoid"</u></i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between during periods of peak irrigation demand (1 January to and 30 April <u>March</u> in any calendar year)-</i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
676	Dairy NZ	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	87	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend: <i><u>"encourage</u> require a minimum storage volume..."</i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
455	John Hickman	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5H					
456	George Mehlhopt	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5H					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	98	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
676	Dairy NZ	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 6th sentence of the explanation for Issue 5H:</p> <p><i>The imposition of environmental flows/levels to protect the life-supporting capacity of the water resource can result in the restriction or suspension of abstraction from those water resources. The outcome is one in which water users, particularly irrigators, cannot access water at the very time they need it the most. In such circumstances there is the potential for failure of crops, reduced pasture growth or at least reduced yield/production.</i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5H					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That (inferred):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council should adopt a more open view to the storage of water this has to be done with the required safety issues of course but more flexibility needs to be displayed, i.e., no Resource Consent for smaller damming propositions as long as they are professionally engineered, perhaps 10000m3 and below. • Properties with Southern Valley connections and water take are urged to use this facility especially in regard to original commitment. This would take the pressure off ground water reserves and aquifer. • Those that actively and publicly opposed the scheme should be last on as this has caused a lot of friction between neighbours. • New Resource Consent applications for water either from ground water, run off or river take should be tied to storage, storage pertinent to the land use option chosen. 					
359	WilkesRM Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies – <i>“Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.”</i>					
431	Wine Marlborough	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8. (inferred)					
431	Wine Marlborough	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Add a policy under Objective 5.8 as follows - <i>“Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.”</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	132	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
456	George Mehlhopt	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Accolade submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.</p> <p><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></p> <p>The MEP makes reference to Soil Sensitive Areas in a number of locations. Accolade appreciate the difference in soils and soils types and the differing nature of those soils with respect to discharges, disturbance and productivity however the scale of the current mapping is extensive.</p> <p>Accolade submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.</p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective (inferred).					
472	ME Taylor Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
473	Delegat Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Delegats submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.</p> <p><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	99	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
676	Dairy NZ	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policies be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the objective to recognise that limits include adequate provision for instream biodiversity during low flows					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Indevin submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</u></i>					
844	K and L Morgan Partnership	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	K & L Morgan submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.8 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for providing reasonable availability.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
966	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	MRC submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
975	Mufaletta Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Mufaletta Limited submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1159	Spring Creek Vintners	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SCV submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
1162	Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1218	Villa Maria	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8.					
1218	Villa Maria	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Villa submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i>					
1237	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	133	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
456	George Mehlhopt	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	100	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
676	Dairy NZ	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.8.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.8.1 – Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues <u>where storage is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health.</u></p> <p>Given Marlborough’s dry climate, especially over the summer months, storage of water has been utilised as a common strategy to offset temporary shortages of water for irrigation purposes. Storage has involved the interception of runoff by damming ephemeral water bodies, the damming of intermittently or permanently flowing water bodies and the placement of abstracted water in purpose-built reservoirs. There may also be the potential to augment river flow from the stored water. All of these approaches provide a back-up supply of water that increases water user resilience. For this reason the storage of water is strongly supported.</p> <p><u>Storage can have significant adverse effects on ecosystem health either through changes in flow or as a result of the increased use that storage provides for and the effects of that use on water quality. Water storage should not be encouraged unless it is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health and achieving water quality targets.</u></p> <p>In some cases, activity status will assist to encourage the storage of water by providing for activities involved in storing water as a permitted activity or controlled activity.</p> <p>Damming of intermittently or permanently flowing waterbodies can create the potential for adverse effects. These effects will be considered through Policies 5.2.21 and 5.2.22.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.8.1</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1 as drafted.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments. Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues, <u>while also remedying and mitigating any adverse effects on the environment created by storage.</u>					
1218	Villa Maria	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9 and Policy 5.3.10.					
431	Wine Marlborough	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2. (<i>inferred</i>)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	134	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
456	George Mehlhopt	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (<i>inferred</i>)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (<i>inferred</i>).					
472	ME Taylor Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
473	Delegat Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	101	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the appropriate timing of takes for storage is reflected in the policy including the waterbody being above median flow, and that the take is no more than 20% of the flow at that time and that the take does not cause a lowering of or below median flow.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
676	Dairy NZ	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	91	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2, with clarifications.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1218	Villa Maria	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
249	James Jones	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	135	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
455	John Hickman	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
473	Delegat Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	102	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy wording:</p> <p>(a) <u>Policy 5.8.3 - In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></p> <p>(b) Also change the last paragraph from:</p> <p><i>For this reason, the policy limits the rate of take of water for storage purposes to the authorised daily take for irrigation purposes. This still provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. but also ensures that the abstraction would have no greater effect on existing users than the daily take solely for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>Replace last paragraph with the following:</p> <p><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and Class B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined):</p> <p><i>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. <u>The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awatere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awatere River in April and May if Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
777	Investavine Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
910	Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3 as an appropriate and efficient use of water.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1218	Villa Maria	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></p>					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined):</p> <p><u>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate for irrigation purposes. The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awatere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awatere River in April and May in Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></p> <p><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.8.3 as follows: Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that, <u>where the consented use of water is for irrigation purposes</u>, the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - "<u>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water the amount required for reasonable use.</u>"</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	136	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water, unless storing water in a remote location (not defined by Submitter) where the annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two (alternative not specified by Submitter) irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water."</i> (Inferred)					
455	John Hickman	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4					
456	George Mehlhopt	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	103	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	93	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.8.4 as follows: The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons years for the property or properties to be served by the stored water"					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
455	John Hickman	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5					
456	George Mehlhopt	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	104	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to provide greater clarity around the Council's desired method for accounting for water storage.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	94	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
431	Wine Marlborough	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 51	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Issue be deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Issue 5I be deleted in entirety.					
676	Dairy NZ	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5J.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	95	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5I					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
1218	Villa Maria	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
431	Wine Marlborough	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Objective be deleted.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Issue 51, Objective 5.9, Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3 along with Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Objective 5.9 be deleted in entirety.					
676	Dairy NZ	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.9.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include policies that apply an efficiency test to all existing uses on application for renewal of water permits in order to prevent water banking and frees up allocation for new users.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	96	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objectives 5.9 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	86	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.9.					
1218	Villa Maria	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Objective 5.9.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of ballot. Provision should be made for industry oversight of ballot system.</i> " (Inferred - Submitter did not specify how provision should be made.)					
431	Wine Marlborough	91	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy be deleted.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.1 be deleted in entirety.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.1 – Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of ballottender.</i></p> <p>This policy sets out in principle that any water that becomes available to re-allocate shall be allocated via ballottender. A ballottender is considered by water users to be the most equitable way to determine who should receive the water given the likely competition for the water amongst existing users. It avoids the situation of a person gaining access to water in preference to other potential users based on the nature of the use or because they were first to make an application.</p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	97	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	87	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1; consider alternative mechanisms of allocation consistent with section 30(1) (fa) and 30(4) RMA, such as a tender process.					
1218	Villa Maria	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy be deleted.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.2 be deleted in entirety.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.2: <i>Policy 5.9.2 – On securing the ballottender, the successful ballottertenderer must apply for the necessary water permits to authorise the taking and (if relevant) use of water. Until the successful ballotter(s)tenderer(s) secures the necessary water permits, the water resource is considered fully allocated.</i></p> <p>The policy sets out what the successful ballottertenderer must do to secure the allocation gained through a ballottender. As existing water permits define the spatial extent and rate of use, any proposed additional use would exceed existing allocations expressed in consents to take and use water. This means that a separate water permit would be required to authorise the taking and use of water. This policy secures the ability to make such an application without predetermining the outcome. While this process is underway, the water resource is considered to remain fully allocated to prevent a third party making an application for a water permit that would effectively nullify the result of the ballottender.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					
676	Dairy NZ	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	98	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.2.					
1218	Villa Maria	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.2.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	93	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy be deleted.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.3 be deleted in entirety.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.3 – If required, any ballottender will be conducted on the following basis:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at least annually for the calendar year;</i></p> <p><i>(b) if the water permit holder already holds a water permit to take and use water for the same purpose, then they must surrender the original water permit before giving effect to the new water permit; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) if the subsequent water permit application to authorise the taking of water is not made within 12 months of the ballot result or the water permit application is refused, then that water will be re-balloted in the subsequent year.</i></p> <p>The matters in (a) to (c) set out procedurally how any ballottender to allocate water would be conducted. These matters will therefore guide the ballottender process, if any ballottender is required.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	99	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.9.3.:					
	(b) an independent scrutineer will be appointed to oversee the ballot process					
	(c) the ballot shall be determined by lot					
	(b) (d) if the water permit....					
	(c) (e) if the subsequent water permit....					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.3.					
1218	Villa Maria	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
91	Marlborough District Council	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend para 5 of 5.M.1 as follows (strike through) - " <i>Prohibit the taking, use, damming or diversion of water where those activities would adversely affect the significant values of outstanding water bodies.</i> "					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.2.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
431	Wine Marlborough	94	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method be deleted.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method in its entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Method 5.M.3 to be deleted in its entirety.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 5.M.3.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method in its entirety.					
1218	Villa Maria	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety.					
370	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method to include the provision of information on monitoring and policing of restrictions on water takes.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the last sentence of the paragraph as follows: <i>If a water user group exists for the FMU, then the Council will seek to <u>work with the group</u> with it to <u>assist Council running the ballot.</u></i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.5.					
455	John Hickman	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.M.6					
456	George Mehlhopt	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.M.6					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.9.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method of Implementation 5.M.9:</p> <p><i>Encourage water users to undertake soil moisture monitoring irrigation scheduling on irrigated properties so that irrigation occurs to maintain soil moisture levels. This will result in more responsive and efficient use of water.</i></p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS strongly supports the introduction of coastal occupation charges by the Marlborough District Council.</p> <p>EBCS also supports the starting point for determining fees from “the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote sustainable management of the coastal marine area” (Policy 5.10.7).</p> <p>The EBCS recommends, however, that the MDC considers further the way in which coastal occupancy charges will be determined. There is a need for an effective, transparent, workable, understandable charging regime linked to a long term plan for sustainable management of the coastal marine area.</p> <p>We recommend the following changes to 5J.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That MDC develops a long term, co-ordinated management plan as the basis for setting the priorities and determining the expenditure necessary to achieve sustainable management of the coastal marine area. This would be a dynamic plan, reviewed and implemented progressively and with possibilities for involvement by interested community organisations and businesses. 2. That coastal occupancy charges should be set for a minimum of 4 years ahead, so that those paying can forward manage their marine projects and those implementing the plan have continuity. 3. That the directive in s64A RMA - to consider the public benefits lost or gained, and the private benefit gained - should be a criterion for determining the level of coastal occupancy charges in <i>Policy 5.10.7</i>. We suggest this wording is substituted for the wording currently in <i>Policy 5.10.7(c)</i> so that the basis for assessment is clearly understandable. 4. That the proportion of public/private benefit is reflected in the coastal occupancy charges for shared use infrastructures, such as substantial public use of jetties, by way of discount or other MDC contribution to private maintenance costs. 5. That the public/private assessment methodology should reflect the difference between use of public space for commercial ventures and for residential necessity. We do not support Policy 5.10.4 because it excludes the associated activity from consideration in assessing fees. 6. That the community has an opportunity for consultation on the methodology for setting the fees and the actual proposed fees before these are finalised, possibly at the time of release of the Aquaculture Policies and Marine Farms Management Provisions. 7. We commend to MDC the simple methodology we proposed previously - <i>“the actual charge should be based on a fixed administrative cost per structure, plus a per square metre charge, divided by a factor reflecting the utility provided to the general public”</i>. We consider this captures the practical issues relevant to any methodology, and has the benefit of being explicit and understandable. 					
203	Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the coastal occupancy charges section from the environment plan.					
311	George Rose	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject issue 5j and delete from proposed plan					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Issue is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	100	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5J.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the charge to coastal permit holders of moorings, jetties and boat sheds [<i>inferred</i>].					
233	Totaranui Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Policy under the Objective as follows -</p> <p>"Occupancy charges will not be introduced until all marine farms and other activities that may be subject to them have been assessed and any such charges are implemented for all farms at the same time, subject to any variances that may result from consideration of specific circumstances. The will be consideration of variances that may exist on a farm by farm basis."</p> <p>(<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
233	Totaranui Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a Policy under the Objective as follows -</p> <p>"Coastal occupancy charges will:</p> <p>(a) be establish by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</p> <p>(b) be for the following amounts.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</p> <p>(c) be set and charged using a public process, including consultation with all interested organisations prior to be established and implemented;</p> <p>(d) be charged by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter)."</p> <p>(<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
233	Totaranui Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - <i>"Coastal occupancy charges will not be utilised or charged as a source of revenue with or for a profit, and records of their expenditure and the reasons for their expenditure and the tangible environmental outcome will be reported on an annual basis and specific projects and the budgeted cost of these on which the charges are based will be provided, be publicly accessible and be reviewed on an annual basis through a public process involving consultation and allowing submissions."</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.10. Use of the word "Equitable" is vague in this context. The word "equitable" should be replaced with "efficient." The commentary to objective 5.10 should note that this "manages conflicts between users" rather than "avoids conflicts."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) - "Equitable Efficient and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area." And, in the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective amend as follows (strike through and bold) - " ...avoids conflicts manages conflicts between users".					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.10.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.10.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text of Objective 5.10 by adding new text at the end of the explanation, as follows: <u><i>The Council is not responsible for allocating fisheries resources or access to fisheries resources, however, as this is the role of the Ministry of Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</i></u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	101	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 5.10: <i>Objective 5.10 Equitable and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area while recognizing cumulative effects in a finite resource.</i>					
1140	Sanford Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace with <u>Efficient</u> .					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability.					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.10.1 as follows: <i>Recognition that there are no inherent rights <u>under the RMA</u> to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	102	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new policy (bold) is included in this section of the MEP that states the following or similar. Ideally such a policy would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1: <i>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.1 Recognition that there are no inherent rights to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area.</i> Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new policy (bold) is included, and ideally would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a legitimate occupier of coastal space over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.10.2</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Support the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. Should mooring areas be established, iwi will have a portion (Submitter did not specify the size of the portion) of space set aside for iwi use."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation:</p> <p><i>The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. If alternative methods of allocation are considered such will be publicly notified and also discussed with the Sounds Advisory Group.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	103	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.2.					
1253	Michael Philip Rothwell	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Johnny come lately cannot expect the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area to wait for their arrival, needs must at the time often applies.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the words "necessary and" from policy 5.10.3, so that it reads "to that reasonable to undertake..."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) - <i>"Where a right to occupy the coastal marine area is sought, the area of exclusive occupation should be minimised to that necessary and reasonable to undertake the activity, having regard to the public interest."</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	104	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include at the end of the Policy the words, 'cultural and environmental values'.					
7	Barry William Blackley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended so that it only applies to occupation associated with marine farming. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
258	Brent Yardley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.10.4 is supported without amendment provided that the equitability and transparency issues of policies 5.10.5, 5.10.7 are addressed and policy 5.10.8 is clarified.					
270	Cameron Lawes	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove coastal occupancy charges for jetties (inferred).					
337	CP and LE Womersley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is that no further charges (<i>Coastal Occupancy Charges</i>) be added to our means of legal access to our property (<i>Lot 1 DP 18488, Lot 1 DP 311518 and Lot 1 DP 18196</i>). (<i>It has been inferred that this submission relates to Policy 5.10.4.</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.4 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I submit that there must be consideration <i>in Policy 5.10.4 (inferred)</i> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>					
469	Ian Bond	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.10.4					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
569	Barbara Stewart	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request the moorings, wharves and boatshed per hectare charges be reasonable and balanced and fair particularly to privately owned property.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
638	David Archdall Robinson	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to exclude private owners on moorings and jettys [<i>inferred</i>].					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
687	Eleanor and Vera Burton	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include information regarding the charge and where the money will go. Allow additional time for those affected to make an informed submission after the information is released [<i>inferred</i>].					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
697	Elie Bay Residents	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.4: <i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area except for coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500 m2.</i>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	105	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
808	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.10.4 to exclude coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500m2.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy subject to the matters raised in the submission (inferred).					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
935	Melva Joy Robb	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i>					
950	Michael William Rosson	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject introducing coastal occupancy charges.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	197	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
1074	Rick Osborne	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 (inferred) : <i>Policy 5.10.4 – Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i>					
1075	Robin Pasley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1076	Raelyne Joyce Perkins	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	No coastal occupancy charge for people (property owners) with BOAT ACCESS ONLY to reach their property. Also to leave their boat on a safe mooring.					
1083	Rowland and Malcolm Woods	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4: <i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i>					
1135	Sheryll Stapleton	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1140	Sanford Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
1202	Tu Jaes Trust	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delay consideration and introduction of Coastal Occupancy Charges until after notification of the Marine Farming Provisions.					
233	Totaranui Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following: (a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation; (b) monitoring equipment; (c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area; (d) retaining walls; and (e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire;</i> (f) marine farms (g) marine farm and aquaculture activities will be either partially or wholly waived in respect of Maori interests pursuant to the distinction Maori interests and values and culture has and is recognised in the RMA as having from the wider community; and (h) under circumstances of financial distress that may result in sites becoming abandoned or run-down due to reduced financial resources." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
258	Brent Yardley	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.10.5 (e) be removed or edited so that marinas are not waived from paying coastal occupation charges.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; (b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and (c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013). The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the above relief is granted.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I submit that there must be consideration in Policy 5.10.5 (inferred) regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the following relief is granted:</p> <p>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
479	Department of Conservation	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through) to Policy 5.10.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment;</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) retaining walls; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
578	Pinder Family Trust	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	106	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.10.5 as follows: <i>The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i> <i>(d) retaining walls, <u>coastal protection structures, and stormwater outfalls</u>; ...</i></p> <p>Amend the Policy 5.10.5 reason as follows: <i>These waivers exist because the facilities owned by the Council, and the Department of Conservation <u>and other government agencies</u> provide a significant level of public benefit...</i></p>					
1083	Rowland and Malcolm Woods	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>If the MDC wishes to maintain its position it will need to quantify the public and private costs and there public and private benefits associated with each of the categories of structure on which it is contemplating imposing coastal occupancy charges.</p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how.</p>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 5.10.5.</p>					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy so the Council, DoC and Port Company are not exempt from the coastal occupancy charge. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Modify the list of the Policy to delete retaining walls and add the following wording, 'retaining structures that are sympathetic to environmental processes and seascapes, i.e. rock protection works, rock batter seawalls, etc.' Add to point b) the following wording, 'and structures that facilitate restoration of marine habitat, marine processes, and marine species'.</p>					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) retaining walls; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such a time as those resource consent expire; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) moorings provided by boating clubs.</i>"</p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(f) Moorings provided by boating clubs.</i></p>					
233	Totaranui Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Where there is an application by a resource consent holder to request a waiver (in whole or in part) of a coastal occupation charge, the following circumstances will be considered:</i> <i>(a) the extent to which the occupation is non-exclusive;</i> <i>(b) whether the opportunity to derive public benefit from the occupation is at least the same or greater than if the occupation did not exist;</i> <i>(c) whether the occupation is temporary and of a non-recurring nature;</i> <i>(d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i> <i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i> <i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation;</i> <i>(e) whether the applicant is a marine farm not achieving profitability.</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I submit that there must be consideration in Policy 5.10.6 (inferred) regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the following relief is granted:					
	a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;					
	b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and					
	c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (d) in this Policy as follows (bold) -					
	<i>" (d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, iwi trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i>					
	<i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i>					
	<i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation."</i>					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	107	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
950	Michael William Rosson	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Give Sounds residents a waiver if introduced.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.10.6 is amended to provide for any other relevant matter to be considered as well. Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
1140	Sanford Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend policy to waive the coastal occupancy charge for residents without road access. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
73	Thomas & Janet Sharp	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be amended to allow for additional beneficiaries/activities to be in the allocation of costs.</p> <p>2. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be further amended to direct the Council when setting the annual charge that they recognize total area of occupation is the primary factor to be considered in determining the allocation of the annual charge.</p>					
258	Brent Yardley	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.10.7 be amended to specify how the coastal occupation charges are to be calculated.					
332	Robert John Culbert	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy be amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the expenditure related to the Marlborough District Council's role in the sustainable management of Marlborough's coastal marine area has been established;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the anticipated exemptions and waivers from coastal occupancy charges has been considered;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the beneficiaries and allocation of costs fairly and equitably amongst beneficiaries has been decided; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the appropriate charge for the differing occupations to recover costs has been determined.</i></p> <p>Any money collected from coastal occupancy charges must be spent on improving the coastal amenity, and that the quantum of any money collected must be related to the area occupied."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I submit that there must be consideration in Policy 5.10.7 (inferred) regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.7 if the following relief is granted:</p> <p>a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Coastal occupancy charges should be set via the Long-Term Plan not the Annual Plan. The assessment of basic allocation matters should be made available to the public via an attachment to this plan (inferred) .					
578	Pinder Family Trust	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds (<i>inferred</i>).					
697	Elie Bay Residents	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7: <i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (2551%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (7549%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	108	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
736	Gregory Michael Schmetzer	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users.</p> <p>2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan.</p>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
808	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and gold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (25⁵¹%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (75⁴⁹%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p>					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation of Policy 5.10.7: <i>Policy 5.10.7 – The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i> <i>In deciding how to set charges, the Council has used as its starting point the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area in as much as it may be affected by the identified effects of coastal occupiers. The budgeted expenditure for this is described year to year in the Council's Annual Plan for the Environmental Science and Monitoring Group, Environmental Policy Group and Environmental Compliance and Education Group.</i> <i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (25%) as well as those benefiting from the occupation of public space (75%). The balance of funding required to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area in the wider sense will be sourced from elsewhere. The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i>					
1140	Sanford Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
258	Brent Yardley	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.10.8 be amended to include stakeholder group representation in the formal management of funds raised by coastal occupation charges for promoting sustainable management of the CMA.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; - Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); - The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and <p>Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "...will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.8 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.8					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>a) The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <p>b) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>c) Appropriate provision is made for the aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP);</p> <p>d) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's annual Plan. the level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and</p> <p>e) Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "... will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i></p> <p>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</p>					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.</p>					
687	Eleanor and Vera Burton	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy to clarify where the money is spend and why further funding is needed [<i>inferred</i>].</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds (<i>inferred</i>).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	134	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
697	Elie Bay Residents	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	That all monitoring results and reports are to be made public. This needs to be added to the wording of policy 5.10.8.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	109	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i></p> <p>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</p>					
808	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy so all monitoring and reports are made public.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.10.8: <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on contribute towards the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i>					
1083	Rowland and Malcolm Woods	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	More detailed information is required to enable those affected to be able to ensure that they are not being asked to fund activities which are properly the responsibility of someone other than the MDC.					
1140	Sanford Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> (x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include the organisations. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the list to state that Te Atiawa will be a member of the Board/Committee that determines how the funds will be distributed. Modify the list to include cultural projects, research and/or works.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 <i>(inferred)</i> : Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area: (x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.					
1202	Tu Jaes Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.8.					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) <i>"Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> <i>(a) implementation of a Coastal Monitoring Strategy;</i> <i>(b) State of the Environment monitoring;</i> <i>(c) research in relation to the state and workings of the natural, physical and social aspects of the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(d) education and awareness;</i> <i>(e) habitat and natural character restoration and enhancement;</i> <i>(f) managing marine biosecurity threats;</i> <i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access such as Council contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings;</i> <i>and</i> <i>(h) formal planning in the Resource Management Act 1991 planning context and strategic planning and overview in relation to the coastal environment."</i>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8: <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> <i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access including contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings; and</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation as it pertains to coastal occupation charges. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
697	Elie Bay Residents	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.M.11: <i>5.M.11 Annual Plan</i> <i>The level of charge to be applied to any activity for which a coastal permit is granted to occupy the coastal marine area is set out in the Council's Annual Plan Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	110	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Move method 5.M.11 to the coastal environment chapter.					
736	Gregory Michael Schmetzer	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users. 2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan.					
808	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the proposed pricing regime is set in the MEP.					
932	Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>ome that come to mind are that come to mind that EBS would like to see added to this AER</p> <p>1/ maintenance of marine Significant Areas - effectiveness no marine significant ares are degraded</p> <p>2/ increase in the number of Marine siggnificant areas - effectiveness the number of identified marine significant areas increases each year</p> <p>3/ Improvement of Benthic performance under aquaculture - effectiveness all farms operating withing industry best practice guidelines and no farm operating at or near azoic and anoxic levels.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	111	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.1:</p> <p><i>5.AER.1 Sufficient Maintain and/or enhance flow in rivers and adequate groundwater level to sustain reflecting sustainable management of natural and human use values supported by these water bodies.</i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	112	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	113	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.3					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.3: <i>5.AER.3 Maintenance of the significant values of outstanding Ensuring the survival of all water bodies and its flora and fauna, both rare and commonplace, in their natural communities and habitats are part of the preservation of representative samples of all classes of wetland ecosystems to preserve the remaining New Zealand character.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	114	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.4					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	115	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.5					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	116	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.6					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	117	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.7					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	118	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.8					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	119	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.AER.9					
680	Delwynne Horton	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
348	Murray Chapman	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so if perceived natural character is to be retained, monetary compensation on an annual basis is required to cover loss in production.					
369	Tony Hawke	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review this chapter of the MEP.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements). Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	146	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That appropriate subdivision, development and activities are provided for when consistent with Natural Character values. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes or additions sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 6.M.2A – “Natural Character Assessment Method.” New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Anticipated environmental results - focus is on retaining natural character. This is consistent with the wording in the issues and objectives (focus on maintenance/preservation). Supports approach where existing activities are allowed. Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	General opposition to use of the word "degree" throughout policies, if that is intended to refer to the scale outstanding - very low. The focus should be on the magnitude of the change, not whether the classification is affected. Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Alternative submission:</p> <p>Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements).</p> <p>Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016).</p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
574	Bryan Skeggs	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain alignment of the MEP in this respect with the RMA and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Objective 2 and NZCPS Policies 13 and 14 (<i>inferred</i>).					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Chapter 6 introduction to read:</p> <p>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</u> ● <u>coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments);</u> ● <u>biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</u> ● <u>biological processes and patterns;</u> ● <u>water flows and levels, and water quality; and</u> ● <u>the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes.</u> <p>Collectively, t These combine to create the overall natural character of the environment. Provisions included elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP) target the individual components of natural character and provide direction on how adverse effects on particular values can be managed. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapter 5 - Allocation of Public Resources • Chapter 7 - Landscape • Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity • Chapter 9 - Public Access and Open Space • Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment • Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) <p>However, there is a need for this m Management needs to be integrated in order to preserve natural character in coastal and freshwater environments. This ensures that the management of the individual components of natural character is co-ordinated to achieve a common end in the context of Section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) and of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM).</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	120	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introduction to provide clear outline and expectation of what is addressed in the chapter, for example by including the following: "This chapter provides for the identification and protection of natural character to recognise and give effect to s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. For this reason the chapter includes policies and methods to guide activities within both the coastal and freshwater environments. The natural character areas identified under this chapter are included in Appendix 2 (coastal), Appendix 5 (freshwater) in Volume 3 and Riparian Natural Character Management Areas in Volume 4 (see the overlays)."</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	127	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy to guide the determination of "outstanding natural areas".					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	140	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a method to indicate financial or staff resource support towards restoration					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Adopt a more "outcome" and place focus to the protection of the natural character units for the whole of the Marlborough District, including the following: Tasman Bay coast Tory Channel entrance to Rarangi and the South Marlborough Coast. For each of these units set a desired outcome framework to protect the natural character and natural functioning of each of these units. Include specific policies to avoid adverse effects on the natural character of each of these natural character units. Include policies to avoid cumulative adverse effects of residential development, forestry, farming and marine farming for each of the natural character units.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p><i>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape); coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments); biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form); biological processes and patterns; water flows and levels, and water quality; and the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes. natural elements, processes and patterns; biophysical, ecological, geological and geomorphological aspects; natural landforms such as headlands, peninsulas, cliffs, dunes, wetlands, reefs, freshwater springs and surf breaks; the natural movement of water and sediment; the natural darkness of the night sky; places or areas that are wild or scenic; a range of natural character from pristine to modified; and experiential attributes, including the sounds and smell of the sea; and their context or setting. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
809	Jim Jessep	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the analysis and mapping of Natural Character [<i>inferred</i>].					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	20	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the maps and all reference to Riparian Natural Character Areas from the MEP.					
1140	Sanford Limited	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a policy that <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible.</u>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1157	Southern Crown Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clause from the plan.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the provisions of this chapter, except Policy 6.2.5 (<i>see separate submission</i>).					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	We Hope that the MDC will see the results of the improvements to the environment of East Bay and support EBCS in improving this special environment still further by zoning the whole bay from ridge to ridge, and foreshore to foreshore ONFL					
233	Totaranui Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Issue (<i>specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised."					
233	Totaranui Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Issue (<i>specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Recognise the importance of facilitating practicable access to Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and that this often requires establishment of a mooring or other forms of access facility or structures which may include the need to located with within areas identified as being sensitive, requiring protection or being of natural, high or outstanding natural character. Any such policy should include means by which effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated and require these to be included in any application for resource consent for such a facility. Such policy should also provide for anchoring for cultural customary and purposes. This is in line with a request in this submission for a rule providing for this." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A. The word "degradation" should be changed to "modification." This change should be reflected in the language throughout chapter 6, with consequential amendments where appropriate.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	95	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new method is added under this Issue - "The Council is required to work cooperatively with land occupiers, community and industry groups whom are undertaking voluntary stewardship activities." (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation modification of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins."					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (strikethrough and bold) Issue 6A to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the modification degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i> Add a new policy: <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible.</u> Recognise and provide for existing activities that are already legally established in landscape zones (aquaculture, forestry, farming) and provide for their continuation. Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established.					
477	John Malcolm McKee	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	105	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
510	Anne Allison	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
535	Adele Riddle	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
538	Andre Smith	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
539	Allen Steele	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
540	Arthur Stewart	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
543	Alistair Willis	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
549	Bryan Albrey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
559	Belinda Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
560	Brian Lee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
562	Brendon Lucas	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
564	Belinda Materoa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
565	Brent Mathews	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
588	Christopher Hall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
600	Connor Rangi	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
606	Cindy Steele	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
611	Carla Velez	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
618	Brad Lewis	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
620	Brook Lines	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
627	Carl Scholefield	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
628	Clinton Nott	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
641	Dan McCall	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
649	Dave Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
654	David Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
655	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
656	David King	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
658	Dan Lawrence	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
660	Daniel Manson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
661	Denis Marfell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
663	Dion McCauley	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
667	Daniel Paget	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
677	Daniel Walker	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
678	David Horton	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
680	Delwynne Horton	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
694	Elin Shin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
694	Elin Shin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
703	Faye Fosbender	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
704	Febe Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
705	Fay Mathews	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
708	Filisita Tuese	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	121	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	Amend to clarify that "The NZCPS, Policies 13 and 14, sets a similar objective for <u>further direction and guidance on preservation and restoration of natural character within the coastal environment.</u> "					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 6A:</p> <p><i>Issue 6A Resource use and changes in resource use Inappropriate subdivision, use and development can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i></p> <p><i>Section 6(a) of the RMA requires the Council to recognise and provide for to preserve the preservation of (inferred) the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, and lakes, rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i></p> <p><i>The Policy 13 of the NZCPS 2010 sets a similar objective for the coastal environment.</i></p> <p><i>The entire coastal environment and all freshwater bodies possess some or all of the components of natural character (natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities) and therefore all hold some degree of natural character.</i></p>					
721	Grant Boyd	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
731	Grace Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
734	Gail Learmonth	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
741	Glen Slipper	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
745	Graeme Tregidga	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
753	Hope Lagden	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
758	Holly Stanford	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
759	Hudson Steele	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
761	Hilda Timoti	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
796	John Craddock	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Eperé	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
805	James Higgin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
812	Jungmin Ko	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
817	Jemma McCowan	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
826	Jade Riri	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
829	Jason Smith	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
831	Jim Taylor	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
834	Jarod Udy	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
856	Karen Mant	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
857	Kowhai Millan	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
863	Karen Soloman	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
877	Lynette Ashby	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
885	Les McClung	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
886	Linda McGee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
888	Pang Lily	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
889	Lavina Rickard	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
892	Lynda Simpson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
902	Lewis Ward	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
929	Mandy Hargood	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
941	Marion Marfell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
942	Marie Mitchell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
943	Martina Naplawa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
948	Melissa Smith	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
951	Michael Wallace	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
953	Mark Whittall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
955	Moira Winter	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
985	Niki McCulloch	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
988	Nathan Wallace	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
989	Natasha Watts	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1026	Patricia Riri	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1029	Peter Shirley	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1031	Peter Snape	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1057	Roger Dippie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1067	Renee Heta	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Issue as follows (bold) –</p> <p><i>"Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, it is recognised that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1080	Rata Steele	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1119	Sharon Hill	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1127	Soon Ng	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1128	Sam Oliver	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1131	Susana Pereyra	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1139	Sarah Williams	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1140	Sanford Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace degradation with, <u>modification</u> .					
1144	Scott Foster	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1168	Tony Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1175	Tracy O'Grady	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1181	Tiare Tautari	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1224	P Wood	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1226	William Kingi	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1227	Warwick Neame	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1247	Robert Walker	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	20	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	We submit that Clova Bay be identified in the MEP as an area at threat or risk from significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character. This will give some long overdue recognition to the over farming issue in Clova Bay and set the platform for some resolution.					
233	Totaranui Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - <i>"Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
233	Totaranui Limited	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - <i>"Recognise that there may be locations within areas identified as having natural character of either a high, very high or outstanding quality within any of the levels prescribed in Policy 6.1.3 that result in development being potentially appropriate within a specific defined location despite being within a wider area identified as having of high, very high or outstanding natural character."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
243	Marguerete Osborne	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That terms be further defined.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 6.1 – add new sentence "Establish the extent of acceptable modification."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 6.1.4 – "Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	80	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new sentence to the Objective as follows - <i>“Establish the extent of acceptable modification.”</i>					
477	John Malcolm McKee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - <i>“Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.”</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 6.1.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	106	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
510	Anne Allison	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
535	Adele Riddle	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
538	Andre Smith	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
539	Allen Steele	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
540	Arthur Stewart	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
541	Akiwa Te Uatuku	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
543	Alistair Willis	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
549	Bryan Albrey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
555	Blair Glover	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
559	Belinda Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
560	Brian Lee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
562	Brendon Lucas	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
564	Belinda Materoa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
565	Brent Mathews	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
576	Chee Ong Chin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
593	Chang-Seog Jeon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
595	Clayton McIntyre	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
600	Connor Rangī	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
606	Cindy Steele	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
607	Cadeena Tepu	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
611	Carla Velez	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
618	Brad Lewis	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
620	Brook Lines	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
627	Carl Scholefield	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
628	Clinton Nott	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
641	Dan McCall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
649	Dave Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
654	David Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
656	David King	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
658	Dan Lawrence	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
660	Daniel Manson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
661	Denis Marfell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
663	Dion McCauley	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
664	Dellae McKenzie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
665	Dorothy McManaway	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
667	Daniel Paget	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
677	Daniel Walker	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
678	David Horton	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 6.1 and encourage the Council to make this work a priority, given the pressure on the Council for more use of the coastal environment, and ongoing development pressure in the dry hills landscape area.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	38	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 6.1 to read: Objective 6.1 – Assess natural character and evaluate its degree Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins .					
703	Faye Fosbender	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
704	Febe Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
705	Fay Mathews	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
708	Filisita Tuese	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	122	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to read:</p> <p>"Identify areas and values of Natural Character which require preservation in the coastal environment, and in wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins."</p> <p>Add a new policy under objective 6.1 which sets out the criteria or values used to assess the natural character of wetlands.</p> <p>Explanation to new policy refer to where in the plan wetlands have been listed or mapped and how these is integrated with s6(a) in terms of significant vegetation. And if appropriate refer to the relevant policies in the biodiversity chapter which address the preservation of natural character in wetlands.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Include in Objective 6.1 references to the seven-range scale of natural character proposed by Dr Steven in his report (page 7) that accompanies this submission.					
721	Grant Boyd	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
722	Gaik Choo Tan	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
731	Grace Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
734	Gail Learmonth	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
737	Gareth McIlroy	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
741	Glen Slipper	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
745	Graeme Tregidga	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
753	Hope Lagden	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
758	Holly Stanford	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
759	Hudson Steele	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
760	Hui Ting Ng	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
761	Hilda Timoti	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
773	Iosua Kaisara	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
796	John Craddock	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Eperé	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
805	James Higgin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
807	Jeremy Hunter	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
812	Jungmin Ko	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
814	Jeong Lye Jeon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
817	Jemma McCowan	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
825	Jo-Ann Rickard	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
826	Jade Riri	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
829	Jason Smith	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
831	Jim Taylor	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
834	Jarod Udy	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
856	Karen Mant	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
857	Kowhai Millan	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
863	Karen Soloman	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
877	Lynette Ashby	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
884	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
885	Les McClung	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
886	Linda McGee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
887	Lauren Mitchell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
888	Pang Lily	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
889	Lavina Rickard	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
892	Lynda Simpson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
901	Lo Wai Wing	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
902	Lewis Ward	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
929	Mandy Hargood	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
941	Marion Marfell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
942	Marie Mitchell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
943	Martina Naplawa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
948	Melissa Smith	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
951	Michael Wallace	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
953	Mark Whittall	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
955	Moira Winter	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Only apply the objective to identified rivers.					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
976	Norazizah Abu Yazid	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
985	Niki McCulloch	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
988	Nathan Wallace	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
989	Natasha Watts	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	175	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit this Objective (and resultant policies) to only selected rivers, lakes and the coastal environment. Replace "establish the degree of natural character" with "identify areas of high natural character" (or with words of similar effect).					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1026	Patricia Riri	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1029	Peter Shirley	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1031	Peter Snape	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1063	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1067	Renee Heta	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1072	Rob MacGibbon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1073	Robert Murdoch	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1077	Rodney Roberts	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Objective as follows (bold) –</p> <p><i>"Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, while recognising that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1079	Rachel Stanford	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1080	Rata Steele	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1119	Sharon Hill	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1120	Stewart Holdem	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1127	Soon Ng	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1128	Sam Oliver	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1130	Sook Peng Lim	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1131	Susana Pereyra	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1138	Shane Turnbull	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1139	Sarah Williams	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1140	Sanford Limited	11	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read ' <u>Describe the biological features that contribute to natural character and the community's level of acceptance to modification</u> '.					
1168	Tony Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1170	Tama Lindsay	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1172	Tyler Materoa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1175	Tracy O'Grady	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1177	Thien Soong Wong	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1178	Teresa Shaw	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1181	Tiare Tautari	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 6.1 as notified in the PMP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1224	P Wood	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1225	Wayne Hollis	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1226	William Kingi	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1227	Warwick Neame	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1241	Yong Hee Son	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1247	Robert Walker	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or 6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and 6.1.1(e) - amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	81	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise that the following natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities may contribute to natural character:</i></p> <p><i>(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state;</i></p> <p><i>(b) coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</i></p> <p><i>(c) coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments);</i></p> <p><i>(d) biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</i></p> <p><i>(e) biological processes and patterns;</i></p> <p><i>(f) water flows and levels and water quality; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text for the Policy is amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"This policy describes those matters considered to contribute to the natural character of coastal and river environments. This provides MEP users with a clear understanding of the meaning of natural character. It is acknowledged that these values may contribute to natural character, and is intended to assist and provide clarity but is by no means exclusive and nor does it represent a hierarchy, and that Natural Character is on a spectrum."</i></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Delete policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or</p> <p>b) 6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and</p> <p>c) 6.1.1(e) - Amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and</p> <p>d) Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	107	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (e) to include ecological, biological, and morphological processes and patterns					
648	D C Hemphill	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	39	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.1.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.1.1 – Assess natural character Recognise the that the following contributing natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities contribute to natural character factors :</p> <p>(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state;</p> <p>(b) coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</p> <p>(b)(c) hydrological, geological and geomorphological aspects</p> <p>(c)(d) coastal or freshwater physical patterns and processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments);</p> <p>(d)(e) biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</p> <p>(e)(f) biological systems, processes and patterns;</p> <p>(f)(g) water flows and levels and water quality; and</p> <p>(g)(h) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	123	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.1.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That all of the matters listed in NZCPS Policy 13(2) (provided below) with such additional matters as are necessary to cover wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins are included in Policy 6.1.1.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(a): <i>Policy 6.1.1(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state.</i></p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(b) <i>Policy 6.1.1(b) Coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascapes).</i></p> <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.1.1(e) <i>Policy 6.1.1 (e) biological elements, processes and patterns;</i></p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to, <u>'Recognise the natural elements, patterns and processes which contribute to natural character and the communities' responses to these:</u>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the natural character qualities list to include cultural and spiritual values.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	64	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Retain Policy 6.1.1 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that is policy is followed throughout the MEP. It is not good enough to draw a line around the land of the outer sounds and say that this is outstanding, very high or high without including the sea in that classification					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	82	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The extent of the coastal environment is mapped in consultation with landholders, the community, tangata whenua and other key stakeholders, and identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan to establish the areas of land and coastal marine area to which management may need to be applied in order to protect the natural character of the coastal environment from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i> " And, the Policy is moved to the Coastal Environment Chapter.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.					
479	Department of Conservation	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	124	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Move this policy to the coastal environment chapter. Amend the text under the policy to more clear explain how the identification of the extent of the coastal environment is necessary for implementing the NZCPS, not just s6(a) RMA.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.1.2 but amend the last sentence of the following explanation to add reference to it being more difficult to define the extent of the coastal environment on the south coast. Add a further statement that there needs to be a consistent approach to defining the extent of the coastal environment to that adopted in adjoining regions (Nelson City, Wellington and Canterbury Regional Councils).					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that the coastal environment reflects truly respects that natural character of the coastal environment.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	176	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to provide certainty as to when management will be applied and ensure that it will not be applied to existing commercial forest, OR Amend the Coastal Environment Zone to exclude NFL's commercial forestry blocks.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.3 to read "Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment." Natural character should only be assessed at the detailed level (level 5). The commentary should be amended to reflect this; and The Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast Study (Boffa Miskell, 2014), on which the MEP is supposedly based, needs to be redrafted. The definition of "outstanding" in the 2014 Study is incorrect; and Frequent use of the terms "unmodified" or "largely unmodified" is unwarranted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:</i> <i>(a) the degree of human induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities; and</i> <i>(b) natural character at a range of scales."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 6.1.3 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.1.3 – Determine Evaluate the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:</p> <p>(a) assessing the degree of human-induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities the factors in Policy 6.1.1; and</p> <p>(b) categorizing natural character at a range of scales.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	125	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this policy (or add a new policy) to include guidance on the values that contribute to natural character and establishing which areas have high and very high natural character.</p> <p>“In addition to Policy 6.1.3 and 6.1.5 recognise the following values in determining high or very high natural character: [list the generic type values considered in appendix 2]”</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the follow amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policies 6.1.3(a) and (b):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.3 – Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the degree of human-induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities natural elements, natural patterns and natural processes; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the seven-range scale range of natural character at a range of scales.</i></p> <p>As an alternative for Policy 6.1.3 (a), repeat in this policy (a) to (g) from Policy 6.1.1, i.e., exclude reference to invalid subjective parameters.</p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the assessment criteria.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	177	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Exclude existing commercial forests from the coastal terrestrial zones and accurately reflect the detail of the rankings in Appendix 2.					
1140	Sanford Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) manage the coastal marine area as one area (ii) recognise existing uses (modifications) to the natural character i.e. marine farming and forestry.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	If assessing all of the Marlborough Sounds coastal environment area into natural character classifications is not practical then we submit that policy 6.1.4 should make it clear that areas classified below high are only excluded from the MEP maps on practicality grounds and that policies on natural character in the MEP also apply to these areas.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 6.1.4; Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions. Outstanding is referred to throughout the MEP, but is not defined. Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.1.4 (<i>inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete 6.1.4, as this is addressed by Policy 6.1.3; b) Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and c) Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add new Policy as follows – <i>"Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
648	D C Hemphill	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	38	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	We encourage the Council to undertake further consideration of this when it implements policy 6.1.4.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.4 to read: Policy 6.1.4 – Identify and map those areas of the coastal environment that have high, very high or outstanding natural character.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	101	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	126	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This should be the seven-range scale of natural character proposed in the report (page 7) by Dr Steven attached to this submission.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.4 to include and natural character assessment of all Marlborough Sounds coastal areas and include the identification of areas adversely impacted by reversible effects.					
1140	Sanford Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to read, ' <u>Identify those areas of the coastal environment that are valued by the community as high and outstanding natural character</u> '.					
356	Coatbridge Limited	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	83	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	22	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i></p> <p><i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i></p> <p><i>(c) water quality;</i></p> <p><i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i></p> <p><i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i></p> <p><i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i></p> <p><i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i></p> <p><i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i></p> <p><i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities; and</i></p> <p><i>(j) the level of Mauri, assessed through a cultural health assessment."</i></p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	19	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 6.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.5 – Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i></p> <p><i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i></p> <p>(c) streams that would normally flow all year if not adversely effected by high take during the peak summer period (inferred);</p> <p>(d) <i>water quality;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i></p> <p>(g) <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i></p> <p>(h) <i>vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i></p> <p>(i) <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i></p> <p>(j) <i>the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.</i></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	108	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy to ensure that natural character is determined by firstly identification of the elements, patterns and processes that exist to contribute to natural character in wetlands, lakes and rivers and then establish the degree to which these have been modified by human activity.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That those areas assessed as being threatened environments are included within Volume 1 Chapter 6 (<i>inferred</i>).</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.5 to read: Policy 6.1.5 – Determine Evaluate the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the factors in Policy 6.1.1. following: (a) channel shape and bed morphology; (b) flow regime and water levels; (c) water quality; (d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel; (e) absence of exotic flora and fauna; (f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake; (g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin; (h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and (i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	128	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows: “Determine the.... to the following values:...” Amend the explanation to clarify if the list of matters in the policy are guidance on the values, as identified in Appendix 5. Amend the explanation to clarify whether this policy provides guidance on determining areas of “outstanding” natural character. Or Add a new policy to guide determination of “outstanding natural character”.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers – not all rivers. Clarify what “adjacent to” means or delete it.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	178	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the Policy to provide certainty as to extent (replace “adjacent”) and focus on a list of rivers (rather than all watercourses).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 6.1.5 as follows: <i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i> <i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i> <i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i> <i>(c) water quality;</i> <i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i> <i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i> <i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i> <i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i> <i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i> <i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities;</i> <i>as well as the presence or absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake and riparian margin."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include a requirement that weeds on conservation estate/reserves need to be controlled. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
356	Coatbridge Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	84	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.1.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	109	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that wetlands with high and very high natural character are also identified. Include an additional policy in the plan to recognise the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins that have natural character values considered to be less than high.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.6 to read: Policy 6.1.6 – Identify and map those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	129	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy and amend the explanation to recognise that this policy applies “outside the coastal environment” as Policy 13 and 14 NZCPS would capture any rivers, wetland within the coastal environment. Amend the policy or add a complementary policy to provide guidance on the values used to determine the areas identified in Appendix 5. Retain the approach of identifying natural character areas on maps.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.1.6: <i>Policy 6.1.6 – Identify those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.</i> <i>Although there is no specific requirement for the Council to identify rivers that have high or very high natural character, the Council has undertaken an assessment to determine the natural character values of a number of Marlborough's rivers. This has been carried out to recognise and provide for Section 6 (a) of the RMA. Using the criteria in Policy 6.1.5, a fiveseven-point assessment scale on the significance of the waterbodies has allowed natural character to be determined. The rivers with high or very high natural character have been mapped in the MEP. Further information on a range of values for Marlborough's rivers, including natural character values, is set out in Appendix 5.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend the natural character classifications for the Branch River to extend the area of "moderate" natural character value upstream to the confluence with the Leatham River. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	85	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanatory text for the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance. Activities that are consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses will be considered appropriate.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	94	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	That an additional policy is under this Objective which reads as follows - " <i>In evaluating applications for resource consent, recognise the efforts of private landowners, community groups and others to maintain, protect and restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers.</i> "					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete explanation: This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance.					
479	Department of Conservation	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	110	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
648	D C Hemphill	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	130	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 6.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace Objective 6.2. Rewrite to cover separate place based objectives for Queen Charlotte Sound, Pelorus Sound, South Marlborough and eastern Tasman Bay.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ADD: New policy 6.2.10 Promote the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, landscape, vegetation and open space.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ADD: New policy 6.2.11- Ensure that any development also takes into account the welfare and wellbeing of the community					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers - not <u>all</u> rivers.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	179	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Objective to apply to rivers that have natural character, not all rivers, as well as the coastal environment and lakes.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>It is considered some primary production activities may serve to enhance the natural character of an area. It is anticipated that this may occur when an area of pastoral farming is planted in forest. Commercial forestry should be enabled in these circumstances.</p> <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) Include new policies which recognise that where land containing commercial forestry is identified as having natural character, the effects of forestry and the intensification and development of forestry is not an adverse effect, but is inherent to the character of the area, otherwise areas of land which are currently commercial forestry should not be recognised as having natural character;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.13 and 6.15 which recognise 'human induced modification' affects the 'natural character' of an area be retained;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.2.5 should be retained and amended to enable the ongoing use of existing primary production activities in such locations;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but without limitation) Policies 6.2.1 to 6.2.3 which require that adverse effects only be 'avoided' should be amended to include 'remedied or mitigated';</p> <p>(e) Recognise that primary production activities such as commercial forestry have the potential to enhance the natural character in an area. To that extent Policy 6.2.6 is supported subject to specific amendments being made to recognise commercial forestry; and</p> <p>(f) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 6.2, subject to amending to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity.					
1140	Sanford Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Amend both the Objective and Policy so that intent is to 'Preserve <u>the values</u> in the natural character rather than avoiding changes to the character', and (ii) 'Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established'.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 6.2 as follows: <i>"Preserve the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character of the coastal environment, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.1 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment: "Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character." Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include: Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	86	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - "Avoid the adverse effects of Enable subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values where the activity is consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses, and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values and where significant adverse effects of inappropriate activities can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy and replace as follows - New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment: <i>"a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character.</i> <i>b) Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and void, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include:</i> <i>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</i> <i>(ii) In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks/ disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and</i> <i>(iii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised."</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.					
479	Department of Conservation	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	20	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.1.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	111	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character of wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	39	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	131	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	64	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold, latter is <i>inferred</i>) are made to Policy 6.2.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.1 Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values by managing the effects of activities within the coastal marine area, that involve the removal of intact or regenerating indigenous vegetation and managing the effects of residential, commercial and industrial development.</i></p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	180	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy explanation to reflect how "avoid" is to be applied to rivers with high or very high natural character values where they are part of a working rural environment. Avoid in this context should still provide for access, crossings and minor adverse effects associated with these uses and adjacent land use activities.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	22	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values, <u>except where it is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	75	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues, for example by changing the wording to:</p> <p><i>"... and <u>avoid significant effects</u> on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values".</i></p>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	11	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.1 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not always possible.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 6.2.1 – Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.</i> <i>Where the natural character of the coastal environment is outstanding, Section 6(a) of the RMA indicates that this level of preservation should be retained, particularly when coupled with the similar direction in Policy 13 of the NZCPS. This means that <u>the any</u> adverse effects of <u>inappropriate activities</u> on natural character values should be avoided. That is not to say that no subdivision, use or development can occur within the coastal environment - activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the surrounding environment, or may include features or benefits that maintain the existing levels of natural character. <u>In addition there are some activities, for instance National Grid assets, which have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS.</u></i> <i>For freshwater bodies there is also a requirement in Section 6(a) to preserve the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Having regard to Policy 6.1.5, the Council has assessed the values of rivers and lakes and their level of significance in order to give effect to Section 6(a). In undertaking this assessment, the Council has determined that where the freshwater values are high or very high, then adverse effects on these values should be avoided, <u>except where the avoidance of adverse effects is not possible due to the technical, functional or operational requirements of nationally significant infrastructure.</u>"</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows: <i>"Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 6.2.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 6.2.2 -</p> <p><i>"Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	87	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy and replace as follows - New Policy 6.2.2 - <i>"Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.					
479	Department of Conservation	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.2					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	132	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	65	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.2: <i>Policy 6.2.2 Where natural character is assessed as being very high (VH) or high (H) avoid adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that would result in a lower level of natural character. Elsewhere Avoid, remedy or mitigate significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to clarify that policy 6.2.7 must be applied under policy 6.2.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	23	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.2 as follows: <i>Avoid significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.2 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not possible.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 6.2.2 – Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i></p> <p><i>The degree of adverse effects on coastal natural character is an important consideration under Policy 13(1)(b) of the NZCPS. Where the extent of change in the coastal environment from subdivision, use or development causes significant adverse effects on natural character, the NZCPS states those effects should be avoided. There is therefore a threshold beyond which remediation and/or mitigation of those adverse effects is not an appropriate management option. It is acknowledged that there are some activities that have a technical, functional and operational need to be located in areas identified as having natural character, such as the linear infrastructure that is nationally significant. The adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. That threshold will be determined on a case-by-case basis through the resource consent or plan change process. The significance of the adverse effect will depend on the nature of the proposal, the natural character context within which the activity is proposed to occur and the degree of change to the attributes that contribute to natural character in that context.</i></p> <p>..."</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	19	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 6.2.3 apply to the coastal marine area irrespective of existing classification of natural character.					
233	Totaranui Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Where natural character is classified as high or very high, significant avoid, remedy or mitigate any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies."</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.3 - " When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects: (a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect; Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal; Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects; Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character; Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net natural character gain. A natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6; Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	88	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policies 6.2.1 - 6.2.3 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.3 - <i>"When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:</i> <i>a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</i> <i>b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</i> <i>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</i> <i>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</i> <i>c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;</i> <i>d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character;</i> <i>e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net character gain. a natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6;</i> <i>f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicable avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and</i> <i>g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> any reduction in the degree of <u>adverse effects on the natural character</u> of the coastal environment or <u>and</u> freshwater bodies. Delete the explanation beneath this policy.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	4	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	4	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	23	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	112	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	133	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3 and add a definition.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	66	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Define classification and how it is used for natural character. Amend policy so it applies to all natural character. Amend policy to clarify policy 6.2.7 applies. [<i>Inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	181	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all references to freshwater bodies from this Policy and policy explanation.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	24	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows: <i>Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	76	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues (e.g. by referring to " <i>any <u>significant</u> reduction</i> ").					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.3: <i>Policy 6.2.3 Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, any reduction in the degree of remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies.</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows: <i>"Where natural character is classified as high or very high, <u>avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies lakes and rivers and their margins are protected. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.3 (or split policy into two distinct policies) to ensure: (a) The adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character are avoided; and (b) The significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies are protected from adverse effects (by those effects being avoided, remedied, or mitigated).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	89	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 6.2.4.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	PMNZ seeks the removal of the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay from the Marina zone within Waikawa Bay and the area just beyond the Marina Zone, as shown in the plan attached to this submission in Annexure B .					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	5	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
479	Department of Conservation	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	5	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.4					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	113	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
648	D C Hemphill	22	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity, excluding plantation forestry , within coastal or freshwater environments with high , very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character."					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.4 to read: Policy 6.2.4 – Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, the application must address: (a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character values of the area. (b) How policies 6.2.1 or 6.2.2 will be achieved (using Appendix 4 if applicable) and taking into account: (i) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity. (j) The extent of anthropogenic changes. (k) The presence or absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure. (l) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects. (m) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location. (n) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patterns. (o) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area. (p) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associated natural processes. (q) The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies. regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character .					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	68	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	134	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to refer to policies 6.2.1 and 6.2.2					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Re-word policy to apply to all areas of natural character and to make assessing applicable to all types of natural character [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	182	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the policy direction to enable non-regulatory methods to be used primarily, and only use regulatory methods where the adverse effects will be significant and long term.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.4.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.4 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p>(a) the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character; and</p> <p>(b) the necessity of the activity to locate in the coastal or freshwater environment.</p>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	19	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	This policy is inappropriate and should be deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested in submission.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	90	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as proposed but with recognition that modified landscapes include any past and present farming land use activities. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
455	John Hickman	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5					
479	Department of Conservation	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	24	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Ensure that the Plan allows for the loading of log barges on the coastal marine area as a Permitted Activity					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	114	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	23	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Council should comply with 6.2.5; and correct the mapping of "high" and "very high" natural character, according to the criteria already listed in the MEP and Boffa Miskell (2014), following Policy 6.2.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	69	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	135	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.25.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
879	Laurence Etheredge	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That adverse effects are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated regardless of any prior adverse effects having taken place.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	77	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	65	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 6.2.5 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That it should be made clearer in Policy 6.2.6 that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation of natural character include the declining of applications for resource consent renewals in localities such as Clova Bay where significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character exist (as per Policies 6.2.3 and 6.2.7). That at a minimum Policy 6.2.6 prescribe that 'consent renewal attrition' (through the decline of renewal applications as they arise) may be applied as a default method of addressing adverse natural character cumulative effects where there are multiple contributing consented activities of similar effect on natural character. Our comments on Policy 6.2.7 elaborate on this further.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	91	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, where appropriate regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	64	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	115	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include restoration together with enhancement of natural character.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	136	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	68	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 6.2.6: <i>Policy 6.2.6 In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i> <i>It may be possible to improve the natural character of coastal environments and freshwater bodies through appropriate subdivision, use and development of natural resources. Any improvement to the landscape, natural processes, biodiversity, water flows or quality incorporated into the proposal will be considered in this regard.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make clearer that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation include declining applications for resource consent renewals.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	11	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	183	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to read (or with words of similar effect): <i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments with Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the affected area.</i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6.					
1140	Sanford Limited	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to policy the (i) recognition of what the existing modifications to values in natural areas are, and (ii) what the cumulative effect of a new development would bring.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 is to be applied when applying the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6.2.6 (when identifying areas and opportunities for restoration and rehabilitation); and • 6.2.3 (when assessing for degree of natural character change); and • 6.2.2 (when assessing for significant adverse effects on natural character); and • 6.1.4 (when identifying and mapping areas where there are reversible adverse cumulative natural character effects). <p>That the following paragraphs should be added to Policy 6.2.7 to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7:</p> <p>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy or through guidelines developed with stakeholders and with reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p> <p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re-consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read: "Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.7					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the Policy to read as follows -</p> <p>"Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	65	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	116	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.7.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.2.7 – In assessing the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment, or in or near lakes or rivers, consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more of the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal or freshwater environment in the locality.</p> <p>Although individual activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, when combined with the effects of similar activities or other activities with similar effects, the activities may collectively have cumulative adverse effects on natural character. This policy describes how the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies will be considered. For the coastal environment specifically, any consideration of cumulative effects should take into account scale and may need to include consideration of the intactness of the coastal terrestrial and coastal marine natural character areas.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	137	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to include wetlands.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The following paragraphs should also be added to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7: <i>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the threshold s specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international assessment standards. Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect threshold s then this may occur by re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p> <p>For clarity, we note that there is no basis for differentiating cumulative environmental effect assessments for new activities over those for renewal applications. This is not least because there are various areas where there have been significant historical failures to consider appropriate thresholds of development or cumulative effects when granting existing consents. It should thus be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re- consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the policy or ensure it only has limited application where appropriate.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	184	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.7.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This policy should state more clearly what land use activities need to be setback from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character (<i>inferred</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	92	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
429	Tempello Partnership	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.8					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.					
479	Department of Conservation	66	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission, possibly incorrect Policy identified in Submission.</i>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Ensure consistency between land uses by application of permitted activity standards and implementation of universal stock exclusion rules. Recommend Council follows Environment Southland proposal Rule 28 requiring that:</p> <p>a vegetated strip is maintained, and stock excluded from, the outer edge of the bed of any river, wetland, modified watercourse or artificial watercourse for a distance of:</p> <p>(1) 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 4 degrees; and</p> <p>(2) 10 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope between 4 and 16 degrees; and</p> <p>(3) 20 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of greater than 16 degrees;</p> <p>For other than non-intensively grazed sheep.</p> <p>Further submit that a 20 metres vegetated strip is maintained from the boundary of the coastal marine area and all land use activities</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	117	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
648	D C Hemphill	24	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	138	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to include wetlands.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 6.2.8 – Require <u>new</u> land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity of setbacks dependant on adverse effects and provide justification for any lineal setback control.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	185	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks in the region. Rules also need to be consistent with Policy 8.2.9(d). Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.8: <i>Policy 6.2.8 Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character except where the land use activity is in support of an activity that is by necessity required to be located in a river lake or coastal marine area.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 6.2.8 is deleted.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 6.2.8 – Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character, <u>except where nationally significant infrastructure has a locational, technical or operational need to traverse rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area.</u></i></p> <p><i>The proximity of land use activity to rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area has a significant influence on the potential for adverse effects on natural character. The closer the activity, the greater the potential for modification to the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character. For this reason, land use activities will be required to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area. The setback will be implemented through permitted activity standards and application can be made for resource consent to undertake an activity within the setback. The adverse effects of any such proposal will be assessed against the provisions of this chapter, and Chapter 4 where the proposal is for regionally significant infrastructure."</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows: <i>"to define inappropriate land use activities that should be set back from certain rivers, lakes or the coastal marine area <u>unless such a location is required for operational or technical reasons.</u>"</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows: Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve <i>high, very high or significant</i> natural character.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.9 to read: "...community groups, businesses, and others in their efforts..."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	93	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Encourage and support private landowners, community groups, businesses and others in their efforts to restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers."</i>					
455	John Hickman	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
456	George Mehlhopt	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
479	Department of Conservation	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	118	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include enhancement, together with restoration.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	139	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9 as notified.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	69	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9 but add reference to Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	21	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A "precautionary" view should always be adopted as per that area.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.1.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	186	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks and levels of regulation in the region. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Method 6.M.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 6.M.2A – “Natural Character Assessment Method.” New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
648	D C Hemphill	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the assessment to accurately reflect the stated criteria.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.2.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 6.M.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.4.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1</p> <p><i>6.AER.1</i></p> <p><i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retainedrestored.</i></p> <p>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.AER.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	141	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 6.AER.1 as a minimum. If justified by changes to policies add results which recognise improved (restored) natural character.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1: 6.AER.1 <i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retainedrestored.</i> Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1: 6.AER.1 The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retained restored . Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to add the following - "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" .					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That " <i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i> " is added to 6.AER.1.					
1140	Sanford Limited	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1?	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to amenity.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1?	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 7.1 as notified in the PMEP.					
263	Mark Batchelor	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of landscape provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
348	Murray Chapman	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so either only publicly owned reserves/conservation land is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape and landscape with high amenity value, or the financial viability of privately owned and farmed land is protected through monetary compensation on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	40	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 7] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
368	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Chapter 7 Landscape.</i></p>					
369	Tony Hawke	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Review this chapter of the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of re-consenting costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 7 Landscape.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	87	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	88	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new 7.M.3A – “Landscape Assessment Method.” New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	89	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the anticipated environmental result - landscapes are protected from degradation (rather than enhanced). a) Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and b) Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	90	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of reconsementing costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged.					
479	Department of Conservation	68	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the <i>Introduction</i> should acknowledge and address the lack of knowledge regarding the Marlborough Sounds (<i>inferred</i>).					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features. (<i>Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL and amend the maps accordingly.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and</p> <p>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and</p> <p>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and</p> <p>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and</p> <p>Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and</p> <p>Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and</p> <p>Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and</p> <p>Associated relief.</p>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	46	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the Chapter 7 introduction to read:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Our landscapes provide us with a Marlborough identity and are an integral part of the Marlborough environment. Landscapes are distinct spatial areas influenced by location-specific processes within the environment. These processes can be natural or human-induced (e.g. land use change). Natural features within the landscape can also help to define a landscape. The resulting landscape characteristics are expressed visually, but can be valued for their ecological significance or for intrinsic reasons (e.g. by providing a sense of place).</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) identifies the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development as a matter of national importance (Section 6(b)). Those landscapes that do not meet the threshold of being considered 'outstanding' may still make a contribution to the visual appreciation or amenity values of Marlborough. The RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these landscapes with visual amenity value (Section 7(c)). For the purposes of this chapter, landscapes that are identified for Section 6(b) or 7(c) reasons are referred to as "significant landscapes." in provisions that apply to both outstanding natural landscapes and to amenity landscapes[N1] .</p> <p>There are five broad landscape areas in Marlborough: the Richmond Range and associated mountain ranges; the Wairau and Awatere River Valleys; the mountainous interior; the Marlborough Sounds; and the remainder of the coastal environment on the East Coast. The MEP identifies these landscape areas and then identifies outstanding natural landscapes and amenity landscapes within each.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	142	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introduction to include an explanation of how the natural character values/criteria contribute to identification of ONL/Fs and how the provisions of the plan address any overlap in terms of identifying specific areas or features.</p> <p>Include guidance on the relationship between the provisions in this chapter and the Coastal Environment chapter.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the introductory paragraph is amended to refer to there being seven broad landscape areas, including Tasman Bay and the exposed Cook Strait coast.</p>					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.					
809	Jim Jessep	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
809	Jim Jessep	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the analysis and mapping of Landscapes [<i>inferred</i>].					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
936	Michael Jessep	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
936	Michael Jessep	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use an development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do no seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) Objective 7.1. and Policies 7.1.1 to 7.1.4 should be amended so that land subject to commercial forestry is excluded from being classified as an Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Additionally, land with existing commercial forestry should be excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Much of that land comprises commercial forestry. It is inappropriate for land comprising commercial forestry to be Identified as part of an Outstanding Natural Feature I Landscape when it is well known that is was planted for commercial purposes and would be cleared at some time in the future, with consequent visual effects arising from clearing. The Submitter seeks the exclusion of the areas of Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape insofar as it consists of commercial forestry. The significant problems with including commercial forestry in Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes are addressed below by reference to a particular policy that applies to the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>In the alternative, if the land with existing commercial forestry is not excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes then the following relief is sought:</p> <p>(a) the objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 should be amended to recognise that commercial forestry forms, contributes to and is part of the identifiable Marlborough Sounds landscape and should be enabled (including its development, optimisation and expansion) within the rules that seek to protect landscape values; and</p> <p>In addition to the relief sought above:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies are required to recognise the importance of primary industry (specifically commercial forestry), rural industry and transport infrastructure, to the region, even within the Marlborough Sounds landscape;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 be amended to:</p> <p>(i) Enable minor expansion of existing forestry without resource consent</p> <p>(ii) Enable the intensification of commercial forestry in those areas where it is already established and In areas adjacent existing commercial forestry, particularly where such a use may be anticipated by the underlying zoning; and</p> <p>(iii) Recognise commercial forestry activities in areas not identified as outstanding, only require controlled or restricted discretionary consent.</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscapes, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek a peer review of the landscape assessment process and methodology and specific consultation with iwi on the approach taken.					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to acknowledge Ngai Tahu settlement, occupation and use within landscapes.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Support.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	49	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 7 Landscape.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the word avoid and change to minimise.					
233	Totaranui Limited	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Issue (specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter) as follows - " Provide recognition of and provision for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised. " (Inferred)					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter. 2. Include a policy that states 'Landscapes valued by the community for their contribution to a sense of place or economic wellbeing.' 					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	143	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation of issue 7A by replacing references to significant landscapes with outstanding natural landscapes and landscapes with high amenity.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That reference to the NZCPS 2010 in the explanation that follows issues Statement 7A is added.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove reference to "high amenity value." This approach is continued throughout chapter 7, so consequential amendments should also be made.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	96	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Objective be amended to read as follows (strike out) - "</p> <p><i>Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</i>"</p> <p>And, that landscapes with high amenity value and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan. (<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific maps they seek to delete the high amenity value landscapes from, or the specific provisions that reference high amenity value landscapes that they seek to be deleted.</i>)</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	98	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included which reads as follows - " Recognise and provide for farming and rural activities where these currently occur on ONFLs and are consistent with the identified values and attributes. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) - " <i>Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type"; and</p> <p>b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). Also, remove the Outstanding Landscape Feature overlay from the Port zone, as depicted on the plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (refer submission table below).					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.1					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	144	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Objective 7.1 is changed to a policy under Issue 7A:</p> <p>Objective 7.1 Policy 7.X Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</p> <p>That Objective 7.1 is replaced with the following two new objectives under Issue 7A:</p> <p>Objective 7.1 Agreement about which natural landscapes and features Marlborough communities and visitors especially value for their landscape values.</p> <p>Objective 7.X The natural landscapes and features identified in accordance with the above policy are protected from inappropriate subdivision use and development. Note that the submission does not identify which policy is "...the above policy...".</p>					
95	John Kershaw	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
96	Jane Buckman	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support 7.1.1 through to 7.2.12 in full.					
284	Jane Buckman	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
317	David Arthur Barker	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I ask that the Council include Lake Elterwater in the outstanding natural features and landscapes of South Marlborough.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	97	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's outstanding natural landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</i></p> <p><i>A landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as an Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, and the above criteria must be used to determine the special extent of the landscape.</i></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1 and those that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
648	D C Hemphill	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy to explain how the Council will apply values, and reassure landowners that they will be applied in combination, not selectively or subjectively. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes to the Policy sought); or</i></p> <p>Delete Policy.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	59	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	47	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.1.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 7.1.1 – When assessing Identify and assess the characteristics and values of Marlborough’s landscapes, using the following criteria will be used:</p> <p>(a) biophysical values, including geological, topographical, hydrological, and ecological elements;</p> <p>(b) expression of natural and formative processes;</p> <p>(b)(c) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</p> <p>(c)(d) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	145	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>“When assessing the <u>characteristics</u> values of Marlborough’s landscaped, the following <u>values</u> criteria will be <u>considered</u> used:</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 7.1.1 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.1.1 When assessing the values of Marlborough’s landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(d) the presence of water, including in seas, lakes, rivers and streams.</i></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.1.1 (c)</p> <p>(c) associative values, including landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place, cultural values, and historic heritage values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1140	Sanford Limited	25	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include '...Valued by the immediate and wider community for its contribution to a their sense of place of economic wellbeing'.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	52	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reconsider the assessment of associative values to give a broader definition to 'cultural values' and more weighting to 'cultural values' in the determination of overall site/landscape value.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept and amend as follows Policy 7.1.1 – When assessing the values of Marlborough’s landscapes, the following criteria will be used: [...] (c) Tangata Whenua values					
1201	Trustpower Limited	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.1.1 as follows: <i>“When assessing the values of Marlborough’s landscapes and features, the following criteria will be used: (a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements; (b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and (c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
284	Jane Buckman	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	68	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 7.1.2A - " <i>Define the boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type</i> "; and Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	99	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (i.e. a bay, reach or valley approach); and b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Policy 7.1.2 – Define the boundaries of significant landscapes with high amenity value landscapes using the following methods: (a) land typing; (b) contour line; (c) contained landscape features; (d) visual catchment; and/or (e) land use <u>and zoning</u> .					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.2					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods: (a) land typing; (b) contour line; (c) contained landscape features; (d) visual catchment; and/or (e) land use; (f) inclusion of cultural values and landscapes which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1."</i> (Inferred)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	60	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	146	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Define the boundaries of <u>different significant</u> landscapes <u>with different characteristics</u> using the following methods:					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.2: <i>Policy 7.1.2 Define the boundaries of significant landscapes units using the following methods:</i>					
1140	Sanford Limited	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Delete Policy (ii) Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods: (a) land typing; (b) contour line; (c) contained landscape features; (d) visual catchment; and/or (e) land use, and (f) consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi.					
95	John Kershaw	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
96	Jane Buckman	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	support in full.					
284	Jane Buckman	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.3					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (ie. A bay, reach or valley approach); and Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high."; and Delete sub-paragraph 7.1.3(c).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	100	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policies 7.1.3 and 7.1.4 are combined and amended as follows (strike out and bold): <i>"Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine:</i> <i>(a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991;</i> <i>(b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; or</i> <i>(b) what the specific values and attributes of the identified ONFL are so these can be listed in Appendix 1 of Volume 3 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> <i>(c) where outstanding landscape values are not sensitive to change.</i> <i>Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape will be specifically identified on the Landscape Overlay."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) 7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high"; and b) Delete subparagraph 7.1.3(c).					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.3					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	61	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.3.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	48	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.3 to read: Policy 7.1.3 – Assessment of the values in Identification and assessment under Policy 7.1.1 and Policy 7.1.2 will determine: (a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991; (b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; or (c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	147	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.3 by deleting Clause (c) Add a new clause " <u>the characteristics of natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment, including whether a natural feature or natural landscape is outstanding in terms of Policy 15 of the NZCPS.</u> " New policy: in determining what is outstanding the following criteria will be used: [list Criteria from the Marlborough Landscape Study August 2015] a) b)..."					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.3: <i>Policy 7.1.3 Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine:</i> <i>(c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change which landscapes have values such that only significant adverse effects on their landscape values are required to be managed.</i>					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1140	Sanford Limited	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) in clause b delete reference to high amenity value and (ii) delete clause C in its entirety.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	53	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new bullet point after b) stating, whether a landscape is identified as a feature of high cultural value in terms of section 6(e) and 7(a) of the RMA'.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of clause (c) from Policy 7.1.3. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.4					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete reference to "high" amenity values; Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	101	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted as it is submitted it should be combined with Policy 7.1.3 (see submission on 7.1.3).					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Support the identification of outstanding natural landscapes (ONL), but oppose the methodology in the MEP.</p> <p>Delete reference to "high" amenity values;</p> <p>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and</p> <p>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Exclude urban zoned areas (including Port, Port Landing and Marina) from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.4					
648	D C Hemphill	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	62	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.4.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	49	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	If an area qualifies as a s7 amenity landscape then it should be mapped as such in the Plan.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	100	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	148	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 7.1.4. New policy – Protect outstanding landscapes by: (a) Requiring resource consent of activities which are likely to have an impact on the values identified for landscapes in appendix 1. (b) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes identified on Planning maps to avoid adverse effects in the Coastal Environment (c) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and landscapes identified on Planning maps and ensure they are no more than minor outside the Coastal Environment.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	76	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the explanation of Policy 7.1.4: Those landscapes that are an outstanding natural feature or landscape Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural landscape, or outstanding natural feature will be identified (and mapped) in the MEP.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pragmatic reassessment of the Marlborough Sounds ONL's.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	187	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either: Remove commercial forests from the High Amenity Value Landscape - Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape. Or Provide for the change in landscape as a normal function of this land use.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 7.1.4 as notified in the PMEP.					
284	Jane Buckman	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 7.1.5. Also suggests that once you have defined the boundary of an ONL, you must go through the First Schedule RMA process in order to change the classification. Really means the opposite of what it says.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 7.1.5					
648	D C Hemphill	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the the Policy by adding the following statement at the end (bold) - "Costs associated with the refinement of any boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will be paid by the Council." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	63	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	50	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amen Policy 7.1.5 to read: Policy 7.1.5 – Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to: (a) landscape change over time; or (b) more detailed assessment of landscape values by Council .					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	149	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 7.1.5 as follows: "Refine <u>and update</u> the <u>boundaries</u> values <u>and areas</u> of <u>outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes</u> , outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity values <u>as set out in Appendix 1 and shown on the Landscape Overlays maps</u> in response to: (a) ... (b) ...; <u>or</u> (c) <u>new information</u> ."					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following is added (bold) to Policy 7.1.5: <i>Policy 7.1.5 Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to:</i> <i>(c) effects of climate change and changed community views and aspirations.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.5.					
1140	Sanford Limited	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to amenity.					
1140	Sanford Limited	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.1.5(b).					
1201	Trustpower Limited	68	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.1.5 as follows: <i>"Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value via the plan change process in response to: (a) landscape change over time; or (b) more detailed assessment of landscape values."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (inferred) .					
648	D C Hemphill	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	150	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method 7.M.1					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (inferred) .					
1140	Sanford Limited	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to amenity.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (inferred) .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	151	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend: <p>"The Council will continue to make <u>has made</u> available information on Marlborough's diverse landscape character and the results of any evaluations of landscapes significance (following consultation with relevant landowners). This <u>information</u> will be a useful reference document generally, but can also be used by <u>made available to</u> resource consent applicants to assist in any assessment of adverse effects on landscape values."</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We submit that a cumulative effects landscape values policy must be included in MEP to meet the requirements of NZCPS Policy 7 – in a similar vein to that as has been included in Chapter 6 for natural character effects. Such a policy should prescribe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The positive identification of areas such as Clova Bay where coastal landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and • That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the effects of the existing level of activity; (b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and (c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality. • That acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy, or by effects not reducing landscape value to a lower level on a seven point scale, or through guidelines developed with stakeholders with reference to best practice and international assessment standards. • That where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of adverse cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with effected stakeholders. 					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete reference to amenity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 7.M.3A – "Landscape Assessment Method." New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	102	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	104	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows - " Activities that are consistent with the values and factors of Outstanding Natural Landscapes will be recognised for their contribution to the landscape and provided for. Primary production activities in particular will be enabled. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) - " <i>Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows or similar to like effect: Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance those landscape features with high amenity value that contribute to the landscapes with high amenity value.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 7.2 as proposed.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.2					
648	D C Hemphill	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	152	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the explanation in terms of Objective 15(a) and (b) of the NZCPS.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.2.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new method of implementation under Objective 7.2 to read:</p> <p><u>7.M.10 Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014</u> <u>This Act makes it an offence to destroy or modify an archaeological site without first obtaining an 'archaeological authority'. This applies to both recorded and unrecorded archaeological sites. It is important that the planning for any building or development takes this issue into account and an archaeological assessment may be required. The applicant is advised to contact Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga if any activity such as earthworks, fencing or landscaping may modify damage or destroy any archaeological site. More information is contained in Appendix 13.</u></p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to amenity.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.2 as proposed.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 7.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
284	Jane Buckman	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	103	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.1 is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " Control Manage activities that have the potential to degrade affect those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process through permitted activity standards that ensure activities avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment: a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:					

- (i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- (ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.

New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

- a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
 - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
 - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

454	Kevin Francis Loe	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	176	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	51	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade these the characteristics and values contributing to outstanding natural features and of sensitive landscapes landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process consent applications to address:</p> <p>(a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and values of the landscape.</p> <p>(b) How the Chapter 7 policies will be achieved and taking into account:</p> <p>(a) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity.</p> <p>(b) The extent of anthropogenic changes.</p> <p>(c) The presence of absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure.</p> <p>(d) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects.</p> <p>(e) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location.</p> <p>(f) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patters.</p> <p>(g) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area.</p> <p>(h) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associate natural processes.</p> <p>The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	153	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy wording to include NZCPS Policy 15 “natural landscapes and natural features” in the coastal environment.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.1 Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process. Protect the landscape values of areas identified as outstanding from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by controlling activities that may degrade these values and requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive landscape assessment.</i></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring <u>new</u> activities and <u>new</u> structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process.</i></p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure provision is provided for working rural environments, which do change.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	188	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	This Policy will not apply to commercial forests within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.1 as follows: <i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to an comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values, to the level of detail that corresponds with the scale and significance of those effects, through the resource consent process."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
63	Sandy Shields	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
95	John Kershaw	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
96	Jane Buckman	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	adopt in full.					
104	Robin Taylor	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
107	Peter Lamb	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
128	Lynda Scott Kelly	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt these provisions in full					
153	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
164	Nigel Sowman	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
205	Nicola Bright	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
239	Tony Westend	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
265	Lisa Halliday	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
362	Stuart Robert Kennington	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
363	Angela Marion Kennington	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	105	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is deleted (if the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted as per a separate submission); or</p> <p>That the Policy is amended as follows (if the values for the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape are amended in Appendix 1 as per a separate submission) (strike out and bold) - "Control Enable activities that have the potential to degrade are consistent with the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by:</p> <p>(a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values and uses and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</p> <p>(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</p>					
429	Tempello Partnership	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or • Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and • Policy 7.2.2 is amended to provide for management of adverse effects on the landscape is via permitted activity standards 					
438	Richard Scott Wilson	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP.					
452	Beconbrae Farm	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
596	Corina Naus	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
639	David Marshall Allan	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
685	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects. 2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries. 3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects. 4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	177	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.2(b):</p> <p><i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities prohibiting new resource consents for commercial forestry." (Inferred)</i></p>					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	154	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy such as: "Avoid activities that have potential to degrade... by : (a) setting permitted...consistent with no more than minor effects on existing landscape values... (b) controlling existing activities and new activities, with potential for more than minor adverse effects, to avoid remedy of mitigate adverse effects; and (c) avoiding new activities which have significant adverse effects"					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That commercial forestry is not allowed but shelter belts are, especially with a preference for indigenous species on ridges, valleys and hills.					
772	Ivan and Margaret Sutherland	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
816	Janine Merie Mayson	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.2 in its entirety and unchanged.					
846	Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
858	Kevin Peter Judd	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
872	Kimberley Judd	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
937	Mike Just	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
939	Murray MacDonald	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
944	Michael Naus	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.2 page 7-6 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1011	Peter Banks	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Adopt the proposed rules for Farming in this submission (point #4 and #5), which would cause resource consent to be necessary for farming on fragile environments like this. In this way farming stock-levels and management could be controlled, or prohibited altogether.</p> <p>2. Fence to exclude stock from the gullies, and plant trees and shrubs in the gullies to create riparian strips for soil conservation, amelioration of water flows, birds, bees, and public amenity. Quail Stream lower to mid-length is the sole example of this type of protection.</p> <p>3. Failing the inclusion of the proposed rules for the permitted activity of Farming (submission points #4 and #5) being adopted, where the MDC has control in the Wither Hills Farm Park, restrict grazing to cattle-only, limit the stocking to a minimal level, and embark on a rotational grazing plan that allows the protective grass sward to recover properly.</p> <p>4. MDC buy those areas of the "Wairau Dry Hills Landscape" that are such a poor example of our care for the environment, and apply best management practice to the land as per the above recommendations, or plant forest on the land to protect the soil structure and fertility.</p>					
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or</p> <p>Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and</p> <p>Policy 7.2.2 is amended</p> <p><u>Subject matter and provision in the Plan:</u></p>					
1191	The Bell Tower on Dog Point	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We support the policy in full.					
1259	Christine Potts	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.					
284	Jane Buckman	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.3					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 7.2.3; and Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy with the following additional wording (in bold): Policy 7.2.3(c) - requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities and marine farming activities .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	109	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p>"For areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape that are classified as an Outstanding Feature and Landscape, Control Enable activities that have the potential to degrade are consistent with the amenity values and attributes that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</p> <p>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</p> <p>(b) setting permitted standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and land uses.</p> <p>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities. <i>(Partially inferred)</i></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not be in the landscape policies chapter; and</p> <p>b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain provision but revise the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape to exclude urban zones including the Port, Port Landing and Marina Zones. If the primary relief is not accepted, make consequential changes to Policy 7.2.3 so that it does not apply to such areas.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	35	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 7.2.3(c):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities, including the transport of logs on public roads.</i></p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.3					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.3 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</p> <p>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</p> <p>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</p>					
648	D C Hemphill	33	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy and replace with a new policy and method that reflects the following -</p> <p>Develop a completely different compliance regime that will achieve real environmental improvement. Such a regime should be developed jointly between the Council and the forest industry. In concept, it would consist of a fast track to Resource Consent approval for landowners, operators, and managers approved by the Council for their past satisfactory environmental performance, having regard also to the internal regime of each organization for achieving environmental protection . Those landowners, operators, and managers without such a history (or with past inferior environmental performance) would be held to a higher standard.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	178	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3(c):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living; and</p> <p>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</p> <p>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	155	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the policy or amend to set out clear guidance that the approaches (a) to (c) will ensure significant adverse effects are avoided and that other adverse effects are avoided, remedied, or mitigated on natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the primary means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in the Coastal Living Zone and only granting resource consent to activities and structures within the coastal marine area in proximity to this zone that have a functional requirement to be located in these areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living or are reasonably necessary to facilitate access and from the land;</i></p>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Marine farming has significant adverse effects on coastal landscape values and as such marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provisions in relation to plantation forest.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	189	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i> <i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</i> <i>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i> <i>(c) requiring Controlled Activity resource consent for commercial forestry activities and Restricted Discretionary resource consent for new commercial forestry activities."</i>					
1011	Peter Banks	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1042	Port Underwood Association	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 7.2.3 - Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i> <i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</i> <i>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i> <i>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities including re-establishment after harvest.</i>					
1085	Raeburn Property Partnership	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1140	Sanford Limited	24	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete references to amenity.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That it should be made clear that a cumulative effects policy must be applied when applying policy 7.2.4.					
284	Jane Buckman	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.4.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	111	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is deleted; or</p> <p>That the Policy 7.2.4 is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>"Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects consistency of the proposal on with the values that contribute to the landscape.";</i> and,</p> <p>that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur, and are provided for as permitted. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <p>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</p> <p>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> <p>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</p> <p>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</p> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> <p>a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</p>					

b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
 - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
 - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy, and exclude urban zoned areas from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape, as described earlier in this submission.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	52	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.4 in its entirety.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	156	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy or another applicable policy to set out the requirements of Policy 15(a) and (b) and achieve protection as required by s6(b).					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include: The positive identification of areas where coastal marine landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering: (a) the effects of the existing level of activity; (b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and (c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review Appendix 1 to ensure it can be meaningfully applied.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	190	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the application of this policy for commercial forestry.					
1011	Peter Banks	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	76	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 7.2.4 as notified in the PMEP.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.					
1259	Christine Potts	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley.					
284	Jane Buckman	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	76	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 7.2.5 - In the coastal environment:</p> <p>Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</p> <p>Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> <p>Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</p> <p>Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</p> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and</p> <p>avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> <p>(a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</p> <p>(b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.</p> <p>New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the</p>					

characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;

(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:

(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established

(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;

Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;

Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;

Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss and preferably a net landscape gain;

Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and

Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	112	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
------------	----------------------------------	-----	----------	-------------	--------------	---------

Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "<i>Avoid adverse effects on the values and land uses that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</i>"</p> <p>And, that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p>					
--------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--

426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
------------	---	----	----------	-------------	--------------	--------

Decision Requested	<p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <p>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</p> <p>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p> <p>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and</p>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.

New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;

b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:

(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established

(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;

c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;

d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;

e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;

f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and

g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 7.2.5 Avoid adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are <u>adequately</u> remedied or <u>mitigated</u> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	53	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the characteristics and values that contribute to the outstanding natural features and landscapes s in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied.</p> <p>Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape. This policy does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that adverse effects are avoided so that the quality and significance of the values is not diminished.- Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	157	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite Policy 7.2.5 to ensure protection of these features and landscapes by avoiding, mitigating and then considering remediation of adverse effects.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 7.2.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.5 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p> <p><i>Where it is proposed that an activity will take place in an outstanding natural feature and landscape or in a landscape with high amenity value, it is appropriate that an assessment of the impact of the proposal on these significant landscapes is carried out. To undertake the assessment, regard must be had to the values that contribute to the outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value as identified in Appendix 1 of the MEP. The level of assessment should reflect the scale of the proposed activity and the potential adverse effects on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the policy and its interpretation (in light of the word "avoids" definition in Section 2 of the MEP).					
1011	Peter Banks	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.5 as follows:</p> <p><i>" Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</i></p> <p><i>Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape. This does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that the quality and significance of the values is not diminished. Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided. It is recognised that some activities, for instance National Grid assets, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate within an outstanding natural feature or landscape and that the adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. Further direction in this regard is provided by Policy 7.2.6."</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 7.2.5 as follows: <i>"Avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
1259	Christine Potts	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley.</p>					
284	Jane Buckman	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 7.2.6</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	113	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 7.2.6 is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i></p> <p><i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure, including irrigation schemes;</i></p> <p><i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i></p> <p><i>(d) Farming and primary production.</i>"</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend policy to clarify within the text of the policy that it does not apply to activities in the coastal environment.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.6					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	54	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.6 to read: Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the characteristics values of the these area should be preferentially avoided. If avoidance is not possible then adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated, provided only if the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained: (a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure; (b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and (c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	158	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite this policy to provide direction consistent with s6(b) of the RMA and policy 15 of the NZCPS.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.6: <i>Policy 7.2.6 Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i> <i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</i> <i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i> <i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1011	Peter Banks	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to clarify how outstanding natural landscapes and features will be protected.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.6 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any the adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, to the extent reasonably possible, having regard to provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i></p> <p><i>(a) activities involving the upgrade and development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</i></p> <p>....</p> <p><i>This policy relaxes the direction provided by Policy 7.2.5 for outstanding natural features and landscapes in limited circumstances. These circumstances are described in (a) to (c) and reflect the considerable benefits that the listed activities provide to the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of our community.</i></p> <p><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is essential to allowing our communities to function on a day-by-day basis. This infrastructure may need to be <u>developed</u> or <u>expanded</u> in the future and that expansion may need to occur in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes. In respect of (b), many outstanding natural features and landscapes can already be accessed for passive recreational purposes and the RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these amenity values. ...</i></p> <p><i>The policy does not allow the activities in (a) to (c) to occur without consideration of the impact they may have on outstanding natural features and landscapes. Any adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape must still be <u>remedied</u> or <u>mitigated</u> as much as possible. As adverse effects can occur at various scales, there should also be consideration of the impacts of the proposed activity on the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature or landscape. The policy requires that the overall quality and integrity of the landscape should be retained.</i></p> <p><i>This policy does not apply to activities occurring in the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that adverse effects of activities on outstanding natural feature or landscape be avoided."</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.6 as follows: <i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained: (a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure; <u>or</u> (b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and or (c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1259	Christine Potts	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
63	Sandy Shields	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
95	John Kershaw	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
105	Robin Taylor	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
107	Peter Lamb	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
128	Lynda Scott Kelly	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt these provisions in full.					
153	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
164	Nigel Sowman	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
205	Nicola Bright	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
239	Tony Westend	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
265	Lisa Halliday	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
362	Stuart Robert Kennington	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
363	Angela Marion Kennington	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" "-", excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and Remove reference to amenity.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	114	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and replaced with the following new Policy - " <i>Applications for subdivision, use and development in outstanding natural features and landscapes must demonstrate that activities, including buildings and earthworks, will be located, designed and of a scale and character that will ensure that the values of the areas are protected.</i> " That the Plan is amended so that only outstanding natural features and landscapes are granted protection, and not landscapes with high amenity value. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Plan)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" "-", excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and b) Remove reference to amenity.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows (divide into two parts): Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and avoid, remedy of mitigate adverse effects on the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by: (a) In respect of structures: (i) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and landscapes, for buildings and structures, avoiding visual intrusion on land based skylines, particularly when viewed from public places;</u> (ii) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes avoiding significant visual effects of new dwellings in close proximity the foreshore;</u> (b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads): (i) avoiding extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;					
438	Richard Scott Wilson	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP.					
452	Beconbrae Farm	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
505	Ernslaw One Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all reference to high amenity values Constrain the policy to only address land designated as ONFL Change the word "avoiding" to "minimise" in (i) avoiding minimise extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
596	Corina Naus	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
639	David Marshall Allan	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i></p>					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
685	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects. 2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries. 3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects. 4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and all building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i></p> <p><i>(iii) minimising the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; and</i></p> <p><i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting;</i></p> <p>(v) avoid the clearing of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape by builders or adjacent property owners; and</p> <p>(vi) enable weed control and re-vegetation of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.</p>					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	55	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.7(c) to read:</p> <p>(c) In respect of vegetation planting:</p> <p>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</p> <p>(ii) encouraging plantations of exotic trees to be planted in a form that complements the natural landform; and</p> <p>(iii) recognising the potential for wilding pine spread.</p> <p>(iii)(iv) Encourage indigenous forestry and recognize its co-benefits.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	159	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows: "Protect the values ...by <u>only considering granting resource consents for activities where:...</u> " Amend the clause by changes "encourage" to "requiring".					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (a) and (c) (inferred) : <i>Policy 7.2.7 Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i> <i>(a) In respect of structures:</i> <i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i> <i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting:</i> <i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.7(a) to read In respect of structures: ... <u>(viii) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u> In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads): ... <u>(v) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u> In respect of vegetation planting and clearance: ... <u>(iv) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u>					
772	Ivan and Margaret Sutherland	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
816	Janine Merie Mayson	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.7 in its entirety and unchanged.					
846	Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
858	Kevin Peter Judd	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
872	Kimberley Judd	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
935	Melva Joy Robb	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) (inferred) : <i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i> <i>(a) In respect of structures:</i> <i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i> <i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i> <i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i>					
937	Mike Just	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
939	Murray MacDonald	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys and the Marlborough Sounds, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
944	Michael Naus	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy and ensure legally established plantation forest is not captured by the policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	191	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.7 as follows: <i>Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i> ... <i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i> <i>(i) avoiding, <u>remedying or mitigating adverse effects from</u> extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</i> <i>(ii) encouraging tracks and roads to locate adjacent to slopes or at the edge of landforms or vegetation patterns and to follow natural contour lines in order to minimise the amount of land disturbance required;</i> <i>(iii) minimising <u>where practicable the adverse effects from</u> the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; and</i> <i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting.</i> <u>while recognising and providing for the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of the road network.</u></p>					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.7 page 7-8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1011	Peter Banks	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1191	The Bell Tower on Dog Point	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We support the policy in full.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	55	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.7 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding visual intrusion on skylines, particularly when viewed from public places;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) avoiding new dwellings in close proximity to the foreshore;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) limiting the scale, height and placement of structures to minimise intrusion of built form into the landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(v) recognising that existing structures may contribute to the landscape character of an area and additional structures may complement this contribution;</i></p> <p><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></p> <p><i>(vii) encouraging utilities to be co-located wherever possible and recognising the locational needs of utilities and regionally significant infrastructure;</i></p> <p><i>..."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1202	Tu Jaes Trust	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That, for consistency should Rule 4.5.1 above remain unchanged, areas classified as ONFL should NOT PERMIT replanting of exotic forestry once the existing forest on this land has been harvested.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7 and its explanation in its entirety as notified.					
1259	Christine Potts	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley.					
14	Nicholas Webby	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Keep Policy 7.2.8					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this policy be removed.					
284	Jane Buckman	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference to amenity is deleted; and Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	24	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8 as long as the existing use rights of farmers are protected.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	115	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to reads follows (bold) - " <i>Recognise that some outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will fall within areas in which primary production activities currently occur, and accept farming is an appropriate land use involving activities which may modify the landscape.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy if the following relief is provided: a) Reference to amenity is deleted; and b) Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity. Explanation currently focuses on land use.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	160	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.8					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy or amend to make clear that it only has application in situations where there are existing use rights.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equality for all primary land use.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	192	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8.					
167	Killearnan Limited	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the application of the policy or remove the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	116	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9 in its entirety, or alternatively, confirm that Policy 7.2.9 does not apply to high amenity value landscapes and reword to capture all Permitted Activities as well as activities that require Resource Consents, so to reduce the Plan's current pro-farming anti-forestry bias.					
648	D C Hemphill	34	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	161	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include setbacks					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	87	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	This policy is deleted.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	193	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	39	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 7.2.9: <i>Policy 7.2.9 When considering resource consent applications for activities in close proximity to outstanding natural features and landscapes, regard may be had to the matters in Policy 7.2.7.</i>					
183	Harold John Fowler	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Volume 1 Chapter 7.2.10 (a) and (b). Retain provisions as proposed.					
284	Jane Buckman	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
294	Landcorp	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions as proposed with the description widened to wilding conifers					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10					
376	Brian Walter Godsiff	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	25	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	117	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10. (<i>inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	24	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Councils powers under the Biosecurity Act, not the RMA are key. The Branch and Letham catchments, planted by the Former Catchment Board (predecessor of the District Council) are significant seed sources and should be addressed under the Biosecurity Act. Any policy enacted under the RMA should give rise to a risk based approach, and not blanket prohibitions					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy to align with the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers. Refer www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf					
648	D C Hemphill	35	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.10 to take into account science, specifically ecology and carbon sequestration as a means of climate change mitigation. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	180	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.10 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding pines spread of exotic tree species on the landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines spread and limit their further spread; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.</i></p> <p>The ability of exotic tree species pines to spread from commercial plantations, soil conservation plantings, rural shelterbelts and isolated plantings is well documented in Marlborough. As pines these tree species spread, they alter the landscape due to their visual dominance and colour contrast. In addition, where forests have been harvested but not replanted there is the potential for rapid growth of wilding seedlings, creating more unmanaged sources of wilding pine spread. Many in the community believe that these landscape changes are unacceptable and some locals have initiated control programmes in an effort to reduce the presence of wilding pines tree species in the landscape and limit their spread to other areas. These efforts are to be supported as a means of effective landscape protection. Additionally, there are certain species of tree grown for commercial wood production that are more prone to wilding pine spread. Controls on planting certain species will assist to reduce the risk of wilding pine spread and therefore reduce impacts on landscape values.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	56	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.10 to read:</p> <p>Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape by:</p> <p>(a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and</p> <p>(b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.</p> <p><u>(c) Use consent conditions to require forestry operations to remove wilding pines within 1km of the designated forestry boundary and to cover the cost of removing wilding pines at a greater distance that have emanated from that operation.</u></p> <p><u>(b)(d) Using consent conditions to require wilding removal as part of subdivision.</u></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	162	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the policy and consider including other pest plants and the control of pest which detract from landscape values.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	88	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 7.2.10.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure planning controls are warranted, based on risk analysis.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	194	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that the rules that are developed from this Policy apply to all land uses and areas of the region, not just in relation to forestry type planting. Employ the Wilding Risk Calculator rather than have a blanket ban on particular tree species.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.10 as follows: <i>"Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape and other resource users by: (a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and (b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1249	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
284	Jane Buckman	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	118	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	25	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	181	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.11 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.11 – Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any landscape issues on land administered by the Department and identified as having outstanding natural features and landscapes (including within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape).</i></p> <p>A significant proportion of outstanding natural features and landscapes occur on Crown land administered by the Department of Conservation. Because this land is managed for conservation purposes and is not likely to attract development, there are fewer threats to the biophysical, sensory and associative values in these landscapes compared to those areas with outstanding natural features and landscapes on privately owned land. However, that is not to say that potential threats do not exist. For example, applications can be made to operate concessions within areas administered by the Department and vegetation change can occur as a result of pest plant incursions (including wilding pines exotic tree species, broom and gorse). The Council will liaise with the Department on an ongoing basis to discuss landscape issues as they arise and to develop and implement appropriate management responses.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	163	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include Policy 7.2.11 as a method					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	89	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11.					
284	Jane Buckman	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.12.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	119	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.12.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.12 to reflect a more active stance on managing areas with high amenity values to ensure that they are maintained or enhanced as required under s7. Relying on resource users to ensure that those values are appropriately respected as a result of MDC encouragement is not sufficient to ensure maintenance and enhancement will be achieved. More directive and comprehensive policy direction is required.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	164	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	90	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.3.					
648	D C Hemphill	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	182	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.3.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include marine farming [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	195	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete commercial forestry and the planting of certain species of exotic tree from the list of activities to be regulated.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 7.M.3.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.4					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	183	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.4.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [<i>inferred</i>].					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	196	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete any reference to forestry in this Method, as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The Council will provide guidelines to help landowners and resource users to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse visual effects of development on landscape values. Guidelines for forest harvest activities and new structures will be priorities for development. These guidelines are intended to encourage landowners and resource users to consider landscape qualities when using or developing natural and physical resources. This may result in improved recognition of the landscape within which the resource use or development is proposed to occur and therefore improved (harvest or structure) design from a landscape perspective. In this way, the guidelines will assist with the implementation of the regulatory methods and are complimentary to these methods.</i> <i>It is not proposed to develop guidelines for forestry as the NESPF will become the eminent regulation for the industry.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.5					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	184	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.5.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [<i>inferred</i>].					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6					
578	Pinder Family Trust	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	185	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	165	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to methods to include support for wilding pine control initiatives					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [<i>inferred</i>].					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.7					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.7.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.					
648	D C Hemphill	32	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	186	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	166	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend methods as required to provide for identification of sites for permanent carbon sink initiatives to support the retention and enhancement of landscapes and natural landscapes.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [<i>inferred</i>].					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the following are included in 7.M.7: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research is undertaken into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. • Investigations of how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. 					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.8					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	187	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	167	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and include methods which provide for a proactive programme to increase public awareness of landscape values and requirements to protect these values to achieve compliance with permitted standards and seeking consent when needed as well as covenant incentives.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Develop meaningful incentives to drive land use change.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	197	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete paragraph 3 as follows - <i>"Provide the community with information on effective control practices for wilding pines."</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	188	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.9.					
91	Marlborough District Council	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows - " Number of programmes in the community to control wilding pines. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the 5th indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " The area of land vegetated by wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds decreases does not increase. "					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with visual amenity value are protected from degradation <u>inappropriate subdivision, use or development.</u>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the wording " <i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i> " is included in 7.AER.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	168	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend "Marlborough's... are protected from degradation."					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	New clause in the monitoring effectiveness column: The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increases.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1.					
30	Philip Pat Williams	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise, research and develop, then apply control measures for gorse, Old Man's Beard and banana passionfruit on road reserve in the Marlborough Sounds.					
44	Lynda Neame	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>An additional objective added to the Biodiversity Chapter such as the one that was in the 1994 RPS</p> <p>New</p> <p>Objective 8.x "The integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity be maintained or enhanced".</p> <p>A new policy under the new objective</p> <p>Policy 8.x.1 "Avoid habitat disruption from activities occurring within wetland, lake or river ecosystems"</p>					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support it in special due to the Wairau rivers as a rich eco-community.</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>I also SUPPORT the voluntary partnership approach with landowners as the primary means for protection on private land (<i>inferred Policy 8.2.2</i>) with the proviso that this should be well resourced and the results of the approach monitored to make sure that real gains are being made. This also means that for significant natural area sites to be reasonable protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.</p>					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter has no annotation as to whether the objectives and policies are part of the regional policy statement, coastal plan, regional plan or district plan.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy –</p> <p><i>" Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:?</i></p> <p><i>The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i></p> <p><i>Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i></p> <p><i>The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i></p> <p><i>The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i></p> <p><i>The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i></p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Objective 8.2 (submission point 11) and Policy 8.3.7 (submission point 12).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issues, objectives, polices and Methods of implementation with the following amendments/comments/etc regarding Policy 8.2.2, Methods of implementation 8.M.6, Policy 8.2.4 and Policy 8.3.7 (in separate submission points).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	151	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That pest management is recognised as a legitimate means of protecting biodiversity outcomes and therefore enabled through the policies and rules in the Plan. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific additional policies or rules sought)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	113	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Annotate provisions in the entire chapter with RPS / C / R / D.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	114	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy – <i>"Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:</i> <i>(a) The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i> <i>(b) Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i> <i>(c) The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i> <i>(d) The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i> <i>(e) The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	115	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments may be necessary to the Methods of Implementation and Anticipated Environmental Results in light of MFA submissions.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide classification acronyms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend text of Chapter 8 and/or the overlay titles to ensure consistent terminology is used.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include provisions to encourage the use of adaptive management techniques where there is uncertainty regarding adverse effects.					
455	John Hickman	51	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
456	George Mehlhopt	51	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy as follows: <u>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of significant marine ecological sites.</u>					
479	Department of Conservation	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include new Appendix XX Biodiversity Offsetting</p> <p><u>The following sets out a framework for the use of biodiversity offsets. It should be read in conjunction with the NZ Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand. August 2014 (or any successor Central Government guidance and standards):</u></p> <p><u>1. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where they are used to offset the anticipated residual effects of activities after appropriate avoidance, minimisation, remediation and mitigation actions have occurred as per new policy XX, i.e. not in situations where they are used to mitigate the adverse effects of activities.</u></p> <p><u>2. A proposed biodiversity offset should contain an explicit loss and gain calculation and should demonstrate the manner in which no net loss or preferably a net gain in biodiversity can be achieved on the ground.</u></p> <p><u>3. A biodiversity offset should recognise the limits to offsets due to irreplaceable and vulnerable biodiversity and its design and implementation should include provisions for addressing sources of uncertainty and risk of failure the delivery of no net loss.</u></p> <p><u>4. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</u></p> <p><u>5. Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</u></p> <p><u>6. The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity, so that the overall result is no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</u></p> <p><u>7. The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost. 8. As far as practicable, the positive ecological outcomes of the offset last at least as long as the impact of the activity, and preferably in perpetuity. Adaptive management responses should be incorporated into the design of the offset, as required to ensure that the positive ecological outcomes are maintained over time.</u></p> <p><u>9. The biodiversity offset should be designed and implemented in a landscape context – i.e. with an understanding of both the donor and recipient sites role, or potential role in the ecological context of the area.</u></p> <p><u>10. The consent application identifies the intention to utilise an offset, and includes a biodiversity offset management plan that:</u></p> <p><u>i. sets out baseline information on indigenous biodiversity that is potentially impacted by the proposal at both the donor and recipient sites.</u></p> <p><u>ii. demonstrates how the requirements set out in this appendix will be addressed.</u></p> <p><u>iii. identifies the monitoring approach that will be used to demonstrate how the matters set out in this appendix have been addressed, over an appropriate timeframe.</u></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	119	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 8 – Indigenous Biodiversity to recognise the different responsibilities of the Council under Section 6(c) and 30(1)(ga) of the Resource Management Act.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.</p>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Chapter 8 Introduction.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	58	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Chapter 8 so that the planning document each provision falls under is identified.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	59	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Chapte 8 introduction to read:</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity gives our country a unique character and is internationally important. A large proportion of our species are endemic to New Zealand and if they become extinct they are lost to the world. About 90 percent of New Zealand insects, 80 percent of trees, ferns and flowering plants, 25 percent of bird species, all 60 reptile species, four frog species and two species of bat are endemic.</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity has helped shape our national identity, with our distinctive flora and fauna contributing to our sense of belonging. The koru and kiwi are internationally recognised. Biodiversity also provides social and economic benefits through recreational opportunities, tourism, research, education, provision of ecosystem services and natural resources for primary industry and customary and medical uses.</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to recognise and provide for as a matter of national importance the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna (Section 6(c)). The protection of these values, whether on land, in freshwater or coastal environments, also helps to achieve other matters of national importance, including landscape and natural character values and historic heritage. However, biodiversity values are also important components of amenity, kaitiakitanga, quality of the environment and ecosystem values, matters to which regard shall be had in terms of Section 7 of the RMA. For this reason there are important links between the provisions of this chapter and others in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP).</p> <p>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting significant natural areas and habitats and maintaining indigenous biological diversity. These functions enable the Council to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - establish, implement and review objectives, policies and methods for maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 30(1)(ga)]; and - control any actual or potential effects of the use, development or protection of land for the purpose of maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 31(1)(b)(iii)]. <p><u>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 gives specific direction on how protection and management of indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved in the coastal and marine environments .</u></p> <p>Marlborough's central location within New Zealand and its varied landforms, climate and rich human history combine to form an interesting and diverse area. The District has a range of important and unusual natural features, native plants and animals, a number of which are at their southern or northern limits of distribution. Part of south Marlborough has been identified as one of five areas of high biodiversity concentration within New Zealand.</p> <p>....</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 8 to identify individual provisions as RPS, R, C or D.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	169	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to explain biodiversity requirements under the NZCPS and explain relationship between this chapter and costal environment chapter in achieving protection of biodiversity. Amend the last sentence as follows "However, it is It is important to acknowledge recognise and provide for that the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity, still <u>which continue to contribute significantly to Marlborough's heritage value social, economic and environmental wellbeing."</u>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	That reference to Objective 1 and Policies 11, 13 and 14 of the NZCPS 2010 is made in the explanation. That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the fourth paragraph of the Introduction: <i>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting significant natural areas and habitats representative or significant natural ecosystems and sites of biological importance and maintaining the diversity of New Zealand's indigenous coastal flora and fauna and indigenous biological diversity to preserve New Zealand's (inferred) own recognisable character.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a further issues statement, objective and policies to address the indigenous biodiversity values of species that are migratory or do not spend their entire life cycle within the District. The submission does not include details for additional issues statement, objective and policies.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
809	Jim Jessep	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
809	Jim Jessep	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy.</p> <p>We would submit the following policy as being appropriate (based, for a start, on that as used in Chapter 6): <i>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</i> <i>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</i> <i>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</i> <i>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</i></p> <p>Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies: <i>8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and</i> <i>8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and</i> <i>8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and</i> <i>8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems).</i> <i>8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects)</i> <i>8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided).</i></p> <p><i>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</i></p> <p><i>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by re-consenting activities until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as necessary to classify provisions within Chapter 8 in relation to RPS, C, R and/or D.</p>					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.</p>					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p>AER: Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 8.1 and Policy 8.1.2 should be amended to make it clear that appropriate (not absolute) protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved. The current provisions suggest that the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is too absolute;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.1.1 should be amended to provide that even where an area has been assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value, it may not be mapped as "significant" where doing so would be inconsistent with the other objectives and policies of the plan;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.2.9 overreaches by seeking to maintain, enhance or restore all ecosystems, irrespective of whether they are significant. It is not clear that the Council properly understood the impact of this policy. Policy 8.2.9 should be amended so that it is not directive and instead uses wording similar to Policy 8.2.5 - by seeking to encourage the positive outcomes identified. The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.8 fail to make appropriate provision for development (rural industry) and infrastructure that has a locational need to be in a particular location and where there are no reasonably practical alternatives. The objectives and policies should be amended to provide a limited exemption in this circumstance and expressly provide for effects to be remedied, mitigated or off-set in such circumstances. This is significant in Marlborough where there are large areas of land devoted to primary produce, significant roading constraints and emphasis on greater use of the CMA as a transport option; and</p> <p>(d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove all reference to and the maps from the MEP.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1157	Southern Crown Limited	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.</p>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.</p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	76	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new policy and explanation: Policy 8.X.X Customary Harvest <u>In protecting and enhancing indigenous biodiversity, enable customary harvesting including within areas identified with outstanding landscape value, or significant ecological value.</u> Explanation <u>Customary harvesting is essential in enabling Ngai Tahu [and other Tangata Whenua Iwi] to exercise kaitiakitanga and to provide for their relationship with their culture, lands, water and other taonga. Cultural harvest may be for different reasons, including but not limited to, medicinal uses, ceremonial, uses, weaving or for consumption. Where particular resources are only available on private land, access agreements or case by case permissions from the landowner are essential before entry onto the property is allowed.</u>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain indigenous biodiversity and indigenous vegetation clearance protection initiatives objectives and policies.					
150	Will and Rose Parsons	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We wish that a provision be made to include in ('Terrestrial and Fresh Water Environments') 'the accidental introduction of oxygen weed to the Taylor River, noting the adverse effects of cutting, it to the environment downstream in the lower Opawa River and efforts being made to mitigate this. (We would welcome the opportunity to show environment committee what it looks like and discuss possible solutions).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert the following policy:					
	<p>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</p> <p>"Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and • 8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and • 8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and • 8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems). • 8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects) • 8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided) <p>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri. - Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu. 					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included or the existing policy is amended for the recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity of subdivision, use and development.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to provide a more accurate context as to the historical drivers for vegetation clearance. <i>(The Submitter did not provide specific wording changes to the explanation.)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using national criteria. 2. Recognise that some of these significant sites may contain marine farms which have been assessed as appropriate. 					
455	John Hickman	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A					
455	John Hickman	55	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.					
456	George Mehlhopt	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A					
456	George Mehlhopt	55	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial scallop dredging has had a catastrophe effect on the sounds underwater environment. This has been more noticeable in Queen Charlotte due to the fact that these beds are wild (no seeding is done), and have been on the decline for years.</p> <p>That commercial is banned, or not allowed to be restarted to protect the unique underwater habitats, the possibility of recreational dredging only in these areas could be considered. These dredges are much, smaller and lighter.</p> <p>Not all recreational people take scallops with dredges our club gathers by hand therefore causes little damage; but do see the result of siltation in bays.</p>					
693	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Support Issue 8A.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	60	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 8A so that it includes loss of diversity as a key issue.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	170	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend the explanation to state why this is of concern.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A and explanation. The submission makes particular reference to retaining the reference to the importance of feeding areas, in particular of threatened species as king shag (first sentence of the second paragraph on page 8-3) and retaining the fourth sentence of the fourth paragraph on page 8-3.					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation under the heading Marine environments in Issue 8A: <i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, and habitat disturbance, and changes in water flow. Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion. Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert New Policy as follows: <u><i>Policy 8.3.x – Where activities associated with regionally significant infrastructure are proposed to take place in an area, habitat or ecosystem with significant indigenous biodiversity values, any adverse effects on the values of that area, habitat or ecosystem shall be remedied or mitigated, in order to retain the overall qualities and integrity of the area, habitat or ecosystem.</i></u>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise the role plantation forests have in maintaining indigenous biodiversity.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a statement highlighting the issue of fish passage with respect to all structures in waterways.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A.					
1042	Port Underwood Association	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 8A, Marine Environments, Paragraph 4 as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, and habitat disturbance, and changes in water flow. Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion. Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend objective 8.1 to read: "Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I submit that there should be a corresponding policy for Marlborough Sounds marine environment. This policy should also be supported by rules in the Coastal Marine Zone to ease such restoration projects such that, for example, resource consents might not be required for agreed projects that aim to restore benthic habitats or reef environments.</p> <p>This goes further than simply waiving of the resource application fee as suggested in Method of Implementation 8.M.6 Support.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>Marlborough's remaining significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected.</i>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 8.1 to read "Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna are biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected.					
479	Department of Conservation	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
578	Pinder Family Trust	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (inferred) .					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	61	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 8.1 to read: Objective 8.1 – Marlborough’s remaining indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater, wetland, marine and coastal environments is protected.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	171	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan</p> <p>Ament the first paragraph of the explanation in two places “..this objective <u>gives effect to</u> helps to achieve...” “This objective also <u>gives effect to</u> helps to achieve...”</p> <p>Ament the second paragraph of the explanation “This objective also helps <u>sets out the intent</u> to protect...”</p> <p>Replace the third paragraph: <u>“There is a relationship between this objective and objective 6.2 in Chapter 6 in terms of the protection of natural character under s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. This is because indigenous biodiversity is also a component determining natural character. For this reason polices in this chapter that provide for the protection of indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment, wetlands, rivers, lakes and their margins in giving regard to both s6(a) and (c) of the RMA and achieving Policies 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. Areas identified for protection of both natural character and indigenous biodiversity include the Riparian Natural Character Management Areas on the Overlay Maps. ”</u></p> <p>Amend to clarify that the Landscape Overlay of Threatened Environments comes from the statement of priorities. Include policy direction to apply the Threatened Environments classification as an overlay</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation except that the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph: <i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved where opportunities arise.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation but make the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph: <i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved where opportunities arise.</i>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (<i>inferred</i>).					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1.					
1140	Sanford Limited	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [<i>sentence is incomplete</i>].					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (<i>inferred</i>).					
1201	Trustpower Limited	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 8.1 as follows: <i>"Marlborough's remaining significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective be amended to read as follows - " <i>To encourage the An increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity protected by voluntary legal mechanisms, and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - " <i>Voluntary actions that maintain or enhance indigenous biodiversity shall be recognised and encouraged.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted.					
429	Tempello Partnership	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the objective be amended					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete objective.					
479	Department of Conservation	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird)	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.2					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy that ensures the protection of significant areas.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	172	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan Retain					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 8.2: <i>Objective 8.2 An improved quality and increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.2.					
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objective be amended.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - <i>"Prior to the planting of a commercial forest the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator must be assessed."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new method under this Objective as follows - <i>"Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (i.e. "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them is the responsibility of the forestry owner. All wilding pine control is to be met by an industry levy on logs harvested."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -</p> <p>"Resource consents granted for commercial forestry harvesting in the Marlborough Sounds, will include the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) All woody material >100mm diameter and > 3m in length must be removed from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest;</p> <p>(b) All road design, construction, and maintenance must be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</p> <p>(c) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new method under this Objective as follows -</p> <p>"Recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
42	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) representativeness; (b) rarity; (c) diversity and pattern; (d) distinctiveness; (e) size and shape; (f) connectivity/ecological context; (g) sustainability; and (h) adjacent catchment modifications. <p>For a site to be considered significant, at least one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high and/or two or more must rank medium, as detailed in Appendix 3.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
479	Department of Conservation	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</i> <i>(a) representativeness;</i> <i>(b) rarity;</i> <i>(c) diversity and pattern;</i> <i>(d) distinctiveness;</i> <i>(e) size and shape;</i> <i>(f) connectivity/ecological context;</i> <i>(g) sustainability; and</i> <i>(h) adjacent catchment modifications; and</i> <i>(i) cultural and Kaitiaki values.</i> <i>For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide a process so that the community can identify throughout the period of the plan new information that would be given 'weight' in between Environmental Plan reviews.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to "significant indigenous biodiversity value" and refer instead to "significant biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity" and to recognise that all wetlands have significant biodiversity value and to remove "(g) sustainability" and provide clearer guidance on what the criteria are and how they will be applied such as those identified in Table F2(a) of the Horizons One Plan.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	74	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.1. We encourage the MDC to continue this survey work, particularly in North Marlborough, where there are still wetlands that are possibly unsurveyed, and areas or original indigenous forest in private ownership, some of which is very much at risk from clearing for development.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support Policy 8.1.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	62	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.1.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.1.1 – When assessing whether wetlands, freshwater, coastal, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) representativeness; (b) rarity; (c) diversity and pattern; (d) distinctiveness; (e) size and shape; (f) connectivity/ecological context; (g) sustainability; and (h) adjacent catchment modifications. <p>For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	173	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This policy needs to be identified as RPS Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.1.1 to refer to the ecological significance criteria in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Amend Appendix 3 to recognise important bird feeding areas as a criterion for determining ecological significance.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	198	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to require that on-site verification is a key component of the identification sites, areas and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Clarify when an assessment would be required under Policy 8.1.1.					
1140	Sanford Limited	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [<i>sentence is incomplete</i>].					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1201	Trustpower Limited	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.1 as notified in the PMEP.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The mapped sites in Volume 4 do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, on maps and in a schedule that includes descriptions of the qualities of each Significant Wetland.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
479	Department of Conservation	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to identify all wetland areas as significant. Policy 8.1.2 – Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	75	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	174	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan Add reference in the policy explanation to where in the plan these areas are identified. Amend the plan to provide policy directions and methods to provide for further wetland and marine sites to be identified for protection.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.1.2 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 8.1.2 While it is acknowledged that there are significant gaps in knowledge of the Districts' ecological values, in particular within the marine environment, Ssites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p> <p><i>Significant wetlands have been identified in the MEP because these small and fragmented areas are all that remain of the once vast areas of wetland that covered lowland Marlborough. Restoration and protection of wetland systems depends on maintenance and stability of the water tables. As such the scale of all wetlands, independent of their existing significance play a role for restoration projects. It is important to ensure the values of the significant all wetlands are protected to meet Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010. Areas that meet the RMA's definition of a wetland but do not have significant values in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1 have not been identified in the MEP and therefore are not subject to wetland rules.</i></p> <p><i>Areas or habitats assessed as having significant ecological values and areas that fulfil ecosystem services to maintain healthy ecosystem functionality within the coastal marine area have been specifically identified in the MEP and are referred to as 'ecologically significant marine sites'. This is because the coastal marine area is comprised of resources in public ownership, with the Council having a more direct role in managing these resources including in relation to areas with significant biodiversity value in terms of Section 6(c) of the RMA. Regulation and education will be the Council's main approach in protecting, restoring and enhancing marine biodiversity.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make it clear that marine sites not specifically identified by the MEP, but which nonetheless meet the criteria for ecologically significant marine sites, are to be treated under the MEP in the same way as if they had been identified as ecologically significant marine sites under Policy 8.1.2.					
921	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, including a Peripheral Management Area around each Ecologically Significant Marine Site."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	199	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • W203 • W777 • W87 • W779 • W1369 • W1368 • W92 • W989 • W972 • W377 (Refer submission points 990.200 to 900.209)					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a process to identify and add Significant Wetlands which have been missed, after the Plan is notified, is included in the MEP.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	85	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be extended to include the attainment of knowledge on the degree of change that has occurred in coastal marine indigenous flora and fauna biodiversity and abundance that may be reversible and that is attributable to activities that can be managed by resource consent conditions or processes – notably with regard to marine farming.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read "Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk;" and (b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses, and to determine permitted activity standards.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read "Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk."; and</p> <p>b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain, and ensure that information is added to as appropriate. Council must ensure that fauna information is not overlooked as a result of the surveys which are better targeted for plant communities, rather than cryptic fauna.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	76	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	63	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.14.3 to read: Policy 8.1.3 – <u>Develop an information database that:</u> <u>(a) Uses the consent process to identify and map significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments.</u> <u>(b) Collates information from different sources on the extent, condition and diversity of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough. Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision-makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses.</u> <u>Significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater, marine and coastal environments identified through the consent process will be incorporated into the MEP planning maps on two yearly basis through the Schedule 1 process.</u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	175	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan Amend the Policy to require assessment of potential adverse effects rather than “impacts”.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include the attainment of knowledge [<i>inferred</i>],					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	86	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.3 as notified in the PMEP.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this policy should be extended to include the determination of acceptable cumulative ecological impact thresholds ('ecological carrying capacities') for regulated activities in the coastal marine area such as marine farming.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.					
429	Tempello Partnership	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1					
479	Department of Conservation	74	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That restoration of lost shellfish beds in Pelorus Sound is a permitted activity and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> restoration of the benthic environment and the placement of scientific recording instruments. 					
693	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	64	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.2 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	176	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	This policy should be identified as RPS Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include cumulative ecological impact thresholds for regulated activities.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.2.1 as follows: <i>"A variety of means will be used to assist in the protection, maintenance and enhancement of areas and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity value, including partnerships, support and liaison with landowners, regulation, pest management, legal protection, education and the provision of information and guidelines."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
88	Chris Bowron	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I support the statement					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity;" and As a result: delete policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
455	John Hickman	52	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
456	George Mehlhopt	52	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
479	Department of Conservation	75	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the fifth sentence of the policy explanation to the policy as follows: <i>The programme is funded by the Council, central government's biodiversity fund and landowners.</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	65	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rework Policy 8.2.2 to read: Policy 8.2.2 – Use a voluntary partnership approach with landowners a tool as the primary means for achieving the protection of areas of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land, except for areas that are wetlands.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	177	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the plan to include policy and method direction such that significant indigenous biodiversity areas can ultimately be identified in schedules or maps within the plan.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2 and include the following amendment (bold) to the explanation (<i>inferred</i> this is to follow the second paragraph). <i>Stressors to wetlands include in particular water abstraction. Identify network of wetlands where conservation and restoration in the long term will be most successful. Integrating wetland remnants in one management area may in the longer term may be most beneficial.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (<i>inferred</i>)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this policy be amended by adding the following to the end: "and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects."					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.3; or Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Priority for Council partnership resources will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows - " <i>Priority for Council partnership resources will be given to the voluntary re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 8.2.3; or b) Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.					
479	Department of Conservation	76	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.2.3 as follows: <i>Priority will be given to the financial assistance for the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i> Amend the explanation to the policy as follows: <i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are either acutely or chronically Nationally Threatened <u>or At Risk</u>.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to provide clarity around how protection, maintenance and restoration will be achieved.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	66	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 8.2.3 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.3 – When allocating Council support funding Ppriority will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected .</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	178	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to clarify that this is intended to provide direction for funding.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the last sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation: <i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the king shag, New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are either acutely or chronically threatened.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy should thus be amended by adding the following to the end: <i>"and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects. "</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	68	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that this policy is restricted to specific and identified sites.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	210	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy, so that not only will be priority be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, but also to those at threat from surrounding land use.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That areas requiring planting to increase indigenous biodiversity do not become regulated and protected by onerous provisions (inferred) .					
479	Department of Conservation	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, in Marlborough’s lowland environments.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	179	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Could be amended to: “Encourage the re-establishment and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough’s lowland environments.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.4: <i>Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough’s lowland most threatened environments including lowland and marine habitats.</i>					
984	Neville James Hall	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That at least boundary shelter trees be left in place. That landowners be required to replace all removed shelter which will give protection to both flora and fauna.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.2.4 as follows: <i>"Priority will be given to <u>encouraging</u> the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments, <u>and acknowledging that many of these areas occur on privately owned land.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.5; or Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Encourage the voluntary legal protection of sites with significant indigenous biodiversity value through covenanting.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 8.2.5; or b) Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.					
479	Department of Conservation	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	180	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Provide a similar policy for the voluntary assessment of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land and inclusion on a schedule (and or map) into the MEP through future plan change with priority for support towards active management from Council.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
42	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy; or Combine Policy with Policy 9.1.1.					
479	Department of Conservation	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	181	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan.</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities".					
479	Department of Conservation	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	67	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 8.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 – A strategic approach to the containment/eradication of undesirable animals and plants that impact on indigenous biodiversity values will be developed and and maintained implemented , and subject to review and update.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	182	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain and add further methods for (a) MDC to develop a strategy, which would include staff and funding, to work with central government on its Predator Free New Zealand. (b) Areas of high value to be recognised in the Plan, including the following: Blenheim sewage ponds, Grovetown lagoon, Taylor Dam, Wairau Lagoons, Pelorus River Estuary, top of Grove Arm, Kaipupu Point Sanctuary, and Pelorus Bridge Scenic Reserve and Para Swamp. And that pest control plans be developed for these areas.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
42	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.8.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.8.					
479	Department of Conservation	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	183	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend or include a new policy for the aerial identification of potential Significant indigenous biodiversity sites. These sites should be included in the plan and used in a similar manner to the Threatened Environments Overlay.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.9.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	135	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.9.					
429	Tempello Partnership	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.9					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Maintain, enhance or restore <u>Appropriately manage</u> ecosystems....					
479	Department of Conservation	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain, enhance or restore ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for:</i> <i>(a) the continued functioning of ecological processes;</i> <i>(b) providing connections within or corridors between habitats of indigenous flora and fauna;</i> <i>(c) cultural purposes, including kaitiaki;</i> <i>(d) providing buffers or filters between land uses and wetlands, lakes or rivers and the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(e) botanical, wildlife, fishery and amenity values;</i> <i>(f) biological and genetic diversity; and</i> <i>(g) water quality, levels and flows."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to "indigenous biodiversity" and refer instead to "biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity" to ensure that biodiversity value of all freshwater species is recognised.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	85	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	184	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy: "Maintain, ...biodiversity, including where it is not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are which is important for: (a)... (g)... flows. (x) habitat of threatened or at risk species"					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 8.2.9 is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments to the reasons.</p> <p>The reasons would usefully be expanded to include some description of what cultural uses may entail.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 8.2.9 as follows: <i>"Encourage the maintenance or voluntary enhancement of Maintain, enhance or restore ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for: ..."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Either-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> delete Policy 8.2.9; or provide clarity in Policy 8.2.9 on how "are important for" would be determined. <p>Regardless of the above, delete part (g) of Policy 8.2.9.</p>					
42	Edward Ross Beech	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)</p>					
88	Chris Bowron	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>I support the statement</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 8.2.10</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.10 can be deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	136	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
479	Department of Conservation	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	86	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	185	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
150	Will and Rose Parsons	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is essential that the trees in the lower Wairau Valley delta which form a wildlife corridor between the North and South of the valley be given some form of protection from industrial development. If any trees are removed, a similar area of indigenous trees need to be planted as replacement in the same zone, for the beautification of the area and to attract birdlife.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.11 can be deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	137	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Promote the enhancement of Council-owned esplanade corridors of indigenous vegetation along waterbodies to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush or wetland remnants.</i> "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
479	Department of Conservation	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain policy 8.2.11 with amendments to remove reference to "indigenous vegetation" and instead refer to "vegetation, including indigenous vegetation" to recognise the role of all vegetation in the promotion of vegetation corridors along waterbodies.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	186	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.11: <i>Policy 8.2.11 – Promote corridors of indigenous vegetation along waterbodies to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush or and (inferred) wetland remnants.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Accept Further information could usefully be provided on the methods that will be used to implement this policy.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.12. Address content in 8.2.2.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	138	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
455	John Hickman	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
456	George Mehlhopt	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
479	Department of Conservation	85	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.2.12 as follows:</p> <p><i>Encourage and support private landowners, community <u>and industry</u> groups, <u>government agencies</u> and others in their efforts to protect, restore, or re-establish areas of indigenous biodiversity.</i></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the policy by inserting, after the sentence ending '<i>Marine Reserves Act 1971</i>', the following new text:</p> <p><i><u>The Ministry for Primary Industries is responsible under the Fisheries Act 1996 for protecting indigenous biodiversity and habitat of particular significance for fisheries management from any adverse effects of fishing.</u></i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	187	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	In our view this policy should thus be widened to include: <i>"the facilitation or funding of professional advocates and experts to represent the interests of residents and wider public stakeholder groups in Marlborough Sounds planning and public resource consent matters of significance. "</i>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.12 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".					
42	Edward Ross Beech	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13					
479	Department of Conservation	86	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	188	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments. When re-establishment or restoration of exotic or indigenous vegetation and habitat is undertaken, preference should be given to the use of native species of local genetic stock					
42	Edward Ross Beech	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
233	Totaranui Limited	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 8.3.1 and replace with: New Policy 8.3.1 - "In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on: Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	139	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; (b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and (c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation. <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; (b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and (c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh. <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; (b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and (c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas. <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect; (b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal; (c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor; (d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects; (e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and (f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements. <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>(b) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or</p> <p>(c) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010. or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>Amend the overlay maps in accordance with the maps contained in Annexure B of this submission.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	68	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.3 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	189	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation to make it clear that if Policy 11(b) in clause (c) applies then regardless of whether the area is also significant under Policy 8.1.1 it is addressed in this policy.</p> <p>Clarify relationship between Policy 8.3.1(c) and Policy 8.3.2.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	111	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.3.1</p> <p><i>“Policy 8.3.1 – Manage the effects of subdivision, use or development in the coastal environment by:</i> <i>(a) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010;</i> <i>(b) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or</i> <i>(c) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan; and</i> <i>(x) recognising that there will be situations where the operation, maintenance, development and upgrade of the National Grid will result in unavoidable adverse effects.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) defines a range of priorities so that indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment is protected. Policy 8.3.1 of the MEP reflects the priority approach of the NZCPS to subdivision, use and development activities within the coastal environment. Policy 8.3.1 also recognises the national significance, and the on-going needs of the National Grid.”</i></p>					
42	Edward Ross Beech	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (<i>inferred</i>)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record “avoided if significant, and avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.”					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
233	Totaranui Limited	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.2.:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 Where subdivision, use or development that requires resource consent occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant, the adverse effects on those areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p><i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that significant adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 8.3.2 and replace with:					
	<p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, intertidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <p>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</p> <p>(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</p> <p>Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established. May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</p> <p>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</p> <p>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</p> <p>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</p> <p>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	140	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; (b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and (c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation. <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; (b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and (c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh. <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; (b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and (c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas. <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect; (b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal; (c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor; (d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects; (e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and (f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements. <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><u>Except for areas within the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds</u>, where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</p> <p>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</p> <p>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</p>					
455	John Hickman	53	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development that requires resource consent, occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant the adverse effects on those areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that significant adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p>					
456	George Mehlhopt	53	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 - Where subdivision, use or development that requires resource consent, occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant the adverse effects on those areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that significant adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 8.3.2 requires the Council to undertake an objective assessment with respect to the criteria set out under Policy 8.1.1, rather than relying on the word of the developer who may wish to describe the site as <u>not</u> being significant.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	190	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to provide guidance for the avoidance of effects where activities are permitted (in include a new Policy addressing this.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	112	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	As such, paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record: <i>"avoided if significant and avoided , remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	211	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy. OR Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2.					
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to take a stronger approach to preventing and mitigating sedimentation caused by forestry in the Sounds. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.2 as follows: <i>"Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i> <i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i> <i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1;</i> <i>(x) in the case of the National Grid, avoided, remedied or mitigated to the extent possible having regard to the National Grid's technical, locational and operational constraints.</i> <i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects."</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.3.2 as follows: <i>"Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be: (a) avoided, and otherwise remedied or mitigated, where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and (b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
233	Totaranui Limited	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	141	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Control Enable vegetation clearance activities which have a minimal effect on to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value. "					
479	Department of Conservation	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 8.3.3 does not include plantation forestry unless this is for indigenous trees.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword Policy 8.3.3 to read: Policy 8.3.3 – Control vegetation clearance, land disturbance, drainage and subdivision activities to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	191	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	113	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	142	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities within Council's own drainage channel network to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of indigenous freshwater species.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.4 – Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of freshwater species, including indigenous freshwater species					
693	Edward Ross Beech	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	192	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	114	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a paragraph in the nature of the following be included in reference to marine water column effects: "alteration to the abundance or composition of naturally occurring water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or other palatable detritus."					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	39	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.3.5.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	143	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Policy is sought. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	111	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) Delete Policy 8.3.5; or (b) Replace with a far shorter list containing more targeted effects.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to ensure there is no duplication of provisions in this policy with other chapters of the MEP, and that the issues are clear and concise.					
479	Department of Conservation	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.5 (d) as follows: <i>the loss of a rare or Threatened or <u>At Risk</u> species or its <u>their habitats and species which are rare within the region or ecological district:</u></i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (<i>inferred</i>).					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seeks amendments to the policy to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the policy suggested above to protect significant areas is directly cross referenced; and • That the policy provides a stronger hierarchy whereby significant adverse effects are avoided altogether and that mitigate and remediation is only considered where avoidance of other effects is not possible. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	193	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	115	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 8.3.5: <i>Policy 8.3.5 In the context of Policy 8.3.1 and Policy 8.3.2, adverse effects to be avoided or otherwise remedied or mitigated may include:</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include: <i>"alteration to the abundance or composition of natural water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or palatable detritus."</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	212	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

Policy (a): To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

Policy (b): To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
 - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
 - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
 - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
 - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
42	Edward Ross Beech	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	40	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	144	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
479	Department of Conservation	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (<i>inferred</i>).					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
693	Edward Ross Beech	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	194	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	116	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept					
1201	Trustpower Limited	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 8.3.6 in its entirety.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	EBCs requests that all Ecologically Significant Marine Sites. receive as much protection as possible including limiting fishing techniques which damaged the Benthic environment such as dredging. EBCS asks that they are both correctly labelled and that research continue to identify Ecologically Significant Marine Sites before they are degraded to the point of insignificance. EBCS would like to work with MDC to recognise the other sites of special significance to East Bay					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	EBCS suggests that this policy should be accepted into the Plan					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	41	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7					
404	Eric Jorgensen	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I submit that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy should be extended to exclude all bottom disturbance fishing activities in the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	145	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, except Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> " (Inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.7 as follows: <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> The explanation will need to be similarly amended.					
480	Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> " (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	39	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (inferred) .					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7 as notified. (inferred)					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.3.7.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	49	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	195	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	117	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.7: <i>Policy 8.3.7 Within an all identified ecologically significant marine sites fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend the policy to include the protection of wider Sounds benthic environment from being affected through fishing activities [<i>inferred</i>].					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 8.3.7 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14) b. West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15) c. The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11) d. Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16) e. Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery. • In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area. • If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations. 					
973	Ministry for Primary Industries	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows: <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p>					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	42	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following:</p> <p>Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]:</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</p> <p>Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</p> <p>The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</p> <p>The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.</p> <p>Note: Offsetting is in addition to avoidance through restoration and enhancement. This policy should be read in conjunction with the New Zealand Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand, New Zealand Government et al, August 2014 (or any successor document).</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	153	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through): "With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply: (a) the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated; (a) the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity; (b) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity; (c) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity; (d) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and (e) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	112	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following: Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]: (a) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated. (b) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity. (c) Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome. (d) The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values. (e) The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.					
455	John Hickman	54	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
456	George Mehlhopt	54	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
479	Department of Conservation	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace Policy 8.3.8 with the following wording:</p> <p><u>Manage the effects of activities on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna by:</u></p> <p><u>a) avoiding as far as practicable and, where total avoidance is not practicable, minimising adverse effects</u></p> <p><u>b) requiring remediation where adverse effects cannot be avoided</u></p> <p><u>c) requiring mitigation where adverse effects on the areas identified above cannot be avoided or remediated</u></p> <p><u>d) requiring any residual adverse effects on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. limits to biodiversity offsetting due the affected biodiversity being irreplaceable or vulnerable;</u></p> <p><u>ii. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p> <p><u>e) enabling any residual adverse effects on other indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	135	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policy aligns with the principles for biodiversity offsetting outlined by the Business and Biodiversity Offsets Programme.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.3.8 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.3.8 – With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects . Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply:</p> <p>(a) Residual adverse effects: the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>(b) Limits to offsetting: offsetting should not be applied to justify impacts on vulnerable or irreplaceable biodiversity</p> <p>(b)(c) No net loss: the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;</p> <p>(c)(d) Net gain: where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity;</p> <p>(d)(e) Long term outcomes: there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;</p> <p>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</p> <p>(f) Like for like: offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity.</p> <p>(g) Additional conservation outcomes: biodiversity outcomes are above and beyond results that would have occurred if the offset was not proposed.</p> <p>(h) Proximity: the proposal should be located close to the application site, where this will achieve the best ecological outcomes.</p> <p>(i) Timing: the delay between the loss of biodiversity through development and the gain or maturation of ecological outcomes is minimized.</p> <p>(f)(j) Any offsetting proposal will include biodiversity management plans prepared in accordance with good practice.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	196	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make it clear that it does not apply to marine environment.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments: With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply: [...] <p>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</p> <p>(f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity; and</p> <p>(g) offsets should be made on the same or immediately adjacent site to ensure that gains are retained within the local area or catchment.</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8, to the extent that the Policy does not compel biodiversity offsetting.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 8.3.8 Oppose. Policy 8.3.8 is opposed by Trustpower as it seeks to exclude the provision of offsetting in areas of significant indigenous biodiversity value, which would mean that adverse effects in these areas would always have to be avoided (in accordance with Policy 8.3.2). In addition, Trustpower consider that offsetting should only be utilized where there are significant residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated – rather than any residual effects. In this respect, the RMA is not a ‘no effects’ statute. Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 8.3.8 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, w<u>Where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual <u>significant</u> adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed by a <u>resource consent applicant</u>, the following criteria will apply:</u> <u>(a) the offset will only compensate for <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>(b) the <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects on <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;</u> –(c) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity; <u>(d) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;</u> –(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and <u>(f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of <u>indigenous</u> ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity.”</u> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
42	Edward Ross Beech	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
480	Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the final paragraph in this Method as follows (bold) - " <i>Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site, excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet, will be prohibited. Resource consent is required for most uses or activities within the coastal marine area and an assessment of the effects of the activity on indigenous biodiversity will be undertaken, including whether there are any significant biodiversity values.</i> " (Inferred)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete from Method 8.M.1 the following sentence: <i>'Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site will be prohibited.'</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	197	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	118	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
91	Marlborough District Council	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following sentence at the end of the paragraph in 8.M.2 is requested - " <i>This includes clearance of indigenous vegetation in areas that have 20 percent or less remaining in indigenous cover, as identified in the Threatened Environments Overlay Maps.</i> "					
693	Edward Ross Beech	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.2.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 8.M.2 to read: 8.M.2 District rules Resource consent will be required for subdivision land disturbance or vegetation clearance activities where certain species or habitats with indigenous biodiversity value are to be modified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	198	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	119	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support 8.M.2.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.3 <i>The Council's Marlborough Significant Natural Areas programme involves the collection of information about natural ecosystems on private land, with the aim of working with landowners to help protect significant sites. An ecological survey is undertaken with property reports prepared that summarise the ecological values found and suggest management options to ensure their long term survival.</i></p> <p><i>The Department of Conservation has also identified significant sites on private land through its Protected Natural Areas survey programme. There is no duplication in effort as the Council and Department programmes have surveyed different areas of Marlborough.</i></p> <p><i>Although a good proportion of private land in Marlborough has been surveyed, some landowners have not allowed the Council onto their property, therefore the programme of identifying sites is incomplete and ongoing. If a landowner changes their mind or a property changes ownership and a new landowner wishes to have their property surveyed, then the Council will undertake the survey work.</i></p>					
693	Edward Ross Beech	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.3.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	199	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.3					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.3.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
418	John Craighead	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
420	Windsong Orchard	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
421	Janet Steggle	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
422	Jan Richardson	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
423	Chris Shaw	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend method 8.M.4 as follows: <i>Identification of the values of various waterbodies within Marlborough is included in Appendix 5. The natural and human use values include ecological, habitat, recreational and natural character values.</i> <i>The Council has also identified in the resource management plan significant wetlands and ecologically significant marine sites. <u>With regard to ecologically significant marine sites, a further survey is required in some cases to confirm the boundary or value of a site. Once this occurs the Council will update the planning maps to reflect the outcomes of ongoing surveys.</u></i>					
693	Edward Ross Beech	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	200	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.4.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	213	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method to reflect that identified significant sites are validated on-site and not through an aerial photo based identification project. <i>(Inferred)</i></p> <p>Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • W203 • W777 • W87 • W779 • W1369 • W1368 • W92 • W989 • W972 • W377 <p><i>This relief sought has been set out in sub-points 990.200 to 900.209.</i></p>					
42	Edward Ross Beech	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	No Change					
693	Edward Ross Beech	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.5.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	201	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.5.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.6 <i>The Council will support, including financially, the protection and/or restoration of areas with biodiversity value in the following ways:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>through the established landowner assistance programme, which provides both practical and financial help with work such as pest and weed control and fencing;</i> <i>by the waiving of resource consent application fees for activities that would assist in the protection of significant areas;</i> <i>through the annual planning process, consider granting reductions in rating for properties where sites are protected through conservation covenants;</i> <i>from funding made available by central government for the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>by prioritising available funds for significant sites where sites are subject to protective covenants;</i> <i>through appropriate investigations to improve our understanding of the nature and state of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough; and</i> <i>through supporting initiatives developed by community and industry groups to promote protection and restoration of indigenous biodiversity.</i> 					
455	John Hickman	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	202	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a Dolphin protection programme for the Sounds is undertaken.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	203	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	471	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Method to add the following - <i>"The Council will work with industry to develop good management practice guidance for drain clearance and maintenance."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	204	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
348	Murray Chapman	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
693	Edward Ross Beech	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	205	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
91	Marlborough District Council	163	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to 8.M.10 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>The Council will undertake planting of riparian margins and other land with indigenous species on land owned or administered by the Council where appropriate.</i> "					
693	Edward Ross Beech	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.10.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	206	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.10					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.10.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.11.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	207	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.11					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.11.					
42	Edward Ross Beech	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.12.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	208	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.12					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.12.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	NO change					
578	Pinder Family Trust	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.1.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the words ' <i>There is an increase in the number of marine protected areas,</i> ' and replace with: <i><u>There is an increase in the protection provided to marine biodiversity through the adoption of the best available tool to address identified threats.</u></i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>).					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>).					
91	Marlborough District Council	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 8.AER.2 as follows - " <i>Measured against baseline monitoring programmes established for ecologically significant marine sites in 2015/2016, there is no loss of values over the life of the MEP.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	140	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	An amendment is sought to the second Indicator for 8.AER.2 as follows (strike through and bold) - " Baseline monitoring programmes established in 2010 for a representative sample of terrestrial, river and wetland sites and in 2014/15 for ecologically significant marine site shows no loss of these <i>indigenous biodiversity</i> values over the life of the MEP."					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the Indicator for 8.AER.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Measured against a baseline monitoring programme established for wetlands in 2010 2016, there is no loss in the overall area of wetlands in Marlborough.</i> "					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.3					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.4.					
91	Marlborough District Council	203	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the second Indicator for 8.AER.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The number of private properties over which ecological assessments to determine if there are ecosystems, habitats or areas present with significant indigenous biodiversity value, continues to increases (albeit at a low level) even though as the active SNA survey has been completed. Any increase in properties surveyed is most likely to arise through resource consent processes.</i> "					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.5.					
210	Kevin Wilson	5	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That cycling is included as a part of public access. That the two definitions for recreation include cycling.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The specific decision requested with respect to chapter is not clear from the submission.					
348	Murray Chapman	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so as to require the control of weed pests in riparian margins, with the cost to be met by the community as for community good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	152	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That the Chapter is edited to be made more concise and succinct. - That the policies in the Chapter are integrated with other policies found throughout the Plan. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i>					
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
727	George Elkington	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>In the case of Ngati Koata rohe as tangatawhenua kaitiaki I therefore submit the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That Council consult with Ngati Koata in all "Coastal Marine Zone" matters which potentially may conflict with the principles of the "Code of Responsible Conduct" including public access to iwi private land, iwi reserves and the like. 2. That Council will provide resources to improve public awareness of riparian rights of all landowner's, be they iwi or non-iwi. 3. That in the case of mooring sites for new applications or renewals, that consents will not be granted or renewed without the written approval of adjacent land owners or their representative. In the case of multiple owned Maori-land where the owners cannot be located, that Ngati Koata will be their representative point of contact in the first instance. 4. That Council will engage directly with Ngati Koata to protect Ngati Koata iwi cultural values. 5. That those existing riparian rights of all landowners are not altered or adjusted in any way. 					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	15	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Submitter seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.1 should recognise that there is sufficient public access to Port Underwood in a number of locations and it should not be a priority for public access. Furthermore enhancing public access in this area could create health and safety issues with the extent of logging trucks on the road;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.12 should recognise that some types of subdivision and development (including transport and infrastructure), which require an operational connection between the land and the sea, cannot provide esplanade reserves and achieve operational requirements (including health and safety) and that in such situations, the Council should properly take account of those operational issues, alternative access to (or from point to point) the CMA proposed by the Applicant so that overall a net improvement in public access is achieved (in a similar way to Policy 9.1.14) along with any other relevant factors;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies should seek to avoid zoning land as open space where it is privately held and the owner does not consent to such zoning. otherwise the zoning may be in breach of section 85 of the Act;</p> <p>(d) The Open Space zoning of land not owned by the Council or the Department of Conservation, or that neither of them have agreement with the owners to zone as open space is opposed; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 9A					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	40	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Issue 9A:</p> <p><i>Issue 9A Trying to meet uphold community expectations that public access will be available to rivers, lakes and the coast.</i></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	136	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	209	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policies to ensure that "public access and recreational use do not include access to ecological corridors on the coast, wetlands and along waterways where this would have adverse effects on sustainability of these systems."					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	39	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	113	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Maritime New Zealand (MNZ) Guidelines for Aquaculture Management Areas and Marine Farms (December 2005) are outdated and not well suited to the Marlborough Sounds environment. Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	154	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>The public are able to enjoy the amenity and recreational opportunities of Marlborough's coastal environment, rivers, lakes, high country and areas of historic interest via public access where it is safe and appropriate to do so, and with landowner permission.</i> " And, that landowner access across their own property is clearly separated from public access in this chapter (<i>Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter regarding this point</i>).					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	118	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	12	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 9.1					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	137	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	23	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	85	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept and add a new objective. New objective: <u>The relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with their ancestral lands, water, wahi tapu and wahi taonga are enabled through opportunities to provide for customary access.</u>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	91	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 9.1 as notified in the PMP.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	38	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas.					
366	Basil Roger Stanton	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	149	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>"The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas by obtaining esplanade reserves and strips:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</i></p> <p>(e) <i>the Queen Charlotte Track."</i></p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>(c) coastal marine area <u>zoned Coastal Marine</u>, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croisilles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i></p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency.</p> <p>Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network.</p>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I seek clarification as to what is considered close proximity to Ward as it relates to the Flaxbourne River some distance up-stream of SH 1 Bridge. Public access would alienate fire risk, stock disturbance and general safety issues.					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency. • Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network. 					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	37	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>"The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</p> <p>(a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</p> <p>(b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</p> <p>(c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</p> <p>(d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</p> <p>(e) the Queen Charlotte Track; and</p> <p>(f) conservation land."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	24	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	135	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.1 The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</i></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	30	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Identify within the plan (whether by introducing a new definition, or a table in an appendix, or as map overlays) those parts of water bodies that are considered "high priority" for public access.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	155	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 9.1.2 is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers by esplanade reserves and strips will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i></p> <p><i>a) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation;</i></p> <p><i>b) connections between existing public areas would be provided;</i></p> <p><i>c) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and</i></p> <p><i>d) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i></p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	4	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 9.1.2</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	138	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as proposed</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	136	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.2(a):</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.2 In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i></p> <p><i>(a) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation</i> when considering both whether an esplanade reserve or strip will be taken and what the width will be consider all of the purposes of esplanade reserves under section 229 of the RMA, including managing the effects of public access, protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use.</p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	86	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 9.1.2 Accept and add a new policy New policy: Policy 9.1.3 Customary Access <u>Customary access to sites, customary material or harvesting areas is encouraged in subdivision and development through landowner agreements or through wider public access arrangements.</u></p> <p><u>Explanation</u> <u>Customary access is necessary to enable Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga and to actively maintain their relationship with lands, waters, wahi tapu and wahi taonga. Where resources or sites are located on private land, access arrangements such as agreements or case by case permissions are essential before entry can occur. Permission to enter private land is entirely at the discretion of the landowner. This policy acknowledges this and highlights that the Council encourages such agreements to be considered.</u></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	87	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 9.1.2 as follows: <i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, where the subdivision or development may affect public access, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i> <i>(a) the effect of the subdivision on public access;</i> <i>(b) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation;</i> <i>(c) connections between existing public areas would be provided;</i> <i>(d) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and</i> <i>(e) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	201	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend point Policy 9.1.3(a) as follows (bold) - " <i>Policy 9.1.3 – Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i> <i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location, where necessary, from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i> "					
111	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	To control on activities in the area. ie vehicles, commercial operations, dogs.					
231	Jono Wilson	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	a Those providers to tourists travelling thru the Marlborough Sounds and Marlborough area will provide information on there obligations during their visit. Highlighting their need to use only toilets provided for them at the camping sites.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	156	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 9.1.3 is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i> <i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i> <i>(b) conflicts between users that would detract from public enjoyment of the area, or</i> <i>(c) trespass over private land, or</i> (d) an impediment to landowner access or, (e) adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy to exclude Port, Port Landing and Marina zones.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	5	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.3					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	139	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	25	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	25	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
88	Chris Bowron	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support					
319	Clive Tozer	10	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy as worded.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	38	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	157	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	15	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	6	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	140	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	26	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
1023	P Rene	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1086	Ragged Point Limited	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4 <i>(inferred)</i> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1088	Rangiruhia Elkington Whanau Trust #1 and #2	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The decision sought is that, the owners of:</p> <p>Lot 1 DP 3893 being part of Block 4 Rangitoto Section 4B and Rangitoto 3B3 and Rangitoto 8A and Whangarae Section sub 3D and Whangarae Sq 91 sub 3H and Wairau Block XII Section 11C and Wairau Section 12 Block Section 11C and Block 12 Section 9B and Village Reserve and the Kurupongi (The Trio Islands) and Rangitoto blocks (D'Urville Island) and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tinui Island (Islet off D'urville Island) and - Block: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands (Islets around D'urville Island) <p>do wish to retain 'riparian rights in private ownership' for the above mentioned lands.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	93	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Retain Policy 9.1.4 as notified in the PMEP. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	31	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	111	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	39	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	158	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	116	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	16	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	27	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	137	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.5: <i>Policy 9.1.5 Acknowledge the importance New Zealander's place on the ability to have free and generally unrestricted access to and along the coastal marine area.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	73	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure public safety is provided for.					
366	Basil Roger Stanton	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	159	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.6					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	41	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.6.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	141	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It should consider freedom in parking (if safely) everywhere, means not limitation due to "private roads in marinas". I understand that all coastal roads should be freely available as well free parking. this should not be limited to private property. If this happens, interpret it to limit the access to state public spaces. Clarify that it should not limit either the number of car units or the activity that is the public doing (recreational or commercial).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	112	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	117	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	40	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.8					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	42	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following is included in Policy 9.1.8:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the set back from Sounds Foreshore Reserves for buildings should be a minimum of 30 metres from CMA; public access to and along the Coastal Margin Area for foot traffic must be maintained and enhanced; vehicular traffic inclusive of ATV vehicles requires a Policy agreed to by MDC and DoC; and historic "paper roads" in the Sounds Admin Area should specifically exclude vehicular traffic. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	138	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.8.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Prioritise cycling and walking in the design and implementation of development new public access (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	160	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Enhance public access recreational opportunities through:</i></p> <p><i>(a) development of networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land."</i></p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.9					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.9					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	38	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	43	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p>(a) first priority is for "walking". That is why the QC track was created in the first place.</p> <p>(b) Establish a "monitoring regime" so that "bike usage" does not adversely affect either the experience or the environment. As an example "defecations" of human waste and non-biodegradable products via users of the track may result in unacceptable effects. That cannot be addressed via current rates revenue. Suggest to limit the usage. This would avoid the adverse effects now being experienced by other walking/cycling networks in NZ. Within Marlborough "limited" usage could encourage a more upmarket use.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	142	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 9.1.9 – Enhance public access through:</p> <p>(a) development of appropriately designed networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and</p> <p>(b) facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land.</p> <p>c) Consult with stakeholders on proposals for the development of such networks.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	139	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.9 Provided any adverse effect of public access and public recreation does not compromise conservation, wildlife or flood mitigation, Enhance public access through:</i></p>					
974	Ministry of Education	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 9.1.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
319	Clive Tozer	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Act on the Section 32 analysis that a tougher stance on requiring the provision of open space is not required.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	161	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	17	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	9	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I seek clarification as it relates to <i>Policy 9.1.1 – The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas: (b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay</i>; - Risks include fires, animals, electric fences and general safety issues. I seek clarification as does this relate to rivers which only flow intermittently. I seek clarification as to who is liable for damages caused by fire and its control, animal disturbance and general safety issues. 					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	9	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.10					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	143	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	28	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
479	Department of Conservation	98	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	10	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold and strike-through) are made to Policy 9.1.11</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.11 – An esplanade reserve to be taken for public access purposes will be preferred to an esplanade strip or access strip in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) for those sites that adjoin existing esplanade reserves or other reserves vested in either the Marlborough District Council or Crown;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the site adjoins the coastal marine area; or</i></p> <p>(c) where the site adjoins a river; or</p> <p>(e) (d) where the site is or is likely to be a high use area.</p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	44	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete from Policy 9.1.11 the transfer of ownership of an esplanade reserve from the Crown to Council (inferred) .					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	144	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to (b) to include “rivers used for angling” after coastal marine area or wording to similar effect.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	162	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.12	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>" In considering whether to waive the requirement for, or to reduce/increase the width of an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20 metres in width, the Marlborough District Council shall have regard to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the width required to effectively provide physical access along the waterbody;</i></p> <p><i>while taking into account the following special circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether significant ecological, conservation or cultural values exist that may be incompatible if general public access to the site is allowed;</i></p> <p><i>(d) whether significant ecological or conservation values warrant a wider esplanade reserve or esplanade strip;</i></p> <p><i>(e) whether topography renders the 20 metre width inadequate or excessive for public access;</i></p> <p><i>(f) whether the site is in an urban zone, where a reduced width of esplanade reserves/strips to 8 metres is generally considered sufficient;</i></p> <p><i>(g) whether the provision of public access along the esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would result in health or safety risks to the public using the reserve or strip or landowner or facility involved, for example, where there are defence lands, existing road reserve, sensitive machinery, network utilities or works; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) taking an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would not enhance public access to or along the waterbody over time;</i></p> <p><i>(i) the subdivision involves only a minor boundary adjustment; and</i></p> <p><i>(j) where the land is protected in perpetuity, provided that public access is secured along the margins of the coast, river or lake concerned."</i></p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	45	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>No specific decision has been requested in the submission. It is <i>inferred</i> that the policy explanation should be amended so that possible effects from climate change/sea level, subsequent events and the potential financial effects on all ratepayers are addressed for all zones.</p>					
233	Totaranui Limited	27	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	163	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold): "When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following: (a) whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1; (b) the need for the activity/structure to be located in the coastal marine area and why it cannot be located elsewhere; (c) the need for the activity/structure to be located in a river bed and why it cannot be located elsewhere; (d) the extent to which the activity/subdivision/structure would benefit or adversely affect public access, customary access and recreational use, irrespective of its intended purpose; (e) in the coastal marine area, whether exclusive rights of occupation are being sought as part of the application; (f) for the Marlborough Sounds, whether there is practical road access to the site of the application; (g) how public access around or over any structure sought as part of an application is to be provided for; (h) whether the impact on public access is temporary or permanent and whether there is any alternative public access available; and (i) whether public access is able to be restricted in accordance with Policies 9.2.1 and 9.2.2; and (j) the positive impacts of the activity, subdivision or structure from locating the development in that location."					
479	Department of Conservation	99	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	46	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what decision is requested.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	145	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the policy be amended to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there is no reduction in public access to rivers unless this is unavoidable the criteria are amended to reflect its application to areas adjacent to rivers and not just to the riverbed 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	26	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 9.1.13 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 9.1.13 – When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i></p> <p><i>...</i></p> <p><i>(x) whether there are restrictions on activities or access imposed by other legislation including the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996.</i></p> <p><i>These criteria provide a framework to assist decision makers in assessing the effects on public access to and along the coast, rivers and lakes arising through resource consent applications for subdivision, activities or structures."</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	88	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 9.1.13 as follows:</p> <p><i>"When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a)-(i) ...</i></p> <p><i>(j) the benefits of the activity/structure that is to be located in the coastal marine area or river bed."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 9.1.14.</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.14 - Where existing public access to or along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers is to be lost through a proposed use, development or structure, alternative access may must be considered provided as a means to mitigate that loss.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	146	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that where existing public access is to be lost, that alternative access must be provided.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	94	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 9.1.14 as notified in the PMP.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	164	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road where access is appropriate and will not unreasonably disrupt surrounding land uses or be unsafe, as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land."</i>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	12	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.15: <i>Policy 9.1.15 - Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance public access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land.</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	39	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land, and improve safe access over unformed legal road. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	47	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In the Admin area of the Marlborough Sounds the use of unformed legal roads (<i>inferred</i>) should be stopped unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They are for walking only access. • That such a road be maintained at the applicants cost for the period of any consent granted. • That at the applicants cost, monitoring takes place every 3 years to address issues re erosion. wilding pine control, pest management etc. • That resource consent be applied for and notified to all potentially effected parties. 					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	147	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	5	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.					
935	Melva Joy Robb	5	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	214	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land where public safety can be maintained."</i></p>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	37	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
210	Kevin Wilson	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "cycleway" to the second bullet point of (a) so that it reads "used as walkway or cycleway..."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	41	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.16 (<i>inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	165	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall consider the following:</p> <p>(a) current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or - used as a walkway or to access conservation land; <p>(b) opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or - in the future, to connect existing roads; <p>(c) alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade strip or access way to features such as a river or the coast;</p> <p>(d) whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; and</p> <p>(e) whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road;</p> <p>(f) whether there is public access to the other end of the unformed legal road; and</p> <p>(g) the existing land use and the degree of disruption provided to legitimate activities occurring on the land surrounding the paper road."</p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.16:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.16 - In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall consider the following publish two public notices a week apart and consult with interested parties including the NZWAC, and not close any legal road where there is present or future public access interest.</i></p> <p><i>(a) current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</i> <i>- the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or</i> <i>- used as a walkway or to access conservation land;</i></p> <p><i>(b) opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</i> <i>- to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or</i> <i>- in the future, to connect existing roads;</i></p> <p><i>(c) alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade strip or access way to features such as a river or the coast;</i></p> <p><i>(d) whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road.</i></p> <p>This is unless acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the legal road.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	148	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove policy as it does not relate to resource management or the RMA.					
210	Kevin Wilson	18	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	42	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	40	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (c) in the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "(c) provides primary access to an esplanade reserve or other reserve public land ;"					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	149	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove policy as it does relate to resource management or the RMA.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	167	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	168	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Policy is added under Objective 9.2 (inferred) which reads as follows - <i>"Provide for, where it is appropriate, the waiving of requirements for esplanade areas including esplanade reserves and esplanade strips."</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	18	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	150	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the objective to ensure it provides clear direction that public access to the coast and lakes and rivers is maintained					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	29	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	27	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 9.2 as notified.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	92	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 9.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
210	Kevin Wilson	17	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	No specific relief is identified.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	114	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	166	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i> <i>(a) ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i> <i>(b) protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(c) protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(d) allow for foot access only;</i> <i>(e) protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i> <i>(f) protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i> <i>(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access; and</i> <i>(h) ensure this does not result in trespass over private land, impede landowner access or cause adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	119	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	19	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	41	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	151	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to remove "(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access."					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	30	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	28	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that policy 9.1.15 is linked to this policy.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.2.1, clause (e) as proposed.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	89	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 9.2.1 as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i> <i>protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>allow for foot access only;</i> <i>protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i> <i>protect significant infrastructure and network utilities;</i> <i>protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i> <i>in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	115	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint is reasonable."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	120	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint in reasonable".					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	152	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	31	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	90	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 9.2.2 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	36	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Issue 9B Add cultural values.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	210	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend as required to address submission					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	406	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	410	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.					
91	Marlborough District Council	202	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph 1 of the explanation to Policy 9.3.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Accessibility and distribution of open spaces and recreational facilities around the District is important. An equitable distribution to reflect the needs of the local community is important in achieving convenience of access to open space and recreational opportunities, recognising the particular role or function of the open space or recreational facility in meeting the differing needs of the community.</i> "					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	116	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	121	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a clear requirement of organiser(s) being responsible for their effects e.g. fire risk etc.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	215	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a further clause (e) as follows (or with words of similar effect): "(e) recognising and managing the risks to the wider environment/community from temporary events, such as wild fire."					
479	Department of Conservation	100	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	169	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read: <i>"Ensure the community is adequately appropriately informed about areas of open space, reserves and recreational facilities and the opportunities available to access them."</i>					
210	Kevin Wilson	16	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
319	Clive Tozer	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Council to ratify and act on Policy 9.3.6. We encourage Council take action to enhance public access and amenity within the considerable areas of potentially attractive open space of the Wairau River Floodway Zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.6					
210	Kevin Wilson	15	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	16	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to Policy 9.3.7 to recognise the importance of walking and cycling linkages in supporting connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage.					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.7					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	18	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.7					
974	Ministry of Education	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support Policy 9.3.7.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	171	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	17	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through bold and bold) are made to Policy 9.3.9: <i>Policy 9.3.9 – In assessing the impacts of subdivision or development through resource consent applications, consideration shall be given to the need for public open space and recreation areas to provide for:</i> <i>(a) additional neighbourhood parks needed as a result of additional residential and visitor accommodation across Marlborough;</i> <i>(b) additional open space necessary for visual relief and plantings amongst the built environment; and</i> <i>(c) the development of neighbourhood parks and open space areas that are safe, useable and enjoyable and</i> <i>(d) the development of walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	407	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	411	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	34	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	76	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that fire risk is adequately considered and provided for.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	216	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy explanation to include adverse effects such as wild fire, fly tipping and trespass.					
114	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Limited vehicle access.					
210	Kevin Wilson	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	22	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 9.4.4(g) to read: (g) any historical heritage , conservation, or ecological, archaeological or waahi tapu values; or spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi associated with the reserve;					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a further consideration – fire danger and risk both on-site and to adjacent property/people.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	217	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy and insert its matters for assessment, as well as: 1. The type of activity 2. The time of the year it takes place 3. The scale of the event as assessment criteria for a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows: <i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i> (iiv) <i>should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps & occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 & adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i> (a) <i>the location, time & duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i> (b) <i>risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires & barbecues); length & dryness of grass; & a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i> (c) <i>fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure & at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers & attendees & a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	101	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	19	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	48	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Open Space 3 Zone does not apply to Sounds Foreshore Reserve. That consideration is given to the Area referred to in the Sounds Admin Area as "farm" as an acknowledged zone (inferred) . The MEP needs to give some weight to the decision of 8/4/16 re: representation review for the Picton Sounds Ward.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	408	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Plan describes the four different open space zones at the end of Chapter 9 where the "Methods of implementation" outline the characteristics of the different zoning. This description is vital to understand the different zonings and needs to be placed more prominently in the Plan to better assist plan users.					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.5					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.5					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	4	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.8					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	21	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
112	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Council to also include liasing with adacent land owners and public where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed frontages. Areas of concern Needles rocks to Cape Cambell.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	21	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Method as follows (bold) - "The Council and coastal landowners will liaise with the Department of Conservation to identify areas along Marlborough's coastline where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed is not appropriate. The Council will liaise with the Department of Conservation and coastal landowners to assess the need for additional or upgraded public facilities for areas identified in Policy 9.1.1 as having a high degree of importance for public access." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	49	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	9.M.9 must include consultation with the community in the Admin Area in the Marlborough Sounds via the Sounds Advisory Group, etc.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	32	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Council liaise with DOC as well as coastal landowners to find practical and co-ordinated solutions the issues of access to inappropriate parts of the coastline resulting in environmental degradation of the coastline and/or unintended trespass into private land. Method 9.M.9 should be amended to include liaison with coastal landowners as well as DOC.					
91	Marlborough District Council	77	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the Indicators as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The areas identified as having a high priority for enhanced public access have an improved level of access as measured against a 2011 2016 baseline.</i> "; and " <i>The number of esplanade reserves/strips available for access purposes is increased as measured against a baseline of esplanade reserves/strips available for access existing as in 2011 2016.</i> "					
319	Clive Tozer	9	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the wording to focus on improving appropriateness and quality of public access and open space reserves/strips rather than focusing on numbers of reserves/strips.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	50	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	9.AER.3 should not suggest that it is the obligation of the ratepayers to use the MDC website as per the "democratic process" (<i>inferred</i>).					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	11	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support
Decision Requested	Include the coastal building and watershed as part of Marlborough heritage: Picton & Havelock all stores, river and shre rowing clubs buildings and marinas.					
348	Murray Chapman	8	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provisions so that indigenous biodiversity, as a heritage resource, is only protected on publicly owned reserves and conservation estate.					
348	Murray Chapman	9	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>DECISION I SEEK rewording of paragraph 1 in Chapter 10 to say something like "Trees which are not heritage or notable trees are also important to our district. The ongoing planting and management of all trees in both private and public areas is important for the ongoing maintenance and replenishment of our district's tree population."</p> <p>ANOTHER DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.</p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add an Issue or Objective to this chapter to protect undiscovered or unregistered sites of significance to iwi, and to protect the majority of Maori heritage in Marlborough, by restricting land disturbance on, near, or affecting the coastal environment and waterways and their margins and requiring iwi consultation.</p> <p><i>(Inferred - specific wording of Issue or Objective not provided in Submission)</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	191	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 10.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	211	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to historic heritage					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	24	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is also important to note that Heritage New Zealand retains regulatory responsibilities regarding archaeological sites. Any modification or destruction of a known or unknown recorded or unrecorded archaeological site requires an archaeological authority under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and Heritage New Zealand processes applications for such authorities.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain issues, objectives and policies of Chapter 10 Volume 1.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	21	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	26	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	59	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create AER for cultural or iwi related sites, features, structures or resources.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	91	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (and explanation) <u>Policy 10.X.X Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga</u> <u>a. Avoid any disturbance of known urupa - except for activities associated with the identification and protection of such sites which are undertaken by the relevant Tangata Whenua Iwi or their authorised agent.</u> <u>b. Protect known Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga sites from inappropriate development, disturbance, damage or destruction; and ensure activities adjoining these sites do not adversely affect them.</u> <u>c. The Council will encourage early consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi regarding applications on known or suspected areas or wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u> <u>d. The relevant Tangata Whenua iwi will be consulted on applications on known or suspected areas of wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	92	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy (and explanation)</p> <p><u>Policy 10.X.X Sites of Significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi</u> <u>Work with Ngai Tahu [or, if this is of interest to others - Marlborough's Tangata Whenua iwi] to identify sites of significance to iwi within the Marlborough District, using identification methods that respect the sensitive nature of the sites, and for the purposes of a plan change including objectives, policies, methods and rules before the next review of this plan to protect significant Maori heritage in the District.</u></p>					
348	Murray Chapman	10	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provisions responding to Issue 10A to state that the whole community pays for care and maintenance of heritage resources. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	25	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Marlborough's historic heritage is vulnerable to the inappropriate use and development of natural and physical resources. Archaeological sites are particularly vulnerable to land disturbance, as they tend to be buried and excavation at, or in close proximity to, the site can <u>unearth</u> disturb the object of significance and its archaeological context. If appropriate action is not taken, the heritage resource that was previously buried can potentially be damaged modified or destroyed. For archaeological sites that have a connection to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, such adverse effects can also cause a serious cultural affront to the mana of an iwi.</p> <p><u>One of the threats to historic heritage is that there are many unknown areas of heritage significance. A lack of knowledge about the location, extent and values of historic heritage creates risks that require management.</u> For example, although past archaeological studies have revealed a little of the Maori and early European settlement patterns and culture, much more remains to be identified, researched and recorded. There will also be forgotten sites. The lack of awareness of the existence of a heritage resource makes the resource vulnerable to irreparable damage as a result of land use change.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 10A.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	170	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Objective is rewritten to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to the character of Marlborough. To recognise and where appropriate, protect archaeological, historic and cultural sites, buildings, places of historic and cultural heritage of the district from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	182	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy is added under Objective 10.1 (<i>inferred</i>) which reads as follows -</p> <p>"Recognise and encourage the role of public and private landowners in the ongoing management and protection of Heritage resources."</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	26	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 10.1 to read:</p> <p>Objective 10.1 – Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to <u>an understanding and appreciation of Marlborough's and New Zealand's history and cultures. to the character of Marlborough.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	32	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include the following new policy, followed by an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><u>Policy 10.1.X – Avoid adverse effects on historic heritage values from the destruction, demolition or partial demolition of Category B heritage resources identified in Schedule 2 of Appendix 13, except where the item is of danger to public safety and repair is not the best practicable option after having regard to the matters in Policy 10.1.7.</u></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	54	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Provide clarification (either within the objective, a new Policy, or the commentary) as to the meaning of 'that contributes' to allay the concerns of Te Atiawa.</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 10.1.1</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	184	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Manage Marlborough's heritage resources in association with Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the New Zealand Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, other heritage organisations, landowners and the local community."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	102	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	27	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	55	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide clarification of the relationship, moving forward, between MDC and Te Atiawa regarding the management of heritage resources.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	87	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	183	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	28	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	56	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the commentary of this Policy to include iwi/tangata whenua of Marlborough.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.3					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	181	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>"Identify and provide appropriate protection to Marlborough's significant heritage resources (as identified in Appendix 3 and on the Zoning Maps in the Plan), including:</p> <p>(a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites;</p> <p>(b) heritage trees;</p> <p>(c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(d) archaeological sites; and</p> <p>(e) monuments and plaques."</p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	29	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The policy should be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.3 – Identify and provide appropriate protection to Marlborough's heritage resources through a diverse range of methods, including-</p> <p>(a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites;</p> <p>(b) heritage trees;</p> <p>(c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(d) archaeological sites;</p> <p>and (e) monuments and plaques.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	23	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	4	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	180	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" Increase the community's awareness of historic heritage values by identifying heritage resources, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques that meet the following criteria for significance in the Marlborough Environment Plan:</i></p> <p><i>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</i></p> <p><i>(b) have historic association with a person or event of note, or has strong public association for any reason;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reflect past skills, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</i></p> <p><i>(d) is unique or rare in relation to particular historical themes, or is a work of art;</i></p> <p><i>(e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value.</i></p> <p><i>It is acknowledged that it is appropriate to seek landowner input in the identification of heritage resources on private land.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (e) in Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" (e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; and or"</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	30	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 10.1.4 be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.4 – Increase the community’s awareness of historic heritage values by identifying <u>Identify</u> heritage resources <u>for scheduling in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques</u> that meets <u>one or more</u> of the following criteria for significance <u>or value in the Marlborough Environment Plan</u>:</p> <p>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</p> <p>(b) have historic association of value with a person, idea or event of note, or have a strong public association for any reason;</p> <p>(c) reflect past skills, design, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</p> <p>(d) is a unique or rare heritage resource in relation to particular historical themes, or is a work of art;</p> <p>(e) is important to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value;</p> <p><u>(g) has the potential to provide knowledge of New Zealand history or public education of value; or</u></p> <p><u>(h) has symbolic commemorative value.</u></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	47	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	179	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources."</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated."</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	31	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values from the destruction, demolition, partial demolition or relocation of Category A I heritage resources identified in Schedule 1 and from the destruction of sites of significance to Maori identified in Schedule X of Appendix 13. <u>Schedule 3 sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, while Schedule 1 contains Category A historic buildings and structures (or parts of buildings or structures), places, sites, monuments and plaques. Category A means they are of special or outstanding significance. This is the same meaning as Category 1 historic places in the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero. Heritage resources sourced from the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero are assigned either a Category I or Category II status. Heritage resources classified as Category I are nationally significant.</u></p> <p>Any loss or damage of or significant change to a Category I heritage resource <u>an item contained in Schedule 1 or X</u> would result in a significant and potentially irreversible loss of historic heritage that is important in a national context. For this reason, <u>any significant</u> adverse effects on the historic heritage values of <u>resources in Schedule 1 and X</u> Category I resources must be avoided. This will see a prohibited activity rule that forbids the loss or <u>destruction, relocation, demolition, or partial demolition</u> of a Category I resource <u>in Schedule 1 and the destruction of a resource in Schedule X.</u></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.5 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>"Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources, while recognising that minimal effects, including those associated with maintenance and upgrading, may be acceptable where existing infrastructure is attached to a Category 1 heritage resource."</u></i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	48	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	178	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources."</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated."</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	33	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the current policy with the following wording and an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><u>Policy 10.1.5 – Except where provided for under Policy 10.1.6 and 10.1.X, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects from the use, subdivision or development of land on heritage resources identified in Schedules 1, 2, and 3 of Appendix 13.</u></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	49	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.7					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	177	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources, have regard to:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>the contribution the heritage resource makes to the local or national identity and sense of place;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>the effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>the extent to which the adaptive reuse of a heritage resource enables reasonable and economic use of that resource;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>the extent to which the work is necessary to enable the continued use of the heritage resource;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>any cumulative effects, especially where the resource is part of a group of similar resources;</i></p> <p>(g) <i>efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource;</i></p> <p>(h) <i>the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the heritage value of the resource;</i></p> <p>(i) <i>the need for ongoing recognition of the significance of sites currently identified by monuments or plaques;</i></p> <p>(j) <i>options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed; and</i></p> <p>(k) <i>for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero, the views of Heritage New Zealand; and</i></p> <p><i>(l) the positive effects on economic, social and cultural wellbeing arising from the proposal.</i></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	34	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.7 – When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources included in Schedule 1 and 2 of Appendix 13 have regard to:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(b) the effects effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have on the historic and heritage values of the heritage resource, including the relationship between distinct elements of the heritage resource and its surroundings;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the historical heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(h) the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the historical heritage value of the resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(j) the economic feasibility of all reasonably practicable options to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed; and</p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should have regard to when assessing any resource consent application with adverse effects on the historic heritage values of identified heritage resources to demolish, remove, alter or add to a heritage resource. These matters are designed to ensure that the significance of the heritage resource is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	29	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	57	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy and the list to contain explicit consideration of cultural sites of significance to tangata whenua. Add commentary to explain that not all sites of significance to iwi are included in the historic register of MDC.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	50	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.8					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	176	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and Waahi Tapu sites undergo the same identification, mapping and management as other heritage resources.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing resource consent applications to destroy or modify a registered an identified an identified waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of demolition, removal, alteration or additions on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand;</p> <p>(d) the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</p> <p>(e) any cumulative effects, especially where the resource or place is part of a group of similar resources or places;</p> <p>(f) efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource, or spiritual and cultural values of iwi; and</p> <p>(g) whether the activity can be undertaken at an alternative location on the same property or on another property owned by the applicant, where the adverse effects on the heritage resource or place can be avoided; and</p> <p>(h) the use of iwi monitors to identify or avoid adverse effects on iwi values prior to, during and after the works."</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	35	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.8 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.8 – When assessing resource consent applications <u>in relation to sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, included in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13, to destroy or modify a registered waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi,</u> have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effects <u>of demolition, removal, alteration or additions</u> on the heritage values of the heritage resource, <u>including effects on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</u></p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand, <u>for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero;</u></p> <p>(d) <u>the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should consider when assessing any resource consent application <u>with adverse effects on the historic or cultural heritage values of an identified to destroy or modify a waahi wahi</u> tapu site or area, or other area of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi. These matters are designed to ensure the cultural and spiritual significance of the site or area is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p> <p><u>Chapter 3 – Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi also provides a range of objectives and policies relevant to any application regarding sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</u></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	58	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the word 'registered' from the Policy.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	51	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.9					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	175	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	47	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	36	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.1.9 to read: Policy 10.1.9 – Except as set out in Policy 10.1.11 and the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements in Appendix 13 , primarily rely on Heritage New Zealand and the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 to regulate archaeological sites within Marlborough.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	88	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	52	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.10					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	174	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites. Council will meet the cost for an archaeological or cultural impact assessment for unrecorded heritage sites that are accidentally discovered."</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	37	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Policy 10.1.10.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	40	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 10.1.10.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	53	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.11					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	173	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	48	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	38	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 10.1.11 – Control land disturbance activities in places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, identified in Schedule 4 of Appendix 13.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	22	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	41	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 10.1.11.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	89	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments Working with Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi, and in liaison Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, and the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites which will be included as a condition of consent on relevant planning application decisions, acknowledging that: (a) in some instances, cultural impact assessments and cultural monitors will be required to ensure the appropriate management of values, artefacts and koiwi. (b) Different approaches to ADP may be preferred by different iwi.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	90	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	93	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
348	Murray Chapman	7	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended to recognise that trees have a use by date, and that the cost of resource consents for tree maintenance/care should be to be met by the Council. Financial compensation for loss of land use around notable and or amenity trees should paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 10B.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	172	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Objective 10.2 is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - " Retain and protect trees that make a notable contribution to Marlborough's character. To recognise and where appropriate, protect notable trees from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. "					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	192	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 10.2 and associated policies.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 10.2.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	54	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.1					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	55	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.2					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.2.2 as follows: <i>When considering resource consent applications to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees, or undertake activities in close proximity to a notable tree, have regard to:</i> <u>(e) whether the proposed activity is related to the maintenance, construction, operation or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	56	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.3					
479	Department of Conservation	103	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.2.3 by including a new clause (d) as follows: <u>(d) the tree is a significant cause of wilding tree spread affecting indigenous biodiversity.</u>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.2.3 as follows: <i>Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i> <i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values, or are restricting the ongoing operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.2.3 as follows: <i>"Policy 10.2.3 – Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i> <i>(a) the tree or trees are dying, diseased or have otherwise lost the essential qualities for which the tree was originally identified;</i> <i>(b) the tree or trees have become, or are likely to become a danger to people or the National Grid; or</i> <i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values.</i> ..."					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	57	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.4					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.1 to read: The Council will identify significant heritage resources and notable trees within Appendix 13 of the MEP. Each individual resource or tree will be described in a schedule and included on planning maps. Resources or trees identified will be those that meet the criteria in Policies 10.1.4 and 10.2.1 and/or those included on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero. <u>Heritage resources and trees will be divided into the following Schedules:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Schedule 1: Category A Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u> ● <u>Schedule 2: Category B Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u> ● <u>Schedule 3: Sites of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u> ● <u>Schedule 4: Places of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u> ● <u>Schedule 5: Notable Trees</u> <u>Where Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi do not wish the location of a relevant heritage resource disclosed, Council will make use of methods to protect the confidentiality of the site.</u> 					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	40	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the method be amended accordingly to reflect the final state of the heritage rules.					
967	Marlborough Roads	7	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows: <i>District and regional rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows: <i>District and regional rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	51	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Allow updates of heritage resources and notable trees <i>(inferred)</i> between the plans.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	41	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.3 to read: The Council will support, including financially, the protection and enhancement of heritage resources and notable trees included in the MEP in the following ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiving some or all resource consent and building consent application fees where the activity requiring consent will assist with the protection or enhancement of a heritage resource or notable tree; • Providing grants on an annual basis to facilitate the protection of heritage resources/notable trees and/or the community's appreciation of the resources/trees; • <u>Providing rates rebates for properties with heritage resources;</u> • <u>Carrying out public education and promotion regarding the value and benefits of heritage resources;</u> • Providing funding to assist with the ongoing maintenance of notable trees where required. 					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation to read: The Council will liaise on an ongoing basis with the various agencies and groups involved in the protection of historic heritage in Marlborough to ensure that protection efforts are co-ordinated. Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the <u>New Zealand</u> Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and other heritage organisations are the key agencies and groups in this regard.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.5 to read: In conjunction with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the Council will develop, maintain and implement a discovery protocol for archaeological sites <u>where an archaeological authority has not been obtained and there is no reason to suspect the presence of any archaeological sites.</u> This will detail the procedures to be followed if any feature, artefact or human remains are discovered or are suspected to have been discovered. Information will be included within the protocol on the rohe of different iwi to enable people to make contact with the relevant iwi. <u>The protocol will assist in ensuring that the relevant provisions of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 can then be applied. The protocol will be included in Appendix X containing the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.6 to read: In conjunction with the New Zealand Archaeological Association, the Council will provide information on known archaeological sites in Marlborough and areas where there is reasonable cause to suspect the presence of unrecorded archaeological sites . This will assist resource users to determine whether they need to approach Heritage New Zealand for an archaeological authority.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Anticipated environmental result 10.AER.1 - Monitoring Effectiveness to read: ... No loss of Category I A heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish, partially demolish or relocate Category I A heritage resources. <u>Limited loss of Category B heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish or partially demolish Category B heritage resources.</u> <u>No loss of sites of significance Maori, including wahi tapu, as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to destroy sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</u> <u>The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decrease or are maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase.</u>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the surveys should be carried out at 7 year intervals not 10. We also submit that the wording concerning the ambit of the survey needs to be expanded to make it clear the survey should not only identify the condition of notable trees but also be required to identify any remedial action arising from such survey.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	200	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a policy is added under Objective 11.1 which reads as follows - <i>"Assess the consequences of natural hazard events on Marlborough's human communities, including by considering:</i> <i>a) The nature of activities in the area;</i> <i>b) Individual and community vulnerability;</i> <i>c) Impact on individual and community health and safety;</i> <i>d) Impact on social, cultural and economic wellbeing;</i> <i>e) Impact on infrastructure and property, including access and services;</i> <i>f) Risk reduction and hazard mitigation measures;</i> <i>g) Lifeline utilities, essential and emergency services, and their co-dependence;</i> <i>h) Implications for civil defence agencies and emergency services;</i> <i>i) Cumulative effects;</i> <i>j) Factors that may exacerbate a hazard event;</i> <i>k) The costs (including to landowners) of mitigating the hazard."</i>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Link between this chapter and climate change chapter.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	35	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows: <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure. Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	140	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new issues statement, objective and policies is added to address the implications of climate change on natural hazard management in Marlborough. The submission does not provide details for a issues statement, objective and policies.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	24	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Chapter 11 as notified. (Inferred)					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	16	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The objectives and policies should recognise that some areas of land may be appropriately used for certain activities such as forestry. This should be recognised in Policy 11.1.21. The policy should also be amended to recognise that work or land use on slopes classified as having land instability issues can appropriately occur, where adverse effects on land instability can be appropriately remedied or mitigated. In addition, new rules should be added, or existing rules modified to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	11	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows: <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure. Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions for Flooding - Flood Management to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for fire hazard management purposes.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 11A as follows: <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property and regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	30	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	16	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Oppose
Decision Requested	That new objectives and policies are included in order to encourage land owners to mitigate the effects of tunnel gully erosion. This should include Council drafting best practice guidelines for the construction of new swales or cutoff drains etc. and have this information disseminated to all property owners Council has identified. That new objectives and policies are included to ensure that the continued operation of existing vineyards as well as the creation of new vineyards remains a permitted activity on loess soil.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	12	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 11A as follows: <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property and regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	26	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy or method under this Objective requiring consultation to be undertaken with the Network owner (MLL) before undertaking any new planting within 40m of a MLL distribution circuit. (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	201	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Reduce the risks to life, property habitable buildings and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards."</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	15	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 11.1 as follows: <i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	31	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	A review of all objectives policies and rules that may impact future land use and create, amend or delete the objectives, policies and rules such that when viewed holistically the objectives, policies and rules apply restrictions, if any, proportional to the risks to life and property associated with the identified natural hazards.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	8	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 11.1 as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	47	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 11.1.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 11.1 as follows: <i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	58	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.1					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	199	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That the Policy is retained as notified. - That all provisions for liquefaction and tunnel gully erosion are deleted from the Plan until comprehensive identification and mapping has occurred in consultation with landowners. <p><i>(The specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission as it contains the above conflicting statements)</i></p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	15	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following are mapped on the Soil Sensitive Area Overlay:</p> <p>The Dillons Point Formation and any other liquefaction prone soils in Marlborough should be identified and mapped as Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Liquefaction.</p> <p>Soils subject to Slope Failure in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Seismic Slope Failure.</p> <p>Ground shaking potential in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Ground Shaking.</p> <p>Known Faults should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Known Faults.</p> <p>Tsunami risk areas should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Tsunami.</p> <p>New policies and rules be written such that any land identified in the Soil Sensitive Areas - Loess, Liquefaction, Seismic Slope Failure, Ground Shaking be suitable for subdivision and development if a comprehensive geotechnical report, carried out by a geotechnical expert accredited by the Council deems the land to be suitable.</p> <p>Policies such as <i>Policy 11.1.19 - Control the erection and placement of structures within areas prone to tunnel gully erosion and Policy 7.1.7.21 - Locate new structures and works to: (a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and (b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause</i>, should be replicated for areas identified in the new overlays created for seismic risk potential.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	95	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Retain flood hazard overlays as notified in the PMP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	59	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.2					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	52	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a brochure is issued with tips via the rates notices.					
348	Murray Chapman	6	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the policy to allow structures such as trellis systems and fences be allowed at landowners liability. Where riparian margins are compulsory fenced in flood hazard zones, amend the policy to require the Council to share responsibility for maintenance after flood damage as it would be treated as a boundary fence where cost is shared 50/50. <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	60	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.3					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	198	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"To actively manage any flood hazard through the provision and maintenance of flood defences and other flood mitigation works, where there is significant community benefit and adverse effects from public works on privately owned land are avoided, remedied or mitigated."</i></p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	49	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	32	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	60	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
319	Clive Tozer	21	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Council gives urgent attention to lowering the Selmes to SH1 reach of the aggraded Wairau floodway to bring back to the agreed level of service in line with Policy 11.1.4.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	61	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.4					
472	ME Taylor Limited	10	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting thru causing further havoc downstream.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	53	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.4.					
319	Clive Tozer	23	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Council raises the level of maintenance with respect to the Cravens Creek outlet channel and outfall to river to ensure acceptable levels of service to our property and neighbouring upstream property.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	62	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.5					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	50	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	61	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion.					
319	Clive Tozer	22	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	63	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	43	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	197	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
472	ME Taylor Limited	11	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	51	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	38	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	33	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	64	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.7					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	196	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river;</i></p> <p><i>(b) placing limits on:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the timing of operations (where necessary to avoid bird nesting of endangered riverbed nesting birds);</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the method of extraction;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and</i></p> <p><i>(v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	104	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 11.1.7 as follows:</p> <p><u>Avoid, remedy or</u> mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:....</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	84	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows: <i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, <u>and downstream irrigation intakes</u> by:</i> Insert additional bullet point: <i><u>(vi) the location and timing of gravel extraction activities upstream of irrigation intakes.</u></i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	6	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, and fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity by:</i> <i>(a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river;</i> <i>(b) placing limits on:</i> <i>(i) the timing of operations (especially to avoid bird nesting);</i> <i>(ii) the method of extraction;</i> <i>(iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location;</i> <i>(iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and</i> <i>(v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	20	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 11.1.7, as follows: <i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, <u>existing uses of rivers and the coastal marine area</u>, water clarity and bank stability.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	34	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	9	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
151	Trevor Offen	3	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 11.1.8 should be reworded to read "Unless provided for by Policy 11.1.10(a) or (b)..."					
319	Clive Tozer	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.8 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	65	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.8					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	194	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	4	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.8 in its entirety as notified.					
319	Clive Tozer	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9(a) <i>(inferred)</i> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
319	Clive Tozer	15	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9(b) <i>(inferred)</i> .					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	66	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	3	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9 in its entirety as notified.					
319	Clive Tozer	16	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10(b) <i>(inferred)</i> .					
319	Clive Tozer	18	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10 (a).					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	67	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	193	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	4	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	6	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10 in its entirety as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	68	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.11					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	7	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.11 in its entirety as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	69	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.12					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	70	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.13					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	44	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy by adding the following (in bold): Policy 11.1.13 - Recognise that the risk to life and property during flood events is greater in rural environments and support community initiatives to set-up emergency response networks.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	192	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	71	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.14					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	72	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.15					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	1	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 11.1.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 11.1.15 – Any allotment of less than one hectare proposed to be created in the Rural Environment Zone or the Rural Living Zone must be shown to have a minimum area free of flooding during a flood event with an annual recurrence interval of 1 in 50 years of:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 1,000 square metres; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) 40 40 percent of the property, whichever is the greater.</i></p>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	34	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here.</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	73	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.16					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.16.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	74	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.17	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.17					
907	Levide Capital Limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.17	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should identify the land that lies over the Dillons Point Formation so that suitable planning rules can be applied to mitigate potential adverse effects of development on this land.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	75	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.18					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	76	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.19					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	191	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	77	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.20					
238	Don Miller	1	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	78	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.21					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	190	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Locate new habitable structures and works to:</i> <i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i> <i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause."</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	78	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Widen the policy to include the impacts of other natural events and hazards.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	218	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to explicitly state the following (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Locate new structures and works to:</i> <i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability both from within and external to the site; and</i> <i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 11.1.21 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 11.1.21 – Locate new structures and works (except regionally significant infrastructure, where its location is constrained by technical and operational requirements) to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause.</i></p> <p><i>Marlborough is characterised by steep terrain and in some locations, unstable geology. Combined with the potential for intense rainfall events, these factors create the potential for slope instability. Examples historically include rock/debris slumps, debris slides or flows, coastal erosion and tunnel gully erosion in various parts of the District. Establishing residential, commercial or industrial development or infrastructure supporting that development or linking our communities in locations prone to land instability will lead to unsustainable outcomes. This policy requires new structures and works to be located in environments that avoid adverse effects caused by land instability. It also addresses the situation of a structure or work exacerbating those adverse effects. The policy will primarily be implemented through the zoning of land and the scale/intensity of activity that the zone rules enable. However, the policy can also be applied in a resource consent context when an assessment of environmental effects for the structure or work identifies a risk of land instability. This includes subdivision undertaken to enable more intensive use of the land. A safe and stable building platform will have to be established for the subdivision of land in certain environments."</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	79	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	45	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	189	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Vegetative cover in the Set-back area to be maintain as "defensible space".					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	9	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22 as notified.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify colluvial fans or flood plains in or below plantation forests where a risk assessment indicates that there is greater than a 1:10,000 chance of loss of life from debris flow from recently harvested plantation forests Add Debris flows to the list of hazards displayed in 11.M.2 Overlay.					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	37	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all reference to high amenity areas Policy is to address only land designated as ONFL. Change "avoid" to "minimise".					
319	Clive Tozer	1	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain designation boundary (B42) at our northern property boundary.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	80	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.1					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	188	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	62	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit requirement that the approval of MDC and the relevant iwi are to be sought.					
319	Clive Tozer	2	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submission states: <i>Refer below for more detail.</i> Inferred that this is referring to submission point 3 Volume 1 Chapter 11 Methods of Implementation 11.M.4, which states: <i>Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	81	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	187	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Control residential, commercial and industrial land uses on or in close proximity to existing Marlborough District Council administered flood defences and within floodways to ensure that they do not compromise the effectiveness of any defence or the efficiency of any floodway."</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	82	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.3					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	35	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	83	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	185	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	54	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.4.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	84	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.5					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	85	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.6					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	86	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.7					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	36	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	48	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 11.2.7.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	99	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
319	Clive Tozer	3	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded.					
91	Marlborough District Council	139	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	That a new paragraph be added between the existing first and second paragraphs of 11.M.7 as follows - " <i>The Council may utilise the emergency provisions provided under Section 330 of the RMA to respond to foreseeable or actual hazard events in order to achieve Objective 11.1.</i> "					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	39	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 11.M.8 but amend to make explicit where the method will apply.					
	<p>11.M.8 Gravel permits</p> <p>In addition to regional rules, the Council will utilise a system of gravel permits to authorise the extraction of gravel from river beds. These permits will be issued by a Council Rivers and Drainage Engineer and will specify the location of extraction and the amount of material to be extracted. Conditions can be imposed on the gravel permits to manage any site-specific adverse effects not addressed through regional rules. The permits provide flexibility to respond to the accumulation of gravel in river beds in the short term. The duration of the permits will be limited to enable effective monitoring of the effect of the extraction on river bed levels and the surrounding environment. <u>The gravel permit system will apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</u></p>					
238	Don Miller	2	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	40	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 11.M.10.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	41	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 11.M.15 to explicitly provide for the collaborative development of the Gravel Management Strategy.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	63	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for cultural indicators to be used to assess impacts on cultural values.					
263	Mark Batchelor	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of urban environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
374	Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support
Decision Requested	Support policies relating to the Industrial 1 Zone. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	202	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Introduction is retained as notified.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	37	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Based on the submission above, no decision requested is included for Objectives 12.6 and 12.8 and Policies 11.1.2.1 and 12.6.3.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	25	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	27	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12D- The Marlborough District is recognised as an area with an aging population growth, and a desirable place to retire to and live. Meeting the needs of the elderly and supporting their well-being needs to be considered to allow the integration and assimilation in both terms of housing and support services.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	28	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add new Issue12E- There is an increasing reliance on seasonal workers and migrants to provide the work force in the Marlborough District. This will be across the vineyards, wineries, home and elderly person care. Accommodation in non-traditional or conventional housing needs to be evaluated to be able to assimilate this into the Urban Environment.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	29	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12F - The provision of affordable housing in Marlborough needs to be addressed through Council and private developers, together with Central Govt incentives for infrastructure.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	30	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12B - A loss in the vitality, viability and/or identity of Marlborough's business environments may result either where inappropriate activities are located within these environments or where fragmentation of business area occurs (no comment)					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

Policy (a): To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

Policy (b): To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
 - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
 - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
 - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
 - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p>AER: Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	38	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 12] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 12A</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	203	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Issue is amended to as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Meeting the residential needs of Marlborough's urban population whilst ensuring residential activity does not have adverse effects on the environment.</i> Reverse sensitivity is identified as a potential issue to address, where residential activity expands into or abuts the rural areas of the District."</p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	37	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retirement villages • seasonal worker accommodation • higher low level density housing • affordable accommodation across all age groups. <p>It is <i>inferred</i> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12A.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 12.1 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within residential zones.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	49	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.1.					
1021	Phil Muir	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
441	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> 					
1021	Phil Muir	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-consider the potential policy outcomes of not providing for infill development, smaller lot sizes and greater density in Urban Residential 2 Zone (in addition to providing for intensification development) in relation to addressing Issue 12A of the MEP (providing for flexibility in the size and type of dwelling options available including in relation to an aging population and increase in single person households) and providing for consolidated development (e.g. Policy 17.6.3)					
369	Tony Hawke	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow for infill subdivision in the Residential 2 Zone.					
441	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> 					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	26	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	<u>Add</u> (m) Retirement villages, worker accommodation, medium low rise density housing					
1021	Phil Muir	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	204	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the part (a) of the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>" In addition to the characteristics listed in Policy 12.1.3, the following additional characteristics are to be maintained and apply to:</i> <i>(a) the Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone, where:</i> <i>(i) there is a stronger connection with the Rural Environment Zone; and</i> <i>(ii) farming is enabled prior to residential development;</i> <i>(iii) the potential for reverse sensitivity is addressed.</i> "					
460	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	An additional policy is required to address effects from decisions related to extending provision for sensitive activities to be encouraged or facilitated to locate near or nearer to activities that could significantly adversely affect the amenities of the sensitive activities is contrary to established planning principles in this regard.					
1021	Phil Muir	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 12.2.1 to the following: <i>'The character and amenity of residential areas within Marlborough's urban environments will be maintained and enhanced by:</i> <i>(a) providing for a range of areas with different residential densities and lot sizes, including for infill, greenfield and large lot developments</i> <i>(b) ensuring there are residential areas within walkable distance to community, social and business facilities</i> <i>(c) providing for sufficient open spaces and parks that are equitably distributed, and integrated, accessible and safe, and vary in size, form and their use including through incorporating diverse aspects such as streets, walkways, vegetation and views open spaces and parks to meet people's recreational needs</i> <i>(d) providing for walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities</i> <i>(e) higher standards of urban design that positively contributes to public space amenity and safety, visual interest and amenity and activity</i> <i>(f) ensuring people's health and wellbeing through good building design, including energy efficiency and the provision of natural light; and</i> <i>(g) effective and efficient use of existing and new infrastructure networks.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	16	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.2.1 as proposed.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	38	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1021	Phil Muir	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	14	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.2.1 as proposed.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce a requirement for minimum permeable ground cover for new development (inferred).					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	62	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2 to include the following clause: " 12.2.2.x. This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."					
1021	Phil Muir	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "maintain" from the policy (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1021	Phil Muir	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 (<i>inferred</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	205	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	50	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 12.2.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>In relation to five areas zoned as Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone to the north and west of Blenheim, the following matters apply for subdivision and land use activities:</i></p> <p><i>(d) subdivision design and land use activities shall have regard to reverse sensitivity effects in respect of existing, lawfully-established rural and non-residential activities, including State Highways and land designated for State Highway purposes.</i></p> <p><i>(e) subdivision design and land use activities shall have regard to cumulative effects on the State Highway road network, and the Transport Agency road controlling authority may be considered an affected party where these effects cannot be avoided.</i></p>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	33	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated.</p>					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "maintain" from (b) of the policy (inferred).					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	47	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 12.2.5 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that subdivision and/or residential development within Urban Residential Zones is undertaken in a manner that: ... <u>(e) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u> <u>(Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (e).)</u>					
1021	Phil Muir	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
1021	Phil Muir	9	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	51	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace “noise levels with “sound levels” Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	52	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	206	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Activities that are non-residential in character, with the exception of existing farming activities, are appropriately located and of a scale and nature that will not create adverse effects on the character of residential environments."</i>					
441	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> 					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support
Decision Requested	To extend this objective to give a buffer zone around the township, at least where land has not already been developed for viticulture. The submission does not include specific details of what the buffer zone around the township would be.					
441	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> 					
974	Ministry of Education	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.3.2.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	10	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.3.2 as follows (bold) - "Policy 12.3.2 - Provide for appropriate community-based facilities and emergency service facilities to locate within residential environments where they meet a community need and are in keeping with the expected residential character and amenity values for Urban Residential Zones. And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - Emergency service facilities and community-based activities, including both community facilities (e.g. health, education and spiritual) and recreational activities (e.g. playgrounds) play an important role in providing for the day-to-day needs of residents. "					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We believe the wording should read 'add to/ or not detract from'.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.3.3 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within all residential areas.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.3.3, in its entirety as notified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	53	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	9	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.3.4 in its entirety as notified or, if amendments are made to it, ensure that it continues to not be relevant to the consideration of Service Stations.					
441	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> 					
974	Ministry of Education	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.3.5.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	10	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.3.5, in its entirety as notified.					
278	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Additional Objectives and policies shall be added to Chapter 12 of the objectives and policies Volume 1 requiring the following or words to similar effect; <p><i>Objective 12.....</i></p> <p>To provide opportunity for business development within Business zones adjoining residential areas while protecting the amenities of residential properties and zones adjoining, facing, opposite to and adjacent to areas of Business Zone or on sites that Business activities may be permitted to be established on within an Urban Residential zone.</p> <p><i>Policy 12.....</i></p> <p><i>Consideration of the design and appearance, scale, intensity and character of any business development and activity shall be concerned with protecting the existing amenities and amenities that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone.</i></p>					

Policy

Combination of the development and performance standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone and existing development in the immediately surrounding area shall be used to determine the appropriateness of the scale, intensity and character of building and site development for the business activity.

Policy

The scale, intensity and character of buildings and site development and effects shall be similar or be designed to appear similar to and have effects similar to the scale, intensity and character of development that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential zone or alternatively that exist in the immediate locality.

Policy

Operational effects will comply with the performance standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential Area. In circumstances where the ambient conditions applicable to those matters in regard to which performance standards are prescribed are less than the maximums prescribed by those standards or have variable characteristics resulting from the residential nature of the locality, the operational effects of the business activity in these regards shall be no greater than those ambient levels and characteristics.

996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• retirement villages• seasonal worker accommodation• higher low level density housing• affordable accommodation across all age groups. It is <i>inferred</i> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12B.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	209	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
907	Levide Capital Limited	17	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.4.					
681	Department of Corrections	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 12.4.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 12.4.1 – Provide for a wide range of commercial, community and industrial activities in a variety of zones to encourage vibrant and viable business centres.</i></p> <p><i>The use of zones enables activities to occur in specified and established areas of Marlborough's urban environments. Areas zoned as Business and Industrial are based in part on the nature of commercial, community and industrial activities that have existed for some time with largely known effects. Some areas have been zoned specifically for large retail format in recognition of the need to provide for retailing that requires large areas of associated car-parking or outdoor space. The variety of business environments within Marlborough's towns is reflected in the differences in zoning approach.</i></p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.4.1.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.4.2 to recognise residential living as an accepted activity within the central business areas of Blenheim and Picton.					
681	Department of Corrections	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.4.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 12.4.2 – The central business areas of Blenheim and Picton provide a focus for retail, commercial business, employment, leisure, visitor accommodation, community and cultural activities.</i></p>					
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Adoption of the policy without change.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy but also consider the provision of incentives to encourage development in Business 1 zone (inferred).					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.4.4.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 12.4.4 be retained provided that the definition for large format retail is revised, as set out in the decision requested for submission point #1.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the 1st sentence of the 4th paragraph of the explanation of Policy 12.4.4:</p> <p><i>The third tier, Business-1 3 Zone, also provides the community with a localised shopping and service function, but at a much larger scale for the large format retail operations. These large scale retailing activities are limited to single purpose stores to prevent the potential for dispersal of retail activities and therefore any detracting from the role and function of the finer grained, more pedestrian-oriented business areas of the Business 1 Zone.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	51	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.4.4.					
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Adoption of the policy without change.					
681	Department of Corrections	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Objective 12.5: <i>Objective 12.5 A range of opportunities for different business, community and industrial activities are available. To ensure business, community and industrial activities occur in a planned and coordinated manner and that the future needs of people and communities are met, it is necessary to recognise the various characteristics and attributes of Marlborough's towns within the urban environment. Consolidating the area within which these activities take place will reinforce the communities' perception of the character and form of their towns and identity. Importantly the objective provides a focus for establishing a wide range of opportunities for business, community and industrial activity that will result in wide social and economic benefits for the District, therefore helping to achieve the purpose of the RMA.</i>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.5.					
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.5	Support
Decision Requested	Adoption of the objective without change.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include cycling and pedestrian access, visibility into businesses, streetscapes and people as characteristics in the policy (inferred).					
907	Levide Capital Limited	29	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.5.1 so that it also provides for multi-level apartments above businesses. Review and amend all pertinent objectives, policies and rules within Chapter 12 (Urban Environments) so as to encourage rather than discourage multi-level apartment style living in the Blenheim CBD.					
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Adoption of the policy without change.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adoption of the policy without change.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	13	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.5.3 as notified.					
681	Department of Corrections	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.5.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 12.5.5 – Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for light industrial activities in Blenheim, Picton and Seddon:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a range of light service industries, community corrections activities and ancillary activities (light manufacturing, logistics, storage, warehousing, transport and distribution are anticipated);</i></p>					
460	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 12.5.6 be changed to include an additional clause or other modification with the effect of recognising existing areas zoned for heavier industrial activities located within or close to Blenheim as a result of historical consequence.</p> <p>Add a policy concerned with recognising the effects of extending or providing for extension of sensitive activities or areas by way of subdivision, zoning, development or resource consents towards relatively 'high effects' activities or areas. That policy should prescribe that decisions to do this will not be made unless special circumstances prescribed a need for it and the effects on the occupiers of both zones could be avoided, remedied or mitigated or accommodation of it provided for in a manner that did not adversely affect the interests of the zone being extended towards.</p> <p>Further policy should be added to the effect that the particular nature of industrial areas and particularly with regard to the effects they may have on more sensitive activities and areas will be provided for by protection of them from reverse sensitivity effects resulting from decisions to extend or permit extension or location of sensitive activities in close enough proximity to them to cause reverse sensitivity effects.</p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.5.6, and the explanation to this policy, in particular paragraph 2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	93	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 12.5.6 as follows:</p> <p><i>Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for heavier industrial activities <u>Industrial 2</u> located near Blenheim:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a range of heavy industrial activities located location outside the urban area of Blenheim;</i></p> <p><i>(b) often surrounded by larger lot residential or rural areas;</i></p> <p><i>(c) a range of heavy industrial activities;</i></p> <p><i>(d) only very limited commercial non-industrial activities ancillary to heavy industrial activities, while activities that may compromise the efficiency and functionality of the zone for heavy industrial activities are avoided;</i></p> <p><i>(e) mostly well-separated from adjacent Business 1 and Industrial 1 Zones;</i></p> <p><i>(f) activities that placing substantial demands on the natural and physical resources of the District (land, water, air, infrastructure and services);</i></p> <p><i>(g) activities requiring disposal of large quantities of liquid trade wastes; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) higher volumes of large vehicle traffic.</i></p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	54	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new Urban Design panel is established for Business Zone 1.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	94	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objectives 12.6 and related policies to ensure that amenity requirements are reasonable in the industrial zones.</p>					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	9	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The word 'maintain' needs to be removed and include requirements for visual permeability of facades (inferred).</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	14	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>IAmend policy 12.6.1 to recognise the functional design requirements of certain activities and to recognise that, for certain land uses including service stations and truck stops, it is inappropriate to seek to provide for such design requirements as continuous building frontages along the adjoining street, or for verandahs. This could be achieved by making amendments as follows:?</p> <p><i>Policy 12.6.1 – Require, except where the functional design requirements of the activity mean that it is impracticable to do so, development to maintain or enhance streetscape amenity in business zones by ensuring to the extent:</i></p> <p><i>(a) an attractive street interface is maintained through landscaping where buildings are not built to the street frontage;</i></p> <p><i>(b) service and outdoor storage areas are not visible from ground level of a public place;</i></p> <p><i>(c) architecturally-interesting façades are presented through variation in building design, scale and the use of glazing;</i></p> <p><i>(d) a continual frontage of buildings is provided along the street, where practicable, apart from pedestrian alleyways;</i></p> <p><i>(e) clear and direct visual connection is provided between the street and the building interior; (f) direct physical connection is provided to the building interior through clearly identified pedestrian entrances;</i></p> <p><i>(g) shelter is provided for pedestrians on footpaths in the form of a veranda, where practicable; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) buildings are designed to have commercial activities at the ground floor, with an adequate ground floor to ceiling height to accommodate these activities.</i></p> <p><u><i>Where functional design requirements mean that one or more of the above criteria are not met, require development to positively contribute to the streetscape.</i></u></p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	23	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Provide separate policies for amenity outcomes sought for business and industrial zones and amend the wording of Policy 12.6.2 to the following:</p> <p><i>'Development of activities in business or industrial zones will provide good amenity outcomes through the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) ensuring people's health and wellbeing are maintained and enhanced through good building design</i></p> <p><i>(b) requiring a high standard of design that positively contributes to amenity, public safety and visual interest and amenity qualities (noise levels, minimal dust and odour, privacy, overall volumes of traffic movements, building bulk and density and access to daylight, street lighting and visibility)</i></p> <p><i>(c) ensuring a layout that allows easy access for people of all ages and abilities, is convenient and safe for pedestrians and cyclists, and includes connections within the development and/or with surrounding areas and services</i></p> <p><i>(d) providing planting on road reserve</i></p> <p><i>(e) requiring integration of landscaping on individual allotments to soften the appearance of buildings fronting the road in areas outside of the streets identified in Appendix 18.</i></p> <p>That the permitted activity standards for commercial activity (rules 9.3.1 and 10.3.1.1), and large format retail (rule 11.3.1) reflect the above changes</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	55	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels' Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	56	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: At sub-clause (c)replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels' Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	57	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	95	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 12.6.5 as follows: <i>Noise limits have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. Higher noise These limits (and associated lower amenity) are imposed in consistent with the character and amenity of the business and industrial zones to meet the operational requirements of the activities that are anticipated to establish in these zones.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	52	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 12.6.6.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	48	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.6.7 to read: Policy 12.6.7 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that development within the business or industrial zones is undertaken in a manner that: ... <u>(f) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u> (Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (f).)					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	58	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	39	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Objective 12.7 – Reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining residential zones from existing activities within business and industrial zones are avoided.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	96	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 12.7 as follows: Reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining residential zones from activities within business and industrial zones are avoided. <u>Reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities will be avoided by:</u> <u>(a) Recognising and providing for the benefits of business and industrial activities, while also managing adverse effects on human health, property and the environment.</u> <u>(b) The operational requirements of heavy industry, other location specific industry and significant industry are recognised and provided for.</u> <u>(c) Incompatible land uses and activities are adequately separated to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects arising from business and industrial activities, and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities.</u>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	59	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
974	Ministry of Education	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.7.1.					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	To consider the reverse sensitivity effects of the encroachment of viticulture. Noise limits, especially regarding frost machines, <u>must</u> be monitored.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	97	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.7.1 as follows: <i>Business and industrial activities are appropriately separated from the boundary of adjoining residential zones so that any adverse effects on residential activities are avoided, remedied or mitigated through:-</i> <i>(a) establishing setbacks for industrial activities from a residential boundary;-</i> <i>(b) screening of business or industrial outdoor storage areas from a residential boundary;-</i> <i>(c) restrictions on light spill;-</i> <i>(d) setting more sensitive noise limits at the boundaries between the Industrial 1 Zone and the Urban Residential 1 Zone; and</i> <i>(e) standards for dust and odour.</i> <i>Manage reverse sensitivity effects by:</i> <i>(a) encouraging new business and industrial activities to locate in an appropriate zone; and</i> <i>(b) not allowing new business and industrial activities that are likely to have adverse effects to locate in residential zones where sensitive activities are permitted</i> <i>(c) Discouraging sensitive activities from locating in zones where reduced amenity is recognised and provided for.</i> <i>(d) Ensure adequate separation distances between sensitive activities and business and industrial activities.</i>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.1.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.2.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	23	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.3.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	17	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 12C as proposed.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retirement villages • seasonal worker accommodation • higher low level density housing • affordable accommodation across all age groups. <p>It is <i>inferred</i> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12C.</p>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	15	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 12C as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 12.9 as proposed.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	53	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 12.9 as follows: <i>The condition, capacity, efficiency and affordability of essential infrastructure services reflects the needs of Marlborough's urban environments <u>and national requirements.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	16	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.9 as proposed.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	11	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.1 as notified.					
91	Marlborough District Council	162	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested to Policy 12.9.2 is as follows (bold) – " <i>Ensure that in an area with public water supply and/or sewerage infrastructure or stormwater management, subdivision and development activities only occur where they will not exceed the current or planned capacity of that public infrastructure or compromise its ability to service any activities permitted by rules within a relevant urban environment zone.</i> "					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	12	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.2 as notified.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.4 as proposed.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	17	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.4 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.5 as proposed.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.5 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.7 as proposed.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	13	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.7 as notified.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.7 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.9 as proposed.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.9 as proposed.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	14	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 12.M.8 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	15	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the first sentence of the Method 12.M.9 as follows (bold) - <i>"Rules of the MEP require the providers of water (including the New Zealand Fire Service in relation to firefighting water supply and access), sewerage, stormwater, roading, electricity and telecommunication services to confirm the proposed arrangements for providing the infrastructure to new urban subdivisions."</i>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment		Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made for the Coastal Environment Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry. • A requirement to assess the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator, before planting takes place. • Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them should be the responsibility of the forestry owner. This and other wilding pine control could be met by an industry levy on logs harvested. At the moment the cost of wilding pine control is being met by affected landowners and a voluntary trust through community sponsorship and grants. It is not fair or sustainable for the community, rather than the industry, to pay for this. 					
13	Rob Mounsey	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In accordance with Council's policy and objectives I proposed that a Marlborough Sounds Land Management Plan be established. The reclassification of the Marlborough Sounds land together with Council's obligations under Part XII of the RMA 1991 would redefine permitted uses. Detailed management plans for each permitted and other land based activities would be established. This would bring about current and up-to-date management practices to commence the path to sustainability and deal with sedimentation issues.					
233	Totaranui Limited	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i>					
233	Totaranui Limited	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific wording of new Issue, Objectives and Policies is not provided in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i>					
233	Totaranui Limited	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (<i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Consideration and decisions relating to use and development of the Coastal Environment will include ensuring access to and from Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and recognised ancestral sites and localities is either protected, or enhanced or facilitated or provided as may be appropriate in each particular circumstance." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
233	Totaranui Limited	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy (<i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Recognise the importance of the coastal environment as a productive resource to the economy of the district and that decisions relating to formulation of policy and decisions on proposals for development and use of the resource, particularly for marine farming and aquaculture, should include consideration of this in view of its importance to the overall health, welfare and social and cultural and economic wellbeing of the community." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
371	Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ (PEPANZ)	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions of Chapter 13. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming." In reality, we cannot have a set of policies managing non-marine farming activities which are inconsistent with the marine farming provisions.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Line 4 Introduction Paragraph 1 if figure is 19%.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Subdivision, use and development activities in the Coastal Environment with amendments requested in submission points related to Volume 1 Use of the Coastal Environment issue and policies.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Recreational activities with amendments requested in submission point #22.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy to recognise that depletion of wild fisheries will be, in part, also driven by loss of habitat through different land and sea-based activities. I submit that, in the context of this section of the MEP, those other factors should be acknowledged.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Residential activities with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.5.6 (submission point #28).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Boat moorings and anchoring with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.9.4. to (submission point #30).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Coastal structures, reclamations and disturbance to the foreshore and seabed with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.10.5 (submission point #32) and Policy 10.13.23 (submission point #34).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Reclamation and Drainage with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.11.4 (submission point #36).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Disposal and deposition with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.12.1 (submission point #38).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies relevant to those under the heading Shipping Activity .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading Ports and marinas with amendments requested in submissions related to 13.AER.5 (submission point #41) and 13.AER.16 (submission point #42).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	207	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Introduction to Chapter 13 to include the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Vast areas of the landward extent of the coastal environment are held in private ownership and the predominant land use is primary production. · Like infrastructure, primary production activities within the coastal environment are essential to the community's economic, social and cultural wellbeing. · Primary production activities and the associated effects must be recognised and provided for within the coastal environment chapter. <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Introduction sought)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	208	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That all policies relating to the use of the coastal environment and coastal marine area are contained within this Chapter.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1)</i></p> <p>And,</p> <p>That the objectives and policies in the Chapter are amended to better reflect the importance of primary production and the validity of primary production in the coastal environment.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1 specific to this submission point)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	217	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That throughout the chapter primary production activities are acknowledged and provided for as being appropriate. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Chapter)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	177	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	178	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Make consequential amendments where amenity policies are changed.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	179	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Any relevant consequential changes to methods of implementation 13.M.19 - 13.M.23.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	180	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Consequential changes should be made to methods of implementation 13.M.24 - 13.M.27 and to the anticipated environmental results in light of MFA submissions.					
477	John Malcolm McKee	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " <i>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</i> " (Inferred)					
500	Ben Clarke	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " <i>Ensure that developments like marine farms are able to remain in operation when those involved are good custodians and obey the rules.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
641	Dan McCall	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " <i>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That bottom dredging is banned in the Sounds for both Commercial and recreational uses. That the practice of set netting and long lines is banned. That a sustainable management plan is included for the Sounds recreational fishery.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That greater recognition is given to Picton as being "The Environmental Capital of the Sounds" <i>(inferred)</i> .					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introductory text on page 13-11 to read:</p> <p><i>The waters of the Marlborough Sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including: ...</i></p> <p><i><u>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers supporting a thriving seafood industry that provides economic and social benefits to Marlborough and New Zealand.</u></i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>The Council can therefore indirectly help to maintain and enhance wild fisheries in the Marlborough Sounds by managing any adverse effects on marine habitats caused by activities over which it does have direct control.</i></p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace Policies 13.4.1 and 13.4.2 with new Policy 13.4.1 and explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on fishing and fisheries resources by:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant fishing grounds where the activities and/or structures are incompatible with fishing activity;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) for all activities requiring a resource consent that take place in, or may have adverse effects on, the coastal marine area, requiring the evaluation of adverse effects on fishing and fisheries resources;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(c) promoting a cross-boundary approach to the management of adverse effects on fisheries resources by adjacent regional councils; and</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(d) protecting habitats of particular significance for fisheries management from the adverse effects of activities and structures.</u></i></p> <p>[Explanatory text] <i><u>The Council's primary responsibilities in relation to fisheries sustainability are controlling activities that have adverse effects on fisheries resources and fisheries habitat and achieving integrated management. Policy 13.4.1 consolidates facets of these responsibilities in a single policy.</u></i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	212	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to include introduction discussion of natural environment interaction between water and land as relevant to this chapter.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	234	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	256	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That reference to Tasman Bay is added to the introduction and to the characteristics of this bay that are different to the Sounds and South Marlborough coasts.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Issue, Objectives, and Policies: <i>Marine Protected Areas</i> are added. The submission does not provided details for the new Issue, Objectives, and Policies.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of the Coastal Environment Zone [<i>inferred</i>].					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The negative emphasis of this chapter like many others in this plan is discouraging and does not reflect the desire of the community to develop within reasonable guidelines and resource restrictions.</p> <p>We recommend a full review and rewrite of this Chapter to ensure balance and proactive language is used to ensure participation.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	222	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend paragraph 3 of the introduction as follows ((or with words of similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The deep water port of Picton, which includes Shakespeare Bay, plays a critical national role in the transportation of people and goods between the North and South Islands and internationally. The passage of vehicles and people through the port is closely related to the economic activity of the town's commercial and accommodation activities. Picton is an export/import port that acts as a base for commercial fishing vessels, marine farming and fishing activities. It provides facilities that enable people to access the Marlborough Sounds. Shakespeare Bay is Marlborough's hub for the export of forestry products – predominantly logs, but also lumber. Recently it has also become a popular port of call for cruise ships."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies will need to be inserted into the Coastal Environment chapter that enable and provide for commercial forestry and associated activities that are the same as or similar to those used in the Rural Environment Zone. However specific objectives and policies may also be required and could include for example (but without limitation):</p> <p>(i) A new objective under Issue 13A to enable the ongoing use, optimisation and development of commercial forests in the Coastal Environment Zone. The associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the harvesting and replanting of commercial forests along with associated land use and stormwater diversion activities;</p> <p>B. Enable the expansion of commercial forests within existing titles by up to 10%; and</p> <p>C. Enable increased intensification of commercial forests (including increased stocking rates and rotation rates).</p> <p>(ii) A new objective to enable rural industry to be located in the Coastal Environment Zone where it supports an existing primary industry In that zone. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable rural industry to support primary industry;</p> <p>B. Recognise that rural industry often has a functional need to establish in certain locations;</p> <p>C. Recognise that the topography of Marlborough means that there are limited sites suitable for rural industry;</p> <p>D. Allow rural industry to establish, notwithstanding potentially significant local effects, where there are limited alternatives.</p> <p>(iii) A new objective will need to be Inserted that enables transport infrastructure that supports the use and development of primary industry. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the development and construction of new roads, the widening of existing roads, and the establishment and operation of forestry roads;</p> <p>B. Enable the use of existing roads by vehicles associated with primary production activities;</p> <p>C. Enable the development and construction of water based transport infrastructure within the Coastal Environment Zone and Coastal Marine Zone.</p> <p>(b) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1042	Port Underwood Association	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction as follows (bold and strike through): <i>The waters of the Marlborough sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> • an on going source of food for full time and part time residents; • <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i> • <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i> • <i>contributing to a range of species present in the sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i> 					
1112	Sarah Cumming	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. " (Inferred)					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	83	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1244	Z Energy Limited	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the section titled "Introduction" in its entirety as notified.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	tain the section titled "Subdivision, use and development activities in the coastal environment" in its entirety as notified.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Having this type of analysis <i>(for matters that are more intrinsic or amenity centric as done for landscape and natural character - inferred)</i> completed in a collaborative manner outside of a resource consent application process is the best way to provide future certainty as to what is appropriate/inappropriate development.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain introductory explanation under Issue 13B.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	210	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Issue is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment, including those relating to existing primary production values."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	211	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new objective under Issue 13A as follows - "Recognise the contributions to the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of people and communities from the use and development of the coastal marine area and coastal environment." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 13A to read: Issue 13A – Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while that will also protecting the values of the environment.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	213	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 13A statement: <i>Issue 13A Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment</i> Identifying potential activities in the coastal environment and encouraging efficient use of a finite resource.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13A [<i>inferred</i>].					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the section titles 'Issue 13A - Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment' including the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
233	Totaranui Limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - <i>"Areas of the coastal environment where the adverse effects from particular activities and/or forms of subdivision, use or development are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated are clearly identified."</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	212	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Objective is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	215	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under Objective 13.1 as follows - <i>"Provide that the use of production land for productive purposes will not be constrained by the identification of areas of production land as being in the coastal environment and/or having significant natural character, features/landscapes, or being of historic heritage."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend MEP to address PMNZ's concerns.					
479	Department of Conservation	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	214	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.1					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	19	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	94	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1244	Z Energy Limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.1 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	19	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	EBCS would prefer that policy 13.1.1 better reflected the needs of remote communities and that in recognising the needs of remote communities allow appropriate subdivision to meet those needs					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request that the council do further work on defining historic heritage to include iwi heritage.					
233	Totaranui Limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value."</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision. Introduces a new term at 13.1.1(c) - "significant marine biodiversity value". The commentary on avoidance is inconsistent with the discussion in other policies, such as page 2-13, 7.2.5 and 8.3.1.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1 - Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	213	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Avoid significant adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> (a) <i>outstanding natural character;</i> (b) <i>outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i> (c) <i>significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland.</i> (d) significant historic heritage value. as mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows (divide into two parts): <u>Avoid adverse effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</u> (a) <u>Outstanding Coastal Natural Character</u></p> <p>Avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) <u>(a)</u> outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) <u>(d)</u> significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) <u>(e)</u> significant historic heritage value.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy 13.1.1 as follows: <i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on <u>the characteristics and values of areas identified as having:</u></i></p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1.					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> (a) <i>outstanding natural character;</i> (b) <i>outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i> (c) <i>significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i> (d) <i>significant historic heritage value;</i> and require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.1 to read: Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having : (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; (d) identified as significant coastal biodiversity value sites under Policy 8.1.1 (c)(e) the values, habitats or ecosystems in Policy 11(a) NZCPS or (d)(f) significant historic heritage value.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.1 by adding a new provision: <i>e) habitat of particular significance for fisheries management.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	215	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this policy or add another policy to give effect to Policy 11(a) in terms of terrestrial coastal biodiversity.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1 but add a further policy requiring protection of natural character from the effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that it be made clear that policy 13.3.1 applies to areas identified on the balance of evidence as being outstanding areas or areas of significant value irrespective of whether or not they are yet specifically identified as such in the MEP.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify Policy 13.1.1 by inserting in line 3 after the words "... in areas with..." the phrase ", or in proximity to,...".					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief: (a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 13.1.1, need to be amended and land with commercial forestry therefore needs to be removed from the Outstanding Natural Feature, Natural Character and Landscape maps, otherwise it is difficult, if not impossible, to see how commercial forestry could ever be cleared in light of Policies 13(1)(a) and 15(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and the decision of the Supreme Court in the King Salmon (2014 NZSC 38) case that, in terms of those policies, "avoid" means "avoid." Moreover, where the land is felled in accordance with resource consent or existing use rights it will not have outstanding character and therefore should not be classified as such; (b) In the alternative, provide an exemption to Policy 13.1.1 for areas of existing commercial forestry to operate, develop, expand and intensify and / or change the policy to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects; and (c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.1 as follows: <i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> ... <i>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	20	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.1.1: <i>Policy 13.1.1 Avoid or mitigate adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	95	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value. (e) essential to the relationship between Maori and their ancestral lands, water, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.1.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>“ Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied. <u>Some activities, for instance National Grid assets such as the Cook Strait Submarine Cables and connection to them, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS.</u>”</i></p>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.1.1, including the explanation, relating to outstanding and significant areas by including reference to the values for which the areas are scheduled as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on the values of areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value.</p> <p>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of activities on those values must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied.</p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	20	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	EBCS Members would like to be involved with the identification of Marine Ecologically significant areas and for the MDC to take the lead to ensure that all local knowledge of significant marine biodiversity is included in the MEP.					
233	Totaranui Limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
233	Totaranui Limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. Identification of areas must be in consultation with iwi. "					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	214	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy as seek to combine with Policy 13.1.1 (<i>see separate submission</i>).					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.2 as follows: Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values <i>The areas identified as holding the outstanding or significant values listed in (a) to (d) in Policy 13.1.1 will be mapped in the MEP to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.2 to read: Policy 13.1.2 – Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values (a),(b),(c), (f) above will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. <u>Areas identified in (c) and (d) above will be identified on a case by case basis using consistent criteria to ensure consistency in assessments and to provide certainty.</u>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	216	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.1.2: <i>Policy 13.1.2 Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy (either in the Policy or the commentary) to indicate that iwi sites of significance are also important to take into account but that they may not always be mapped.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	96	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1244	Z Energy Limited	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2. (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	216	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	217	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support in part It is not clear that this policy gives effect to Policy 11(b) of the NZCPS Amend explanation to Objective 13.2 "...forms in which... activities can take place to avoid, mitigate and remedy adverse effects. And refer to Policy 11(b) NZCPS					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	97	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2 including the explanation as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
233	Totaranui Limited	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or 13.2.1(a) - either: Delete entire sub-paragraph; or Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to", or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and 13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and".					
404	Eric Jorgensen	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1(g) provides greater certainty regards adverse effects, these attributes need to be defined, location by location.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	218	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>" The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and</i></p> <p>(g) <i>those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values; and</i></p> <p>(h) legitimate land uses including primary production."</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or 13.2.1(a) - either: (a) Delete entire sub-paragraph; or (b) Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to", or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and 13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and".					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions of the MEP to remove all duplication in objectives and policies. Amend policy by deleting clause (g).					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;</i></p> <p><i>(e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values;</i></p> <p><i>(h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 13.2.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 13.2.1 – The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values is determined by the following factors:</p> <p>(a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area and how the Plan requires effects to be managed;</p> <p>(b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;</p> <p>(c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;</p> <p>(d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;</p> <p>(e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;</p> <p>(f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and</p> <p>(g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.12.1 to read: Proposals to dispose of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that : (a) no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land; (b) the disposal will be undertaken in a location and at times of the day or year that will avoid (in the first instance), then remedy or mitigate adverse effects on: (i) the growth and reproduction of marine and coastal vegetation and the feeding, spawning and migratory patterns of marine and coastal fauna; (ii) navigational safety; (iii) other established activities located in the coastal marine area that are likely to be affected by the disposal; (iv) water quality, including an increase in water turbidity or elevated levels of contaminants; (v) shoreline instability or coastal erosion on adjacent coastal land; and (c) in the case of dredged material, the site is located so as to avoid, as far as practicable, the spread or loss of sediment and other contaminants to the surrounding seabed and coastal waters through the action of coastal processes such as waves, tides and other currents. <u>(d) Appropriate sediment retention methods are used to control spread or loss that cannot be addressed through location.</u> <u>(e) The material disposed exhibits the same characteristics to the material at the disposal location.</u> <u>(e)(f) The material is free from waste.</u>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.1 by adding a new position: <i>(h) the importance of sustainable fisheries resources.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	218	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend clause (f) by delete the words "generally experienced" and to refer to "water quality in coastal waters" Add a definition for "coastal waters" Amend the policy and explanation to provide guidance on what the values/characteristics are.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.2.1 (g) to be reworded to refer to: <i>"community perceptions of or expectations about"</i> .					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	98	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and must be deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
210	Kevin Wilson	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	90	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.2.2(c) <i>whether the efficient operation of established activities that depend on the use of the coastal marine area is adversely affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development activity (inferred).</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	219	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to add (j) as follows - " (j) existing land uses within the coastal environment. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2. <i>(inferred)</i>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows: <i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the network of regionally significant infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	219	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as required to address the submission					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and should be deleted.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.2(f) as this allows for consideration of appropriate subdivision in areas not presently zoned coastal living:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.2 – In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design:</i></p> <p><i>(f) whether the activity results, either individually or cumulatively, in sprawling or sporadic patterns of subdivision, inappropriate use or development that would compromise the values and matters of Policies 13.2.1 and 13.2.2;</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2 , particularly (a) and (g).					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.2 In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the contribution the proposed subdivision, use or development activity makes to the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities on a national, regional and local level;</i></p>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows:</p> <p><i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the network of regionally significant infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the consideration of the protection of cultural values, beliefs, structures, resources and/or locations to the decision making framework.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2, and particularly clauses (a) and (g) as notified.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	91	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."					
404	Eric Jorgensen	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3 - (a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and (b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type												
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."																	
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	198	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	<p>The standard implies that noise measurement could be taken at the noise source, rather than at the notional boundary of a property. The standard is marginally more restrictive than the current limits under the operative MSRMP, even allowing for the different measurement.</p> <p>(a) Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read: "For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Day-night (Long term)</td> <td>Night-time (Short term)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";</td> </tr> </table> <p>(b) Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits: "For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Day-night (Long term)</td> <td>Night-time (Short term)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </table>						Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";	Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)																
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";																
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)																
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)																
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support												
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3.																	
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support												
Decision Requested	Retain Policies 13.2.3(a) and (b).																	
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	220	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.2.3																	
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support												
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3.																	

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 13.2.3 – To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment:</i> <i>(a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and</i> <i>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for <u>occupation</u> activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.3 as follows: ... <i>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years, <u>except where the permit enables the development, operation, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.2.3(b): <i>Policy 13.2.3 To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment:</i> <i>(a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and</i> <i>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: "Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area"					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	92	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the Policy.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments to Policy 13.2.4 (strikethrough and bold) <i>Attributes that may will be considered, where are known to exist, when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	220	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Attributes that may be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, and existing land use tranquillity and peacefulness."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	221	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.4: <i>Policy 13.2.4 Attributes that may are required to be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, landscape biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
879	Laurence Etheredge	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include cultural values as an attribute that can be considered.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4 and the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS would prefer that this point (para (i)) is removed altogether or replaced with a modified para (m) to say <i>encouraging appropriate location and design of new structures and other development inform, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.</i>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: "Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area"					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	93	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.2.5.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	19	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.2.5 with the following amendments (where requested): Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold): <i>Policy 13.2.5 (c) maintaining or and enhancing areas with indigenous biodiversity value.</i> <i>Policy 13.2.5 (d) maintaining or enhancing sites or areas of particular value for outdoor recreation. Sites or areas are of particular value for outdoor recreation should these areas be scheduled (inferred).</i> <i>No change to Policy 13.2.5 (i) clustering together of structures and activities (inferred).</i> <i>Policy 13.2.5 (m) encouraging appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location. This should be more than encouraged; it should be non-negotiable.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	221	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 13.2.5.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete clauses (f) and (j) or amend the policy so that it does not affect / cut across activities in the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p>" (b) maintaining and enhancing coastal and freshwater quality where necessary,"; and</p> <p>Amend (l) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p>" (l) requiring the removal of non-heritage derelict or redundant structures within the coastal marine area; or" (Inferred)</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.2.5 (b) to read:</p> <p>(b) maintaining and enhancing coastal and freshwater quality and enhancing it where it is degraded or required to achieve specified values or quantitative targets. where necessary;</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.2.5 (m) to read:</p> <p>(m) encouraging requiring appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	222	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.5: <i>Policy 13.2.5 Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by:</i> <i>(n) recognising that activities within the coastal marine area can adversely affect the amenity values across MHWS on land that has high recreational or amenity values, including scenic reserves.</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	As such, we submit that a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: <i>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines or standards on acceptable levels or degrees of surface area structures within any particular area".</i>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1244	Z Energy Limited	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove reference to avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation from policy 13.2.5 as follows:</p> <p>Delete policy 13.2.5(j) as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.2.5 – Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by:</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(j) —avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation.—</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:</p> <p>“Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area”</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	94	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy. Single reference needed to definition of amenity in the RMA.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	20	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6 but these values <i>(coastal amenity, individual and communities values - inferred)</i> need to be agreed upfront <i>(e.g., in a Schedule (inferred))</i> .					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clauses (a) and (b).					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to the Policy a requirement to consult in order to determine the attributes of the area.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	223	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a reference to baseline data is added to <i>Policy 13.2.6 (a) recognising the contribution that open space and natural character make to amenity values and providing appropriate protection to areas of open space;</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 13.2.6 – In determining the extent to which coastal amenity values will be affected by any particular subdivision, use and/or development, the following shall be considered form part of that determination:</i> <i>(a) individual and communities values about the area subject to application;</i> <i>(b) the amenity related attributes of the area; and</i> <i>(c) in regard to the changing nature of the coastal environment, the extent to which amenity values would be so affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development that those values could no longer be maintained or enhanced.</i> <i>(d) whether the activity is associated with regionally significant infrastructure</i>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 13.2.6 and its explanation in its entirety.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.1					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new method: <u><i>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</i></u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	224	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.1.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.1 in its entirety as notified.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	225	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.2.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.2 in its entirety as notified.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	226	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.3					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.3.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.3 in its entirety as notified.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	227	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend first and section sentence as follows: "A range...where there are activities would likely have minimal adverse effects on the environment. These activities will be subject to standards, including amenity based standards, to ensure adverse effects will be no more than minor.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.4.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	38	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.4 in its entirety as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>PMNZ routinely consults with the Harbourmaster for its developments and activities in the coastal environment, where an activity has potential effects on navigational safety. However, PMNZ considers it overly onerous for the Harbourmaster to be an affected party on all resource consent applications in the CMA.</p> <p>The determination of affected parties should be carried out on a case by case basis under s95E of the RMA.</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 13.M.5 Affected party status, by adding the sentence:</p> <p><i><u>The Ministry for Primary Industries will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on fishing, fisheries resources, and habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i></p> <p>Add a new method:</p> <p><i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Add reference to the Department of Conservation to 13.M.5 as being an affected party for all coastal permits.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 13.M.5 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>The Harbourmaster and Maritime New Zealand will be treated as affected parties notified in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on safe navigation of boats.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include affected party status for Te Atiawa in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, Port Gore to enable assessment of cultural matters and kaitiakitanga.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.5 in its entirety as notified.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	228	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
1244	Z Energy Limited	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.6 in its entirety as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	229	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend provision to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add reference to the issues statement 13B to the recreational values of sheltered and inshore coastal waters.					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the 1st paragraph of the explanation under the heading Fishing in Issue 13B: <i>The waters of the Marlborough Sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> • <i>an ongoing source of food for full time and part time residents;</i> • <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i> • <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i> • <i>contributing to a range of species present in the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i> 					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13.B (<i>inferred</i>) but with the following amendments. That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables. That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.3 and associated policies (<i>inferred</i>) but with the following amendments. That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables. That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as indentified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert "unreasonable or" before "excessive." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".					
404	Eric Jorgensen	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Decision requested (<i>inferred</i>) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.1					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	222	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>A permissive approach to recreational activities in public areas will be adopted, except where these:</i> <i>(a) require associated structures and occupy the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) cause adverse environmental effects, including those resulting from discharges of contaminants, excessive noise and damage to significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(c) do not maintain or enhance public access to and along the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(d) endanger public health and safety;</i> <i>(e) compromise authorised uses and developments of the coastal marine area; or</i> <i>(f) adversely affect the amenity values of the area."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.3.1 should provide clarity as to how the use of drones for recreational activities and the potential risk to overhead power lines is addressed (<i>inferred</i>).					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	230	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the following clauses: “(b) cause adverse....fauna, in the coastal environment, including those sites and areas identified as Ecologically Significant Marine Sites or Threatened Environment Overlay on the planning maps” “(c) do notto, along and adjacent the coastal marine area.” “(f) adversely ... the coastal environment area”					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.3.1 to read: Policy 13.3.1 – A permissive approach to recreational activities will be adopted, except where these: ... <u>(g) adversely affect historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new point to the list of caveats requiring cultural values to be considered. i.e. g) Adversely affect the cultural values of the area.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	223	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	231	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to apply across coastal environment. Council can manage land use activities wider than just the CMA in order to maintain and enhance access. Such as reserve strips for subdivision activities. Working with private land owners, councils and doc land. Also in the marine area ensuring that structures at sea to do block access. NCZPS access policies relate to coastal environment not just CMA					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to provide balance between recreational use and environmental and cultural preservation.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Delete "public" where used in the two occurrences of "public nuisance." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Decision requested (<i>inferred</i>) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	224	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	232	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That this policy be adopted					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read: "Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental and public amenity value."					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I propose re type and placed on an equal footing both activities.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 13.3.4 - Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area throughout the entire Sounds in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel . (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina <u>or the area within the National Transportation Route overlay</u> .)					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	233	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.4					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain but extend reference to Tennyson Inlet, parts of Pelorus Sound, Okiwi Bay, Admiralty Bay, and eastern Tasman Bay.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read: <i>"Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental value."</i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i>					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue (<i>inferred</i>).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Line 1 Paragraph 2 to read (to be technically correct) <i>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with access to quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports.</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
578	Pinder Family Trust	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions. (<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought however refers to the Submission of the "Fishing Industry Submitters".</i>)					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 13C and replace it with new Issue 13C as follows: <i>Fishing is a significant activity in Marlborough's coastal marine area, but the sustainability of fisheries resource is threatened by various uses and activities.</i> Amend the explanatory text beneath the Issue 13C, as follows: <i>Maintenance of traditional access to fisheries is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi. There is particular concern that traditional fisheries are being depleted. Under fisheries legislation, taiapure, rahui and mataitai are three mechanisms by which tangata whenua can seek greater control of the management of local customary fisheries. Though the Council has no statutory role in either the establishment or management of these mechanisms, it may choose to support an application after consultation with interested parties.</i> <i>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports and the region produces seafood products that are exported to global markets. As a result of the settlement of Maori fishing claims, iwi own at least 10 -20 percent of all fishing quota in Marlborough. While numbers can fluctuate in response to economic circumstances, recreational fishing and diving are important recreational pursuits for Marlborough residents and visitors to the Marlborough Sounds. For a number of years there has been <i>Although fish stocks are managed at levels that ensure sustainability under the Fisheries Act, some stocks have become depleted in localised areas, leading to ongoing community concern over the state of fish and shellfish stocks in the Marlborough Sounds and the sustainability of the recreational fisheries that they support.</i> <i>The causes of localised depletion are multiple and include intensive local fishing pressure as well as fisheries habitat degradation caused by coastal works and structures, and sediment and contaminants entering coastal waters from land-based activities in catchments.</i></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	235	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to clarify if there are fisheries issues for areas outside the Marlborough sounds and whether this plan provide any policy guidance outside Marlborough Sounds.					
757	Hugh Shields	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) is deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do. The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided below in italics. <i>Marine Environment Protection, Restoration and Enhancement</i> <i>A healthy Marlborough Sounds marine environment is important for a number of reasons:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Is cultural significant and provides an on-going source of kai moana for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi</i> 					

- *Provides economic gain for commercial fishers*
- *Supports a thriving aquaculture industry*
- *Has an amenity value and cultural value for recreational users*
- *Is of economic importance to the tourism industry and the region*
- *Supports the complex biodiversity of the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems*

Under the Resource Management Act the Council is responsible for protecting habitats of indigenous fauna and maintaining indigenous biological diversity.

By managing any adverse effects on marine environmental habitats, caused by activities over which it does have direct control, the Council can meet its statutory obligations under the RMA and Coastal Protection Act.

The Council can take a lead role in supporting and encouraging marine environment protection, restoration and enhancement projects and in doing so will directly help to maintain and enhance healthy marine biodiversity in the Marlborough Sounds, which will support the cultural, spiritual and economic well-being of tangata whenua, Commercial and Recreational stakeholders, the tourism industry and the wider community.

The depletion of the marine habitat in the Marlborough Sounds is an area of concern. This was highlighted in Davidson Environmental Limited report prepared in 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and by others.

Maintaining a healthy marine environment is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.

I believe the Council has an advocacy role in ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Through environment thrive which will support abundant fisheries and aquaculture for future generations which will contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors.

Objective - To ensure a healthy marine environment is maintained, protected, resorted and enhanced in the Marlborough Sounds.

Policy- That the recommendations of Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and the Expert Review Panel, be acted on and implemented with urgency to protect marine sites of significance with a 200 metre buffer zone.

Policy - That the disposition of mussel shells (whole or crushed) and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy - That the disposition of small, undersized, live mussels and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy- That the disposition of suitability graded, screened and washed, coarse sands, gravel, shingle, rock and shell to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to prior Council approval, and compliance with Council instructions, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy - Support community groups working towards ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Often local community

groups provide the initial impetus for responding to issues and it is important to support these groups where possible.

Methods of implementation:

- Follow the recommendation contained in Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, *Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough* and act urgently to protect marine sites of significance.
- Create 'buffer zones' of 100 to 200 metres around the protected marine sites of significance.
- Support initiatives of community groups working towards a healthy marine environment in the Marlborough Sounds by providing advice and financial support.
- Engage in dialogue with the Mussel Industry to: develop a strategy to enhance the buffer zones (and other areas as may become apparent) by the controlled placement of discarded crushed or whole mussel shells and other marine material which is a waste bi-product of mussel processing.
- Engage with Contractors involved in dredging operations either in the Sounds, estuaries or river mouths to assess the suitability of screened washed material to be placed in the buffer zones of protected marine sites of significance.
- Engage with Community Groups and Science to explore and implement habitat restoration and enhancement through the harvesting of healthy sea grass from areas of abundance and replanting in areas where sea grasses have become depleted.

868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13C [<i>inferred</i>].					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That this issue is rewritten as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters' to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing - i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.</p> <p>That this clause be extended to be more balanced and to also include a reference to the importance of the commercial fishing industry and commercial fishing exporters to the local economies, employment and communities of Picton, Port Underwood, French Pass, D'Urville Island and Ward. In order to acknowledge the economic contribution of this significant industry and to balance the incorrect messaging perpetuated throughout this clause and document that the importance of fishing is limited to its role as a "recreational pursuit."</p>					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	We recommend that these provisions should be rewritten (as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters') to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing, i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	97	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " <i>The protection of fish spawning areas from degradation.</i> "					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Objective 13.4 and replace it with new Objective 13.4:</p> <p><i><u>The sustainability of Marlborough's fisheries resources is ensured, enabling people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.</u></i></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text beneath Objective 13.4 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Despite not having a direct statutory role in managing fisheries (except to the extent outlined above), the Council has an important role in achieving integrated management, which includes taking account of other legislation designed to achieve sustainable management - such as Fisheries Act. believes it has an advocacy role in ensuring there is a sustainable fishery in the Marlborough Sounds. This is, It is appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable because fishing activities, whether recreational, commercial or customary in nature, contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors. It is therefore appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable.</u></i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	236	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to address submissions					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4.					
757	Hugh Shields	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>As such, we further submit that the following policies be added to this section: <i>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"</i> And <i>"Recognise the very high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of other activities in the coastal marine area".</i></p>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	That Council should also remove itself from a direct advocacy role in this area.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the commentary to recognise that iwi have a significant role in the sustainable management of the Marlborough Sounds as the identity and mana of the iwi are reflected in the quality of the fishery and provide recognition of Te Atiawa as kaitiaki of the Queen Charlotte Sound.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following policies be added to this section:</p> <p><i>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"</i></p> <p>And</p> <p><i>"Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area"</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	95	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.4.1 and associated Method 13.M.9 and replace with a new policy and method (see below).					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	237	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. 					
757	Hugh Shields	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 13.4.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.4.1 Support and advocate for intensive management of recreational and commercial fishing within the enclosed waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p>					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.4.1 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry (<i>inferred</i>).					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made: 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the following policies be added to this section: "Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks" And "Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area"					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	96	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	98	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.2					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.4.2 and associated Method 13.M.9, and replace with a new policy and method (see below).					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	238	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2.					
757	Hugh Shields	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.4.2 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 134.2 is amended to make it clear that Council support will only be given to fishery groups that can satisfactorily prove a Marlborough Province led, multi stakeholder mandate focused on achieving integrated management in line with the legal obligations of the Council.</p> <p>If Council chooses to leave this clause in the MEP as it stands then it should define the definition of sustainability to which it is willing to fund community groups to work towards. If the definition is the same as the Ministry of Primary Industry scientific definition (Bmsy - biomass that can support harvest of the maximum sustainable yield) then the Council does not need to use ratepayer funds to fund community groups to work towards something already funded and managed at Government level.</p>					
999	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.4.2 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry (<i>inferred</i>).					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to recognise iwi and provide provisions (by way of policies or commentary) for supporting restoration proposals and/or projects.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.9.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method 13.M.9 and replace with new Method 13.M.9: <i>Partnership/liaison.</i> <i>Work closely with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations to develop and implement an integrated approach to ensuring the sustainability of fisheries resources at a scale appropriate to the fisheries under consideration.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	239	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
757	Hugh Shields	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do. The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Modify method to explicitly identify iwi.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	240	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13D.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 13.5.10 - <i>"Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 13.5.10 - "Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment"					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	241	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a policy stating that new residential activity in areas with identified outstanding natural character or landscape values should be avoided.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	97	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We submit that accommodation (accommodation required for farm owners - <i>inferred</i>) and workers for these purposes should be enabled.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	225	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Identify areas where residential activity can take place, including providing for dwellings required as part of a working farm.</i> " (<i>Inferred</i>)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	242	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1 as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	98	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.2					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	226	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes where it is not ancillary to the purposes of primary production should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to:</i> <i>(a) protect recreational and coastal amenity values;</i> <i>(b) avoid sprawling or sporadic patterns of residential development; and</i> <i>(c) protect landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity values."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.12.2.					
436	Rikihana Clinton Bradley	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a reference that areas having characteristics of coastal living zone will be considered for further subdivision subject to assessment in relation to Landscape, Natural Character and other appropriate chapters of MEP.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	243	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to provide stronger guidance for residential activities to only occur in the Coastal Living Zones within the Sounds.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.2 to read: Policy 13.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to: ... <u>(d) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	99	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.3					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.3 but recognise that standards will be important particularly with respect to water take and sewage discharges.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.5.3 in its entirety.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	244	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy. Or amend the policy to read: <u>"Provide guidance to support appropriate residential development within areas zoned Coastal Living."</u> Use current policy explanation					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.5.3: <i>Policy 13.5.3 Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living while avoiding or mitigating of sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth, in order to (placement of the additional wording is inferred):</i>					
357	Trudie Lasham	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to require the prioritisation of roading infrastructure to meet the needs of the existing Rarangi residents, particularly relative to evacuations in the event of a tsunami warning. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	100	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.4					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	245	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or merge with Policy 13.5.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.4.					
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.4.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Ensure that this policy is carried through to the permitted rules for the coastal environment zone as seasonal worker accommodation has been omitted from the permitted activities there					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	101	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	227	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Except in the case of land developed for papakainga or land associated with existing primary production activities, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling:</i> <i>(a) one dwelling per Computer Register;</i> <i>(b) seasonal family or farm worker accommodation; and</i> <i>(c) homestays."</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.5 to read: Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for to a limited extent by enabling : (a) one dwelling per Computer Register; (b) seasonal worker accommodation; and (c) homestays.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	246	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace in sub-clause (b) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels".</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	102	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.6					
404	Eric Jorgensen	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Append reflectivity and colour of building materials to the policy and rules for coastal living zone.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.5.6 to recognise that where the Coastal Living zone is located alongside the Coastal Environment Zone, noise associated with farming activities should be anticipated and expected (inferred) .					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	228	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy appropriately provides for concerns around reverse sensitivity. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows: Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.5.6 to read: Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (a) strong connection to the foreshore and coastal water; (b) peaceful environments with relatively quiet background noise levels; (c) predominance of residential activity by enabling one dwelling per Computer Register; (d) privacy between individual residential properties, often surrounded by indigenous and regenerating indigenous vegetation; (e) ample sunlight to buildings; (f) minimal advertising signs; (g) views to the surrounding environment, including to the sea; (h) low building height; and (i) limited infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic. (j) Colour. (i)(k) Locating away from sensitive areas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	247	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.6					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.6.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows: <i>Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:</i> <i>(i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	103	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.7					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	248	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.7					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.7.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	104	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.8					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	249	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows: "Non-residential activities within the Coastal Living Zone will only be allowed, where they are consistent with Policy 13.5.7 and where they do not detract from the existing character of the residential environment within which they are to be located.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.9					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	250	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the policy to improve clarity. Amend the explanation to reference the policies that provide guidance for access improvements relating to existing residential development.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	251	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the approach to having one zone within with residential development can occur.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	252	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.11					
578	Pinder Family Trust	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13E	Support
Decision Requested	That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	253	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13E					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13E	Support
Decision Requested	That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13E	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	254	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.6					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.6.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1					
479	Department of Conservation	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete clause (c) from this Policy as it is addressed under later Objective and Policies.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of the Policy as follows (Bold) - " (a) enabling anchoring of boats, except in ecologically significant or restoration areas ;"					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	255	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to either remove the statement or provide an explanation of why they are not relevant					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1, provided the following amendment (bold) is made to (d): <i>Policy 13.6.1 Provide for the mooring or berthage of boats by:(d) zoning specific areas for activities related to the operation of marinas, ports and port landing areas in Picton, Havelock, Waikawa, Elaine Bay, Clifford Bay and Oyster Bay.</i>					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.7	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.7	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.7.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1					
578	Pinder Family Trust	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.2					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1041	Port Clifford Limited	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.2.					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	To add additional Objectives and Polices to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.8 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.1.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.1.					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered: (a) current and anticipated demand for swing moorings in the area; (b) the cumulative effect (including on coastal amenity values and benthic habitats) of swing moorings and the capacity of the area to accommodate existing and additional moorings; (c) whether there are issues with the layout of existing swing moorings, including overlapping of swing circles; (d) the intensity, character and scale of other activities in the area, including: (i) the extent to which the use of or access to other coastal structures located in the area are or will be affected by additional swing moorings; (ii) residential development existing in the area and the potential for future development, having regard to the zoning of land; (iii) recreational activities occurring in the coastal marine area; and (e) impacts on navigation due to continuing with an uncontrolled approach to siting of swing moorings; and (f) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	To add additional Objectives, Polices and Rules to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to: Include in Policy 13.8.2 an additional clause that considers whether there is sufficient space to: (i) provide for safe anchorage either outside the proposed Mooring Management Area; or (ii) require the provision of some public moorings in the proposed Mooring Management Area; and (iii) ensure the most sheltered and safest location within a bay is available for safe anchorage for public use.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.2.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.8.2 by adding a new provision (d)(iv): <i>(iv) commercial activities that take place in the coastal marine area, including commercial fishing.</i>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.8.2 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.2.					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.8.2 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.8.2 – To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered:</i> <i>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the list by adding bullet points facilitating the consideration of the reduction in adverse effects on: seabed disturbance; the area to be occupied by moorings; landscape values; natural character values; seascape values; cultural values; and, amenity values.					
1253	Michael Philip Rothwell	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(appears word missing)including overlapping of swing circles, after establishing if the tackle length has been increased from that recorded on the initial Resource Consent, in some cases without the consent holders approval, thereby creating falsely portrayed conflicts and congestion between moored vessels. Such an outcome can be reversed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	196	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.3.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.3.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.9.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.9 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.9.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.9.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That some exceptions should be allowed for people who may own two boats, if the local community agrees.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise in Policy 13.9.1 that short term anchorages should be in the most sheltered and safe location within a bay and include as an additional matter the number of moorings held by the "would be consent holder" within the Marlborough Sounds.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add further bullets to the list requiring consideration of alternative mooring designs and systems to be considered; the impact of continual seabed disturbance of the structure(s); and contribution to the quality of the environment.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS believes that this is one policy that needs to recognise that there is a significant difference between small tightly clustered properties close to Picton where resources such as moorings are common and there is competition for space and large remote properties with up to a kilometre between titles, EBCS believes that there is justification for this policy in the Coastal living zone but no justification whatsoever for this policy in the coastal environment zone where at least two moorings should be permitted.					
203	Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the proposed section completely of land linking and one mooring per parcel of land. The bays where new mooring are creating an over crowding may need to look at sharing moorings or pushing out moorings to slightly deeper water and having to row a bit further. In the old days some of our deceased family members rowed from Tory Channel to Picton and back so 150 meter row in a bay is not too far.					
231	Jono Wilson	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	a Private property owners may continue to have more than one mooring per Computer Register or Computer Unit Title Register should they have no objection from neighbours nor any conflict with the swing circle of their moorings. b Where the applicant does not own land in the vicinity of the proposed mooring the MDC will accept a proposal for such a mooring if the vessel has a holding tank and there are no objections from private land owners .					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to apply to new moorings or where new properties are developed so as to provide for legally established moorings.					
613	Cawthron Institute	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>[Inferred]</i> The requirements for moorings is amended to include scientific mooring.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
950	Michael William Rosson	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject this section.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend part (a) of the policy to allow additional moorings if circumstances require it. (<i>Inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1315	Hori (George) Turi Elkington	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to Policy 13.9.2(a):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than one mooring per title should be allowed at busy times, such as hui, wananga, tangi and the like. • Exceptions should be made for Maori in multiple owned blocks and should be negotiated between whanau kaumatua and MDC on a case by case basis as the need arises. • MDC should take into consideration multiple-owned Maori land that may have hundreds of owners and make exceptions accordingly. 					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.3					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.4					
404	Eric Jorgensen	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I submit that displacement should be a consideration also for this policy and, as such, appended to the considerations of Policy 13.9.7 – <i>In determining an application for a new consent for a lawfully established existing mooring outside of a Moorings Management Area, the matters in Policies 13.9.1(b) and (c), 13.9.2 and 13.9.4 will be considered. The extent to which the existing mooring is consistent/inconsistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.4					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 13.9.5					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.6					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.9.6(a):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.9.6 – A mooring shall be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) where there is no longer a need for a mooring to moor a boat;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the existence of a commercial activity has been the justification for approving a coastal permit for a mooring and that commercial activity no longer exists or operates;</i></p> <p><i>(c) where a collective mooring is no longer to be used as a collective mooring;</i></p> <p><i>(d) when a coastal permit for the mooring expires and no new coastal permit has been sought; or</i></p> <p><i>(e) where consent is refused for an existing mooring for which a new consent has been sought.</i></p>					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.7					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make all matters in Policy 13.9.7 (including the amendments sought above) relevant in determining a "renewal" application.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.8.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.8					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13F	Oppose
Decision Requested	That marine farm structures are included within the general description of coastal structures in the preamble of Issue 13F and in the Section 32 Report on Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	257	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13F	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	229	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures, but excluding buildings associated with primary production activities, are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Objective 13.10 <u>Outside of the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones</u> , structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 13.10 as follows: <i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to maintain and protect the values of the coastal environment.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	258	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.10.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.10.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 13.10 as follows: <i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to <u>maintain and</u> protect the values of the coastal environment.</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the words 'to moorings' at the end of the commentary of the objective.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.1					
613	Cawthron Institute	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Do not limit structures for scientific monitoring or research purposes to temporary structures [<i>inferred</i>]					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	259	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.10.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 13.10.1 – Enable structures to be located within the coastal marine area where these are: (a) necessary for the purposes of assisting with navigation of ships/vessels or are temporary in nature for scientific monitoring or research purposes; or (b) National Grid submarine cables within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone.</i></p> <p><i>For safety reasons it is important that navigational aids can be strategically located in Marlborough's coastal marine area. Monitoring equipment for scientific purposes or research is often temporary in nature and does not usually involve significant alteration or occupation of the coastal marine area. An enabling approach to these types of structures is provided for through the rules, subject to standards. <u>Transpower's Cook Strait Cables, located and protected within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone, are nationally significant. It is appropriate to enable the cables given the benefits derived from a safe, reliable and effective and National Grid.</u>"</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.2					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	260	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.10.3 to read:</p> <p>Policy 13.10.3 – Efficient use of the coastal marine area can is to be achieved by:</p> <p>a. using the limiting structures to the minimum area necessary for structures .</p> <p><u>b. Limiting structures that have a technical or operation need to be located in the coastal marine area and for which no alternative location is available.</u></p> <p><u>c. Encouraging structures to be multipurpose where practicable.</u></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	261	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	262	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider adding another assessment criteria in relation to (inferred) whether there is a practical and not altogether inconvenient alternative structure nearby that could serve the same purpose. Note appears to be covered in (inferred) Policy 13.10.12 -Avoid the cumulative effects of jetties on the values of the coastal environment by: (a) giving priority to the sharing of jetties or the development of community jetties; and (b) considering whether there is practical road access to an application site, practical access to another jetty and/or access to existing public launching facilities in the vicinity.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the proposed reason for the structure and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i></p> <p><i>(b) whether the structure would be the first located in the stretch of coastline either side of the proposed site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether the structure is to be sited in a prominent or conspicuous location;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where land-based alternatives to the proposed structure are available, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i></p> <p><i>(e) whether the structure is for public, multiple or individual use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the functional need requiring the structure to be located within the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(g) what effects the structure will have on:</i></p> <p><i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) customary access; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the terrestrial environment;</i></p> <p><i>(h) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; and</i></p> <p><i>(i) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; and</i></p> <p><i>(j) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	83	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.10.5 (g) to read:</p> <p>(g) what effects the structure will have on:</p> <p>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</p> <p>(ii) customary access;</p> <p>(ii)(iii) natural character and landscape values;and</p> <p>(iii)(iv) the terrestrial, freshwater and marine environment;</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.5 by adding a new provision (g)(iv): <i>(iv) fisheries resources.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	263	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.5 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
967	Marlborough Roads	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.10.5 (inferred): <i>Policy 13.10.5 – When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i> (x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1041	Port Clifford Limited	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
339	Sharon Parkes	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.6					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete "the landscape and".					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	230	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Therefore, use and development associated with farming must be provided for in the coastal environment, and recognised in the values of the coastal environment. This includes provided for buildings or other structures associated with farming. That the Policy is amended to address the above concerns. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete "the landscape and".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Policy 13.10.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	264	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.6, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include biological processes as an important context for appropriate development of structures.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	231	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Structures within the coastal marine area shall be designed and located allowing for relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise."</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	265	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.8					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	266	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.9					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	267	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.10					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.10					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	268	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policies as notified					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.10 as follows: <i>"Policy 13.10.10 – Coastal structures shall <u>may</u> be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances: (a) where there is no longer a need for the structure; (b) when a coastal permit for a structure expires and no new permit has been sought; or (c) where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused.</i> <i>There may be circumstances where coastal structures are no longer required or are not granted new resource consents in terms of (b) or (c). Where this is the case it is appropriate for the structure to be removed from the coastal marine area. This will help to achieve Policy 6(2)(e) of the NZCPS by promoting the efficient use of the coastal marine area. This policy will be achieved through conditions imposed on resource consents granted. <u>There are circumstances where the removal of structures in the coastal marine area is not preferred because the removal may not be practicable or the potential adverse effect of the removal may be significant.</u>"</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.11					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.11					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to add (d) as follows - <i>"(d) alternative locations with less adverse effects."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	38	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.11 as follows: <i>(a) the necessity for the jetty (or alteration or extension), including whether it will be used for individual or community use of a commercial activity on-land.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	269	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.12					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.12					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	270	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and add the flowing new clause: “(c) the cumulative environmental effects on landscape and environmental values of the local area”					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.13					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.13					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	271	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.13					
231	Jono Wilson	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword to "A jetty shall not be used for storing boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear."					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.14					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.14					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.14 as follows: <i>A jetty shall be used to facilitate access between a vessel and the land. A jetty shall not be used for storing boats, boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear, <u>other than to the extent necessary for an existing commercial operation.</u></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	272	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.14					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
69	Hugh Bethell	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose this change I don't think there will be large amounts of people wanting to put boat lifters in because of the cost of them so it will not be a huge problem					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the provisions that seek to make exposed Jetties less safe. Make the Priority for the design of jetties the Safety of people and Boats. Do not restrict the safety of jetties by making them smaller, shallower or look less like Jetties					
231	Jono Wilson	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	e avoiding the use of boatlifts alongside jetties for <u>long term</u> boat storage					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.15					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.15					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(b).</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.15(d) (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15(d) discouraging the use of jetties (or parts of jetties) that run parallel to the shore, as they can cause greater visual impact than jetties perpendicular to the shore;</i></p> <p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(i).</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	273	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.15					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to policy 13.10.15(f):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i></p> <p><i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access)†. Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) limiting the width of jetties <u>located outside the Port Zone</u> to two metres; ...</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1042	Port Underwood Association	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15 - Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access). Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.16					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	83	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.16					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We submit that the last sentence of this policy should be amended to make it clear that it does not provide for routine "free-loading" by local residents who may have declined to pay a share of maintenance or consent costs, or commercial users who will not take responsibility for a share of repairing damage resulting from their (regular) use. We accept that all jetties must be available for public access in principle (examples are for emergencies, and for visitors arriving to a specific location), but that this provision will be taken advantage of, if this policy is retained, with no ability for consent holders to seek redress or contribution for the <u>regular</u> use of jetties by others.</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.10.16 as follows:</p> <p><i>(c) requiring the jetty to be made available for public use <u>except where this would be incompatible with existing commercial activities that use the jetty.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	274	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.16					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Part (a) - Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. Part (b) - Retain policy. Part (c) - Amend Policy to allow jetty access in Picton to private landowners. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	84	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	275	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	85	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	276	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	86	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.19 as follows; <i>The purpose of a boatshed shall be to house boats, and boating equipment <u>and fishing-related equipment</u>. Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	277	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " The principal purpose of a boatshed shall be is to house boats and boating equipment. Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided. "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	278	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	279	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The installation of sanitary plumbing and other facilities within or as part of the boatshed that facilitates its use for residential activity involving overnight accommodation is to must be avoided."</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	280	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i>					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Policy as follows (bold) - " (a) ensuring new boatsheds are limited to one storey in height, with no internal upper flooring;"					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.10.22(f): <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access)-. Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source; and</i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i>					
1042	Port Underwood Association	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 13.10.22 - The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access). Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23					
404	Eric Jorgensen	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Correct the following errors (strikethrough and bold) in <i>Policy 13.10.23: In determining a new consent application for a lawfully-established existing boatshed and slipway, the matters in Policies 13.2.1, 13.10.8, 13.10.19, 13.10.20(a) and (b), 13.9.21 13.10.21 and 13.9.22 13.10.22 will be considered. The extent to which the existing boatshed and slipway are consistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	90	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	281	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23					
1185	Taurewa Lodge Trust	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	91	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	232	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is adopted as notified.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows: <i>(c) regionally significant infrastructure is at risk.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	282	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	38	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows: (c) regionally significant infrastructure is at risk.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	92	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25					
479	Department of Conservation	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	283	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	93	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	284	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and the commentary to indicate that effects (to be considered under point b)) relate to both the construction of and operation of the structure.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.27					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	94	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.27					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	233	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that, with rising sea-level, existing sea-wall structures that have either been consented, or which have been in place for 20-60 years (in some cases) should not retrospectively be required to seek consents. Any requirement to take them down could result in even more damage to beachfronts. The exception to this could be those constructed out of old car tyres: there have already been issues in Endeavour Inlet requiring clean-up of abandoned or badly-maintained tyre structures.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	285	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.27					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) be made to Policy 13.10.27 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 <i>(inferred)</i> : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy by adding the words, 'vertical wood/timber walls', into the list of coastal protection materials to be discouraged.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCs asks that the para detailing the main effects of dredging be modified to include the effect of deposited the dredged material and subsequent re-suspension of that material					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	84	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Reclamation and Drainage section to include provisions addressing: - De-reclamation - The precautionary approach.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the section entitled Disposal and Deposition to include a policy that identifies areas where deposition should not be allowed (for example significant marine biodiversity areas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	286	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include examples in paragraph 5 as follows or similar: <u>"Such as crushing of small plants and creatures, compressing or disturbing sediment materials will also affect habitat and vegetation growth."</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	287	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.11	Support
Decision Requested	"Minimise the loss of Marlborough's coastal marine area through to reclamation or drainage"					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.11, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	288	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a policy which sets out a prohibited approach to reclamation and drainage seaward of the CMA (excluding adjacent to the CMA).					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	(c) the works are for the operational needs of ports <u>and development</u> within Port Zones or for the operational needs of marinas <u>and the development of marinas</u> within Marina Zones, where they are consistent with other relevant policies of the Marlborough Environment Plan					
479	Department of Conservation	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	289	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows: "Reclamation or drainage in or adjacent to the coastal marine area shall be avoided, unless: (a) the activity to be carried out on the which requires reclamation can only occur in or has to be adjacent to the coastal marine area; and..."					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.2.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.3	Support
Decision Requested	that this policy be adopted unchanged					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	290	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.3					
404	Eric Jorgensen	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend apparent contention between Policy 13.11.4 (b) and Policy 13.11.2 (b).</p> <p>Policy 13.11.2 (b) states that if alternative land-based sites are available reclamation and drainage shall be avoided whereas the wording of 13.11.4 (b) suggests that even if an alternative land-based site is available reclamation or drainage could still be considered.</p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.2 – Reclamation or drainage in the coastal marine area shall be avoided, unless (b) it can be shown there are no alternative land-based sites available (above Mean High Water Springs);</i></p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered (b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	95	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.4 We also submit that the map of all barge-sites (current and potential) as has been recently collated by Council with help from the Kenepuru and Central Sounds Resident's Association be re-viewed, finalised and included in the new MEP.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	234	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to include a new consideration as follows (or similar) - "(f) the impacts on social and economic wellbeing of carrying out the activity."					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i> <i>(a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i> <i>(b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i> <i>(c) the functional need for the activity to be carried out on the reclamation;</i> <i>(d) the effects on:</i> <i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i> <i>(ii) cultural values;</i> <i>(iii) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary;</i> <i>(e) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; and</i> <i>(f) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; and</i> <i>(g) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	85	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.11.4 to read: Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered: (a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use; (b) the explanation for why no if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred ; 					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	86	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.11.4 (d) to read: (d) the effects on: (i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring; (ii) cultural values; (iii) the marine, coastal and freshwater environment, (iv) Natural character and landscape values. (iii) (v) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary ;					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.11.4 by adding a new provision (d)(iv): <i>(iv) fisheries resources.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	291	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.4					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.11.4 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.11.4 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i></p> <p>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</p>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.4, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	292	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.5					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 13.11.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.5 Reclamations shall be designed taking into account relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise. This policy helps to give effect to the provisions of the NZCPS regarding coastal hazards. It is important that reclamations are designed by appropriately qualified experts to ensure these matters are taken into account.</i></p> <p><i>If the sea-level rise is not too rapid, high value habitats that are particularly under threat from sea level rise (e.g. Saltmarsh, seagrass, intertidal rocky shore communities and dune land) could re-establish if they are able to migrate inland into areas where the slope of the newly inundated habitat is the same or greater than that in the existing habitat. This requires there to be no barriers to prevent inland migration. To facilitate targeted planning for such events, a more comprehensive assessment based on site specific survey is required.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	293	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.6					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Where practicable for the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on <u>new</u> reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 13.11.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 13.11.7 – Where practicable for For the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area <u>unless restriction is necessary to:</u></p> <p><u>(a) protect public health and safety;</u></p> <p><u>(b) provide for defence, port or airport purposes;</u></p> <p><u>(c) protect areas with natural and physical resources that have been scheduled in the Unitary Plan in relation to natural heritage, Mana Whenua, natural resources, coastal, historic heritage and special character;</u></p> <p><u>(d) protect threatened indigenous species;</u></p> <p><u>(e) protect dunes, estuaries and other sensitive natural areas or habitats;</u></p> <p><u>(f) have a level of security necessary to carry out an activity or function that has been established or provided for;</u></p> <p><u>(g) provide for exclusive use of an area to carry out an activity granted an occupation consent under section 12 of the Resource Management Act 1991;</u></p> <p><u>(h) enable a temporary activity or special event;</u></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	294	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.7					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	295	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.8					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12a					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - <i>"Minimise the disposal or deposition of organic or inorganic material into the coastal marine area. The disposal of material into the coastal marine area should be prevented but if that is not achievable, minimised."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	296	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12a as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12b					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	297	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12b as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.12.1					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	38	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.12.1 so that the disposal of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area is avoided at all costs given the already compromised nature of the coastal marine areas in terms of settled and suspended sediments (<i>inferred</i>).					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the beginning of the Policy as follows (strike through) - "Proposals to dispose of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that:"					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	298	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.12.1 as notified					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.12.2					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.12.2.					
479	Department of Conservation	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	299	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2 as notified					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support
Decision Requested	We hope that MDC intends to do more to monitor and enforce this objective than it has in the past.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	300	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.13. Add new policy under this objective to prohibit disturbance not provided for elsewhere.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.13, and associated Policies, as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.1					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Activities that result in the no more than temporary disturbance of the foreshore or seabed will be provided for as a permitted activity.</i> "					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	301	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.1					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.2					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	302	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.2					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 13.13.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.13.2 Enable disturbance of the foreshore and seabed in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at London Quay Beach, Shelly Beach and Waikawa Beach for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for the purpose of removing marine debris or litter or for the renourishment or grooming of beaches;</i></p> <p><i>(b) for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for marine mammal rescue or burial; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) for oil spill response operations; or</i></p> <p><i>(d) for the safe and efficient operation of activities in Port Zones.</i></p>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.3					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	235	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Discourage the use of recreational motorised vehicles on the foreshore where this will impact on ecological values or safety of other foreshore users, where the foreshore acts as protection from the sea or on cultural, heritage and amenity values."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.3.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	303	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to " Discourage <u>Restrict</u> the use of motorised..."					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4. (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	304	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	236	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	305	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to provide further guidance to address submission					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	96	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	237	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Enable the clearing, or cutting or realignment of stream and river mouths, drainage channels and stormwater outfalls and pipes within the coastal marine area to protect public health and property during flood events."</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	306	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.6					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include extraordinary storm surge events as well as floods.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.7					
479	Department of Conservation	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy to add (c) as follows - <i>"(c) iwi have been consulted."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.7.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	307	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: " <u>Only consider granting consent</u> Proposals <u>for an activities</u> involving disturbance of the foreshore or seabed not otherwise provided for, <u>which shall</u> demonstrate that: (a) ... (b) ... (c) <u>does not result in changes to the seabed contour within the Marlborough Sounds.</u> "					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.7.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.8					
479	Department of Conservation	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	308	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy Amend to exclude the Marlborough Sounds unless Policy 13.13.7 is amended as sought.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.9					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	309	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.9 as notified					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.9.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	310	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.17	Support
Decision Requested	Delete the reference the recreational values. Add the following paragraph "prohibited activity status has been included for activities which are not appropriate or where they are not anticipated as appropriate by the policy framework"					
110	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	No change keep current policy					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	The taking of boulders and shingle from the CMA for individual benefit should be prohibited as they provide habitat for marine specie. In addition such take usually involves the use of vehicles on the beach.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.18.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Method 13.M.18 by adding the following sentence: <i><u>If a bylaw is developed, further consultation will be undertaken to identify areas in which the bylaw would apply and conditions under which vehicle access would be restricted.</u></i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	311	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Would be good to identify if bylaws are currently used in Marlborough for this purpose.					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	We consider Council should undertake further consultation with the industry, if a bylaw regulating the use of vehicles is to be developed, to identify appropriate areas and restrictions if required. We are disappointed at the lack of consultation and engagement by Council with the local fishing industry to date.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13H. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13H. (inferred)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14. (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	312	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
790	Strait Shipping Limited	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect".					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Enable water transportation activities where these do not have an adverse effect on the coastal environment <u>are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	313	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.1: <i>Policy 13.14.1 Enable water transportation activities where these do not have an significant adverse effects on the coastal environment.</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The 'National Transportation Route' should not apply beyond the headlands of each of the side bays in the Sounds. It should be limited to the main channels. The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	314	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
790	Strait Shipping Limited	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.2; however, amend the policy explanation text to recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy as notified. Amend Plan Commentary in the event that submission point 156 is accepted.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	219	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough Sounds as a transportation route for inter-island & international shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'."</i> And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The use of areas within the Marlborough Sounds for shipping provides a particularly important transport link between the North and South Islands and internationally."</i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.2: <i>Policy 13.14.2 The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough Sounds Region as a transportation route for inter-island shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'.</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.3. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.2. (<i>inferred</i>)					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond: (a) ports of Picton, <u>Shakespeare Bay</u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	315	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	220	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this (a) of the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial Jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond: (a) ports of Picton (including the port at Shakespeare Bay) and Havelock;"</i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 13.14.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.14.3A – Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:</i></p> <p><i>(a) ports of Picton and Havelock;</i></p> <p><i>(b) port landing areas at Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Picton, Havelock and Waikawa marinas; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) jetties and landing areas in Torea Bay and Onahau Bay (Queen Charlotte Sound), Elmslie Bay (French Pass), Kapowai Bay (d'Urville Island) and Portage, Te Mahia and Waitaria Bay (Kenepuru Sound).</i></p> <p>Policy 13.14.3B Recognise and provide for a potential port at Clifford Bay as a link between land transport modes and water transport and for export and import of goods between the Marlborough Region and beyond.</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2:</p> <p>“avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes”</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.15. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.15. (inferred)					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	316	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.15.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: "avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	99	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.1					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	317	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	221	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.1 but amend the <i>National Transportation Route Map</i> to include an overlay extending to the Port Zone at Clifford Bay.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures"; 13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; 13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and 13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..."					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	100	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) 13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures"; (b) 13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; (c) 13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and (d) 13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy.					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 13.15.2: <i>Policy 13.15.2 – Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i> (a) — maintaining safe, clear navigation routes around headlands, unimpeded by structures; (a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within Recognised Navigational Routes (both commercial and recreational) and/or port or harbour approaches significant commercial shipping routes (including navigation around headlands, and shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour and from Waikawa Marina); (b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within Recognised Anchorages of Refuge and Mooring Management Areas; (c) avoiding emissions of light that could affect the safe navigation of ships; (d) ensuring the safety of navigation and use of or access to mooring sites, boat sheds and ramps, jetties, wharves, ports, marinas, and water ski access lanes and areas that provide shelter from adverse weather are not affected by activities or structures in the coastal marine area; and (e) requiring structures to be maintained or marked in a way that protects the safety of water transportation activities.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	318	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	As such, we submit that the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: <i>"avoiding activities and/or locating structures that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation paths "</i>					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.15.2(b): <i>Policy 13.15.2 Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i> <i>(b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant commercial shipping routes (including shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour, Clifford Bay, and from Waikawa Marina);</i>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: "avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	101	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3. (inferred)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	319	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [<i>inferred</i>].					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13I	Oppose
Decision Requested	That all shipping is prohibited in Tory Channel (<i>inferred</i>).					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13I	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	102	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this objective should be widened to include all of the enclosed Sounds waterways - i.e., in the Pelorus and Kenepuru Sounds.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	320	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	103	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters (<i>inferred</i>).					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	321	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	104	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Recognise and provide continued access to and use of traditional coastal resources in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and in particular, recognise the value of Tory Channel for Te Atiawa and other iwi, in terms of the mauri, mana and manaakitanga that this area brings to iwi."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	322	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	The wording of this Policy and its commentary should be retained.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i></p> <p><i>(a) places and cultural values of importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the ability of people to effectively use any lawfully established structure for that structure's intended purpose and any adverse effects on the structure itself;</i></p> <p><i>(c) people's use and enjoyment of the foreshore and coastal marine area for recreational activities;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the life-supporting capacity of coastal ecosystems;</i></p> <p><i>(e) beaches and the shoreline;</i></p> <p><i>(f) amenity values enjoyed by residents; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) the natural character of the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 13.16.3. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters <i>(inferred)</i>.</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 13.16.3 by adding a new provision (h).</p> <p><u><i>(h) fisheries resources.</i></u></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	323	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.</p>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.16.3 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.16.3 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.16.3 – When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i> (x) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	324	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters (<i>inferred</i>).					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	325	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	326	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
790	Strait Shipping Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	327	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
790	Strait Shipping Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.20.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.20.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	328	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	329	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.21	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	330	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to 13.M.23 explanation:</p> <p><i>13.M.23 Advisory group for considering effects of shipping activities</i></p> <p><i>An advisory group may will be established by the Council to assist in determining an ongoing approach to managing the effects of shipping activities. Members will be appointed by the Council and will include representatives from community groups, the shipping industry, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and the Council.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.23.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	331	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13J. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13J. (inferred)					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Issue 13J – It is important that Marlborough’s existing ports, port landing areas and marinas continue to contribute to community economic and social wellbeing. The ports and marinas at Havelock, Waikawa and Picton (as they exist or as they have been approved at the time the MEP becomes operative) have been identified as regionally significant infrastructure in Chapter 4 - Use of Natural and Physical Resources. This reflects the function of the strategic integration of infrastructure with land use given to the Council in Section 30 of the RMA.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	332	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13J					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue [<i>inferred</i>].					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17. (<i>inferred</i>)					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy and amend zoning as set out on the plans contained in Annexure B of this submission.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	333	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17					
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective [<i>inferred</i>].					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.1					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain policy					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	334	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.1					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	223	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy and Port zoning.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.2					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	335	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1041	Port Clifford Limited	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.2.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.3					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Zones, including</u> the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock: (b) loading and unloading of ships, cargo handling, storage of cargo and some processing of cargo; ... (d) <u>Port Engineering</u> , ship building, repair and maintenance; ... (j) <u>Port Activities</u> ; (k) <u>Industrial Activities related to Port Activities</u> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	336	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.3					
749	GBC Winstone	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3:</p> <p>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock: <i>(k) port administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i> <i>(l) signage; and</i> (m) premises used for the storage, blending, distribution of bulk products including concrete processing materials.</p> <p>This will give effect to Issue 13J and Objective 13.17.</p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	224	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton, and Havelock and Clifford Bay:</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.4					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy as follows: Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Marina Zones, including the</u> following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa: ... (h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and manoeuvring of commercial vessels;					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	337	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.4					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marine Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i> <i>(a) shipping activities</i> <i>(b) loading and unloading of people and goods;</i> <i>(c) transportation activities</i> <i>(d) marine fuel facilities;</i> <i>(e) commercial activities related to the operation of a marina;</i> <i>(f) ship repair and maintenance;</i> <i>(g) building and structures (including on jetties), jetties, reclamation, mooring structures (excluding swing moorings) and spillways;</i> <i>(h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and maneuvering of commercial vessels;</i> <i>(i) maintenance, repair and replacement of marine infrastructure;</i> <i>(j) placement and maintenance of navigation aids;</i> <i>(k) marine administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i> <i>(l) signage; and</i> <i>(m) boating clubhouses and associated facilities.</i> "					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.17.4: <i>Policy 13.17.4 – Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i> <i>(m) boating clubhouses.</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.5					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy as follows: Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Landing Zones, including the following</u> operational requirements of Port Landing Area Zones at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay:					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	338	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.5					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.6					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activities not <u>provided for as permitted activities or not</u> recognised as having an operational requirement (as identified in Policies 13.17.3 to 13.17.5) that are to be located in the Port, Port Landing Area or Marina Zones must be assessed through a resource consent process to ensure that the efficiency and safety of the port/port landing area/marina is not compromised.</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	339	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.6					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.6 subject to amendments to Policy 13.7.3 (submission point #59) being made.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.7					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	340	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.7					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.8					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this policy.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	341	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.8					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.8.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.9					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy as follows: Where an activity not related to operational requirements is proposed <u>provided for as a permitted activity</u> in the Havelock Port Zone, then decision makers must take into account the following matters:</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	342	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.9					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.10					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Restrictions on public access to and within port areas may be appropriate to maintain public health; <u>and</u> safety and <u>to provide</u> security <u>of this infrastructure</u> .					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	343	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.17.10					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1041	Port Clifford Limited	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.10.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 13.17.11					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this provision.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.11 and request that further attention is given to ways of monitoring and preventing freedom camping in the marinas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	344	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.11					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.11.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(In light of submission to rezone Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, and Okiwi Bay): Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock."					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	345	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13K					
1244	Z Energy Limited	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13K including the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	346	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.18					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.18.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..." .					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..." The commentary should reference Policy 9 (Ports) of the NZCPS. Policy 6(2)(c) NZCPS is not, by itself, enough to override the avoidance policies in the NZCPS.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Ensure the <u>activities, and</u> intensity, character and scale of development and operation of Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones is appropriate in relation to the values of the coastal environment in these locations.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	347	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.18.1					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality...".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality..."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows: Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones manages adversely affect effects on water, air or soil quality within or beyond the zone boundary, by:</p> <p>And amend explanation: This policy seeks to ensure that port and marina operations <u>appropriately manage potential</u> do not have an adverse effect on water air and spoil resources....</p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"(b) prohibiting the discharge of effluent any waste from boats berthed within ports, port landing areas or marinas;"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	348	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.2					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bole) are made to Policy 13.18.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.18.2 Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones do not have more than minor adversely affect effects on water, air or soil quality within or beyond the zone boundary, by:</i></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to ensure that sites of cultural significance and cultural values within the port and marina Zone are preserved.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Amend sub-clause (a) by insertion of "unless dwellings are located, oriented and designed to be adequately acoustically isolated from such zones"</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy with, "Generation of noise arising from the operation of ports, port landing areas, and marinas has the potential to have adverse noise effects upon the health and amenity values of people and communities in the vicinity."</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of the explanation to the Policy by replacing "potential for noise to be an issue for" with "potential for adverse noise effects upon".</p> <p>Amend the third sentence of the explanation to the Policy by inserting after "protecting", "health and"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	173	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3. (inferred)					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to acknowledge that worker accommodation is provided for in these zones.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	349	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	225	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3 including the explanation in its entirety as notified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities...".					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	174	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities..."					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	350	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.4					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.4.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.5. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	175	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.5, (inferred)					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	351	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.5					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.18.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.18.5 Dredging for the maintenance of berths and identified navigation channels shall be recognised as an appropriate activity in Port and Marina Zones subject to standards to mitigate adverse effects, including those on navigational safety, water quality and aspects of the dredging operation, such as limits on the volume able to be dredged.</i></p> <p>Alternatively, retain Policy 13.18.5 and delete Policy 13.13.9.</p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	352	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.18.6					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.6.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.18.7.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	176	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.18.7.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete reference to policy 13.11.9.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (g) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"(g) the effects during construction on:</p> <p>(i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; and</p> <p>(ii) water and air quality; and</p> <p>(iii) ecological and heritage values."</p>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i> <i>(a) the intended use of the extended or altered infrastructure (having regard to Policies 13.17.3 and 13.17.4) and the benefits likely to arise from this use;</i> <i>(b) the design of structures/reclamation, including size and construction materials;</i> <i>(c) where reclamation is involved (Policies 13.11.2, 13.11.4, 13.11.6 – 13.11.9);</i> <i>(d) whether there will be a loss of public access or use of the area and/or public access to and along the coastal marine area will be impeded;</i> <i>(e) the effects of glare, lighting and noise;</i> <i>(f) the effects on natural coastal processes;</i> <i>(g) the effects during construction on:</i> <i>(i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; and</i> <i>(ii) water and air quality;</i> <i>(h) the impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.7 by adding a new provision (g)(iii): <u><i>(iii) fisheries resources.</i></u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	353	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.18.7					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.18.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.18.7 (inferred): <i>Policy 13.18.7 – Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i> (x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.7.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy by adding a new matter to be considered being, 'the effects on cultural values'.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	354	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.18.8					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.8.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	355	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.24					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	356	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.25					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	357	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.26	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.26					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.26	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to ensure MDC liaise with Te Atiawa in addition to the port and marina operators in terms of landscape quality and integration of the foreshore areas.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	358	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.27					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	359	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13L	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13L					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13L	Support
Decision Requested	Retain all objectives, policies and methods which recognise and provide for the Lake Grassmere Salt Works.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	New Policy: <i>Recognise the positive environmental benefit the Salt Works provides, including flood mitigation on SH 1 and during summertime ensures sufficient water in the lake to prevent dust pollution and to enhance the habitat of indigenous flora and fauna.</i>					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.19.3.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.1.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	360	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to <i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i>:</p> <p><i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All resource consent decisions show that consideration has been given to the mapped values while acknowledging gaps in knowledge. • Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to protect areas of significance while acknowledging gaps in knowledge. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.2: <i>13.AER.2 Subdivision, use and development of the coastal environment, including on land and water, is located in appropriate places and within appropriate limits while avoiding or mitigating sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth.</i>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In 13.AER.2 remove monitoring effectiveness clauses that do not provide an effective means of measuring appropriate development and outcomes in the coastal area as follows:</p> <p>Delete the first and fourth clauses under the column titled Monitoring Effectiveness as follows:</p> <p>No resource consents are granted for areas identified as inappropriate for development within the coastal environment.</p> <p>New building and development in the coastal environment is consistent with the character of the area, including retaining a lower density of development in the coastal environment.</p> <p>Consistent treatment of resource consent applications for activities in the coastal environment.</p> <p>No coastal permits are granted for activities without a functional or operational need for a coastal location.</p> <p>Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to address the effects of activities on a particular location.</p> <p>Reassess the zonings applied to land and water to ensure that appropriate areas are identified for use and development in the coastal environment.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	238	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Anticipated Environmental Result is retained as notified.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include in 13.AER.5 that the public survey (about perspectives of values contributing to amenity values in Marlborough's Coastal environment and how activities and uses may be affecting these values) needs to be repeated at 5 yearly intervals. This allows changes in perception to be tracked and to gain a relative sense as to whether important values are better or worse off than before (inferred).</p> <p>Include in 13.AER.5 about monitoring complaints (about activities and uses in the coastal environment) increased public awareness of the opportunity to note concerns about different activities and uses in the coastal environment and also liaise with harbourmaster and DoC (inferred).</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.5 (inferred) addition is to the AER):</p> <p><i>13.AER.5 The amenity values of the coastal environment are maintained and enhanced while acknowledging safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.8.					
91	Marlborough District Council	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " Monitor erosion of coastal areas caused by ships. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " No adverse change to the shoreline or benthic communities as a result of waves from the shipping activity. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Indicator for 13.AER.9 is requested as follows (strike through) - " <i>A five yearly assessment is carried out to determine the need to undertake monitoring specified in Policy 13.16.4 and the monitoring method (13.M.1922) set out for water transportation.</i> "					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.10.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	173	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 13.AER.10: <i>13.AER.10 A proliferation of coastal structures is avoided. Inappropriate proliferation of coastal structures to be avoided.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.11.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.12.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.13	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of this Indicator for 13.AER.13 is requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " Few A decrease in the number of resource consents are required for port and marina related activities."					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.13.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.14.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	361	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.15.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.16	Support
Decision Requested	In addition to MPI, on-going communication should also include other central government agencies, e.g., Ministry for the Environment, in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it relates to fishing activities.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.16.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 13.AER.16 and replace it with: <u>13.AER.16 Adverse effects of activities managed under the RMA on fisheries resources and habitats of particular significance for fisheries management are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u> Amend 'Monitoring effectiveness' as follows: Increased awareness and understanding of the respective roles of Council and other parties in coastal and marine management. <u>Ongoing communication with the Minster of Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it related to fishing activities.</u> <u>Monitor complaints from fisheries stakeholders about impacts on fisheries arising from activities controlled under the RMA.</u>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.17.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the 7th paragraph of the Introduction that currently reads "In Marlborough's high country...means pastoralism is likely to remain the major land use activity of the future" to read "...means pastoral agriculture is likely to remain..." and add the following text: "and should be enabled to remain. In the absence of sheep farming in Marlborough's high country , cattle and deer would be the only livestock options, or forestry; all three have huge ramifications on environmental footprint on the freshwater resource, both in terms of catchment yield and water resource unit values."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
263	Mark Batchelor	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of rural environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
310	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the collateral damage inflicted by well intention, but short-sighted government regulations.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that greater appreciation for the family owned farms and vineyards be expressed through the MEP, and that they are given a margin of preferential deliberation when District Council is making decisions and policies that would impact those family farmers and family vineyard owners.</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the provisions to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for weed control purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	271	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following new Objective <i>(Submitter did not identify which Issue the Objective should be under)</i> -</p> <p><i>"Marlborough has a well-structured and economically and socially successful range of business environments where the vitality, viability and identity of these environments is retained and enhanced."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	Confirm that Intensive farming is a Primary Production Activity, either through the insertion of a new definition or making it clear elsewhere in the Plan.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the plan to ensure that it recognises and provides for uses of the rural resource and the rural environment other than primary production.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14D – To recognise that farming, forestry, mineral extraction and processing, renewable energy generation, industrial and commercial activities and network utilities that enable people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14E – To provide for a range of activities in the Rural zone which are located, designed and operated in such a way to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects on existing land use in the vicinity.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14F - Recognition that the growth of vineyards and /or other agricultural activities rely predominantly on seasonal workers. As such seasonal worker accommodation needs to be provided where it can be integrated into the rural character.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

Policy (a): To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

Policy (b): To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
 - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
 - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
 - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
 - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p>AER: Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 14.1 and its associated policies in this chapter are retained so far as they encourage safeguard, support and encourage the use of Marlborough's rural land for primary production, specifically commercial forestry;</p> <p>(b) That new policies are inserted under Objective 14 that prioritise the use of the rural environment for primary production and intensification of primary production on land where primary production is already occurring;</p> <p>(c) That new objectives and policies are inserted that prioritise the objectives, policies and methods in this chapter over those in other chapters to the extent that primary production activities such as commercial forestry are prioritised in the rural environment; and</p> <p>(d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 14 Use of the Rural Environment Introduction.					
1084	Raeburn Property Partnership	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Withdraw all reference to commercial forestry from the MEP and wait until the NES becomes operative.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	86	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword the third paragraph of the explanation that currently reads "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can have adverse effects on a range of..." to "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can both enhance and have adverse effects..."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	239	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the first bullet point in paragraph one of the explanatory text to this Issue as follows (strike through) - " <i>recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.</i> "					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	79	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 14A.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy Issue 14A.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	98	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 14A explanation as follows: <i>The varied nature of Marlborough's physical environment has led to a wide range of land uses, including primary production activities such as agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry <u>and rural industrial activities</u>, and non-primary production activities such as residential, commercial and industrial development...</i> <i>The viability and versatility of the rural resource for primary production activities can be adversely affected by non-rural activities, land fragmentation and the proximity of sensitive receiving <u>activities and environments (resulting in reverse sensitivity effects)</u>, such as those found in adjacent towns.</i>					
88	Chris Bowron	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	I support this.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	66	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
368	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Planting should be allowed to high water mark in Marl. Sounds.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	240	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic and social wellbeing, whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	241	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included under Objective 14.1 as follows - "Recognise the importance of a thriving primary production sector that actively contributes to the region's social and economic wellbeing." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
455	John Hickman	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a provision in Objective 14.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.					
472	ME Taylor Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Reflect this policy in the forestry rules for the Marlborough Sounds. Reject the rule requiring 200 metre setback.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	153	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 14.1 to recognise that rural environments need to be managed so as to enable not only primary production activities but other activities that rely on the rural resource such as gravel extraction. For example:</p> <p><u>Objective 14.1 - Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities and activities that rely on the rural resource, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic and social wellbeing whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new Policy that enables other activities that necessarily rely on the rural resource such as quarrying. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.1X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for activities that rely on the rural resource and are necessarily located in the rural environment.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy that outlines the expectations for activities wishing to establish in the rural environment that may be sensitive to the types of effects associated with rural activities. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.7.X1 - Ensure the design and location of new habitable buildings achieve adequate separation distances or adopt other on-site mitigation methods, including acoustic insulation, to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects with lawfully established productive rural activities.</u></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Objective 14.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 as notified.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	80	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 as notified.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Avoid the establishment of residential activities in close proximity to intensive farming or other rural activities, to manage reverse sensitivity effects that can be created by such activities i.e. noise, odour and dust.</u></p>					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend by <i>ensuring this policy is reflected in the rules for forestry in the Sounds and reject the 200m setback.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	242	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
455	John Hickman	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
456	George Mehlhopt	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a provision in Policy 14.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
472	ME Taylor Limited	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
473	Delegat Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	78	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 14.1.1: <i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming, intensive farming and cropping. This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	81	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping. It is also acknowledged that the extraction of rock and associated material. For instance, protection and development needs to occur at the site of raw material. This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified.					
1218	Villa Maria	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	99	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows: <i>Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for primary production and <u>rural industrial activities</u>.</i> <i>Amend the explanation associated with the policy as follows:</i> <i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping <u>and associated processing activities</u>...</i></p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the wording of Policy 14.1.2 to the following: 'Subdivision location, density and parcel size in rural environments shall ensure there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use.'</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	243	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Avoid inappropriate subdivision and development of land used for primary production. Lifestyle/rural-residential subdivision should be limited in scale and extent to defined locations to avoid conflict with production use.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	82	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.2.					
1096	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Parcel size in rural environments shall ensure that there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use unless the land is required for activities and buildings that are linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough's rural resources and require a rural location."</i>					
180	Heather Deacon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	244	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	79	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete 'land based' form Policy 14.1.3.					
974	Ministry of Education	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.3 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Plan must clearly provide for those buildings and structures that support rural production and require a rural location and those activities that have locational choice.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	83	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.3.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.3.					
1096	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.1.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>" Policy 14.1.3 – Activities and buildings in rural environments should be linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough’s rural resources and/or require a rural location.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.3 aims to ensure that the potential of rural environments for primary production options is not compromised by activities and/or buildings that do not need to be located within, traverse (such as linear infrastructure) or have an association with rural environments, or which do not rely on the use of rural resources. While a wide range of activities are provided for within rural environments, their establishment will not be allowed to occur in a manner that threatens the sustainable and economic use of rural environments. The safeguarding of rural environments for activities that genuinely require a rural location will ensure that opportunities remain available for accommodating these activities."</i></p>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.1.4 to address potential adverse effects on the health and safety of people and the ability of adjacent landowners to enjoy their land.</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy to provide financial assistance to primary producers/farmers for any resource consents required as a result of this policy. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	245	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
455	John Hickman	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
456	George Mehlhopt	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
479	Department of Conservation	119	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	154	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy to give effect to s.7(h) of the RMA, to include reference to all human and natural values of waterbodies and to ensure that implementation considers all types of rules, not just permitted activities.</p> <p>Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on:</p> <p>(a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;</p> <p>(b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;</p> <p>(c) water quality and water availability;</p> <p>(d) areas with landscape significance;</p> <p>(e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</p> <p>(e1) the habitat of trout and salmon;</p> <p>(f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or</p> <p>(g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	80	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	362	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	84	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4 as drafted.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.4: <i>Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to that address potential adverse effects on:</i>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	84	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include potential adverse effects on cultural values.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	99	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments: Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on: (a) the life-supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems; <u>(b) the relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga, and the ability of Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga;</u> (b) (c) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes; (c) (d) water quality and water availability; (d) (e) areas with landscape significance; (e) (f) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna; (f) (g) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13- Use of the Coastal Environment; or (g) (h) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows: Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to <u>that</u> address potential adverse effects on:.....</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the volume of water required to be of a drinking water standard to ensure that it is both reasonable and feasible.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	81	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.5					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy and the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p>"Policy 14.1.5 – Require rural subdivisions to provide a minimum of two cubic metres of drinkable water per new allotment, per day <i>and adequate firefighting water supply</i>.</p> <p><i>The Provisions of the MEP enable a dwelling house to be established on rural properties (including those in the coastal environment) as a permitted activity. This reasonably creates an expectation that there will be sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and for firefighting purposes. Those domestic needs including drinking, other household uses, garden water and other incidental uses around the household. To ensure that these needs are able to be met, the policy requires any application for a subdivision creating a new allotment(s) where no reticulated water supply is available to demonstrate that each allotment has access to at least two cubic metres of drinkable water per day and is able to provide adequate water supply for firefighting purposes. The policy does not specify the source of the water or the method of provision, providing the flexibility (through the Code of Practice) to determine arrangements appropriate to the circumstances."</i></p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Avoid inappropriate development of land production, subdivision and used for primary production.</p> <p>A dwelling house to be established on rural properties as a permitted activity must be able to provide sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs that does not compromise the needs of rural production.</p> <p>The priority for water allocation must be to rural production activities in the rural environment.</p>					
210	Kevin Wilson	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	No specific relief is identified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	246	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment." Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects, including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will be anticipated and are consistent with the character and use of the rural zone. These effects will only require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment."</p>					
431	Wine Marlborough	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7					
592	Clifford John Smith	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.7 to apply to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource so as to provide protection from the reverse sensitivity effects. Policy 14.1.7 - Recognise that primary production activities that rely on the rural resource, and necessarily occur in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7 but ensure robust policies to manage reverse sensitivity effects. Add R to Policy 14.1.7					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to note that these effects are anticipated and expected in the rural environment.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	85	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.7:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.7 – Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but and that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</i></p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.1.7 as follows:</p> <p>Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but <u>and</u> these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effects on the environment.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1218	Villa Maria	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7.					
210	Kevin Wilson	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	No specific relief is identified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	68	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provisions. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	247	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	82	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
210	Kevin Wilson	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	No specific relief is identified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	69	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provisions. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	248	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Give priority to and manage Manage the reverse sensitivity effects of primary production activities by to ensure ensuring the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment that new activities in neighbouring zones anticipate the amenity values and character that come with locating near a primary production area."</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	83	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.9 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows" Manage the potential effects of primary production on adjoining residential zones by ensuring that adequate buffer distances are established within the residential zone.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy needs to be balanced with policy and methods imposed on new or expanding activities in adjoining residential environments. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	86	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.9.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.9: <i>Policy 14.1.9 - Manage the adverse effects of primary production activities to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are maintained not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment.</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows: Manage the effects of primary production activities to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are <u>maintained-not unreasonably degraded</u> , bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	100	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows: <i>Manage the <u>interface</u> effects of primary production activities <u>in close proximity to</u> ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones, are not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment <u>and the purpose of the rural environment to enable primary production activities and rural industry activities.</u></i>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that this policy is deleted.					
319	Clive Tozer	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.10 and include that Council improves its maintenance of the Cravens Creek outlet to minimize ponding and to meet agreed levels of service.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	249	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.10. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.0					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1218	Villa Maria	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.10.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
348	Murray Chapman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions to recognise the local and central government monetary assistance required to control pests. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	250	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy Objective 14.2. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
472	ME Taylor Limited	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2					
473	Delegat Limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2 Ensure that 'pests' include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	23	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment is made to Objective 14.2: <i>Objective 14.2 The sustainability of Marlborough's rural economy is not adversely affected by the spread or introduction of pests or biosecurity risks.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	87	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2.					
1218	Villa Maria	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	251	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.1. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.1 And provide for the policy to be implemented through rules.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 14.2.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 14.2.1 The Marlborough District Council will support any national response to an incursion of a pest(s) and biosecurity risk(s) where this occurs, if it has the potential to reach Marlborough or is already present and/or has the potential to affect Marlborough's primary production sector.</i>					
1218	Villa Maria	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.1.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Edit to read: A strategic approach will be developed, <u>in conjunction with landowners and recognising economic impact and resources community bring to the collective table</u> , and maintained to manage the containment/eradication of pests impacting on Marlborough's primary production sector in rural environments.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	252	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2 but add R to the policy and ensure that it applies to unwanted organisms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
776	Indevin Estates Limited	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2.					
1218	Villa Maria	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	253	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.3. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.3.					
1218	Villa Maria	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.3.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to read: "...the Marlborough District Council <u>in collaboration with community groups</u> will use a range of..."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	254	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.4.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	255	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold): <i>"Activities that are not related or ancillary to primary production may be appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	256	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy be added under Objective 14.3 (<i>inferred</i>) to the Plan as follows: <i>"Ensure that new activities locating in the rural area are of a nature, scale, intensity and location consistent with maintaining the character of the rural areas and to be undertaken in a manner which avoid, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on rural character, including rural productive values."</i>					
431	Wine Marlborough	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.3. (<i>inferred</i>)					
431	Wine Marlborough	73	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: Activities that are not related to primary production are only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment."					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u></i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.3					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.3 but amend the explanation to highlight that there are activities other than primary production activities such as quarrying, that are significant contributors to the economic and social wellbeing of the region and need to be recognised as activities that necessary and appropriately occur in the rural environment. Include a policy enabling land based aggregate extraction within the rural environment.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy enabling quarrying within the rural environment. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.3.X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for quarrying,wile managing effects on:</u></p> <p><u>a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;</u></p> <p><u>b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;</u></p> <p><u>c) water quality and water availability;</u></p> <p><u>d) areas with landscape significance;</u></p> <p><u>e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u></p> <p><u>f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or</u></p> <p><u>g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</u></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 14.3: Activities that are not related to primary production are generally not appropriate to be located in rural environments.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment."</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	79	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the objective to ensure it is meaningful.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	226	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) - <i>"Activities that are not related to primary production are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword to a policy that prevents sensitive activities not related to primary production from establishing in areas where rural production activities could be adversely affected. The submission does not include specific amendments to be made to Objective 14.3.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	88	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support Objective 14.3, subject to refinement of wording: <i>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>"</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.3.					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1201	Trustpower Limited	96	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 14.3 as follows: <i>"Recognise and provide for activities that are not related to primary production, <u>but which</u> are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	98	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new policy in section 14.3 as follows: <u>"Provide for the operation, maintenance and development of renewable energy and associated infrastructure developments that require a rural location, provided that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	64	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <u>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <i>only</i> located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment."</u>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	68	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Enable small scale and/or low intensity activities not relying on the primary production potential of Marlborough's rural environments, where the adverse effects on the environment are minor and the activity is one of the following: (a) outdoor recreation; or (b) events of a limited duration; (c) home occupations."</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	80	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The risks of fire to property including plantation forest and life need to be considered and provided for in the assessment of adverse effects.					
974	Ministry of Education	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.3.1 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	227	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (bold) - <i>"Some activities, while not relying on the primary production potential of rural resources, are nonetheless closely linked with the rural environment. This includes outdoor recreation that frequently takes place in rural environments, whether organised through clubs or informally by individuals. Additionally, there are occasionally events of a limited duration that can occur within rural environments. Some flexibility is needed for the operation of these activities as they can provide for an important element in the economic and social wellbeing of the community. In both cases permitted activity standards will establish a framework to enable these activities to occur while managing the risks associated with the activities."</i> Also refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, which has recently held hearings in association with this issue.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy so that adverse effects on rural production activities are avoided. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of how adverse effects are to be avoided.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	85	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include cultural values and resources to be included in the caveat of permitted activities.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	257	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is adopted as notified.					
431	Wine Marlborough	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.3.2. (inferred)					
431	Wine Marlborough	74	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e): The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e): <u><i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i></u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 14.3.2 by adding e): The potential reverse sensitivity effects arising from locating adjacent to primary production activities.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	64	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e): <i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>					
974	Ministry of Education	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.3.2 Amend to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community have a functional need to be located in the rural zone.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to address effects on other rural resources (not just loss of land). Including access to freshwater, degradation of water resources. Amend policy to address reverse sensitivity.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	61	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows: <i>Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i> ... <i>(e) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	89	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.3.2, with an additional clause (e): <i>"The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities".</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	97	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows: <i>"Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i> <i>(a) the extent to which the activity is related to primary production activities occurring at the site;</i> <i>(a) the functional or technical need for the activity to be located within a rural zone and why it is not more appropriately located within another zone;</i> <i>(b) whether the proposed activity will result in a loss of land with primary production potential and the extent of this loss when considered in combination with other non-rural based activities; and</i> <i>(c) the extent to which the proposed activity supports primary production activities, including the processing of agricultural, viticultural or horticultural produce."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	65	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e): <i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	101	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.3.2 as notified					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	70	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
348	Murray Chapman	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue to acknowledge and address that it does not reflect the values of a working rural environment but reflects to a great degree the values of an urban retreat. Most of the farm fragmentation into parcels of barely economic blocks has arisen through farms being financially marginalised by rules and regulations amongst other issues resulting in subdivision to supplement income. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 14] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><i>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 14B</i></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise within Issue 14B that rural character is influenced heavily by the activities that occur within it and that perception is not always reality.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	50	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 14B by deleting 'increased' with 'potential'.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to: To encourage innovative development and integrated management of effects between subdivision and land use which results in better environmental outcomes than more conventional or traditional subdivision, use or development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.5 By allowing greater intensity of subdivision or development in the Rural zone where this is offset by protection, restoration, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open spaces, where they significantly contribute to the natural environment values, coastal environment and amenity.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.6 By providing for more intensive and innovative site specific subdivision where this results in better environmental outcomes.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.7 By avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of subdivision (including ribbon development) on the natural environment values of the rural area.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Objective 14.8 By requiring all subdivision to contribute to the retention of rural character and amenity					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.9 By promoting the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open space					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.10 To ensure that the servicing of new subdivision and development does not adversely affect the environment, in particular sensitive receiving environments.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	90	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue14(b) subject to including a definition of reverse sensitivity effects, as follows: <i>"Reverse sensitivity means the effect on existing activities from the introduction of new activities into the same environment, where the new activities may raise concerns or complaints regarding the effects of existing activities which could lead to restrictions being places on existing activities".</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 14B.					
39	Peter Deacon	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include provisions to promote the planting of native trees and shrubs. (inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	258	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Rural character and amenity values are maintained and or enhanced where appropriate, and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided through the protection of primary production activities."</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	137	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " Recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20 hectares may be a more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain situations and that consent may be granted for this. " (Inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	50	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4 but delete the explanation to avoid the objective focusing on primary production activities.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 'and enhanced' from Objective 14.4					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	61	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4 as notified.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	23	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support an objective that requires reverse sensitivity effects to be avoided. It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	102	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 14.4 as follows: Rural character and amenity values are maintained and enhanced managed and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	103	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert new policy under Objective 14.4 as follows: <i><u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by:</u></i> <i><u>(a) avoiding sensitive activities that are not related to primary production to locate in an appropriate zone;</u></i> <i><u>(b) discouraging residential activities at a density greater than one dwelling per property from locating in rural environments; and</u></i> <i><u>(c) require sensitive activities to be appropriately setback and designed to manage adverse effects that are inherent to rural environments.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	259	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the plan.					
431	Wine Marlborough	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.1. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.1					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.1 to consider all contributing elements to rural character and amenity. For example:</p> <p>Policy 14.4.1 - Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises that rural character and amenity values vary across the region resulting from the combination of natural and physical resources present, including the location and extent of established and permitted activities. Therefore subdivision, use and development should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a lack of buildings and structures; (b) a very high ratio of open space in relation to areas covered by buildings; (c) open space areas in pasture, trees, vineyards, crops or indigenous vegetation; (d) areas with regenerating indigenous vegetation, particularly in the Marlborough Sounds; (e) tracts of unmodified natural features, indigenous vegetation, streams, rivers and wetlands; (f) farm animals and wildlife; (g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture, quarrying and forestry <u>and a working rural environment</u>; (h) post and wire fences, purpose-built farm buildings and scattered dwellings; (i) low population density; (j) the presence of Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko airports; (k) generally narrow carriageways within wide road reserves, often unsealed with open drains, low-speed geometry and low traffic volumes; and (l) a general absence of urban-scale and urban-type infrastructure, such as roads with kerb and channel, footpaths, mown berms, street lights or advertising signs. 					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	52	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.1 a) Presence of buildings and structures necessary for primary production</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That intensive farming is listed in Policy 14.4.1 given its requirement for a rural location.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	62	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows: <i>Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i> ... <i>(m) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport</i></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 14.4.1(g): <i>Policy 14.4.1 – Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i> <i>(g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry, and rural business that support primary production activities.</i></p>					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A reference to quarries, and/or excavation for quarrying purposes should be included. (It is not clear in the Submission the specific amendment to the Policy or the explanation sought.)					
1218	Villa Maria	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.1.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	In point c) should said "the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development and type of production activity within the surrounding rural area."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	260	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Retain an open and spacious character in Marlborough's rural environments with a dominance of open space and plantings over buildings by ensuring that the scale and siting of development is such that:-</i> <i>(a) it will not unreasonably detract from the privacy or outlook of neighbouring properties;</i> <i>(b) sites remain open and with a rural character as viewed from roads and other publicly accessible places; and</i> <i>(c) the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development within the surrounding rural area."</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	53	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.2 as follows: d) reflects the need for buildings and structures for primary production activities.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.2 (c).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	261	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows - <i>Ensure new residential buildings are set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries and road frontages to:</i> <i>(a) Maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;</i> <i>(b) Encourage a sense of distance between buildings and between buildings and road boundaries; and</i> <i>(c) Maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	54	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.3 b) add 'and side and rear boundaries' d) avoid potential reverse sensitivity effects Amend Explanation so it refers to all boundaries, not just the road boundary.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	62	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.3.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to note the need to separate sensitive elements (in particular dwellings) from rural production activities.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.3 as follows: <i>Ensure buildings noise sensitive activities are <u>designed and</u> set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries, and road frontages <u>and land transport network boundaries</u> to:</i> <i>(a) maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;</i> <i>(b) encourage a sense of distance between buildings as well as between buildings and road boundaries; and</i> <i>(c) maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i> <i>(d) <u>manage reverse sensitivity issues by mitigating effects of traffic noise, especially where development is to be located on major arterials or State Highways</u></i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	262	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.4					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Lion proposes an amendment to Policy 14.4.4 as follows: <i>Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i> <i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; and</i> <i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; <u>and</u></i> <i>(c) <u>avoids reverse sensitivity effects on existing land uses.</u></i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.4.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to ensure subdivision in rural areas does not compromise the productive capability of rural areas or adversely affect the rural resources upon which rural production relies.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.4: <i>Policy 14.4.4 – Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i> <i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; and</i> <i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; and</i> <i>(c) recognises reverse sensitivity issues that may occur when sensitive (such as rural residential) locate near existing rural activities.</i>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	71	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	263	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare while enabling lawfully established land uses."</i>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	55	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.5 by adding: and enabling primary production activities to be undertaken.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.5.					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. However, it is recognised that there will be noise associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the noise associated with quarrying."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	104	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.5 as follows: Noise limits will enable primary production and rural industry activities in consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones, while having regard for have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	264	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	81	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarity that roads are not included.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	228	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy so that intent is clear and does not require interpretation. Amend the Permitted Activity standard so that it aligns with the policy.					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Mitigate nuisance effects on adjoining dwellings or adjoining properties caused by dust from earthworks or stockpiled material, or dust and other disturbance associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the dust associated with quarrying."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	265	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Balance policy with policy that addresses reverse sensitivity for lawfully established rural activities. The submission does not include amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	105	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.7 as follows: Ensure significant adverse offensive and objectionable odour effects from rural activities are avoided or mitigated to protect lawfully established land uses. Change the status of the policy so that it also has regional effect.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	64	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.9 as follows: <i>Encourage the consolidation of information signs by supporting the establishment of "Welcome to" signs and information laybys at the entrance to Marlborough's larger towns, in order to reduce the effects of directional and commercial signs on visual amenity <u>and road safety</u>.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	266	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	84	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10 [<i>inferred</i>].					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	52	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include reference to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, including quarrying, through direct reference in the policy or explanation; or through including a definition of productive rural activities that included all activities that are necessary located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, for example:</p> <p><u>Productive rural activities</u></p> <p><u>means farming, plantation forestry, intensive farming, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	56	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.10: Control the establishment of residential and other sensitive activities within the rural environments as a means of avoiding reverse sensitivity between sensitive activities and primary production activities.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.10.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.10 (inferred) : <i>Policy 14.4.10 Control Constrain the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	91	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10, but include recognition of reverse sensitivity effects. Amend as follows: <i>"Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential community expectations, <u>and managing reverse sensitivity effects on existing activities</u>".</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	106	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.10 as follows: <i>Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on primary production and rural industry activities.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	267	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.11					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	85	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.11 [<i>inferred</i>].					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.11.					
31	Sebastian Zuefle	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
32	Kathryn McConnell	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
33	Russell Lane	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
39	Peter Deacon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
60	Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the policies proposed in 14.4.12 be adopted and rigorously enforced. Specifically, that c) and d) be totally enforced.</p> <p>That g) be amended from "maintaining a low volume traffic environment" to "reducing the commercial traffic volume"; and to do so revoke the resource consent for the increase in quarrying work in the valley and the anticipated ten-fold heavy truck and trailer traffic and set a much lower allowed extraction level.</p> <p>That the Council pro-actively enhances the rural character values of the valley by entering into negotiations with Marlborough Lines replace the ugly electrical power poles and cables with underground supply.</p>					
62	Bike Walk Renwick	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
63	Sandy Shields	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
84	Barry Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
86	Gary Burns	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.					
95	John Kershaw	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I would like the new Marlborough Environment Plan to adopt all nine clauses (a) - (i) of policy 14.4.12 as presented on page 310 of the circulated draft plan.					
107	Peter Lamb	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
128	Lynda Scott Kelly	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt these provisions in full					
153	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
156	Marion Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
164	Nigel Sowman	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
180	Heather Deacon	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses.					
187	Ronald Fredric McLean	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it. Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.13(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.					
205	Nicola Bright	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
239	Tony Westend	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
252	Kim Nicholls	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I believe the council should support this part of the paolicy and make the Omaka Valley a special zone					
265	Lisa Halliday	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
362	Stuart Robert Kennington	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley.					
363	Angela Marion Kennington	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	162	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</p> <p>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</p> <p>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</p> <p>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</p> <p>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</p> <p>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</p> <p>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</p> <p>(g) lack of through roads;</p> <p>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident;</p>					
379	LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.12 (b) as follows (bold and strike out) - " limited allow building on ridgelines".					
438	Richard Scott Wilson	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division.					
452	Beconbrae Farm	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.12					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
596	Corina Naus	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
639	David Marshall Allan	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
685	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects. 2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries. 3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects. 4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used. 					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That (<i>inferred</i>):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition. • Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted. • Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another. • Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive. • Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land. 					
772	Ivan and Margaret Sutherland	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
779	Jonathan Andrew Falloon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I support the inclusion of Policy 14.4.12 - Omaka Valley as drafted.					
816	Janine Merie Mayson	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.12 in its entirety and unchanged.					
846	Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
858	Kevin Peter Judd	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
872	Kimberley Judd	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
919	Margaret Cresswell	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt provision in full.					
937	Mike Just	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
939	Murray MacDonald	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
944	Michael Naus	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1011	Peter Banks	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1015	Wildacres Limited	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or watered-down to suit the interests of large scale industrial or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating protections.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	92	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.12, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.12:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</i></p> <p><i>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</i></p> <p><i>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</i></p> <p><i>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</i></p> <p><i>(g) lack of through roads;</i></p> <p><i>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and</i></p> <p><i>(i) roads located close to the broad ridges, giving a contained nature to the valley.</i></p> <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p>					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (f) and (h) in this Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>(f) with the exception of traffic associated with the Barracks Rad Quarry and at times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</i> " " <i>(h) a mix of land uses inclusive of quarrying, towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and</i> "					
1191	The Bell Tower on Dog Point	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We support the policy in full.					
31	Sebastian Zuefle	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
32	Kathryn McConnell	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
33	Russell Lane	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
39	Peter Deacon	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
58	Andrew Dwyer	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
60	Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 14.4.13. (inferred)					
62	Bike Walk Renwick	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
63	Sandy Shields	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt these policies in full					
85	Barry Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
86	Gary Burns	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.					
95	John Kershaw	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
96	Jane Buckman	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
107	Peter Lamb	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all Provisions in Full					
122	Gavin Kerr	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	No immediate decision required					
128	Lynda Scott Kelly	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt these provisions in full					
153	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions					
157	Marion Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
164	Nigel Sowman	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
187	Ronald Fredric McLean	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it.</p> <p>Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.14(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.</p>					
205	Nicola Bright	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
239	Tony Westend	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
252	Kim Nicholls	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I believe the council should agree to the fact that the Omaka Valley has special characteristics that make it appropriate to apply a management framework, that is specific to the Valley					
265	Lisa Halliday	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
284	Jane Buckman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
362	Stuart Robert Kennington	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley.					
363	Angela Marion Kennington	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	164	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13 – The Omaka Valley has been recognised as having specific amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced as follows:					
	(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone;					
	(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry, to enable an assessment of this activity on the confined nature of the valleys in the Omaka Valley Area;					
	(c) including the ridgelines along the valleys within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;					
	(d) avoiding development in the form of buildings on the ridgelines surrounding the valleys;					
	(e) reducing the potential for 'industrialisation' within the Omaka Valley Area through controls on the height and scale of buildings associated with primary production activities;					
	(f) other than as provided for in Policy 14.3.1 and Policy 14.5.4, other activities not related to primary production in the Omaka Valley Area are to be avoided;					
	(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and					
	(h) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and a sense of openness within the Omaka Valley Area.					
379	LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
438	Richard Scott Wilson	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division.					
452	Beconbrae Farm	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
596	Corina Naus	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
639	David Marshall Allan	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
685	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects. 2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries. 3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects. 4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used. 					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition. • Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted. • Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another. • Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive. • Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land. 					
772	Ivan and Margaret Sutherland	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
816	Janine Merie Mayson	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.13 in its entirety and unchanged.					
846	Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
858	Kevin Peter Judd	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
872	Kimberley Judd	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
919	Margaret Cresswell	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt provision in full.					
937	Mike Just	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
939	Murray MacDonald	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
944	Michael Naus	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
949	Matthew Sutherland	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1011	Peter Banks	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	93	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.					
1151	Simcox Construction Limited	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (g) in this Policy and paragraph four of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - Policy - "(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to Provide for traffic volumes that maintain the existing a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and"</p> <p>Explanation - "<i>Maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain the peaceful and quiet environment of the Omaka Valley Area is challenging, as the predominant land use is viticulture, which for a period each vintage attracts a considerable number of truck movements. There are no through roads within the valley, but this in itself presents a challenge when considering land use activities at the head of the valley. The policy recognises that in general the Omaka Valley enjoys low traffic flows and that maintaining traffic levels at or about the current level is desirable this is to be maintained.</i>"</p>					
1191	The Bell Tower on Dog Point	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We support the policy in full.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	94	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.14, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	23	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.14	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.14: Policy 14.4.14 – The Wairau Plain is characterised by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a highly productive land resource and the most intensively developed and farmed rural area in Marlborough; (b) an extensive area of flat land available for primary production; (c) an extensive floodplain and drainage network; (d) the large, braided Wairau River and its tributaries, floodplain terraces, associated backswamp wetlands, streams, coastal swamp deposits and minor inland sand dunes; (e) ground-fed springs in the lower plain; (f) viticulture as a dominant land use; (g) open character across the plain; (h) encompassing Marlborough's main urban centre of Blenheim; (i) the arterial roading network traversing the plain; and (j) a centrally located regional airport and New Zealand Defence Force airbase. <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	53	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.15 and the explanation to ensure that it does not prevent legitimate rural activities such as quarrying from occurring in the rural environment. For example:</p> <p>Policy 14.4.15 - The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particularly amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</p> <p>(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone and activities the rely on the rural resource such as quarrying activities;</p> <p>(b) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and retain a sense of openness within the Wairau Plain Area;</p> <p>(c) controlling residential activity, other than that associated with primary production, to avoid conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations;</p> <p>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network; and</p> <p>(e) ensuring that other than as provided for in Policies 14.3.1, 14.5.3 and 14.5.4, activities not related to primary production or quarrying activities in the Wairau Plain Area are to be avoided.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	57	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.15 by adding 'and other sensitive activities' after 'residential activity'</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	65	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.15 as follows:</p> <p><i>The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particular amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</i></p> <p><i>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety, functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network, <u>including avoiding cumulative effects</u>;</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	95	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.15, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	66	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the reason under Issue 14C as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> traffic issues, where local <u>the roads network</u> cannot safely handle increases in traffic. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	268	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is retained as amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Residential activity not associated with primary production takes place within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i></p>					
431	Wine Marlborough	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5. (inferred)					
431	Wine Marlborough	75	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: Residential activity takes place only within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
907	Levide Capital Limited	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5 as notified.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	65	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	96	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5, with minor refinement made as follows: <i>"Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i>					
1218	Villa Maria	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	269	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows: <i>"Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity not associated with primary production activities is appropriate."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last sentence of the explanation of Policy 14.5.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.5.1 – Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity is appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>A number of locations within rural environments have been determined as appropriate for residential activity. These include areas zoned as Rural Living, the Coastal Living Zone (which recognises the need and demand that exists for residential activity in Marlborough's coastal environment), the Urban Residential 2 Zone at Marlborough Ridge and along the western periphery of Blenheim, where there is a transition from urban to rural space. These areas have been recognised historically as providing provide a rural lifestyle on a range of allotment sizes in a range of locations.</i></p>					
974	Ministry of Education	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 14.5.1.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.1.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.2 to read: Policy 14.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes within rural environments should take place within land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living, Urban Residential 2 at Marlborough Ridge and Urban Residential 3, to ... <u>(j) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	58	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.2 by adding: j) avoid reverse sensitivity effects					
907	Levide Capital Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.2.					
974	Ministry of Education	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 14.5.2.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.2.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.2 , particularly (g).					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.3 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	270	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - "Residential activity directly associated with primary production activity occurring on the same land , seasonal worker accommodation in remote locations and homestays, will be enabled."					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	86	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	59	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete 'seasonal' from Policy 14.5.4. Delete 'remote' from Policy 14.5.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend: by removing "in remote locations"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	72	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace in sub-clause (c) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels". Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows: <i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:</i> <i>(i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.5.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows: <i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:</i> <i>(i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation of 14.M.3: <i>Rural activities with the potential to cause significant adverse effects, such as dairy farming, factory farming intensive farming and intensive livestock farming are provided for as discretionary activities. A number of other activities, including subdivision and residential activity within areas identified as water short will be determined as discretionary activities.</i>					
255	Warwick Lissaman	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pests of national and regional importance are identified.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include "...developing best practice guidelines in conjunction with community groups..." within the method.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seeks further detail on methods to respond to pest incursions (inferred).					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (inferred) .					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (inferred) .					
935	Melva Joy Robb	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (inferred) .					
91	Marlborough District Council	136	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amendments to 14.M.7 are requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>14.M.7 Council works - The Council has historically maintained the Drainage Channel Network drainage network on the Wairau Plain in a hydraulically efficient state to ensure primary production activities can continue to occur. The works involved include the following: (a) establishing a range of acceptable water levels for the drains and small rivers that make up the Council administered Drainage Channel Network drainage network; (b) as necessary, removing aquatic vegetation and sediment from the drains and small rivers to achieve the acceptable water levels; and (c) where necessary, installing and using pumps to assist with the removal of excess water. The determination of acceptable water levels for each of the drains and small rivers allows for more efficient control of water levels. This will effectively provide triggers for active intervention and in doing so minimise the cost of drainage maintenance work. The use of acceptable water levels will also provide criteria for determining when further intervention such as pumping is required to control water levels. The drains and small rivers that make up the Drainage Channel Network drainage network also provide habitat for indigenous flora and fauna and provide opportunities for the development of ecological corridors. The development and use of triggers for drain maintenance will help to mitigate the impact of the works on the habitat that the Drainage Channel Network drainage network provides. It may also be appropriate to undertake drain maintenance works in a certain manner to further mitigate any adverse effect on habitat values; see Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity for further details.</i> "					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 14.AER.1.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.1 as notified.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 14.AER.2.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.2 as notified.					
91	Marlborough District Council	160	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	A new Indicator for 14.AER.3 is requested as follows - " Land use change to alternative land uses as recorded in the Land Cover Database and resource consents. "					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 14.AER.3.					
91	Marlborough District Council	101	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	The following amendment is requested to the Indicator for 14.AER.7 (strike through and bold) - " <i>The extent and distribution status of pest numbers and location(s) is reported.</i> "					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That <i>Control of wilding pines in South Marlborough</i> is added to 14.AER.7.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request that the council add a dedicated policy for viticulture land use and develop subsequent methodologies including rules for this land use.					
233	Totaranui Limited	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	178	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 15 Resource Quality. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	148	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i> That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) & Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	272	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision						

Requested That the Introduction is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -

*"We are fortunate in Marlborough to generally enjoy good water quality in our coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers. **State of the environment reporting shows that:***

- ***The quality of Marlborough's groundwater remains high in terms of nitrate content***
- ***For median nitrate concentrations across the 14 unconfined aquifer sites, all meet the drinking water standard;***
- ***Historically there have been hotspots in areas on the southern margin of the main aquifer where less dilution occurs;***
- ***Nitrate leaching rates are likely to have decreased over time;***
- ***Seasonal patterns appear stable suggesting current land uses are in equilibrium with water resources;***
- ***95% of sites monitored for macro-invertebrates are fair to excellent, only 3 out of 51 sites are graded "poor";***
- ***95% of sites are in the A band for secondary contact recreation, with 2 out of 34 sites in the B band;***
- ***95% of sites meet the proposed DRP standard, with 2 out of 34 sites at higher levels;***
- ***Around 80% of sites meet the proposed SIN standard, with around 6 out of 34 sites at higher levels;***
- ***Trend analysis shows a reduction in SIN concentrations for some of the sites with the highest concentrations.***

Monitoring has shown that the quality of water in these waterbodies is sufficient to support a wide range of natural and human use values. These include healthy freshwater and marine ecosystems, comprising native fish, plants, algae and invertebrates, trout and salmon; stock and domestic water supplies; commercial uses of water in industry, agriculture, viticulture, marine farming and commercial fishing; and recreational uses such as swimming, shellfish gathering and fishing, scenic and tourism purposes. Water is of considerable cultural and spiritual importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.

The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. Any reduction in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough.

Water quality can be adversely affected by discharges of contaminants resulting from human activities on land or water. Contaminants are those things that have the ability to change the physical, chemical or biological condition of the water. There are two types of contaminant discharge that can affect water quality: "point source" discharges (those that enter water at a definable point, often through a pipe or drain) and "non-point source" discharges (those that enter water from a diffuse source, such as land run-off or infiltration through soils).

*The generally good state of water quality in Marlborough reflects the low number of point source discharges into waterbodies and coastal waters, good land management practices and lack of intensive land uses that can impact on water quality (e.g. dairying). It should also be acknowledged that over time, resource users have also taken action to reduce the impact of discharges on water quality. **Significant progress has been made working in partnership with landowners, organisations and the community through catchment programmes. Marlborough has a proud history of examples in this regard, including the Rai Valley.** However, there is always the potential that point source and/or non-point source discharges will occur and adversely affect the life supporting capacity and community use of Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters.*

*Unfortunately, water quality in some rivers has been degraded as a result of point source and non-point source discharges, impacting upon the uses and values that were once supported by the rivers and coastal waters. **In Marlborough most of the rivers and streams have good or fair water quality. The main management aim for water quality is on improving waterways currently classed as poor, in particular where this impacts on swimming values or the health of indigenous aquatic ecosystems.***

*The management of water quality has a strong regulatory focus. This is because the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) stipulates that the discharge of contaminants into water, or into or onto land in circumstances where it may enter water, is prohibited unless allowed by resource consent or a rule in a regional plan or a regulation **non-regulatory focus, consistent with the MEP principles, the approach for indigenous biodiversity and building***

forward from earlier successful catchment programmes.

*In addition, the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM) sets out objectives and policies that direct the steps that must be taken to manage water in a sustainable manner. In particular, there is a requirement to set objectives for water resources and ~~subsequently~~ to set water quantity and quality limits **in an iterative process informed by costs and achievability** to achieve those objectives. The NPSFM sets as an objective that the overall state of water quality within any region must be maintained or improved.*

*A key component of the NPSFM is the National Objectives Framework (NOF). The NOF is designed to assist the process of establishing appropriate freshwater quality objectives in a nationally consistent manner. It is based on the identification of values supported by waterbodies and the setting of objectives to protect those values. The NOF contains two compulsory national values: ecosystem health and human health for recreation. **The NOF allows for regions and local communities to determine other important values that they also seek to recognise.** Attributes, or measurable physical, chemical and biological characteristics are identified with respect to these values."*

425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	292	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - "Enable land use activities to enable the community to provide for it's economic, social and cultural wellbeing, while maintaining or improving water quality."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	306	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy to replace Policies 15.1.33 and 15.1.34 as follows - "Enable the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm where a farm environment plan is developed with industry."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	309	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - "Marlborough District Council will work to drive engagement and collaboration with landowners, iwi and communities through the planning and management of freshwater, including and in particular in the priority catchment investigations and action plans."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	182	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	(a) Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering"; (b) Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and (c) Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	186	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the water quality provisions in the MEP so far as they protect the quality of coastal water for shellfish gathering. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	155	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the plan manage freshwater to achieve the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 for all Freshwater Management Units and incorporating the relevant changes to Schedule 2 set out in the submission below.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	156	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the Plan identify all the waterbodies that do not achieve the water quality standards contained in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and seek to improve the water quality within these waterbodies to achieve the water quality standards in Schedule 2 by 2030.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	157	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek objectives and policies in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	158	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek rules in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	166	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual average concentration of dissolved reactive phosphorus must be <0.015mg/l when the river flow is at or below the 20th flow exceedance percentile and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	167	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the maximum cover of visible river bed by deposited fine sediment concentration is less than 20% and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	168	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reinstate Objective 5.1.10 from the Operative Regional Policy Statement or an objective with wording to similar effect that ensures the integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity are maintained or enhanced.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	199	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Fish and Game seek to include policies in the Plan to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The allocation status of freshwater management units are defined to identify each freshwater management unit as under-allocated, fully-allocated or over-allocated and use the Council's state of the environment monitoring information to determine those waterbodies that do not currently meet the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and work toward restoring ecosystem health in those waterbodies by 2030. • Farming activities comply with a sustainable nitrogen leaching rate which is based on allocating the total allowable load of nitrogen for the sub catchment, freshwater management zone or catchment to the land on the basis of either a 'flat' per hectare allocation of nitrogen leaching or a nitrogen leaching allowance per hectare based on an allocation on a land use capability class basis, or some other methodology which achieves the efficient use of natural resources • Require farms to comply with specified management practices which minimise or reduce the loss of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and faecal contamination, including, but not limited to, the requirement to seal effluent ponds and to practice deferred irrigation, good management practices for the application of fertiliser and other nutrient sources, including setbacks from waterbodies, permanent fencing and planting of riparian margins, good management practices for earthworks and cultivation including setbacks from waterbodies to avoid or minimise sediment run off to water, • Nutrient budgets are prepared annually by a person who has completed both the "Intermediate" and the "Advanced" courses in "Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture" conducted by Massey University, and provided to the regional council. The information shall be provided in an electronic format compatible with regional councils information systems and may include but shall not be limited to the following reports from Overseer or their equivalent if an alternative model is used (must be accredited for use by the regional council): Nutrient Budget, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Summary, Nitrogen Overview • Exclude all livestock from rivers, lakes and wetlands, and to culvert or bridge all regular stock crossings • Include a prohibited activity for new or intensified (a use that increases loss of nitrogen or phosphorus) use of production in sub catchments that are currently over allocated for nitrogen or phosphorus • Provide for trading of nitrogen or phosphorus loss rates between production land uses or properties in the same sub catchment so long as the water quality standards in Appendix 5 Schedule 2 not breached at any point within that sub catchment, or Freshwater management unit • Ensure that the rules include both land use and ancillary discharge provisions (section 9 and section 15 RMA) • Ensure that rules do not breach s70 RMA and apply sound planning principals <p>Ensure that those activities and land uses which are contributing the most to the over allocation bear the majority of the cost of reducing the over allocation (adopt the polluter pays principal)</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
574	Bryan Skeggs	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
574	Bryan Skeggs	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
578	Pinder Family Trust	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the MEP includes methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming (inferred) .					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific provisions of concern not identified in the Submission.</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	93	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Set and objective relating to limits for Phosphorous and sediment, both being significant stressors on water bodies. Include policies that provide a dual nutrient approach controlling both nitrogen and phosphorous which is necessary to control periphyton growth.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	363	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Provide guidance as to the permitted activity threshold for dust discharges from activities such as construction and bulk handling of materials.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming (<i>inferred</i>).					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following method be added to Methods of Implementation: <i>Work with water users and industry groups and other agencies to develop guidelines for working in riverbeds to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of any activities, and to assist with the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i>					
809	Jim Jessep	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal water and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
809	Jim Jessep	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain water resource quality [<i>inferred</i>].					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the impacts of degraded water quality (including water with elevated sediment loadings) on fisheries and fisheries habitat are explicitly considered in relevant policies in Chapter 15 Resource Quality (Water), as recommended by the fishing industry submitters.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
936	Michael Jessep	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
936	Michael Jessep	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Actively remove animals from water catchments.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
964	Marlborough Oysters Limited	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	229	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the paragraph 2 to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. Any reduction Reduction in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.1.31 should be amended to recognise that some disturbances to river beds are short term with less than minor or negligible adverse effects in the medium or long term;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies be amended so that any controls imposed on commercial forestry activities to address potential land disturbance are consistent with the New Zealand Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 15.4.3 and 15.4.4 be amended to recognise that land disturbance is a necessary component of many primary production activities, particularly commercial forestry and the construction of access tracks and that these activities should be enabled subject to ensuring that they are undertaken in accordance with good practice;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.4.4(e) should recognise that the effects of eroded soil reaching any fresh water body or coastal water should only be considered if the effect of that soil is likely to have an adverse effect that will exist beyond the short term; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new method is included in each of the management of resources sections within Section 15:</p> <p><u>Industry Initiatives</u> <u>In developing plans and strategies for the management of resources, and when making decisions on resource consents, Marlborough District Council will recognise and promote any relevant industry guidelines and codes of practice that represent appropriate industry practice and management approaches.</u></p>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming <i>(inferred)</i>.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1157	Southern Crown Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
1157	Southern Crown Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	93	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.					
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	112	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new policy requiring the Council to undertake a plan change to introduce a nutrient management framework into the Marlborough Environment Plan, in the event that landuse changes occur or are foreseen to occur which will are not anticipated or appropriately regulated by the operative plan.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new objective as follows:</p> <p><u>Maintain or enhance the quality of rivers in the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: the annual median nitrate-nitrogen concentration is between >1 and <2.4 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is between >1.5 and <3.5 milligrams nitrate per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</u></p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise that the sea water and Benthic Environment of the Coastal Environment are as important to Marlborough as the freshwater and soil. and draft polices that deliver the same protection.					
150	Will and Rose Parsons	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(1.a) The public should be informed about where storm run-off is discharged to. (This could easily be done on the Councils regular advert in the Marlborough Express and their website). In short it needs to be more transparent.</p> <p>The Council should support in every way possible the businesses and organizations which are finding sustainable solutions to managing these issues, perhaps offering incentives and an eco-sustainability 'mark' which endorses their effort.</p> <p>(1.b) There needs to be an effective, prompt and result driven 0800 number for reporting of suspicious discharge, similar to Mataa Waka for animal control. This could be linked to Council's advertising and website information.</p>					
256	Justin Stevens	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock.</p> <p>Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ?</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	173	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue 15A. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	273	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the issue is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - "The discharge of contaminants to water can adversely affect the life supporting capacity and the community's use of Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers. Meeting the needs of Marlborough's urban and rural economy whilst ensuring activities do not have adverse effects on water values and uses."</p> <p>That the first paragraph of explanatory text is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - "The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. Any deterioration in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below. Urban and rural activities contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of people. Inappropriate landuse and development can detract from the values and uses of water, including marine habitats. The MEP seeks to provide an enabling framework for development while prioritising and progressively reducing the adverse effects of discharges to water."</p> <p>That the last paragraph of the "Rural activities" section is deleted as follows (strike through) - "There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater."</p> <p>That the final paragraph of the explanatory text is amended as follows (bold) - "There has been a strong preference for discharges to land since the first Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (MRPS) became operative in 1995. This has resulted in a reduction in the number of point source discharges to water. Consequently, the greatest risk to water quality is probably associated with non-point source discharges. Non-point source discharges are difficult to manage as there is no discrete point to which management can be applied. This situation does not justify inaction, but means that the management of non-point source discharges is challenging and will require innovative approaches. It is important that the MEP provides a framework to deal with the point source and non-point source discharges to maintain and enhance water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers through a framework which enables catchment communities to target sources and develop innovations tailored to the specific catchment situation."</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	181	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A. (inferred)					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy that provides for setbacks for all stock from waterbodies, including protection of riparian margins of 1 metre and 3 metres from cultivated or land where stock are break fenced.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	159	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
558	Bruce John Walton	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Forestry in the Sounds area be phased out, with time frame no more planting after 2018.					
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a slow phasing out of forestry occurs and return to native bush.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Actively remove animals from water catchments.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the word 'occasionally' from Natural Process text (first word of paragraph 2).					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	85	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include use of non-regulatory methods.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	230	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph of the explanation for the Issue as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. Any deterioration Deterioration in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	231	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the first and second paragraphs under the heading "Natural processes" as follows - <i>"In the context of the above, it is also important to note that natural processes may influence water quality. For example, groundwater quality often reflects the mineralogy of the aquifer it originated from, especially if the groundwater has high residence time. This means that some Marlborough groundwaters have high levels of naturally occurring contaminants such as salt, iron and arsenic. There is also potential for bacteria from the faeces of feral animals (e.g. goats, pigs and possums) and other wildlife to contaminate fresh and coastal waters.</i> <i>Occasionally, nNatural processes will result in sediment reaching both fresh and coastal water, particularly during rainfall events. This affects the clarity and turbidity of water and the resulting dirty discoloured waters can have an impact on freshwater and marine life."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	232	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Issue. If the issue is non-point source discharges, then make this clear. If it is not an issue of no-point source discharges, then delete this sentence and review the provisions that apply to the non-issue.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 15A Stormwater Reticulation and Disposal to recognise in this discussion that stormwater discharges into the reticulated network are not controlled by the regional rules in the MEP, and otherwise retain the Issue. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p><i>Stormwater reticulation and disposal</i> <i>Most of Marlborough's towns are serviced by reticulated stormwater systems, with the inputs into those systems not being controlled by regional rules. Urban stormwater will pick up contaminants including sediment, solids, organic matter, nutrients, heavy metals and petroleum and product residues as it runs over impervious surfaces. Given the volume of water created by rainfall events, the stormwater receives little or no treatment prior to discharge into the receiving waters.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring of fresh and coastal water quality has demonstrated that stormwater discharges do sometimes degrade the quality of receiving waters. Periods of contamination tend to be episodic and are associated with rainfall events. The exception is when contaminants are deliberately washed or poured into the road kerb or stormwater drains.</i></p> <p><i>Stormwater can also pick up sewage through cross-connections between sewerage and stormwater pipes. This has been a particular problem in Picton and has caused periodic contamination of coastal water during rainfall events.</i></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the intent of Issue 15A is retained but that the following amendment (strike-through) to the last paragraph explanation under the heading <i>Rural Activities</i> is made:</p> <p><i>There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater.</i></p>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 15A explanation as follows: <i>There are many point source discharges to land, including discharges of <u>from</u> winery, <u>and</u> vegetable processing, and domestic wastewater, and dairy shed effluent <u>and other industrial and trade premises</u>.</i>					
150	Will and Rose Parsons	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The tributaries to the Wairau Lagoon Seventeen Valley and Pukapuka Stream are missing from Diagram 15.1 These need to be included.					
339	Sharon Parkes	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Use an alternative method to the Canadian Water Quality Index to measure water quality. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
397	Heather Collins	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request research that shows the effective use of this Water Quality Index in a New Zealand water quality planning scenario.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	274	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the first paragraph of the explanatory text is retained.</p> <p>That the second paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Water quality degradation is has previously been measured relative to the attribute values provided by the National Objectives Framework included in the NPSFM and/or the Council's water quality index. The water quality index, based on the Canadian Water Quality Index, summarises monthly measurements of nine chemical and physical parameters to produce an aggregate score for the state of water quality in Marlborough's rivers. The score allows the overall state of water quality to be categorised as excellent, good, fair, marginal and poor, relative to the natural or desirable level various guideline or default values selected. These proxy values have now been replaced with objectives and standards proposed in this plan."</i></p> <p>That the third paragraph of the explanatory text is deleted and replaced is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The rivers determined to be degraded (poor or marginal in the index) or at risk of degradation (close to marginal in the index) on the basis of the Council's 2014/15 State of the Environment Report are identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 below. The CWQI used various default measures as guidelines but these guideline values are now being replaced by the proposed MEP water quality standards in Appendix 5. The rivers determined to be priorities for catchment enhancement plans against the MEP proposed values, objectives and standards are identified in the tables below."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	275	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Tables 15.1 and 15.2 under the explanatory text for the Issue are deleted and replaced with the following tables:</p> <p>" Table - Water bodies prioritised for enhancement of contact recreation First priority – primary contact recreation (swimming) - Rai River - Waihopai River - Taylor River Second priority - secondary contact recreation - Kaituna River - Cullens Creek - Are Are Creek - Doctors Creek</p> <p>Table - Waterbodies prioritised for enhancement of indigenous ecosystems First priority - Doctors Creek - Flaxbourne Second priority - Are Are - Opawa - Omaka - Mill Creek - Murphys Creek</p> <p>Table - Catchments prioritised for catchment investigations and catchment action plans First priority - Opawa (Taylor River, Doctors Creek, Murphys Creek) Second priority - Mid Wairau (Waihopai, Mill Creek) - Rai River Third priority - Marlborough Sounds (Kaituna River, Cullens Creek) - Lower Wairau (Are Are Creek) - South Marlborough (Flaxbourne)"</p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15B					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	160	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	161	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Table 15.1 with amendment to include the Para Wetland.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	162	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
676	Dairy NZ	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following changes are made to the explanation of Issue 15B:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the reference to the use of the CWQI is removed from this section of the plan and replaced with the human and ecosystem health attributes as defined in the national objectives framework (NOF); 2. Table 15.1 is re-populated to include river sites that fail the national bottom-line for any attributes used for the human or ecosystem health attributes, and 3. that Table 15.2 is re-populated to include rivers that are at risk of degrading and changing banding as defined by NOF. 					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include the Para Wetlands in table 15.1 under Issue 15B.					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Table 15.1 to identify only those waterbodies that are degraded in terms of not meeting the national bottom lines specified for attributes included in Appendix 2 to the NPS-FM; and amend Table 15.2 to include only those waterbodies that are at risk of degrading and consequently changing bands in the national objectives framework.					
339	Sharon Parkes	26	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a to include Food production for human and animal use and commercial development. <i>(Specific provision relevant to submission is inferred.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	276	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Issue is retained as notified; and Add to the explanation to the issue that community catchment action plans are acknowledged as a means of furthering community members knowledge with regards to mauri and other Maori values. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Issue; and Add an Objective as follows - " <i>Achieve swimmable water quality and drinkable in identified areas.</i> " (Inferred)					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	163	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
578	Pinder Family Trust	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new <i>Methods of Implementation</i> are included under Issue 15C: <i>Management purpose</i> (15-11) 15.M.X Replanting Management Plan A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. Incentives 15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	91	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 15C to read: Issue 15C – The mauri of wai (water) has been degraded due to the lack of understanding <u>about its spiritual significance and control of the impacts of different activities and uses.</u>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</p> <p><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></p> <p><i>Incentives</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></p>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</p> <p><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></p> <p><i>Incentives</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></p>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</p> <p>Incentives 15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That new vineyards require resource consent.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
45	Lynda Neame	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Objective 15.1a (f) be amended with the words coastal waters being removed. (f) they support healthy ecosystems.					
91	Marlborough District Council	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a(b) to read as follows (bold) - " <i>(b) water quality at beaches and in rivers is suitable for contact recreation;</i> ".					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	121	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1a					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	277	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i> <i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i> <i>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</i> <i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i> <i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i> <i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i> <i>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems; and</i> <i>(g) water is suitable for stock drinking water and irrigation."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	120	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a as follows: <i>Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i> <i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i> <i>(b) water quality at beaches in coastal waters, rivers and lakes is suitable for contact recreation;</i> <i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i> <i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i> <i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i> <i>(f) coastal waters, rivers and lakes support healthy ecosystems.</i>					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	4	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new objective (bold) following Objective 15.1a to recognise the health and safety risks to vessels if required to discharge untreated sewage significant distances from Mean High Water Springs as follows: <i>Objective 15.X Protect the values of the CMA and activities that rely on high water quality, from the adverse effects from the discharge of sewage from ships, while providing for the health and safety of ships and their occupants.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	164	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to ensure that all coastal and fresh water quality is maintained, and where necessary restored and enhanced to enable primary contact recreation, fishing and the intrinsic values of ecosystems.					
594	Corinne McBride	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers.					
662	Donald McBride	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers.					
676	Dairy NZ	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1a: <i>Objective 15.1a -Maintain or and where necessary enhance water quality.</i>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	92	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a to read: Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough’s rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that: (a) Water quality limits/targets are met . (a)(b) the mauri of wai is protected; (b)(c) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation water quality of Marlborough’s beaches, lakes, rivers and streams is suitable for primary contact recreation and swimming; (e)(d) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes; (d)(e) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking; (e)(f) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and (f)(g) water quality across water body types coastal waters supports healthy ecosystems.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	364	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1a					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	60	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a): Add g) values identified for the water bodies are provided for.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	233	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph of the explanation to the Objective to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) - <i>"Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers contain a diverse range of natural and human use values and are used extensively by the community. The existing water quality in the majority of our waterbodies is sufficient to support these values, but it is important that no long term degradation of water quality is allowed to occur. In addition....."</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	28	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.14: <i>Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where necessary enhance degraded improve water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments Maintain and where necessary degraded enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that: (a) the mauri of wai is protected; (b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation; (c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes; (d) ground freshwater quality is suitable for drinking; (e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and (f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a as follows: Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters <u>and enhance where degraded by human activities to the point of being over-allocated</u> , so that: (a) the mauri of wai is protected; (b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation; (c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes; (d) groundwater quality <u>meets the NZ Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008)</u> . is suitable for drinking ; (e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and (f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 15.1a as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a (d) as follows: groundwater quality is suitable for drinking <u>where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability is not compromised</u>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	278	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual five year rolling average 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."</i> And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
479	Department of Conservation	121	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	165	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030					
676	Dairy NZ	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments is deleted (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1b: Objective 15.1b – Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. Maintain water quality in its current state as defined by relevant attributes from the national objectives framework. Where required, improve water quality in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed. That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	94	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1b to require the level of dissolved inorganic nitrogen to be set at 0.444mg/l as a more appropriate measure of ecosystem health.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	365	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1b					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	97	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1(b).					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1b:</p> <p><i>Objective 15.1b - Maintain or where degraded enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i></p>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept. As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1b as follows: Maintain or enhance the quality of freshwater rivers water quality in each the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: so that the annual median nitrate concentration is ≤ 1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is ≤ 1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	279	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median ammonia concentration is < 0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual five year rolling average maximum concentration is < 0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme." And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
479	Department of Conservation	122	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	169	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Objective 15.1c and incorporate with Objective 15.1b. <i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	366	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1c					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	98	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1(c)					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	30	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1c: <i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain or where degraded, enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Accept. As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 15.1c as follows:</p> <p>Maintain freshwater water quality for <u>rivers and lakes</u> in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is ≤0.03 ≤0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is ≤0.05 ≤0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	280	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median E. coli level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."</i> And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
479	Department of Conservation	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	170	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure it applies to each waterbody and not to Freshwater Management Units and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					
676	Dairy NZ	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Objective 15.1d is deleted (strike-through) and replaced with the following text (bold): Objective 15.1d – Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. Where fresh waterbodies are not valued for primary contact recreation, maintain bacteria levels in their current state as defined by the secondary recreation standard as defined national objectives framework. Where required, improve bacteria levels in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed. That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	367	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1d					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1d: <i>Objective 15.1d - Maintain or where degraded, enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 15.1d as follows:</p> <p>Maintain or enhance the quality of freshwater water quality in each waterbodies Freshwater Management Unit where the following attribute state is currently met: so that the annual median <i>E.coli</i> level is ≤260 per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering"; Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	281	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified. And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
479	Department of Conservation	124	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	171	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to replace the <540 per 100ml to <260 per 100ml to ensure all waterbodies are swimmable and ensure that this is achieved by 2030.					
676	Dairy NZ	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1e.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	368	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1e					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	61	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify how waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation have been identified.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1e:</p> <p><i>Objective 15.1e - Maintain or where degraded enhance freshwater water quality in waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation so that the 95th percentile E. coli level is <540 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i></p>					
1187	Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. 					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1e as follows: Maintain or enhance <u>the quality</u> of freshwater water quality in waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation Unit <u>where the following attribute state is currently met: so that</u> the 95th percentile E.coli level is >260 to ≤540 per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of Environment monitoring programme.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 15.1e as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	39	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	122	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1– As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes: (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and (d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	282	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>"As a minimum, the quality of ffreshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable to provide for the following purposes:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; and for stock drinking irrigation and primary production purposes;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Groundwater: community and stock drinking water supply; and for irrigation and primary production purposes; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering."</i></p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows d) <u>Significant Wetlands</u> : protection management of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.					
455	John Hickman	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.					
456	George Mehlhopt	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.					
479	Department of Conservation	125	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 as follows: (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	39	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	172	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to remove the reference to "potential for contact recreation" and refer simply to contact recreation. Amend (d) to refer to "wetland ecosystems" rather than "aquatic ecosystems" to reflect the diverse nature of wetlands.					
676	Dairy NZ	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	95	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 so that it provides for primary contact recreation - as follows: Policy 15.1.1 – As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes : (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for primary contact recreation (swimming) and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for primary contact recreation (swimming); community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and (d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1, as follows: <i>As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal water will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes:</i> <i>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems <u>and fisheries resources</u>; potential for contact recreation and food gathering <u>seafood harvesting</u>/marine farming; and for coastal and aesthetic purposes;....</i>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	62	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 b) potential for contact recreation 'in identified areas'. c) Add 'and food production' e) other values identified for the water body					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	234	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation for this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"This policy establishes a minimum expectation of water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters. The policy will be primarily implemented through the application of water quality classifications, against which the impact of point source discharges on water quality can be assessed in the preparation of permitted activity rules and the consideration of resource consent applications. The use of "potential" in the criteria reflects a community expectation that contact recreation and/or food gathering should always generally be able to be undertaken safely in coastal waters, rivers, lakes and wetlands. This policy assists to give effect to Policy A1, CA2 and D1 of the NPSFM and Policy 8 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS)."</i>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That food production and animal welfare values are included and that the policy is reworded to allow a more collaborative approach to determining priority values and management approaches. This requested amendment implicitly acknowledges the farming community as stakeholders in the values setting process.					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments (a) Ground Freshwater : drinking water supply					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1. No specific change sought but comments are noted.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.1 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	40	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 to clarify which rivers and lakes need to be maintained for primary contact recreation and which rivers and lakes need to be maintained with secondary contact recreation. Amend Policy 15.1.1(c) as follows: <i>Whether, where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability would be compromised Groundwater: drinking water supply;</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	283	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (a) where and/or when the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 apply ; and (b) other uses and values supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or (c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored." And, that the explanation to the Policy is amended to clarify that classifications, values and standards will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
479	Department of Conservation	126	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	40	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	173	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the relationship between Water Resource Units and Freshwater Management Units so that it is clear how the two data sets relate to each other.					
676	Dairy NZ	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made after the 5th sentence of the first paragraph of Policy 15.1.2:</p> <p><i>Water quality classifications will be applied through the MEP to all water and coastal waters. The classifications will, as a minimum, reflect the management purposes set out in Policy 15.1.1. However, particular waterbodies and coastal waters may support other natural and human use values and it is appropriate for these values to be reflected in any classification. This means that many waterbodies and coastal waters will have multiple classifications. For those waterbodies or coastal water experiencing degraded water quality, the classifications will reflect the natural and human use values that are to be restored. These values will be form a part of Catchment Enhancement Plans. Water quality standards will apply to each classification.</i></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	96	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.2 to specify:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The difference and relationship between quality classifications and standards. The level and which standards will be applied: water resource unit, FMU etc. 					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.12 to read: Policy 15.1.12 – After considering Policies 15.1.10 and 15.1.11, approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants into water only where : (a) the discharge in combination with all other discharges complies with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing; or (b) in FMUs where a contaminant(s) is over-allocated: (a) (i) only in situations where the discharge is associated with an existing use; and (ii) how discharge of that contaminant will be progressively reduced over the term of the permit. (b) in the case of non-compliance with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody: (i) the consent holder for an existing discharge can demonstrate a reduction in the concentration of contaminants and a commitment to a staged approach for achieving the water quality classification standards within a period of no longer than five years from the date the consent is granted; and (ii) the degree of non-compliance will not give rise to significant adverse effects.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	63	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.2 b) all values identified for the waterbody					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.2(c): <i>Policy 15.1.2 – Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect:</i> <i>(c) where water quality has already been degraded by human activities, the uses and values that are to be restored.</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (a) the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1; and (b) other uses and values, including Tangata Whenua Iwi values , supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or (c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.2 as follows: Apply water quality classification (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (c) where water quality has already been degraded <u>by human activities to the point of being over-allocated</u> , the uses and values that are to be restored <u>to meet the FMU Objectives</u> .					
1201	Trustpower Limited	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.2 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	284	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the second last paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"This policy establishes a commitment to commence collecting and analysing resource use and environmental data required to establish cumulative contaminant limits. The use of limits could constrain the land uses that could occur in a catchment (existing and potential) or at least the way in which those land uses are managed. For these reasons, care needs to be exercised in establishing cumulative contaminant limits in respect of water quality. It is also important that the limits reflect the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, otherwise Objectives 15.1a to 15.1e will not be achieved and that communities review MEP objectives and standards based on catchment specific values and information. The cumulative limits and any catchment-specific revisions to values, objectives or standards will be added to the MEP by plan change or upon review."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	127	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.3 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that water quality standards are set as in-stream limits and these limits are to be achieved, through the implementation of rules by 2030.					
676	Dairy NZ	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation for Policy 15.1.3:</p> <p>After paragraph 2 of the explanation: Key stakeholders will be given the opportunity to be kept informed or participate in this investigation process.</p> <p>After paragraph 4 of the explanation: The date for implementation of cumulative catchment limits has been set at 2024. However, this date may be extended where Catchment Enhancement Groups agree it is necessary to allow resource users sufficient time to implement any changes in land use practices.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	97	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The PMEP should identify and include interim cumulative contaminant limits set (at) a precautionary level to achieve ecosystem health, to ensure that contaminants are appropriately managed in the interregnum between instigation of the 2012 programme and its completion.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.3 but add that method 15.M.1 will be used as the first stage on implementing Policy 15.1.3.ts in place a process for limits to be set. This process includes the identification of values supported by freshwater resources. (Method 15.M.1).					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	51	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	235	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.3.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support with amendments To investigate the capacity of fresh waterbodies to receive contaminants from all sources, having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1 in order to <u>In consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi, establish cumulative contaminant limits by 2024 having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1</u>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.3 as notified but note comments.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	285	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified. And, that the explanation for the Method is amended to clarify that uses and values will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.1 but amend: 15.M.1 Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater or coastal water resources. To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.1 as notified but note comments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	286	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" To establish water quality classifications for all waterbodies in the MEP that reflect the uses and values supported by the waterbody or that could be supported by the waterbody if water quality was enhanced. Classifications may include any of the standards listed in the Third Schedule of the RMA. NS, AE, F, FS, CR, SG, A, WS and C. (Refer to Policy 15.1.2 for explanation of the classifications.)"</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.2 as notified.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to add the following bullet point <u><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</i></u>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method 15.M.3: <i>15.M.3 Investigations</i> <i>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. This will also be informed by the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody and the uses and values supported by the waterbody. will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Given their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production, Research into nutrients is a priority. and it may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 15.M.3 Investigations as follows:</p> <p>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. This will also be informed by the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody, will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Give their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production, Research into nutrients is a priority and it may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	287	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take action Develop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b attribute state A of the NPSFM for nitrate within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek."</p> <p>And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending investigation of the age of groundwater feeding the above watercourses is undertaken, and community decisions with regards to costs and benefits.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	128	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows:</p> <p>Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	42	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain policy 15.1.4 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe for Murphys Creek and within a 5-year timeframe for Mill Creek.					
676	Dairy NZ	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.4 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective s 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i></p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	52	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.4 – Take action to eEnhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i></p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	26	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows:</p> <p><u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u>Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	288	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Take actionDevelop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1dattribute state A for secondary contact recreation within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek; (c) Doctors Creek; and (d) Kaituna River." And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending community decisions with regards to costs and benefits.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	129	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: Take <u>Investigate, develop and implement</u> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek; (c) Doctors Creek; and (d) Kaituna River.</p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.5 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	176	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe and within a 5-year timeframe for Kaituna River.					
676	Dairy NZ	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.5: <i>Policy 15.1.5 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i> <i>(a) Are Are Creek;</i> <i>(b) Cullens Creek;</i> <i>(c) Doctors Creek; and</i> <i>(d) Kaituna River.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	53	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	89	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Clear statement of involvement of landowners and other stakeholders.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	236	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.5: <i>Policy 15.1.5 – Take action to eEnhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek; (c) Doctors Creek; and (d) Kaituna River.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	42	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	289	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Take action Develop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e attribute state B for primary contact recreation within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Taylor River; (b) Rai River; and (c) Waihopai River."					
479	Department of Conservation	130	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows: Take <u>Investigate, develop and implement</u> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Taylor River; (b) Rai River; and (c) Waihopai River.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.6 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	177	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within a 5-year timeframe.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	185	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that it applies only to existing discharges seeking new consent and that they are required to comply with Policy 15.1.15 for improvement over time to meet standards. The policy needs to reflect that no new consents will be granted and that consents will not be granted where no improvements are being made.					
676	Dairy NZ	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.6:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.6 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective s 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i></p> <p>(a) Taylor River;</p> <p>(b) Rai River; and</p> <p>(c) Waihopai River.</p>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.6: <i>Policy 15.1.6 Take action to Enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	28	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Taylor River; (b) Rai River; and (c) Waihopai River.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend policy 15.1.6 as follows: <i>"Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers <u>freshwater management units</u> to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.6					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	290	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Take action Develop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables (see tables sought by Submitter in submission on Issue 15B) so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative."</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I seek clarification as to what this may entail or what activities may be restricted.					
479	Department of Conservation	131	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.7 as follows: Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement actions to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.7 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	178	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated timeframes.					
676	Dairy NZ	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 15.1.7 should be amended to include a stronger focus on empowering local communities to determine objectives and timeframes for water management in their relevant catchments. The explanations to these policies should note that the outcomes of catchment-level collaboration will dovetail with an accompanying formal RMA process.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	39	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.7: <i>Policy 15.1.7 – Take action to eEnhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 (except where specifically identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5 and 15.1.6) so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.7 to refer to catchment specific plans setting the scale of any improvement in water quality needed and the appropriate timeframes to achieve this. Such a policy should reflect a cost benefit analysis (s32 report) of the change and timeframes set.					
397	Heather Collins	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council adopts a community collaboration and engagement rather than a community consultation process.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	291	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7.</i> Catchment Enhancement Groups will be established within each catchment. <i>The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality. Possible methods will be modelled to determine the costs and benefits, and decisions made by the Group regarding preferred pathways forward. This and will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in consultation partnership with land owners and community members resource users in the catchment, and industry through the Catchment Enhancement Groups. and other affected parties.</i> " And, that a new method is included in the Plan for the development of Catchment Enhancement Groups.					
676	Dairy NZ	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.5:</p> <p><i>15.M.5 Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7. Catchment Enhancement Groups will also be formed for each of these catchments. These groups will be involved at each step in the catchment planning processes. The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality and the costs and benefits of achieving modelled water quality enhancement scenarios over various timeframes, and will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in consultation partnership with resource users in the catchment and other affected parties.</i></p> <p>The Plan contains several provisions relating to limit-setting. These provisions should be amended to allow for the close involvement of the communities most interested in, and affected by, limit-setting in their respective catchments.</p>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	30	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No changes sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.5 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	293	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water where</i></p> <p>a) a discharge to land is practicable;</p> <p>b) the adverse effects of a discharge to land are less than a discharge to water."</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	132	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	46	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.8 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.8					
676	Dairy NZ	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.8.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	98	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.8 to read: Policy 15.1.8 – Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water <u>where its characteristics will attenuate contaminant discharge.</u>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	40	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	108	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	294	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	The Policy is retained. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	133	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.9 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	179	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to accurately reflect the requirements of s.70 of the RMA to ensure that the discharges do not result in the emission of objectionable odour.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.9 to read: Policy 15.1.9 – Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result: (a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing: (i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials; (ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters; (iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals; (iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; or (b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property. <u>(b)(c) The degradation of ecosystem health in combination with all other discharges.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.9.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.9 in its entirety as notified					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy by adding a new bullet point stating that any discharge will not result is significant adverse effect on cultural values or resources.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result: (a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing: (i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials; (ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters; (iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals; (iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; or (b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property, <u>or</u> (c) adverse effects on tangata whenua iwi values associated with water.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.10 - Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to: (a) the nature of the contaminants; (b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment; (c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and (d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.					
479	Department of Conservation	134	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.10 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	180	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that only the best practicable option is adopted.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.10 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.10 – Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p>(a)(b) <u>the contribution of those contaminants to the overall load limit .</u></p> <p>(b)(c) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p>(c)(d) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p>(d)(e) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.10 in its entirety as notified.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments</p> <p>Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p>(b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p>(c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p>(d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied, and</p> <p><u>(e) Whether the contaminant should be released in water.</u></p>					
269	Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy be amended to incorporate European values along with the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.11 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	181	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reinforce the need for water discharges for contaminants to achieve freshwater objective and standards in Appendix 6.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.11 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</p> <p>(a) the factors in policy 15.1.10.</p> <p>(b) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(a)(c) The potential for adverse effects on ecosystem health including in combination with other permitted discharges .</p> <p>(b)(d) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</p> <p>(e)(e) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.11, as follows:</p> <p><i>When considering any discharge permit application of contaminants to water, regard will be had to...</i></p> <p><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants <u>and sediment</u> present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment;...</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i> <i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance <u>or replacement</u> work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.11 in its entirety as notified.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.11(c) as follows: <i>"Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i> <i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	135	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.12 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	182	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that discharge permits for contaminants are only granted where the criteria stated in the policy are met.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.12 in its entirety as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	51	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.13 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
45	Lynda Neame	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the word wetlands from 15.1.14 (c) or reduce the mixing zone to 10m					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	52	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.14 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	183	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	53	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.15 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " With the exception of stormwater discharges, the The water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is: (a) within one kilometre upstream of an intake for a registered drinking water supply from a river; or (b) to a river where the receiving waters are to be maintained in a natural state; or (c) within 500 metres of any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters."					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	184	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that stormwater discharges are not excluded.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.15, as follows: <i>With the exception of stormwater discharges, the water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is:..</i> (a) within 500 metres of any <u>habitat of particular significance for fisheries management or any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters.</u>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.15.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.15 in its entirety as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	295	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through): <i>" The duration of any new discharge permit will be either: (a) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or (b) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or (c) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters. With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters."</i>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.16 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (c) from Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.6.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	57	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Q. Amend policy 15.1.16 as follows:</p> <p>[R, C] Policy 15.1.16 – The duration of any new discharge permit will be either:</p> <p>(a) Up to 35 years where the quality and/or nature of the discharge is such that the risk associated with the discharge is low, or</p> <p>(ab) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</p> <p>(bc) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</p> <p>(ed) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</p> <p><i>With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>To provide greater certainty to resource users, the policy identifies the appropriate duration for discharge permit applications if they are to be granted. The duration varies depending on a risk assessment determined by compliance water quality classification standards and the state of water quality in the waterbody or coastal waters or by the standard of the discharge, which could be measured by, for example, industry codes of practice or Ministry for the environment Guidelines. Longer durations are warranted where compliance with water quality classification standards will be achieved and there is currently no water quality issue, or where the quality of and/or nature of the discharge presents an acceptable and low risk to water quality. While short term consents will occur where water quality classification standards cannot be met. In the latter case, Policy 15.1.12 identifies that consent holders only have five years to achieve compliance with water quality classification standards, hence the requirement in (c) above.</p> <p><i>This policy gives effect to Policy A3 of the NPSFM.</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 15.1.16 as follows:</p> <p><i>“With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure the duration of any new discharge permit will be either: ...”</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	136	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.17 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	186	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that all existing discharge permits are reviewed where conditions requiring monitoring are not already included.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	58	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · For those permits already in place should this policy not be used to require the consent holder to commence monitoring the effects of the discharge? · Any new discharge permit applications to continue discharging the contaminants, should then be able to provide monitoring records on the effects with the application, rather than starting the monitoring process from time of application being granted. 					
1201	Trustpower Limited	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend Policy 15.1.17 as follows: <i>"Review, where appropriate, the conditions of existing discharge permits which directly affect water quality, to impose new conditions requiring the monitoring of the discharge effects to determine compliance with the water classification standards."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	108	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.17					
332	Robert John Culbert	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy be deleted.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	124	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.18					
479	Department of Conservation	137	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.18 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " Avoid Prohibit the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters."					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 15.1.18: <i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	187	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, MBMA seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to the policy for clarification: <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land based sources to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, MBMA seeks the following amendment to the policy: <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	59	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In the event that this Policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land based sources to waterbodies or coastal waters."</i></p> <p>In the event that this Policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture."</i></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, WBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land based sources to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, PBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</i></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	157	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage from land based activities to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i> "					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	126	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough) to <i>Policy 15.1.19 - Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	296	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	138	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.19 as follows: <i>Progressively work toward eliminating the <u>existing</u> discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, <u>and improvement in the discharge from</u> with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	57	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.19 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of treated human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."</i>					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 15.1.19: <i>Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage from land to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19: <i>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	60	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy by deleting the caveat 'with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure'.					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19: <i>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	127	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.20					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	58	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.20 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 15.1.20: <i>Policy 15.1.20 Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds. Avoid the discharge of untreated sewage from ships within areas that have been identified as inappropriate due to the proximity to shore, marine farms, marine reserves, or shallow water depth while providing for the health and safety of vessels and their occupants.</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20: <i>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</i>					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20: <i>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	297	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified in the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	59	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.21 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	188	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this policy in its entirety.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.21, as follows: <i>Manage the adverse effects of urban stormwater discharges on water quality by applying management to activities within each urban stormwater catchment in order to reduce the potential for stormwater to become contaminated <u>or entrain sediment loadings</u> at source.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	61	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions to better provide for stormwater from various areas within the district, including from the Airport Zone.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.21.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.1.21.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.21 in its entirety as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	60	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.22 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	189	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	62	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	89	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
339	Sharon Parkes	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord. <i>It is inferred from the content of the submission that Policy 15.1.23 may be the appropriate provision to relate the submission to. By implication this would also connect the submission to rules that give effect to Policy 15.1.23. The decision requested is also inferred.</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	165	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers. 					
397	Heather Collins	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.23					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	298	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Avoid Reduce the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, policy 15.1.5, and policy 15.1.6 by: (a) assessing causes of elevated E. coli levels and identifying the most appropriate and cost-effective solutions for restricting stock access; and (a) (b) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) (c) avoiding managing the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers to support achievement of Policy 15.1.5, and Policy 15.1.6."</p> <p>That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Animal effluent can be discharged directly into rivers and wetlands through either the point source discharge of collected animal effluent (e.g. farm dairy effluent) or through stock access to waterbodies. At the date of notification of the MEP, there were no authorised discharges of animal effluent into water. This policy seeks to avoid the significant risk posed to surface water quality by discharges of collected animal effluent. This will be implemented through a prohibited activity rule. Stock can also access rivers when grazing riparian margins. While grazing of riparian margins is at times an important management tool, when on a continued basis In such circumstances, it is likely that there may will be a discharge of animal effluent to water and the river bed may will be physically disturbed. The resulting increase in bacteria and turbidity in the receiving waters have the potential to reduce water quality. The adverse effects of casual access on water quality are dependent on a number of factors, including the type and density of stock. Intensively farmed stock such as dairy cattle, pigs, or cattle or deer grazed on irrigated pasture or breakfed on winter crops create a significant risk of adverse effects on water quality. For this reason, the policy seeks to avoid stock access where stock is farmed intensively. This policy seeks to understand the cause of elevated E. coli level and identify the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting access in catchments where there is an identified problem. This work will be completed through the Catchment Enhancement Plans, working collaboratively with landowners, industry, the community and Council to explore options. Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, or where rainfall events can cause ephemeral rivers to flow, and in situations where the costs of fencing and designing stock crossings are prohibitive for limited use, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody that good management practice is followed to manage adverse effects on colour and visual clarity."</p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which provides for the assessment of causes of elevated E. coli levels and identification of the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting stock access; and</p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which involves working with landowners and industry to implement good management practice around stock access to waterways, through Catchment Enhancement Groups, based on a better understanding of the causes and solutions.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission). (Inferred)					
472	ME Taylor Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					
479	Department of Conservation	139	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	61	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.23 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds and margins to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</i> <i>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</i> <i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively all farmed stock to rivers."</i>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (b) to read (b) avoiding the access of farmed stock (other than low intensity farmed sheep) to rivers.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	190	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure all intensively farmed livestock access to rivers, lakes and wetlands is avoided					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	85	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Support the approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access for extensive grazing properties.</p> <p>b) Recommend that Council work with Industry groups to develop a Code of practice and industry guidelines to mitigate the potential effects of extensively grazed livestock on fresh water bodies.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers, except in the following circumstances:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons or • The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and • There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and • The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody. <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last paragraph of the explanation for Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, or where intense rainfall events can cause ephemeral waterbodies to flow, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require good practices to be followed in order to avoid adverse effects on water quality compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.23 to read: Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh and coastal waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by: (a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	63	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.23(b) 1 to read: <i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers <u>unless the access is for the purposes of actively moving the farmed stock across the river;</u></i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.23 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	46	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.23 as follows: Avoid <u>Manage</u> the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by: (a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) avoiding <u>managing</u> the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers; and (c) <u>managing the crossing of intensively farmed stock across rivers</u> .					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a policy that requires operators to appropriately locate, design, construct and manage treatment and/or spill response facilities (where appropriate) for hazardous substances. That the same or similar policy is required in relation to preventing or mitigating the contamination of soils (for instances where activities do not occur on impervious surfaces where stormwater contamination is of more concern).					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	62	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.24 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.24.					
348	Murray Chapman	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for aesthetic, weed control and fire hazard management purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules (inferred) . 15.M.6 Regional Rules <i>Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules (inferred) . 15.M.6 Regional Rules <i>Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	51	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 15.M.9 to include reference to reduction of sediment levels using Stormwater Management Area Plans.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.9.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.9.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include consultation and discussion with Te Atiawa in the research, preparation, and implementation of storm water management plans.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	28	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Method 15.M.11 is amended to include the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board as one of the parties to liaise with in determining uses and values of waterbodies.					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	109	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.13	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.14 in its entirety as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.15 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	299	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	63	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.25 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.25 to read: Policy 15.1.25 – Recognise that, <u>in many situations</u> , non-regulatory methods will may be an effective method of managing the adverse effects of non-point source discharges.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Working with landowners is a positive approach and could result in no requirement to control resource use. This outcome should be provided for – not just a regulation outcome.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	237	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the final paragraph of the explanation for the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"In time and as signalled in Policy 15.1.3, the Council will may establish cumulative contaminant limits to assist with the effective management of the adverse effects of all discharges to freshwater within a catchment. These limits will be established as regional rules and will establish a maximum amount of resource use within a catchment for water quality outcomes. If the adoption of good management practices provides sufficient management of non-point source discharges, regulation may not be required."</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	300	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.26 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.26 to read: Policy 15.1.26 – Encourage, Require in close association with rural industry groups, the use of sustainable rural land management practices.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	42	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retail Policy 15.1.26					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	128	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If this policy does not include the Coastal Environment rural areas, it should as siltation in the Sounds is a big issue now-days, and farmers here should do their bit as well.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	301	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Promote the retirement, management, and appropriate riparian vegetation and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation in order to achieve the desired outcomes for the waterbody."</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	140	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.27 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " Promote Require the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation."					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend explanation to read On properties where stock (other than low intensity sheep) are grazed, riparian retirement may will require fencing to prevent stock entry to the riparian margin.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	191	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (<i>inferred</i>).					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.27 to read: Policy 15.1.27 – Promote the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation <u>and requiring planting or riparian margins as a condition of consent where it is an effective management tool in intercepting contaminant run off, excluding stock, or preventing sediment loss.</u>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (<i>inferred</i>).					
935	Melva Joy Robb	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (<i>inferred</i>).					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	91	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification of promote v rules.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	238	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to apply to all of the Marlborough region. Amend the Policy description to provide commentary on the effectiveness of riparian planting and the need for a practical planned approach to any planting to ensure that unintended adverse consequences are avoided.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.27.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.27 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.27					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	302	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	141	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.28 as follows: To require where appropriate (as part of the subdivision consent process) the creation of esplanade reserves and esplanade strips to maintain or enhance water quality and or aquatic habitats.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.28 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	192	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	303	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " To control enable land disturbance activities in order to where : (a) mitigate the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water are mitigated; and (b) avoid the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater are mitigated. "					
479	Department of Conservation	142	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.29 as follows: (a) avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water; and					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.29 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	193	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (a) to include the avoidance or remediation of the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.29 to read: Policy 15.1.29 – To control land disturbance activities in order to: (a) Avoid mitigate the adverse effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water ; and (b) avoid the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.29 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	92	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make this a controlled activity if consent is required.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	239	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to fairly reflect the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy be inserted after Policy 15.1.29 to recognise that some land use activities will cause short term land disturbance and potential sediment discharge, but that any adverse effects are likely to be minor.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 15.1.29.					
475	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the various provisions in the Plan as referred to and relating to the WGPA be amended such that the following be provided for: (a) the existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained and (b) the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m ³ and if underground water is struck compaction to be undertaken to reduce leaching.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.30 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	194	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policies applies to all land use activities that effect water quality or include a new policy in the Plan to this effect.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.30 as notified. <i>[The submitter opposed the Groundwater Protection Areas]</i> Insert Groundwater Protection Area around the bores at Base Woodbourne into the MEP, with the location and extent of the proposed Protection Zones independently peer reviewed. NZDF is willing to discuss these areas with Council to ensure they are appropriate.					
1035	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained.					
479	Department of Conservation	143	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.31 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	195	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.31.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	93	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow short term and/or minor discharges.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	240	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation to the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) - <i>"Sections 12 and 13 of the RMA regulate the activity of disturbing the seabed and the bed of lakes and rivers, respectively. This disturbance usually releases sediment into water, effectively a nonpoint source discharge of contaminants. To ensure integrated management of the effects of bed disturbance, this policy signals that any water quality effects caused by such a discharge also need to be managed. It is also accepted that some short term and minor discharges may occur in conjunction with an activity that aims to avoid further or sustained bed disturbance."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.32 to include a criterion for considering the effects of sedimentation on the aquatic/marine environments and associated social, cultural and economic values of areas not identified within Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 or Appendix 2 of the MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
431	Wine Marlborough	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</p> <p>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</p> <p>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</p> <p>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</p> <p>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</p> <p>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</p> <p>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</p> <p>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</p> <p>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</p> <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</i></p> <p><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose

Decision
Requested

Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:

(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;

(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:

(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;

(ii)

the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance

;

(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;

(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated

by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and

(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.

473	Delegat Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	144	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.32 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	196	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to provide direction on how effects will be managed rather than a list of matters for consideration.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.32 as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 - In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the distance;</i></p> <p><i><u>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i><u>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</u></i></p> <p><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increase turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i></p> <p><i><u>(vii) Riverbed activities in, on, under or over the River bed (with exception of the taking of water), which require resource consent, must prepare site specific management plans that set out how adverse effects from activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated.</u></i></p>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b):</p> <p><i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i></p>					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	52	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.32, as follows:</p> <p><i>In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land <u>in the coastal environment</u> or in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to: ...</i></p> <p><i>(b)(iv) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>- the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the MEP; or on</i> <i>- the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 3 of the MEP; <u>and</u></i> <i>- <u>fisheries resources.</u></i> 					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i><u>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> 					
777	Investavine Limited	4	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse</u></i> <i><u>effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the</i> <i>coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.32: <i>(iii) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i> <i>(v) the socio-economic impacts of not undertaking the disturbance</i>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</u></i></p> <p><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from</u> such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	94	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Munsell scale. Allow minor and/or short term discharges where appropriate. Define proximity. Provide clarity in consideration of existing levels. If there is high suspended sediment a bit more won't matter or enough is enough?</p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i> <i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	241	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to ensure: 1. No interpretation is required 2. Any clarity standards are meaningful and measurable 3. Acknowledgement and acceptance of short term and/or minor bed disturbances 4. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.32 as notified.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include the additional matters identified by PRW.					
1218	Villa Maria	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</u></i> <i>(v) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b): <i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake;</u></i> <i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	304	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	145	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.33 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	197	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.33 as it is redundant and Policy 15.1.34 provides an effects based approach to dairy farming activities: <i>Policy 15.1.33 - Require land use consent for the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm.</i>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	110	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.33.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.33 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	305	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
479	Department of Conservation	146	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.1.34 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	198	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that specify appropriate limits for dairy farming, including maximum nitrogen leaching standards, management practices to avoid loss of phosphorus and sediment and faecal contamination.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	108	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.34 to read: Policy 15.1.34 – Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms where the proposed farming would have no more than minor adverse effects on ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands . A land use consent application must identify <u>(as part of and in combination with the requirements in Schedule 1 RMA)</u> the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum: (a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network; (b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5; (c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur; (d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and (e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input <u>and the discharge outputs;</u> <u>(f) assessment of the effects of any discharges, in combination with all other discharges to the FMU on the receiving environment and identifying how and why the adverse effects are no more than minor; and</u> <u>(e)(g) measures in place to ensure that leaching maxims are met or for existing farms measures in place to reduce leaching down to the maxim by a specified date.</u>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.34:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.34 - Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms and intensive farming activities where the proposed farming activity would have no more than minor adverse effects on can identify potential risks to ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</i></p> <p><i>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</i></p> <p><i>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</i></p> <p><i>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</i></p> <p><i>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</i></p> <p>preparing and implementing a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X, made available to Marlborough District Council upon request is a Permitted Activity.</p> <p>It is noted that the submission does not include details for the content of Appendix X.</p>					
1189	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	111	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accept with amendments</p> <p>Point (e) should be amended so that there is a standard that needs to be met, as opposed to the standard being the provision of a nutrient management plan, of which the contents may not be acceptable, but nonetheless the requirement would be met through the provision of the plan itself.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.34 as follows: Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms <u>and intensive farms</u> where the proposed farming would have no more than minor adverse effects on <u>can identify potential risks</u> to ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum: (a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network; (b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5; (c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur; (d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and (e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input. <u>preparing and implementing a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a requirement that dairy farms, all farms with high nutrient leaching risk and those with non-compliance issues write a Farm Environment Plan (FEP) (including a nutrient management plan) which is regularly audited with a requirement for practices identified as risking damage to the environment to be corrected within a designated timeframe. The FEP must include an assessment of the adverse environmental effects, risks associated with the farming activities and how those effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, offal pits and farm rubbish pits. FEP should be audited annually then less often if practices consistently reach a high standard.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.34					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.15 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	95	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Define use of "potential" and how is this identified.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	242	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the second paragraph of the explanation to the Method to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Apply permitted activity standards to require rural land uses, with the potential to adversely affect water quality through non-point source discharges, to be setback from rivers, lakes, significant wetlands and coastal waters. The required setbacks will be commensurate with the effects of the activity."</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.17.					
397	Heather Collins	4	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	MEP to recognise industry best practice standards and industry accords (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	307	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	89	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include additional bullet point: <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u> <u>Engage with water user group when determining the need for research, the design and implementation of research projects.</u>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	369	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.18					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	46	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.18.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	91	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain non regulatory methods to work with established rural industry groups and implement sustainable land management programmes.					
1218	Villa Maria	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
397	Heather Collins	3	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 15.M.19.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Provide rates relief and the provision of plant material and fencing at low cost to landowners for fencing of waterways by farmland owners. (Inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Provide method to collate information to be able to impose rules and policies on landowners. (inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.21	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to 15.M.21:</p> <p><i>15.M.21 Information</i> <i>Provide information, including guidelines, to landowners, resource users and the public:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• to generally promote awareness of water quality issues; and</i> <i>• to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise any adverse effects of non-point source discharges. This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been development and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</i> <p><i>Although the focus of this method will be on rural resource users, the information will also be applicable to residential situations (in both rural and urban environments).</i></p> <p><i>Provide information on the benefits of retiring and planting riparian margins. This will include information on the appropriate width of riparian margins and suitable plant species, taking into account the variation in the nature of waterbodies/coastal waters and the adjoining rural land uses. Information on options for formally protecting retired riparian margins can also be provided.</i></p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain but amend 15.M.21 Information as shown: - to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise <u>any adverse</u> effects of non-point source discharges. <u>This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.23.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.23 Advocate as notified.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point: <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include additional bullet point: <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to add the following bullet point</p> <p><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to 15.M.24 and amend 15. M.21 (submission point #48) to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice.</p> <p>15.M.24 Codes of practice and industry guidelines Advocate to rural industry groups that they, locally or nationally, prepare and adopt codes of practice or other guidelines aimed at reducing the effects of non-point source discharges where they do not already exist.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	39	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 15.M.24 and amend 15.M.21 as shown above, to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice.</p>					
1218	Villa Maria	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point</p> <p><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></p>					
397	Heather Collins	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Inferred - Clarify points raised in submission for Methods of Implementation 15.M.25:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether management plans are a requirement for existing or for new dairy farm conversions.</i> <i>Which version of Overseer will be used in preparation of a NMP.</i> <i>How often a Nutrient Management Plan should be produced.</i> 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	308	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Method is amended to include farm environment plans, which are to be utilised in conjunction with the catchment enhancement plans as a non-regulatory tool to compliment the partnership approach. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.25:</p> <p>15.M.25 Farm Environment Management plans for dairy farming intensively farmed livestock Water Quality Management Farm Environment Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy and intensively farmed ing livestock will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</p> <p>The Farm Environment Plan should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nutrient Management Plans. These will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy and intensively farmed ing livestock are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects on water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by an accredited nutrient adviser a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialist crops will be managed (including all sources of nutrient - for example, discharges from farm dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation.</i> • Good management plans. • Riparian management plans. • Details of the property including location of waterbodies. 					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	40	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 15.M.25 as follows:</p> <p><u>Farm Management plans for dairy farming intensively farmed livestock.</u></p> <p>Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy and <u>intensively farmed livestock</u> will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</p> <p><u>The Farm Management Plan should include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Nutrient Management Plans. These will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy and intensively farmed <u>livestock</u> are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects of water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by an accredited nutrient adviser <u>a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</u> using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialised crops will be managed (including all sources from dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation. - <u>Good management practices.</u> - <u>Riparian management plans.</u> - <u>Details of the property including location of waterbodies.</u> 					
227	Jessica Bagge	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15D	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	92	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15D	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the offending paragraph from the MEP.					
1239	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15D	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Council reviews it's policy on using PM10 concentrations as a measure for ambient air quality and consider using PM2.5 instead.					
467	Gary Bruce Jones	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Wish to:</p> <p>(i) include chimney flue cowling devices such as The FlueCube Chimney Cowl which also eliminates smoke emissions down to the levels required</p> <p>(ii) extend the expiry date for installing a clean burning device to 12 or 18 months from the Plans operative date</p> <p>(iii) provide financial incentive assistance to residents to make the changes required.</p>					
573	Blenheim Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increases the provision of education in relation to environmentally sound burning practices in Marlborough. Considers the impact of restricting the use of certain fireplaces on low/middle income families, and how Council might support a transition to cleaner energy solutions. Considers options that improve the affordable access to green waste disposal facilities in Marlborough. Considers restrictions of green waste burning on the outskirts of Blenheim. <p>The submission does not identify an issue, objective, policy or provision to which the decision requested relates to.</p>					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
52	Anthony Armstrong	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I submit that 'outdoor fires' should not be banned.</p> <p>I have incinerated tree waste, after it has completelydried, for the last forty years with never a complaint. This has always been done in spring orsummer, when convection takes the smoke, which only occurs on lighting, highabove the city. This is not a detrimentto the environment.</p> <p>I have never seen in Blenheim, garden fires that pollute.This is a minor problem, if indeed it is a problem at all. During my three times weekly walks up theWither Hills, I see no smoke coming from Blenheim residences. Thus out door fires are infrequent.</p> <p>The outdoor fires do not add to pollution if burnt on a warmsummers day. If you consider they do,where is your proof?</p> <p>I submit that either you ditch this proposal, or you limitfires to a specific timeframe, say April to October. This is what happened in Christchurch.</p> <p>Trusting you give this submission your earnest consideration.</p>					
109	Raupo Cafe	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That existing open fires in hospitality establishments be allowed continued usage.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Rates relief o Provision of heating sources at low cost <p>(inferred)</p>					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
135	Allister Leach	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	30	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following: 'Require all new and replacement multi-fuel and wood burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'					
444	Lisa Collinson	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Phase out small scale solid fuel burning appliances older than 15 years of age as they need replacing within the Blenheim Airshed.</i> " Or, consider subsidising the cost; Or, providing interest free loans that can be repaid once a property is sold. (Inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition. o Rates relief o Provision of heating sources at low cost (Inferred)					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following: 'Require all new and replacement multi-fuel and wood burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	57	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.2.4 to provide for applications for discharge consents that are a renewal of an existing discharge. For example:					
	<p>Policy 15.2.4 - Refuse discharge permit applications to discharge PM10 into air within the Blenheim airshed if the discharge is likely to increase the concentration of PM10 by more than 2.5 micrograms per cubic metre (24 hour average) in any part of the airshed, unless:</p> <p>(a) the Blenheim airshed average exceedance is less than 1 per year; or</p> <p>(b) the application offsets the proposed PM10 discharge by reducing PM10 discharges from another source(s) in the airshed by the same or greater amount; <u>or</u></p> <p><u>(c) the application is subject to Section 124 of the RMA.</u></p>					
227	Jessica Bagge	3	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.28	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
1239	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.28	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are added to 15.M.28 (inferred):</p> <p><i>15.M.28 Incentives</i> <i>Consideration will be given to assisting landowners to replace open fires and older style enclosed burning appliances and to make energy efficient improvements. This may require approaches to central government and the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority for greater financial assistance with offering incentives. Other incentives could include allowing costs to be applied to rates or providing subsidies and/or waiver of permits or free expert advise on best options to suit their dwelling.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.30	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 15.M.30 so that the community is well informed about how to operate their wood burners correctly to ensure more efficient heating and less pollution. This includes burning the right wood (dry, seasoned hardwood; no chemical treatment or rubbish) the right way (hot and not smouldering fire, not overloading the appliance).					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.30	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1239	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.30	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.30 (inferred):</p> <p><i>15.M.30 Information</i></p> <p><i>Through community engagement and public education material, Ensure that the community is well informed about:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>alternative means of managing waste and the facilities that can be used/accessed to dispose of waste that can no longer be burned;</i> <i>the choices of heating and heat conservation methods;</i> <i>alternative and/or emerging technologies that reduce emissions, e.g., chimney top filters, smartburn and retrofitted catalyst converters;</i> <i>efficient use of fireplaces is essential for all owners of new and older style woodburners;</i> <i>the incentives available to the public to change to cleaner, more efficient methods of home heating and fuel use; and</i> <i>the need to use dry firewood to reduce PM10 emissions.</i> 					
43	Tony Mortiboy	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	Commence monitoring air quality in Picton. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	129	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text of Issue 15E, as provided in Federated Farmers submission:</p> <p><i>At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect amenity values to be modified by such effects.</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	310	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Issue is amended to read as follows (strike through) - "The discharge of contaminants into air that reduce the amenity of the surrounding area or create an undue risk to human health."</p> <p>That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text for the Policy which reads as follows - "At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect times when amenity values may be modified by such effects."</p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new objective is included:</p> <p>Objective 15.X The operational requirements of rural activities are recognised and provided for.</p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new policies are included:</p> <p>Policy 15.3.X Recognise that rural air quality is generally a result of dust and odours, and other emissions generated by rural production activities.</p> <p>Policy 15.3.X Require adequate separation distance between rural land use which discharges dust and odour to air and activities that are sensitive to adverse effects of dust and odour discharges.</p>					
431	Wine Marlborough	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Redraft the objective to read:</p> <p><u>Where necessary</u>, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.3 Reduce the potential for adverse effects, including health effects, from the discharge of contaminants to air.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.3 (inferred) : <i>Objective 15.3 Reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air Incompatible uses and development are separated to manage adverse effects on air quality from discharges of contaminants into air and avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects.</i>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address PR's concern, e.g.: <i>"Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air."</i>					
1173	Tim Newsham	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the MEP that states the need to reduce the threats of climate change and the use of more renewable and sustainable resources.					
1218	Villa Maria	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	109	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.3 as follows: Reduce <u>Manage the potential for offensive or objectionable effects nuisance and significant adverse health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	110	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.1 as follows: Prohibit the discharge of contaminants into air in accordance with Regulations 4 – 10 of the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality resulting from the combustion of materials that will give rise to concentration of contaminants likely to be dangerous or toxic.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.3.2 or amend it to make it clear that the ambient air quality standards in the NESAQ are not to be used as assessment criteria for individual discharges.					
1173	Tim Newsham	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The changes I SEEK to this section of the proposed MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rules which reflect scientific bases toward dealing with the health aspect of air quality controls. Regional responsibility for creating rules which reflect our quality of life expectations rather than trying to adhere to national standards that may be inappropriate to our local circumstances. 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	111	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.2 as follows: Require all discharges to comply with the ambient air quality standards established by the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality. Manage the discharge of contaminants to air so that adverse effects on human health, including cumulative adverse effects, are avoided, and all other adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	96	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Air quality monitoring of ground concentrations is undertaken at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	243	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Control emissions from newly consented (post 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim airshed & approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site(s) from where the discharge originates. Control emissions from existing (prior to 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim Airshed & approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling."</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	112	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	311	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) - <i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows:</i> <i>(a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and</i> <i>(b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a).</i> <i>Require that appropriate measures and good management practice are taken to ensure that, to the extent reasonably possible, spray drift from the application of agrichemicals does not result in adverse effects that are offensive or objectionable beyond the property boundary.</i>					
431	Wine Marlborough	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: <i>Manage the use of agri-chemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i>					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) - <p><i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift as far as practicable. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a)."</i></p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: <i>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to use these products in the winter period when there are no leaves on grapes.					
479	Department of Conservation	147	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.4 Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid adverse effects of spraydrift by adopting best practice methods of application to minimise the potential for off-target drift. Include in the Explanation: Best practice for agrichemical use is set out in NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals. Appropriate training is required to ensure that users are competent in undertaking applications of agrichemicals to minimise potential for off target spray drift.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: <i>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u></i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	97	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.					
974	Ministry of Education	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 15.3.4.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.4.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.4, subject to the following amendment: <i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1218	Villa Maria	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: "Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.3.5 to the following: 'Manage the discharge of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: ... (c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values including as a result of cross media effects between air and other receiving environments.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have..."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	312	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: (a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment; (b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on: (i) human health; and (ii) amenity values; and (c) avoiding or mitigating more than minor adverse effects on any other values."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	183	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have..."					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	79	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) - "Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: (a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment; (b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on: (i) human health; and (ii) amenity values; and (c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	58	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.5 so as to broaden the scope of the policy beyond discharges from industrial and trade processes. For example: Policy 15.3.5 - Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: <u>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes activities that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;</u> (b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on: (i) human health; and (ii) amenity values; and (c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	98	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification required for dust. Silent in the use of shelterbelts or physical barriers.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.5 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	313	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	113	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.6 as follows: <i>Promote <u>best practicable option</u> measures to avoid or mitigate the effects of the discharge of contaminants to air at their source.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	314	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Having adequate information about the state of Marlborough's air quality to enable the Council to assess the cumulative effects of discharges to air on amenity values and human health."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	315	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.34	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified.					
1173	Tim Newsham	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A greater role by local authorities in both regulating and educating the proper use of wood to achieve efficient combustion and heat generation.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	79	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.35	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.35.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	79	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.37	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit the narrative re Issue 15F needs to specifically refer, in this context, to Commercial forestry operations in the CEZ.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Longfield submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	80	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15F.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	51	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Issue 15F: <i>Soil contains essential mineral elements required by plants and animals. An inevitable consequence of the productive use of soil is that, at some time in the future, soils will become unable to sustain high levels of production unless those nutrients are replaced. Soil depletion refers to the reduction of soil nutrients to a level where their potential to sustain primary production is adversely affected. Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater. Council will encourage the application of fertiliser in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management and Good Management Practices for farming activities to reduce the risk of nutrients entering surface and groundwater resources.</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 15F Explanation:</p> <p>Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater.</p> <p><u>Healthy productive soils are a finite natural resource and essential for the social, cultural and economic well-being of people and communities. It is important to manage the potential for adverse effects of land uses on soil health and function and practices including vegetation clearance, earthworks and forestry harvesting where they adversely affect soil quality or induce or exacerbate soil erosion.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, accelerated erosion from land use resulting in additional deposition of sediment in rivers and lakes can have a major impact on aquatic ecosystems and instream values.</u></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 15.4 Safeguard the life supporting capacity of Marlborough's soil resource.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the last sentence of Objective 15.4 to specifically refer to limiting soil erosion as well as maintaining and enhancing soil quality.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	42	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 15.4 as follows:</p> <p>Maintain <u>the health and function of</u> and enhance the quality of Marlborough's soil resource.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	316	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is retained as notified.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 15.4.1 [<i>inferred</i>].</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	80	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	317	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.4.2: Encourage good management practices that:					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	81	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	It should be avoided except for in designated tracks, roads, skids, culverts or where required for built infrastructure.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	244	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Encourage land management practices that:</i> <i>(a) maintain soil structure by:</i> <i>(i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction (unless it is required for infrastructure stability);</i> <i>(ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and</i> <i>(iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels;</i> <i>(b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels; and</i> <i>(c) retain topsoil in situ."</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	52	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.2.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.4.2 as follows: Encourage land the use of industry agreed good management practices that: (a) maintain soil structure by: (i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction; (ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and (iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels; (b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels; and (c) retain topsoil in situ.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	176	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.4.3. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	318	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Control Enable land disturbance activities where to retain topsoil and minimise the potential for eroded soil to degrade water quality in lakes, rivers, significant wetlands and coastal waters is avoided, remedied or mitigated. "					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	184	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3. (inferred)					
479	Department of Conservation	148	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process. Matters of discretion should be the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	A controlled activity rule would deliver the required outcomes. Allow controlled activity status. Need to promote use of shelterbelts or minimise their removal.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	245	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to fairly address the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	81	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3.					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	We believe consents are too easily granted. We would like tree clearance to be made a discretionary activity. The submission does not include a zone or rule to which tree clearance should be a discretionary activity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	177	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	185	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4. (inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to: ... (g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance <u>or replacement</u> of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for a controlled activity or limited discretionary activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	246	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity. The rainfall event return period should be stated and practical. Direction should be provided to determine how close a land use needs to be to any fresh waterbody or coastal water in the application of this Policy.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 as notified.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.4.4 as follows: <i>"Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to: ... (f) any potential adverse effects on community water supplies; and (g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure; and (x) the proximity to, and potential impacts on, the National Grid. ..."</i>					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	166	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.5					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	319	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the list of named areas in Policy 15.4.5 be extended to cover the hill and high country of the Marlborough Sounds.					
364	Ian Balfour Mitchell	167	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.6 – Manage the erosion risk associated with loess soil by: (a) continuing to maintain the Wither Hills Soil Conservation Reserve; (b) controlling the discharge of liquid waste onto or into loess soils; and (c) controlling the excavation of loess soil on slopes.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	320	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to reflect a non-regulatory approach. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific change sought to the Policy)</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	247	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the Policy to provide clear guidance and expand it to require significant focus / regulation on the eroding hill country around Blenheim and the Weld Pass. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.38	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.38	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.38					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Note that forest land should not be penalised for low fertiliser use should there be a land use change.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	248	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"To work with established rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, and may will extend to other rural activities if the need arises."</i> And, amend the third paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Farm Sustainable land management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council will help to develop such plans if requested."</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	53	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.39: <i>15.M.39 Liaison</i> <i>To work with established rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, but may extend to other rural activities if the need arises.</i> <i>Encouraging group members to practice use nutrient budgeting (with the exception of the forestry industry) as a way of understanding the nutrient cycling, inputs and losses from their activities will be a priority.</i> <i>Farm management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council may help to develop such plans if requested.</i> <i>Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any soil erosion issues on Crown land managed for conservation purposes.</i>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.40	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.41	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 15.M.41 Advocate. Or in the alternative delete proposed text and insert new text as follows: <u>- to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise any adverse effects of land use activities on soil quality, health and function (including soil erosion). This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.42	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.M.42.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.43	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.45	Oppose
Decision Requested	Monitor all land users to obtain information.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	249	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.45	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the second paragraph under Method 15.M.45 as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Undertake monitoring of the effect of specific land disturbance activities and land use changes on the soil resource. This can be implemented through monitoring required as a condition of resource consent or through state of the environment monitoring. Monitoring the effects of forest harvest activities in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds is a priority."</i></p> <p>And, amend the description of the Method to focus on monitoring the effects of land use in the highly erodible loess soils in the Wither Hills and Weld Pass area.</p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.5 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	321	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	149	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.1 but amend to include (D).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	250	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"The Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNO) states the minimum controls for the use, storage, transportation and disposal of all hazardous substances throughout New Zealand. Although the Council is able to impose additional and/or more stringent requirements, it is satisfied that the requirements imposed by HSNO regulations are sufficient to minimise the potential for inadvertent release of hazardous substances into the environment.</i></p> <p><i>Exceptions to this policy include:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the use and storage of hazardous substances in groundwater protection areas and on river beds, due to the vulnerability of the aquifers and rivers to contamination; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the discharge of hazardous waste to land or water.</i></p> <p><i>In these circumstances, the Council will use its powers under the RMA to impose controls more stringent than the HSNO regulations."</i></p>					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 15.5.1.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.1.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	46	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.1 as notified.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.2.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	251	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"A majority of the sites on the Register are identified as potentially contaminated and are included on the basis of HAIL. However, the risk of human health effects or adverse effects on the environment is unclear. For this reason, the Council will engage and work with affected parties to progressively screen those sites on the Register to determine the likely risk that the contaminants pose to human health and/or the surrounding environment. The degree of risk and the reasons will be recorded on the Register and shared with the affected parties."</i>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.3.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Clarify as to what is/is not significant.					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.4.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.5.5 as follows: <i>[...] Several agencies are potentially involved in any spill event, including the Council, Fire Service, Police, <u>the New Zealand Transport Agency road controlling authorities</u> and (in the coastal marine area) Maritime Safety. An ad hoc response from each agency creates the potential for ineffective containment and for soil contamination to occur over a wider area than if the spill was effectively contained. It is important therefore that the actions of each agency in responding to a spill are co-ordinated. This is especially the case considering the risks posed by the volume of goods transported to and through Marlborough on <u>the State Highway 4 network</u>.</i>					
91	Marlborough District Council	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 15.AER.1 (although partly an amendment of deleted part of previous indicator) as follows - " Increase in the number of catchment enhancement plans developed and implemented for waterbodies deemed degraded. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the eight indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (strike through) - " <i>Water quality which was degraded is enhanced so that the waterbodies can support natural and human use values. Catchment enhancement plans are developed and implemented.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	159	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	An amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Stormwater Management Area Plans are developed and implemented for all stormwater catchments that discharge into waterbodies and coastal waters with degraded water quality.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	161	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows - " <i>The number of complaints about unlawful discharges of contaminants to water or discharges to land in circumstances where contaminants may reach water.</i> "					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 15.AER.1.					
91	Marlborough District Council	158	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	To provide greater clarity to Plan users, an amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, there are no discharges of human sewage from land-based activities into the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i> "					
710	The Fishing Industry Submitters	53	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 15.AER.2 as follows: <i>Water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters is suitable to support and sustain swimming, food gathering, <u>seafood harvesting and marine farming,</u> and marine ecosystems <u>and fisheries resources.</u></i> Amend 15.AER.2 by adding a new mechanism for monitoring effectiveness, as follows: <u><i>The number, duration and location of incidences in which seafood harvesting is prohibited or restricted for public health reasons.</i></u>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 15.AER.2.					
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new AER and two new Monitoring effectiveness criteria are added:</p> <p>15.AER.X Water quality in Rarangi is suitable for drinking, domestic use and sustaining aquatic ecosystems.</p> <p>Monitoring effectiveness:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure chrome, copper and arsenic levels are within health standards. 2. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure agrichemical residues are within health standards. 					
91	Marlborough District Council	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the second Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " The average winter concentration of PM10 at Middle Renwick Road is 27 mg/m3 or less. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the first Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " The average winter concentration of PM10 at Redwoodtown is 37 mg/m3 or less. "					
91	Marlborough District Council	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	Delete the first bullet point of the Indicator associated with 15.AER.7 as follows (strike through) - " Ambient monitoring of air pollutants throughout Marlborough, including: background concentrations of PM10 in Picton are established; "					
91	Marlborough District Council	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the second Indicator associated with 15.AER.9 as follows - " The state of Marlborough's soil resource is reported on an annual basis. "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an express policy to review and improve waste disposal and recycling opportunities for residents and visitors in the Sounds and other more remote areas.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	85	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	95	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	108	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide policies or methods to address issue on private and public land.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	252	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Develop policies and methods to address the issue of illegal dumping of solid waste – whether it is on public or private land.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	130	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 16.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	322	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows: "Encourage waste minimisation practices by establishing a waste management hierarchy that ensures waste is managed in the following order of priority: (a) promoting lower levels of solid waste generation; then (b) promoting higher levels of reuse, recycling and recovery of solid waste; then and (c) disposing disposal of residual solid waste."					
255	Warwick Lissaman	9	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	59	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.1.2.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	323	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Method.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	60	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 16.M.1 Regional rules.					
348	Murray Chapman	42	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add provisions requiring the provisions of a centralized transfer station for rural rubbish in each major rural valley. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	324	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective as follows (strike through and bold) - " Avoid, remedy or mitigate Manage actual or potential adverse effects arising from solid waste management activities."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	326	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows - "Increase access for remote communities to solid waste disposal through the operation of landfill and associated transfer stations, and permissive on-farm waste disposal rules."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	325	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	327	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Require resource consent for the establishment of cleanfills, excluding on-farm cleanfills that meet permitted activity standards, to ensure the appropriate disposal of waste."</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	15	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the part of this policy relating to the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	328	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products, primary production activities, including the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost or silage, while avoiding or mitigating adverse effects: (a) this does not occur within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soils identified as a Soil Sensitive Area as being at risk; and (b) standards for permitted activities are met."</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	51	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.2.4 as follows: <i>Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products, the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost or silage, where:...</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	329	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through) - "Where resource consent is required for the following adverse effects being avoided or mitigated, decision makers shall consider the following matters in deciding whether or not to grant consent and whether conditions can be imposed to avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on the environment:- (a) the soil characteristics at the discharge location and whether the nature and volume of waste to be discharged will adversely affect soil structure;- (b) where the discharge is within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soil identified as a Soil Sensitive Area, the risks to groundwater, surface waterbodies or soil quality;- (c) contamination of freshwater resulting from nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) and organic nutrients (BOD) through leaching, runoff and/or direct discharge;- (d) the proximity of the discharge location to waterbodies with a high natural character or to waterbodies identified as having degraded water quality that needs to be enhanced through Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7 in Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil); and- (e) the potential for reduced amenity values due to odour, vermin or visual effects from the discharge, particularly where this occurs in close proximity to residentially zoned land."					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	34	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 16.2.6 to the following: <i>'In deciding whether to grant resource consent for any discharge of solid waste to land and the need to impose consent conditions to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, decision makers need to determine whether there will be:</i> <i>(a) soil or groundwater contamination from the accumulation or leaching of heavy metals and other, hazardous substances or other contaminants</i> <i>(b) contamination of waterbodies through runoff of sediment or leachate</i> <i>(c) erosion, land instability and/or run-off of sediment into waterbodies due to land disturbance activities associated with the activity</i> <i>(d) adverse effects on public health or amenity</i> <i>(e) reduced amenity values due to disposal of unauthorised material resulting in odours, rubbish accumulation and vermin</i> <i>(f) adverse effects to the mauri of ecosystems, waahi tapu sites and other sites of cultural significance by discharges of sediment or leachate onto or into land.</i>					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	9	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Research is needed urgently into a safe way to dispose of these posts. Even if wine growers gradually change to non-treated posts over time there is a "mountain" of these posts that will require safe disposal. Clear guidelines must be available on safe methods of storing these posts until a suitable disposal method is developed.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	131	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.2.8 Council should work with the community to achieve this outcome.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	330	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "The Council to provide accessible waste disposal options to enable encourage the responsible disposal of solid waste from remote locations, while also enabling on-farm waste disposal." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	331	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That on-farm waste disposal remains a permitted activity. <i>(It is not clear in the submission what amendment is sought to the wording of the Method.)</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	78	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 16B to delete the reference to "domestic wastewater" and add a new provision(s) dealing with domestic wastewater issues.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	16	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	114	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a fifth bullet point to Issue 16B explanation as follows: <i>A strong rural economy and a prevalence of residential living in rural and coastal environments mean that a wide variety of liquid wastes are created in Marlborough. These include:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>domestic wastewater;</i> • <i>dairy shed effluent;</i> • <i>winery wastewater; and</i> • <i>vegetable and shellfish processing wastewater</i> • <i>industrial and trade process wastewater</i> 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	332	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids, mitigates, or remedies adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural and amenity values."</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	39	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 16.3.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	56	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 16.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	52	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 16.3 as follows: <i>The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids <u>significant</u> adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural and amenity values.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.1 to the following (or similar): <i><u>Where necessary to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the receiving environment, ensure that wastewater management systems, including the consideration of measures to minimise waste quantities and contaminants, are designed, located and installed to effectively treat and/or contain the contaminant present in wastewater.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	115	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.1 as follows: <i>Ensure that wastewater management systems are designed, located and installed <u>to achieve the best practicable option and</u> effectively treat and/or contain the contaminants present in wastewater</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	2	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Exclude any ship or barge used in aquaculture from the ambit of standard					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.2 to the following (or similar): <i>Require discharge permits for the discharge of contaminants onto or into land where there are significant environmental constraints to ensure effective wastewater management <u>in accordance with Policy 16.3.1.</u></i>					
676	Dairy NZ	79	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it requests information as to the decision to include these soil types in the MEP.					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	43	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Indevin submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	40	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Where there are significant environmental constraints to effective wastewater management the discharge of contaminants onto or into land should be permitted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	333	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.4 and point (a) within Policy 16.3.3 is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) the discharge is within the ability of the land to treat and/or contain contaminants present in the liquid waste, taking into account where relevant:"</i>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	81	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend clause (c) of Policy 16.3.3 to read as follows (or similar) <i>(c) The land application area is located is located as far as practicable from any surface waterbody or coastal water; and the land application system is managed, such that the discharge of wastewater directly or via overland flow to a surface waterbody or coastal water is avoided.</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	57	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	53	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 16.3.3 be amended to offer protection for groundwater water such that it can continue to meet Drinking Water standards (without treatment) in the localities and at the depths that groundwater is taken for drinking water purposes. For example, amend Policy 16.3.3 (b) as follows: <i><u>Where groundwater is suitable for drinking, the discharge does not adversely affect the drinking water quality of groundwater adjacent to or down gradient of the discharge, either alone or in combination with any other discharge;</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	116	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.3 as follows: <i>Approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land where <u>they demonstrate best practicable option and where:...</u></i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	334	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.3 and the first sentence of Policy 16.3.4 is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard where relevant to:"</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause (a) of Policy 16.3.4 to read as follows (or similar) <i>(a) The extent of treatment prior to discharge to which the treatment prior to discharge is appropriate for the land application area and receiving environment.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	58	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.4.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	117	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.4 as follows: <i>When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard <u>best practicable option and</u> to:</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	335	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard to sites of spiritual and/or cultural significance the cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi."</i> And, that sites of spiritual and cultural significance are included in the Plan by way of reference to waahi tapu sites.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	94	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy by deleting 'have regard' and replace with either, 'shall recognise and provide for' or 'shall have particular regard'.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	336	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Avoid Discourage the use of soak pits for the disposal of contaminants in liquid waste."</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.7					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	337	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 16.3.8 to read as follows (or similar) <i>Monitor the operational performance of existing wastewater management systems and require poorly performing systems which are not providing sufficient treatment to avoid significant adverse environmental effects to be upgraded to or replaced with systems that effectively treat and contain all wastewater to the discharge site provide a suitable quality of treated wastewater to avoid adverse effects on the discharge area and receiving environment.</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	133	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.9					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	338	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified. And, that a new method is included which sets out Council's role in working with landowners in encouraging artificial wetlands.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9.					
592	Clifford John Smith	11	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That area guidelines (multiple vineyards operating adjacent to or in the same Township or residential area) should be formulated by MDC.					
269	Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc	1	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	The relief we seek is that the MEP provides for existing properties which cannot meet the requirements, without requiring further upgrade, provided the systems are working within their initial design capabilities and no further load is added to the system, i.e. addition bedrooms.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	339	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method is deleted from the Plan.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	74	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Discuss with effected communities and allow 7 years to design same. Also requires cooperation with Building division as per stand alone buildings of up to 10 cubic metres being allowed to be connected to existing systems without addressing capacity of same.					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	1	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 16.M.20.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	132	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 16.M.21					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	75	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 16.M.21.					
91	Marlborough District Council	135	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	The requested amendment to the second Indicator associated with 16.AER.3 is as follows (bold) - " <i>There is no major non-compliance with permitted activity rules or discharge permit conditions for dairy shed effluent and winery wastewater discharges that cause significant adverse effects in any year.</i> "					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include recognition of walking and cycling in the Introduction (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	341	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - " <i>Encourage appropriately located effluent dump sites.</i> "					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise and address from a policy perspective the real issues a significant increase in heavy logging truck traffic as a consequence of a significant uplift Commercial forestry harvesting activities is and will continue to have on the fragile road network in the CEZ eg Kenepuru Road.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Issue to recognise the impacts of commercial forestry harvesting on the Sounds road network [<i>inferred</i>].					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	22	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) That a new policy is inserted under Issue 17D that recognises that in certain circumstances all parts of the road network may need to be used by the primary production sector given the location of their activities. This policy will need to recognise the use of the road network should not be prevented despite Policy 17.4.5;</p> <p>(b) That a new policy is inserted under Objective 17.4 that promotes water based transportation and recognises that the wider community and environmental benefits of infrastructure to enable water-based transportation can outweigh localised environmental effects - even where such effects are significant; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	106	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new method of implementation: <u>17.M.x Limited access roads</u> <u>The MEP includes maps showing the location of limited access roads at the time the MEP became operative. Where access is proposed onto a section of the State Highway which has been declared a Limited Access Road, the approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency will need to be obtained as described in the Government Roding Powers Act 1989.</u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	107	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new method of implementation as follows (or words to similar effect):</p> <p><u>17.M.x Road Cumulative Effects Areas</u> <i>The MEP includes maps showing the location of those parts of the road network that are either at or nearing capacity, where the ability of the road to operate safely or efficiently may be comprised if further subdivision or development occurs. The road controlling authority will be considered an affected party for any subdivision or land use consent application that accesses or leads to those parts of the road network identified as Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</i></p>					
1042	Port Underwood Association	11	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new Method of Implementation as 17.M.10 and readjust subsequent numbering:</p> <p><i>17.M.10 [with subsequent renumbering as required]: The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports / complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	97	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	86	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>ADD</u> : <u>New Objective 17.3</u></p> <p>Recognise that the Blenheim airport is a central component in urban and regional economic development. The airport will play a role in the regions "connectedness" much as the road transport network did previously. Development within industrial and airport zone needs to be encouraged to ensure ready access to global markets.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17A as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	73	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	88	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<u>ADD</u> New policy 17.2.4 The potential exposure of communities to Airport noise should be managed to ensure that a reasonable balance is achieved between the operational needs of the Blenheim Airport, over time, and the amenities and well-being of the community.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	89	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<u>ADD</u> New Policy 17.2.5 The operational area of the Blenheim airport should be recognised and considered as an important community resource.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	90	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<u>ADD</u> new Policy 17.3.2 Under Local routes in the table add "Cycle Ways"					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	26	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17B as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	74	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Inferred that the new policy is included in Volume 1 Chapter 17 Objective 17.2.</i> <i>A new policy should require the education of people who live and work within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary through notations on LIMs and at other relevant opportunities to ensure that those people who purchase or live within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary are aware that over time a greater level of noise will be experienced in that area. Further consequential amendments to the Plan may be required.</i></p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	27	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggest amended wording as follows (insertions underlined): <i>"A balance is achieved between the operational needs of Marlborough's <u>civilian and military</u> airports and the amenities and wellbeing of the community."</i>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	75	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	28	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggested amended wording as follows (underlined): <i>"Provide for the operational needs of <u>civilian and military</u> airports by the protection of air corridors through restrictions on height and land use."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	76	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	87	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete: Policy 17.2.2</p> <p>REWORD</p> <p>Noise effects of aircraft should be reasonable, in the context of the neighbourhood surrounding the Blenheim Airport, and should not exceed levels at, or outside, the Air Noise and Outer Control Boundary projected for the year 2026.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	77	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace "maximum acceptable levels of aircraft noise exposure", with, "appropriate noise limits"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	8	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert into the Plan the annexed (attached to submission) contour (or similar) with the red area being labelled Air Noise Boundary and the green area being labelled the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	29	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Method 17.M.4 as notified.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	78	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	11	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	85	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17C.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	70	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	71	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	86	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.3.1.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	144	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	19	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek for Ward Beach Road/Seddon Street as Secondary Arterial route. I seek to create an Awatere Area Local A Roads to include tar-sealed roads where there is no Rural Delivery (i.e. Ward Mail Area) including Kaka Road, Ward Street, Carroll Street, Duncan Street, Grassmere Road and other tar-sealed roads with more than three residences.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	54	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
974	Ministry of Education	3	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 17.3.2.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	87	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 17.3.2, in particular: <i>"for which a high level of through service must be provided on a continuous basis."</i></p> <p>Amend as follows: Replace the term 'national routes' with '<i>State Highways</i>' throughout the MEP for clarity and consistency of terminology.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	143	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	20	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.3.3					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	55	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	88	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy 17.3.3 as follows: Ensure the road hierarchy is periodically reviewed and where necessary amended to reflect on-going changes in land use, use of the coastal marine area, and road access relationships <u>and changes to the road network</u>.</p>					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	We support a policy which includes ongoing review of the usage of roads and development and maintenance of efficient roads.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is submitted that the MEP needs to more clearly identify the issue and develop the appropriate policy responses for the CEZ in Issue 17D.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	12	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	89	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 17D as follows: <i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable use management and planned function of the land transport network and how this network supports the districts and region.</i></p> <p>Add the following new objectives and/or policies:</p> <p>A new RPS and regional objective and/or policy that will ensure an integrated planning approach is taken to managing the effects of growth and development on transport infrastructure.</p> <p>Ensure noise sensitive activities are set back a sufficient distance from land transport network boundaries to avoid, remedy and mitigate effects.</p> <p>Allow noise sensitive activities to be located near land transport networks only where they do not compromise or limit the existing or planned function of the land transport network.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	101	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 17D as follows:</p> <p><i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable use management and planned function of the land transport network and how this network supports the districts and region.</i></p>					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	2	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Oppose
Decision Requested	That transportation is removed from the commercial forest harvesting definition.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	72	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	109	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support
Decision Requested	There could be some better clarity as to implementing the objectives.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	90	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 17.4 as follows: Conflict in providing for subdivision, use or development activities and with use of the land transport network is minimised. Conflict between new and altered land use activities and the land transport network is avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	73	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows: <i>Policy 17.4.1 – Manage the density, scale and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain the planned function of the road <u>land transport network</u>.</i></p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	110	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-classify public roads to forest boundaries as arterial roads in the 5 year before and during harvest.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	253	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy. It is important that subdivision or activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road. The functions of the roads (and hierarchy) must equally provide for expected rural/coastal industry transport needs. Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through the a Controlled Activity resource consent process."</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	91	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.4.1 as follows: <i>Manage the density, scale, <u>design</u>, and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain, <u>protect or improve</u> the planned function of the roading network, <u>including through the avoidance of cumulative effects.</u></i></p> <p>Amend the reason for Policy 17.4.1 as follows: <i>A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy (set out in Appendix 17 and Policy 17.3.2). It is important that subdivision or and activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density, <u>design</u>, and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road, <u>including as a result of cumulative or reverse sensitivity effects.</u> Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through the resource consent process, <u>and by overlay maps identifying limited access roads, Transport Cumulative Effects Areas, and State Highway effects and buffer areas.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	92	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.4.2 as follows: <i>Avoid the spread of residential, industrial or commercial development fronting national routes <u>State Highways</u> and arterial roads extending outwards from urban settlements or towns <u>to avoid cumulative effects on the road network.</u></i></p> <p>Amend the Policy 17.4.2 reason as follows: <i>Avoiding the outward spread of urban areas (for residential, commercial or industrial development) along national <u>State Highways</u> or arterial routes and limited access roads will help protect the safety and efficiency of roading networks <u>including from cumulative effects.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	93	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 17.4.3.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	94	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 17.4.4.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	61	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 17.4.5 to remove the reference to locating activities on specific properties and to shift the focus to the access and include reference to minimising effects on amenity values associated with secondary routes. For example: Policy 17.4.5 - Commercial and industrial activities with potential to adversely affect the arterial road network should preferably be located on properties with access to secondary arterial and collector routes unless this will cause an adverse effect on the amenity of this road.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	95	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.4.5.					
210	Kevin Wilson	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	6	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1. For example: Grovetown Shared pathway currently ends at the Opawa Bridge and cyclists heading south are expected to share the road with heavy traffic along Grove Road. As discussed in Policy 17.4.8 "although people do cycle this section of the state highway, the environment is not conducive to the activity." While a 50 km/hr speed limit is in place along Grove Road, and therefore reducing the risk of injuries as a result of collision, Bike Walk Marlborough requests that investigation and installation of appropriate facilities that not only alleviate safety concerns on State Highway 1 but actively encourage methods of active transport. Alternatively, a cycle crossing and route could be provided for to connect with and along Hutcheson Street, being a significantly lower volume road. It is also requested that inclusion of the proposed Opawa bridge be made and the proposal for a separate bike walk bridge and potential for installing an underpass connecting the east and west of Grove Road. Bike Walk Marlborough also advocates that well defined cycling infrastructure (e.g. cycle lanes) would create more cycle aware drivers and would therefore help to alleviate current risks at roundabouts {please note that the MDC 2016 'Crash or near miss reports' indicate 57% of issues are at intersections}.					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	23	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	74	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	96	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 17.5 to clarify its intent, for example: <i>The safety and accessibility of roads for <u>all users as they travel along the road network</u> pedestrians, cyclists and vehicle movement in general is maintained and/or improved.</i></p> <p>Amend the reason under Objective 17.5 as follows: <i>Important components in a sustainable land transport network are ensuring it can be used safely and is accessible for a range of uses <u>and users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and motor vehicles.</u></i></p>					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	11	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.5	Support
Decision Requested	Bearing the above in mind and considering proposed zone changes for some properties fronting the state highway from Township Residential or Rural 4 to Urban Residential 2 we feel there is a case to be made for lowering the speed limit to 50 kms per hour, such as is the case in Boyce Street, Renwick, which is used by many trucks.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	97	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.5.1 as follows: Maintain road safety and accessibility by ensuring <i>Ensure that standards of road design, vehicle access, vehicle crossings, loading and parking are related to the intended planned function of the adjoining road in terms of the roading hierarchy in Policy 17.3.2 to maintain road safety and accessibility.</i></p> <p>Amend the reason under Policy 17.5.1 to better align with the policy.</p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	7	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes pedestrian and cyclist design from the Transport Agency's NZTA Cycling Network Guide and NZTA Pedestrian Planning Guide. Request that MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practise' be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities as per the above Guides. <p><i>In July 2016, NZTA produced their Cycling Infrastructure guidelines which provides a framework for New Zealand local authorities wanting to provide and promote cycling in their region. Bike Walk Marlborough requests that these latest guiding documents are used to guide the design and planning of road and subdivisions.</i></p> <p><i>Request that BWMT be consulted on any significant subdivision developments to ensure input into cycling and walking.</i></p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	24	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes provision for pedestrian and cyclist access. The MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practice' should be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities.					
974	Ministry of Education	4	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 17.5.2.					
210	Kevin Wilson	8	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity around the word "high" in the policy (inferred).					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	98	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 17.5.3.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	12	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	15	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not provide a clear decision requested but indicates greater encouragement of tourism signage sought.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
935	Melva Joy Robb	12	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not provide a clear decision requested.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	99	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.5.4 as follows: <i>Avoid the display of outdoor advertising signs that could adversely affect traffic safety by confusing, distracting or obstructing the view of motorists or pedestrians.</i> Note that if the definition for 'sign' proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief and a definition for 'outdoor advertising' may be sought.</p>					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	4	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.5.5.					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	75	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows: <i>Policy 17.5.6 – Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road land transport network by ensuring:</i> (i) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections; (ii) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter; (iii) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone; (iv) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, discharges or other distractions; ...</p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	111	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Setbacks will be considered on merits relative to activity and will be permitted where possible.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	254	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>" Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>(a) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections;</i></p> <p><i>(b) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter;</i></p> <p><i>(c) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone;</i></p> <p><i>(d) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, discharges or other distractions;</i></p> <p><i>(e) vehicle crossing places and entrances from roads are constructed and maintained to standards appropriate to the circumstances of traffic volume, pedestrian and cycle movement and local traffic speed; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) new urban subdivisions and developments incorporate facilities for nonmotorised transport users, including:</i></p> <p><i>(i) footpaths or access ways intended to be used by both cyclists and pedestrians and their separation for safety reasons where practicable;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) provision for cycle traffic within road carriageways in such a way that lane width, design and surface finish are adequate to safely accommodate both motorised vehicles and cycles; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) pedestrian access routes connecting residential areas, schools, shopping centres, recreation reserves and public transport collection points and terminals where appropriate."</i></p> <p>And, clarify what is mean by " <i>other distractions</i>" in clause (d).</p> <p>Amend the setback from roads for vegetation planting rule to align with the policy direction.</p> <p>Any rules resulting from this policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	100	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.5.6 as follows:</p> <p><i>Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network, by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>...</i></p> <p><i><u>(g) any proposed new or altered land use, subdivision or access point shall avoid, remedy, mitigate or improve any adverse safety or efficiency effects on the road network.</u></i></p> <p>Amend the wording of Policy 17.5.6 to relate to the effects of activities, rather than activities themselves.</p> <p>Amend the reason for Policy 17.5.6 to reference the Limited Access Roads and cumulative effects overlays.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	79	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	91	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ADD: new Objective 17.6 – Ensure that there has been sufficient traffic planning and modelling to determine a good hierarchy of roads.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	92	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ADD: new Objective 17.7 - Ensure that there is strong interface between all land use development and all transport infrastructure and activities.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	112	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	13	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	102	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17E.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	80	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	49	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 17.6					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	13	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	16	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.					
935	Melva Joy Robb	13	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek.					
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	12	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Support
Decision Requested	To consider the lowering of the speed limit to 50kms per hour through Wairau Valley Township and along all its side roads. We would support a programme of roadworks to raise the standard of the road surface and maintain it in a safe and tidy condition.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	81	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	340	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of through traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy through traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas, with the exception of transportation associated with primary production activities."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	50	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 17.6.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas.</i></p> <p>The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</p>					
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	7	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 17.6.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas.</i></p> <p><i>The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities where no viable alternative route or method of transport exists.</i></p>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	113	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reclassify local roads to forest boundaries to arterial in the 5yr before and during harvest.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	255	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, when they do not need to use these roads to access their freight/produce, particularly where these pass through residential areas."</i> And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities, ports or customers."</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	103	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.1.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	103	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.1.					
1042	Port Underwood Association	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy as follows (strike through ad bold): <i>Policy 17.6.1 - Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas. The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</i>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	82	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	51	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.2					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	52	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 17.6.2 to read: Policy 17.6.2 – The development, maintenance and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating: ... <u>(h) adverse effects on the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	76	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 17.6.2 – The development, <u>and maintenance</u> and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i> <i>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i> <i>(b) effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(c) loss of visual amenity in modifying the landscape;</i> <i>(d) loss of natural character in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i> <i>(e) destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(f) effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i> <i>(g) adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	114	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite to provide greater clarity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	256	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of the Policy as follows (strike through) - " (a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land; " (Inferred) And, amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - " <i>It is important that where new roads or extensions or upgrading of existing roads are proposed that the effects identified in this policy are avoided, remedied or mitigated, and that the improved safety and wellbeing for communities when roads are upgraded is recognised.</i> "					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	104	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify this as a regional [R] policy as well as district [D]. Amend Policy 17.6.2 as follows: <i>The development, maintenance, operation, and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i> (i) <i>adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i> (ii) <i>effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> (iii) <i>loss of visual amenity <u>values</u> in modifying the landscape;</i> (iv) <i>loss of natural character values in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i> (v) <i>destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> (vi) <i>effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i> (vii) <i>adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration."</i> <u>(h) where the development maintenance and operation is for regionally significant infrastructure consideration will be given to the positive benefits of the proposed activity</u>					
1042	Port Underwood Association	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy to 17.6.2 as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 17.6.2.1 Establish a District wide plan of roads that are susceptible to the effects as listed in Policy 17.6.2 by heavy commercial vehicle usage and making the use of those roads a discretionary activity for heavy vehicles. Nominated roads could then be used by heavy vehicles subject to rules covering the following matters:</i> <i>Length of vehicle over a certain length - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users.</i> <i>Weight of vehicle over a certain weight - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users</i> <i>Speed of vehicle. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users; control generation of dust. Time of use of the road during the day/night. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users.</i> <i>Season of the year for use of the road. - i.e. to avoid dust nuisance over summer; to avoid times of high tourist usage.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	35	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 17.6.3 to reflect other measures in reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage, including through incorporating the intent of Policy 17.6.4. Suggested amendment: <i>Mitigate the adverse effects of vehicle and fossil fuel usage where practicable and foster improved community health by:</i> <i>(a) reducing potential travel times to and from home, work community and business places, through consolidated development of Marlborough's towns</i> (b) providing active transport routes such as walkways and cycleways; (c) providing an integrated public transport, walking and cycling network (d) providing walkable and connected neighbourhoods and communities; and (e) promoting local economies for food, produce and other production.					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	8	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.4					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.4					
984	Neville James Hall	1	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	115	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity of intention.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	105	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain this Method , with appropriate amendments to the regional rules to improve their workability in relation to the road network.					
210	Kevin Wilson	7	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the method (inferred).					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested that "...Department of Conservation, in maintaining and upgrading the network of recreational walking and bike tracks." As Department of Conservation manage both walking and cycling trails, this amendment ensures Council liaises with Department of Conservation for both facets.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	78	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Methods of implementation to be amended (bold) to include a new method 17.M.X: <i>The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports, and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports/complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 17.M.11					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	77	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: New Zealand Railways Corporation <u>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</u> will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for land use activities or subdivisions of land adjacent to the rail line.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	96	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include iwi being recognised as an affected party where land use activities or subdivisions are being considered adjacent to road or rail infrastructure.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	93	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 1	18 Energy	Issue 18A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the explanatory text in Issue 18A and make amendments to the PMEP address the issues set out in 18A.</p> <p>Amend the first paragraph in the explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i>“ ... electricity demand is satisfied from the <u>National Grid</u>, which runs through Marlborough.”</i></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	108	Volume 1	18 Energy	Objective 18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Objective 18.1 as follows: <i>“Optimise the use of Marlborough’s energy resources <u>by recognising and providing for the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of new and existing renewable electricity generation activities.</u>”</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1201	Trustpower Limited	112	Volume 1	18 Energy	Objective 18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Insert a new policy to manage reverse sensitivity effects on renewable electricity generation activities. Suggested wording is as follows: <i>“<u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by not allowing subdivision, use and development to occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of consented and existing renewable electricity generation activities.</u>”</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1201	Trustpower Limited	113	Volume 1	18 Energy	Objective 18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Insert a new policy to enable the on-going operation of existing renewable energy infrastructure. Suggested wording is as follows: <i>“<u>Provide for the on-going generation of electricity from existing renewable energy generation infrastructure by having particular regard to:</u></i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i><u>Maintaining the output from existing renewable electricity generation schemes; and</u></i> <i><u>Enabling the maintenance and upgrading of existing renewable electricity generation schemes.</u></i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. 					
1198	Trustpower New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 18.1.1 as follows: <i>" ... improving the security of supply and reducing stress on the National Electricity Grid."</i>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	109	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 18.1.1 as follows: <i>"Provide for Promote and encourage the use and development of renewable energy resources."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	94	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	2	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That more emphasis is given on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities <i>(inferred this is to be included in the policy)</i> .					
1201	Trustpower Limited	110	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 18.1.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	1	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	98	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy by adding cultural values in the matters to be considered.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	111	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend policy by adding clause (f) as follows: <i>"When considering the environmental effects of proposals to use and develop renewable energy resources, to have regard to: ... (f) the logistical or technical practicalities associated with locating renewable electricity generation infrastructure."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	8	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "resource consent applications"; and...'local'; or make it clear that the policy does not need to apply to controlled and all discretionary activities, as well as permitted activities.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	95	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	3	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 18.M..2 includes more emphasis on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities (<i>inferred</i>).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	83	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	6	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 18.M.4.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	99	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	4	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 18.M.7.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	36	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Policy 18.2.1 is amended to recognise the importance of retrofitting insulation into existing housing stock in terms of increasing energy efficiency (alongside behavioural change). That a non-regulatory method is included whereby MDC will consider supporting programmes that retrofit insulation into existing homes and/or other initiatives aimed at improving thermal efficiency and home heating.					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	5	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 18.2.1.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	95	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	3	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 18.M..2 includes more emphasis on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities (<i>inferred</i>).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	83	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	6	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 18.M.4.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	99	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework.					
139	James Wilson	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Council</p> <p>a. Accepts in full, the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Global Warming and Climate Disruption.</p> <p>b. Raises the profile of Global Warming and Climate Disruption within their Environmental Plan.</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introductory paragraphs to Chapter 19 in accordance with the suggestions I have made in my submission.</p> <p>Affiliate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy.</p>					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	3	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request that the council weave the objectives of climate change into the other chapters so that it is more integrated.					
166	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira	10	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I request the council to consider this issue and make provision for further research in this matter.					
259	Bill McEwan	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decisions I seek from Council are:					
	1. Replace Section 19 with 'Section 1' and place it at the beginning of Vol !					
	2. Issue19A on page 1. Replace the heading "Climate change has the potential to affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."					
	3. In the 6 paragraphs following the above heading insert "will" in place of "could"and "may".					
	4. Issue19B (page 5): Replace the heading "Climate change could affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."					
	5. Create a MDC permanent full time Climate Change Advisor and establish a Climate Change Advisory Group as outlined in the Climate Karanga Marlborough submission.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	37	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Introduction to Chapter 19 recognises that climate change is the biggest global health threat of the 21st century and that MDC encourages action being taken towards decreasing carbon emissions.					
309	Pamela Nicholls	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make climate change a much higher priority throughout the MEP as detailed in the submission of the Climate Karanga Marlborough group.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	44	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include the following statement to the start of Line 1, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>"Society currently relies on fossil fuels as an energy source but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible. The consumption of these fuels and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere over the last 150 years."</i></p> <p>Re-word Line 5, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction to read:</p> <p><i>"Global temperatures are approximately 1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s. To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above preindustrial levels."</i></p> <p>Re-word Line 6, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction by deleting <i>"While there is not unanimous agreement"</i> and start sentence with <i>"There is now strong evidence..."</i></p> <p>Re-word Line 1, Paragraph 2 of the Introduction to read <i>"In Marlborough, NIWA predicts it is predicted that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 4 degree 1.8 degrees C by 2040 and 2 2.8 degrees C by 2090 above the pre-industrial mean."</i></p> <p>Line 4, Paragraph 3 of the Introduction Adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur and should be reflected in this statement.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	58	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Method of Implementation 19.M.X Tree Planting</p> <p>Council to assist to improve co-ordination between community-based groups and industry groups and help to provide an overall strategy around what tree species are planted and where, and simply encourage the planting of trees in this way, and also through Council's operations.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	67	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following AER to Chapter 19 Climate Change</p> <p>19.AER.4 <i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District"</i>.</p>					
378	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is that planning for the effects of Disruptive Climate Change needs to be high on the list of priorities for MDC and in recognition of that importance it should be reclassified as Section 1 in the MEP rather than relegated to the bottom of the list as if it is an afterthought.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	182	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	190	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."					
427	Hugh Walter Royston Steadman	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Chapter 19 should be renumbered Chapter 1. A 'Plan B' for Marlborough's continued functioning in the face of accelerated climate destabilisation, should be prepared and updated more frequently than once every decade.					
478	Birte Flatt	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Council accepts in full the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Climate Change and raises the profile of global warming and climate disruption within their Environment Plan. That Council appoints a permanent Clime Change Adviser and establishes a regional Climate Change Advisory Group.					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	80	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition of policies as follows (<i>specific wording and applicable Objectives not identified in Submission</i>) - - Enable the installation, operation and utilisation of alternative energy sources that do not release greenhouse gasses. - Promote and enable afforestation both commercial and conservation planting. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Chapter 19.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	176	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph: Introduction <i>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 1 degree by 2040 2066 and 2 degrees by 2090 2116. Increases in temperatures are likely to increase past these dates. The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	23	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council develop an appropriate anticipated environmental result to address Objective 9.4. Heritage New Zealand recommends the following monitoring clauses to address cultural and historic heritage values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The condition, of Heritage Resources as defined in Volume 2, is maintained or improved The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase. 					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a clear Policy in Chapter 19 requiring Council to review existing Council infrastructure such as roads in the context of this issue and report as to what measures/ steps need to be taken to either protect it and/or replace it [<i>inferred</i>].					
934	M J H and R L Davison Family Trust	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Introduction. (<i>Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Introduction.</i>)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	96	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	259	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following policy (or words with similar effect) into the Climate Change Section -</p> <p>"Establishing both plantation forests and carbon sequestration forests is a matter of national priority and will be supported by rules and other methods in the MEP."</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Introduction as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Society will continue to rely currently relies on fossil fuels as an energy source for the foreseeable future but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible. The consumption of these fuels results and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere over the last 150 years. The general consensus of scientific opinion is that the world is getting warmer, causing its climate to change. Global temperatures are approximately 0.6 degrees Celsius 1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s. To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. While there is not unanimous agreement, There is now strong evidence that most of the warming observed is attributable to increased concentrations of greenhouse gases produced by human activities. As more gases accumulate in the atmosphere, the Earth gets warmer, resulting in rising sea temperatures and levels, the melting of glaciers and ice caps and greater extremes in weather patterns, such as more storms of greater intensity and longer droughts.</i></p> <p><i>In Marlborough, NHWA predicts it is predicted that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 1 1.8 degrees C by 2040 and 2 2.8 degrees C by 2090 above the pre-industrial mean. The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i></p> <p><i>Section 7 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to have regard to the effects of these predicted climatic changes in exercising its functions under the RMA. Uncertainty about the nature of these effects at international, national and local level makes this a difficult task. Most projections are also long term and certainly beyond the ten year life of the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Taking all of this into account, the provisions of this chapter focus on applying the best available information to enable people and communities to respond to the adverse and positive effects created by climate change. It is noted that the adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur. (Inferred)"</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	13	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Method of Implementation as follows -</p> <p>"Advisory group - An advisory group be established of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses."</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	18	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add an additional Anticipated Environmental Result as follows - <i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District".</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	20	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Affitiate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy.					
89	Peter Deacon	2	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Issue 19A in accordance with my submission above.					
230	Marion Harvey	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. Make changes to the wording of the plan to acknowledge and give due weight to the seriousness of the threats posed by climate change, currently these are downplayed (see submission).</p> <p>2. Strengthen emphasis on the importance of research and acknowledge the sources of information that are available. While there are still elements of uncertainty, these do not reduce the ability of the Council to take action on climate change and make effective decisions as the plan currently implies.</p> <p>3. Focus on reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions, not on offsetting.</p> <p>4. Give climate change its proper place at the forefront of this Environmental Plan, designed to carry through until 2026 or later, Chapter 1 not Chapter 19.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	38	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Issue 19A is expanded to recognise other serious public health effects such injury or stress related mental health effects from extreme weather events (e.g. floods, droughts or fires) and changing insect born disease patterns such as certain mosquito species becoming established.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	45	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Issue 19A Paragraph 4 explanation Recognition of the far greater likelihood of adverse effects from global warming <u>should be put last in this section.</u></p> <p>Issue 19A Paragraph 7 explanation "The predictions of climate change at a national level involve significant uncertainty and little work has been undertaken to apply these national predictions to Marlborough's climate. This makes the task of responding to the effects of climate change in Marlborough difficult. This situation is complicated further by the fact that New Zealand and Marlborough are subject to natural climate variations associated with La-Nina/El Nino and the Interdecadal Pacific oscillation. These natural variations will be superimposed on human-induced long term climate changes." Delete this paragraph as it adds nothing of value to the plan.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	177	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That reference in is added to the issues statement that any decrease in water availability will also increase competition between existing water users and values, both extractive and in-stream.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	19	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paragraph 4 under this Issue should be moved to the end of the section. Recognise the likely adverse effects on mental health in Paragraph 5 under this Issue. Delete the final paragraph under this Issue. <p>(Inferred)</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	3	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Objective 19.1 in accordance with my submission above.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	46	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Line 4 Explanatory paragraph I suggest a stronger emphasis be placed on ecologically wise use, development and protection of natural and physical resources.</p> <p>Remove the word "offset" the policy statement and replace with "reduce" (inferred).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	179	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	187	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	116	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	257	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Objective.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	2	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"In the meantime, it is prudent to promote actions that offset carbon emissions and retain sufficient flexibility in the focus on ecologically wise use, development and protection of natural and physical resources to enable resource users to adapt to a changing climate."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
89	Peter Deacon	4	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Policy 19.1.1 in accordance with my submission above.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	39	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Objective 19.1.1 is expanded to include that MDC will record and report on the carbon emissions of its own activities and encourage other local businesses and organisations to do the same. Also that current activities that MDC undertakes, such as its role in planting trees, be recognised.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	47	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes to the policy statement Policy 19.1.1 – Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce or offset carbon emissions.</p> <p>Policy 19.1.1 Explanation Line 4 (inferred) Make the following change: <i>For example, the Council could will assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise.</i></p>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	370	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submissions					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	178	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.1.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	117	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1016	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Council need to take a strong precautionary approach to any activity that promotes fossil fuel burning and instead promote drastic cut backs on carbon emissions.</p> <p>Marlborough District Council is urged to participate in the "Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate Change and Energy".</p> <p>This is a global initiative committed to climate leadership.</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	3	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) - <i>"Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce or offset carbon emissions."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text to the Policy be amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Climate change is a global issue that New Zealand's central government is addressing at an international and national level. The RMA effectively excludes regional councils from the role of regulating emissions for climate change purposes (Sections 70A and 104E of the RMA). However, the Council can explore opportunities for supporting national policies and where appropriate promote methods that address climate change problems within New Zealand's national policy framework for climate change. For example, the Council could will assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise. This is one of many actions the Council could undertake to enable Marlborough's people and communities to play their part in responding to this global issue."</i></p>					
89	Peter Deacon	5	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The Council should decide to take actions that will actually achieve the stated intent of this objective and not just pay it lip service. Council should:-</p> <p>1) Establish a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Adviser'. The purpose of this appointment would be to provide expert advice, information, training, education and support to Council and local residents/businesses, to help them better understand and respond to climate change. This is the greatest environmental challenge we face as a species and probably the greatest threat to civilisation since the second world war. If we are to respond to it with the scale and urgency required then a lot more resources are going to be needed and having someone on the Council team with the right knowledge and expertise will be essential.</p> <p>2) Publish a clear action plan of measures the Council intends to take in the short and medium term to achieve the stated intent of this objective - i.e. to actually improve the community's understanding of climate change - rather than leaving ratepayers in blissful ignorance, as most of them are currently.</p>					
250	Don Miller	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The MDC must make the actions of Policy 19.1.2 of the utmost importance now to overcome past misconceptions of the impacts of climate change</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	48	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include in the explanatory paragraph and the Anticipated Environmental Result 19.AER.1 on how this policy is to be achieved in practice. Inclusion of public outreach or education programme or consultative process in the AERs to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes, how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them (<i>inferred</i>).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	180	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	188	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	371	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.2					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	179	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.2.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	4	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text for the Policy to explain how the Policy is going to be achieved in practice. There is no mention of any public outreach or education programme or consultative process to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes we are facing and how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them. And, we recommend that Council establishes a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Advisor'.					
89	Peter Deacon	6	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The penultimate sentence in the supporting paragraph to Policy 19.1.3 should be removed as it is completely incorrect. There will be no opportunities for aquaculture as a result of increasing water temperatures - quite the reverse - increasing sea temperatures will destroy aquaculture in the Marlborough region.</p> <p>MDC needs to employ people with the necessary expertise to advise them properly on Climate Change and its impacts on marine and terrestrial biology. MDC should urgently appoint a Climate Change Adviser.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	49	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include any moves towards diversification of the primary industries <i>(inferred)</i> .					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	181	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	342	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	189	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	180	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.3: <i>Policy 19.1.3 Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change by ensuring that plan rules are sufficiently flexible whilst ensuring land uses continue to be consistent with the purpose of the RMA.</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	5	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text for the Policy, particular the sentence, " <i>Similar opportunities could exist for the aquaculture industry as a result of increasing sea water temperatures.</i> " The text should also identify the much greater threat of adverse effects.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	54	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retail Policy 19.1.3					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	50	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.4					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	343	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	181	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.4: <i>Policy 19.1.4 Take a precautionary approach to the allocation of additional freshwater resources and where freshwater has already been allocated, ensure that the allocation reflects the status of the resource and the effects on both extractive and instream uses and values.</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	6	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1201	Trustpower Limited	114	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Delete Policy 19.1.4. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	55	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.4 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMP.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 19.1.5(b).					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	51	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add wording (underlined) to Policy 19.1.5 (c) " <i>enabling the storage of water <u>during periods of high river flow</u> for subsequent</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	344	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	182	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 19.1.5: <i>Policy 19.1.5 – Ensure that the freshwater that is available for out-of-stream use is allocated and used efficiently, by:</i> (e) <i>(a) enabling the storage of water for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods;</i> (a) <i>(b) requiring that the rate of water use authorised by water permit be no more than that required for the intended use, having regard to the local conditions; and</i> (b) <i>(c) enabling the transfer of water permits between users within the same Freshwater Management Unit; .</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of the Policy as follows (bold) - " (c) enabling the storage of water during periods of high river flow for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods."					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	100	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete issue (b) from the Policy.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	115	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Delete Policy 19.1.5. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	56	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.5 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMEP.					
89	Peter Deacon	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Method 19.M.1 of the Proposed Plan in accordance with my submission above The method should therefore read - " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals</i> " It is of imperative that the entire Marlborough region takes action to lower carbon emissions, not just Council, and we therefore encourage MDC to promote regional initiatives such as electrification of transport, conversion of waste biomass to energy which could support a thriving local renewable energy industry, regeneration of native forests to act as carbon sinks and increasing carbon sequestration in soils by encouraging farms and vineyards to incorporate more use of biochar and composting in their production systems.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	52	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough) to 19.M.1 Council carbon footprint: " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals</i> ".					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	8	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Method explanatory text as follows (bold) - " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint; set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals.</i> "					
89	Peter Deacon	8	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>19.M.2Marlborough Regional Land Transport</u></p> <p>Council should be more positive in this paragraph and instead of just '<i>considering</i>' provisions to reduce GHG emissions this statement should read "<i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases</i>".</p> <p>This is crucial since transport accounts for 20% of New Zealand's GHG emissions and there is huge potential for these emissions to be reduced. All indications are that uptake of electric cars will explode over the next decade and MDC should be proactive in this area by installing rapid charging facilities in the main Marlborough towns, promoting the electrification of local public transport and introducing electric cars to its fleet as soon as possible.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	53	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough and underlined) to 19.M.2 Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan: Consider , <i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, <u>Council will include</u> provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	9	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows - " Consider , In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases."					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	54	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (underlined) to 19.M.4 Research: <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible <u>and support research in Marlborough</u>. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	10	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows (bold) - " <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible and support research in Marlborough. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i> "					
89	Peter Deacon	9	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	55	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.5 Information.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	11	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
89	Peter Deacon	10	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
	In addition Council should add another Method of Implementation as follows:-					
	<u>19.M.8 Regional Climate Change Advisory Group</u>					
	"Council will establish a Climate Change Advisory Group comprising representatives from science, industry, business and the local community to work with Council in a collaborative way to identify regional climate change threats in Marlborough and devise appropriate adaptation and mitigation responses."					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	56	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.7 District rules.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	12	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
89	Peter Deacon	11	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<u>Page 19-5 Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise</u>					
	Paragraph 3					
	The word ' <i>potentially</i> ' should be removed from the sentence ' <i>This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i> ' Inundation will happen due to sea level rise, particularly during storm events, it is only a matter of how soon this happens as the seas are not going to stop rising for centuries possible millenia.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	59	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change (strikethrough) to Line 3 Paragraph 3 Issue 19B <i>This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	173	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Issue 19B refers to land subsidence in the Sounds as it currently significantly adds to the rate of sea-level rise here.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	183	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Issue 19B:</p> <p><i>Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise.</i></p> <p>Add a further issue statement, objective and policies relating to addressing climate change that give effect to NZCPS policies 14 and 26.</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	14	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend paragraph three of the explanatory text to the Issue as follows -</p> <p><i>"Global warming is expected to result in a rise in sea level due to thermal expansion of ocean water and melting of glacial and polar ice. Sea level is predicted to rise around 0.18 to 0.59 metres by 2090. This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast. Coastal erosion could also become more prevalent, increasing the need for coastal protection measures. Along the coastal margin of the Wairau Plain, the level of the Wairau River bar and river mouth efficiency has far greater influence on the potential for inundation than the projected sea level rise. Further south, the topography and lack of settlement minimises any inundation risk. However, the risks are far greater in the Marlborough Sounds where settlement and associated infrastructure (especially means of access, such as jetties and access tracks) tend to be located in the coastal environment and near the water edge."</i></p>					
89	Peter Deacon	12	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>Page 19-5 Objective 19.2 - Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change</u></p> <p>Paragraphs 1 and 2</p> <p>Council should add another Objective here to investigate where and how the effects of sea level rise will be felt in Marlborough, and into what measures are needed to future-proof communities and create resilience to sea level rise.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	60	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the wording in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Objective 19.2 to acknowledge the need to support investigations of where and how these effects will be felt, and into future-proofing communities to create resilience to sea level rise.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	345	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change on human communities."</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	184	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	The submission states to add to Objective 19.2 the following words: <i>that the adverse effects of hazard mitigation structures are managed consistent with the purpose of the RMA</i> but does not specifically indicate where this to be added.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	186	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	New policy required. The submission does not include details of the new policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	258	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	15	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	61	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.1 - Monitor flood hazard on an ongoing basis.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	134	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.1					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	16	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	63	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	346	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Avoid any inundation of new habitable buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:</i></p> <p><i>(a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least 0.5 metres relative to the 1980-1999 average; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) storm surge."</i></p>					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	82	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p>"Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:</p> <p>(a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least 0.5 metres 1 metre relative to the 1980-1999 average; and</p> <p>(b) storm surge."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	76	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	185	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 19.2.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:</i></p> <p><i>Replace Policy 19.2.2 with a policy requiring any new development to avoid coastal hazards, taking into account at least a 100 year timeframe and having regard to relevant NZCPS Policies, including in particular Policy 24 (h).</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	17	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	57	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following (<i>italics</i>) to 19.M.8 Advisory Group: Establish an advisory group of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	77	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 19.M.8.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	64	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.1 The community's understanding of the effects of climate change and sea level rise improves over time.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	65	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.2 Primary producers are able to adapt to the effects of climate change.					
89	Peter Deacon	13	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Council should retain the 3 Anticipated Environmental Results and add a fourth:- 19.AER.4 " <i>There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District</i> ".					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	66	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.3 Buildings and infrastructure established after the notification of the MEP are not inundated by the sea.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	78	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.3 (<i>inferred</i>).					