

## Summary of decisions requested - by provision

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>75</b>	Girl Guiding New Zealand	1	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding camp fires to be an authorised activity in all zones where fires are permitted for any other purpose. Its is appreciated that this must be a Restricted Activity.</p> <p>Girl Guiding is a responsible organisation and is very open to suggestions of additional training or administration deemed appropriate to allow this activity to go ahead and hence train our girls in both fire safety and environmental responsibility in a fun, supportive and structured environment.</p>					
<b>222</b>	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see some amendments/alternatives to the LRV concept.</p> <p>I will present some options to the Hearing Committee.</p>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	2	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <p><i>Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal.</i></p> <p><i>Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent.</i></p> <p><i>Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees.</i></p> <p><i>Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><i>Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule].</i></p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	94	Volume 2	All		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN Replace in all rules occurrences of the term "dBA LAeq" with "(dB LAeq)" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	10	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	there should be rules regarding this activity especially for urban areas where runoff can contain fecal coliforms					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	347	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the layout of the rules is simplified so that the permitted activity standards are provided alongside the name of the permitted activity in the first instance.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	348	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That a graduated approach to activity status is used, including utilisation of the six activity classes: permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying and prohibited.  That all rules, relating to rural activities ( <i>inferred</i> ), currently classed as discretionary status or defaulting to discretionary status are amended to controlled or restricted discretionary status, unless otherwise specified. ( <i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	349	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity standards are revised and simplified so that they focus on the key areas that may cause adverse effects. ( <i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	350	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That prohibited activity status is only used when an activity must be avoided, and has been through a robust Section 32 analysis to determine the costs and benefits of such an approach. ( <i>The Submitter has not specified any specific provisions to be added, deleted or amended.</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	351	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the rules are amended so that any activity not listed, where it is a land use, the activity defaults to a permitted activity status.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	352	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules specify the policies that need to be referred to in the preparation of a consent application for activities listed as discretionary activities, and the list of assessment criteria for all controlled, restricted discretionary and discretionary activities.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	353	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That all reference to the Munsell Scale is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	375	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That every time a defined word appears in the text of a provision it is italicised, so the reader is aware that there is an associated definition.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	150	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rules Chapters to avoid repetition and duplication of the rules within and across the zone rules chapters.					
<b>510</b>	Anne Allison	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>535</b>	Adele Riddle	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>538</b>	Andre Smith	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>539</b>	Allen Steele	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>540</b>	Arthur Stewart	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>541</b>	Akiwa Te Uatuku	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>543</b>	Alistair Willis	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>549</b>	Bryan Albrey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>551</b>	Ben Armstrong	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>555</b>	Blair Glover	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>576</b>	Chee Ong Chin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>582</b>	Cory Burnett	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>583</b>	Carmay Cheong	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>584</b>	Corey Dixon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>588</b>	Christopher Hall	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>590</b>	Cameron Harvey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>600</b>	Connor Rangı	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>603</b>	Chee Song Chin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>606</b>	Cindy Steele	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>607</b>	Cadeena Tepu	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>611</b>	Carla Velez	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>621</b>	Becki Findlayson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>624</b>	Carol-Ann Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>625</b>	Cheryl Harris	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>627</b>	Carl Scholefield	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>649</b>	Dave Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>656</b>	David King	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>659</b>	Donald M Curie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>677</b>	Daniel Walker	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>694</b>	Elin Shin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>703</b>	Faye Fosbender	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>708</b>	Filisita Tuese	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>709</b>	Ian Dunlop	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	62	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any duplication of rules and standards from Volume 2 and contain rules for like activities in the same location.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	63	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the rules of the plan and include, where appropriate, a range of activity statuses recognising that the effects associated with some activities are relatively minor or of narrow scope.					
<b>721</b>	Grant Boyd	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>722</b>	Gaik Choo Tan	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>729</b>	Graham Hayter	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	1	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary.					
<b>741</b>	Glen Slipper	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>745</b>	Graeme Tregidga	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>756</b>	Hye Sug Ha	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>758</b>	Holly Stanford	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>759</b>	Hudson Steele	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>761</b>	Hilda Timoti	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	20	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan.					
	Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission.					
	Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>781</b>	Johann Adam	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>784</b>	Jackie Biggs	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>787</b>	Jo Braven	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>793</b>	John Cleal	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>796</b>	John Craddock	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>799</b>	June Ethel Eperé	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>803</b>	John Healy	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>804</b>	Jordan Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>825</b>	Jo-Ann Rickard	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>826</b>	Jade Riri	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>829</b>	Jason Smith	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>831</b>	Jim Taylor	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>834</b>	Jarod Udy	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>836</b>	James William Epere	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	25	Volume 2	All		Support
Decision Requested	That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 2 are included in the MEP.					
<b>851</b>	Kevin Hawkins	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>863</b>	Karen Soloman	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>877</b>	Lynette Ashby	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>878</b>	Lyndon Daymond	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>881</b>	Laisa Gibbins	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>889</b>	Lavina Rickard	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>892</b>	Lynda Simpson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>901</b>	Lo Wai Wing	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>902</b>	Lewis Ward	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>912</b>	Myken Augustine	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>914</b>	Michael Burne	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>918</b>	Maree Cleal	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>926</b>	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>927</b>	Mark Gillard	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>929</b>	Mandy Hargood	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	63	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>948</b>	Melissa Smith	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>951</b>	Michael Wallace	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>953</b>	Mark Whittall	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>955</b>	Moira Winter	6	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	8	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	To consider future implementation of audited Self-management programmes in the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>976</b>	Norazidah Abu Yazid	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>982</b>	Nathan Grey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>988</b>	Nathan Wallace	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>989</b>	Natasha Watts	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	4	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Suspend all rules with regards to commercial forestry in the MEP. Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	6	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	34	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule layout to list the activity-specific standards directly under the rule that they relate to. This is consistent with the way standards are set out for controlled and discretionary activities.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	35	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule structure to provide for all regional rules within the General Chapter.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	60	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove duplication between provisions by providing for all regional rules in the general chapter.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	92	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP to identify/mark all words that are defined in Chapter 25 by an asterisk, italicised text or similar at each appearance in the MEP.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	179	Volume 2	All		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new permitted activity standards and restricted discretionary rules in all zone chapters, as set out in Annexure 1 to this submission.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	295	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend all appearances of the error as follows:</b> <i>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by <u>a</u> rule <del>a</del> in the Marlborough Environment Plan...</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	296	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>List the activity-specific standards</b> under the rule that it relates to; or</li> <li>• <b>Use cross-referencing</b> between the rules and applicable standards.</li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	297	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert rule headings, as well as section headings and rule numbers; Or Delete the summary table and instead identify provisions with immediate legal effect by another means, such as grey highlight.					
<b>1008</b>	Philip Anthony Hawke	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1026</b>	Patricia Riri	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1029</b>	Peter Shirley	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1031</b>	Peter Snape	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1053</b>	Roger Bee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1055</b>	Rory Bryant	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1057</b>	Roger Dippie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1079</b>	Rachel Stanford	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1080</b>	Rata Steele	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1084</b>	Raeburn Property Partnership	2	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1097</b>	Sonya Ferguson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1103</b>	Stuart Barnes	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1108</b>	Shane Bray	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1113</b>	Sivanathan Devaraj	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1115</b>	Steve Dyer	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1116</b>	Stuart Edward Borrie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1122</b>	Steven John Bickley	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1138</b>	Shane Turnbull	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1139</b>	Sarah Williams	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1144</b>	Scott Foster	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1177</b>	Thien Soong Wong	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1178</b>	Teresa Shaw	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1181</b>	Tiare Tautari	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	4	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Te Atiawa asks that all the Zones of the MEP be provided with a permitted activity of restoring or rehabilitating habitat, undertaking restoration activities, research and placement of structures/undertaking of works associated with the restoration or rehabilitation of habitat for native vegetation/habitat or animals or both.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	6	Volume 2	All		Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	7	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Te Atiawa seeks the inclusion of a permitted rule within all Zones of the MEP whereby a pou or other structure/carving/sign can be erected to identify an area of Maori significance. Least Te Atiawa is left with no option but to oppose the recognition of all significant sites within the MEP.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	18	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	23	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the removal of such restrictions and the provision of permitted standards such that marae and Papakainga services can be provided on iwi land without unnecessary hurdles.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	27	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	29	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices.					
<b>1211</b>	Vaughan Hall	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1221</b>	Wayne de Joux	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1224</b>	P Wood	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1241</b>	Yong Hee Son	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1243	Zane Charman	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1247	Robert Walker	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1252	Frank Prendeville	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
336	William Ian Esson	1	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the paragraph under the heading "Controlled activities", amend the text to clarify that <b>under the Resource Management Act</b> controlled activity resource consent applications must be granted. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	30	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	28	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the Rules throughout Volume 2 to include non-complying activities in a manner that gives effect to Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the direction given in the Introduction to Volume 2 to clarify the relationship between, and within the General Rules and the Zone-based Rules as they relate to utilities.					
<b>19</b>	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Oppose
Decision Requested	2.36.7.3 Where a pavement sign is used it must:  (a) not exceed <b>1100mm</b> in height by 600mm width.					
<b>25</b>	David Miller	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I believe there should be conditions placed on methods of positive sediment control in the form of detention dams , filter systems or other appropriate measures to arrest the deposition of sediments into receiving waters in sensitive areas.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Support
Decision Requested	Add the following Permitted Activity rule under 2.1 - " <b><i>Use of water from the Barnes Dam on a tributary of the Waitohi Stream by the Marlborough District Council for municipal supply purposes.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	311	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introductory statement under the heading "Activity In, On, Over or Under the Bed of a Lake or River" on page 2-11 as follows (bold) -  " <i>Activities in, on, over or under the beds of lakes and rivers do not cover the taking, use, damming or diversion of water controlled under Section 14 of the RMA. <b>These rules do not apply to the Floodway Zone.</b></i> "					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal.</li> <li>Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision.</li> <li>Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent.</li> <li>Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees.</li> <li>Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</li> <li>Rules making these requirements shall be included within each of the zones within the Plan.</li> <li>Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule].</li> </ul> <p><b>Note, the above rules deal with road reserve, which is un-zoned in the proposed MEP. Therefore, it is more appropriate to include them in the General Rules.</b></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	446	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new Restricted Discretionary Activity rule is included in the Plan as follows -</p> <p><b>" Transfer of a water permit.</b></p> <p><b>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</b></p> <p><b>- impacts on existing users;</b></p> <p><b>- compliance with allocation limits;</b></p> <p><b>- reasonable and efficient use."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	479	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i> "; And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -  " <i>These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan.</i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	86	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include provisions to provide for the discharge of contaminants to water.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows: <del>Other</del> <u>The following</u> General Rules contained in Chapter 2 <del>may</del> apply in addition to <b><u>the Network Utility General Rules [List]</u></b> <del>to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.</del>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	General – the Proposed Plan uses regional rules to regulate the Activities Associated with Plantation Forestry. By doing this it extinguishes most existing use rights in relation to the use of land under Plantation forests (ie using Regional Rules to trump both RMA Section 9 matters – Restrictions on use of land District Rules and RMA Section 10 - Certain existing uses in relation to land protected).					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	200	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to remove the unnecessary duplication of activities by simply listing the activities and their associated standards once.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	201	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a schedule of farm practices, similar to that included as Schedule 24 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, and to include rules which require compliance with the schedule for nutrient management, irrigation management, grazing of intensively farmed stock, cultivation and collected animal effluent.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	202	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a schedule outlining requirements for Farm Environment Management Plans similar to Schedule 7 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	203	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the Plan apply the allocation of nutrients principles from Beef and Lamb NZ (attached as an appendix to this submission) as policies and rules when considering the allocation of nutrients for farming activities.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	236	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support
Decision Requested	To protect scarce wetland resources and avoid adverse effects on wetlands from the drainage and diversion of water in rivers, Fish and Game seek a new standard to apply to all activities involving the diversion or discharge of water to ensure that the diversion or discharge does not result in the lowering of water levels in any wetland.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	244	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support
Decision Requested	Permitted activity xxx diversion of no more than 50 l/s into Para Wetland for the purposes of wetland restoration.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That provisions for the clearance of flood debris from rivers be made (subject to appropriate conditions), within the permitted activities table in Volume 2, chapter 2 General Rules, Section 2.7 Activities in, on, over or under the bed of a lake or river. That additional policies to support this permitted activity be included, which recognise the adverse effects flood debris can have on adjoining land and in creating a natural flood hazard, and the need to provide a timely and efficient response.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	377	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity statuses throughout the plan to Non-complying status where appropriate					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rules to the section on Heritage Resources:</p> <p><b><i>2.26. Restricted Discretionary Activities</i></b>  <b><i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i></b>  <b><i>2.26.1. Erection of a sign attached to, obstructing, or within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 that is not a permitted activity under rule 2.24.X.</i></b>  <b><i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i></b>  <b><i>2.26.1.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i></b>  <b><i>2.26.1.2. Sign design, size, number, appearance, illumination, construction, location, and placement.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>2.26.2 Alteration of a heritage resource identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13, including alterations provided for under Rule 2.24.3. that do not meet the applicable standards.</i></b>  <b><i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i></b>  <b><i>2.25.2.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i></b>  <b><i>2.25.2.2. Effects on amenity.</i></b>  <b><i>2.25.2.3. Alteration design, construction, location, appearance and layout.</i></b></p>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following New Rule will be added to the General Rules:</p> <p><b><i>The replacement of existing take and use resource consents is a restricted activity. Discretion will be limited to the following matters:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- The rate, volume and timing of the take;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- The reasonable need for quantities of water sought;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Duration of consent;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Lapsing of consent;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Review of consent conditions;</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- The collection, recording, monitoring and provision of information.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>In addition for groundwater takes:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- The effects the take (on its own, or in combination with other takes) has on any other authorised takes (including well interference drawdown effects).</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	97	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps. <u>Zone provisions for discharges to air do not apply to roads and railway corridors.</u></i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend wording and structure of rules to clearly separate the groundwater and surface water provisions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert an explanation note or introduction and guidance on these rules, or insert an additional Appendix which explains these provisions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Suggest amending this sentence to state " <i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps</i> ".					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request new permitted activity rules be inserted in the MEP to provide for the construction of bores in all zones, including the Airport, Industrial 1 and Urban Residential 2 zones, as a permitted activity.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a rule to provide for temporary bridges and launch areas as a permitted activity, with suggested wording as follows:  <i>Construction or placement of a temporary bridge in, on or over the bed of a lake or river in association with temporary military training activities.</i>  - <i>No more than 2m<sup>3</sup> of riverbed must be disturbed.</i>  - <i>The structure must not be located in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland.</i>  - <i>The construction or placement must comply with all the permitted activity land disturbance rules for the Zone in which the activity is taking place.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for the discharge of stormwater to land, with suggested wording as follows:  <i>General Rules - Permitted Activities - New Rule: Discharge of stormwater to land</i>  <i>Standards:</i>  - the discharge is not from, onto or into contaminated land and  - the discharge shall not cause or exacerbate the flooding of any other property.  Note: The above wording is based on a similar permitted activity rule in the proposed Natural Resources Plan for Wellington.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the introductory sentence to state:  <i>Temporary Military Training Activities are not required to comply with the requirements of any other part of the Plan except the provisions for earthworks and permanent structures, and any relevant regional rules.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b> <u>[R] Rule x.x.x: Bore construction or alteration within the legal road for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated diversion and discharge of water or contaminants.</u> <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows: <u>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</u> <u>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</u> <u>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</u> <u>x.x.x.x The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</u> This rule could be inserted into Chapter 2 General Rules (water take, use, damming or diversion section, from page 2-1) or a new chapter or section relating to the road or unzoned land.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	144	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>[R] Rule x.x.x: Earthworks within the legal road and associated sediment discharge to water or to land where it may enter water.</i>  <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity that address the effects of the sediment discharge on the receiving water body, such as:  <i>x.x.x.x Earthworks shall not, after the zone of reasonable mixing, result in any of the following effects in receiving waters:</i>  <i>(i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums of foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</i>  <i>(ii) any conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity, or</i>  <i>(iii) any emission of objectionable odour, or</i>  <i>(iv) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by animals, or</i>  <i>(v) any significant adverse effect on aquatic life.</i>  The rule could be located within the Transportation section of Chapter 2, or within a new section containing other regional rules applying to unzoned land.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	145	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>Rule 2.x.x. The discharge of contaminants into air from abrasive blasting and water blasting, including and any associated discharge onto land or into water</i>  <b>Insert the following permitted activity standards specific to this activity:</b>  <i>2.x.x.1. Any sand or other material used for abrasive blasting must contain less than 5% free silica on a dry weight basis.</i>  <i>2. x.x.2. Any discharge of particulate matter must not be offensive or objectionable beyond the legal property boundary.</i>  <i>2. x.x.3. Any abrasive media not in use must be kept covered and protected from erosion.</i>  <i>2. x.x.4. All material that is discharged to land from the blasting must be collected and removed from the site to the extent practicable after blasting has been completed. The material must be disposed of to a facility that has authorisation to accept the contaminants in the material.</i>  <i>2. x.x.5. The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances, including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</i>  <i>2. x.x.6. For dry abrasive blasting all items must be blasted within an abrasive blasting enclosure and the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	148	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>[R] Rule X.X.X Discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to land for the purpose of deicing the road network, including any associated discharges to surface water.</i>  <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows:  <i>X.X.X.X. The application of calcium magnesium acetate shall be made by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. There shall be no direct discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to any waterbody or to coastal water.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. The calcium magnesium acetate shall be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended application rates and standards.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. Written records shall be kept of all applications of calcium magnesium acetate, including date, time, position and amount applied.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	149	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Permitted Activity Rule in Chapter 2. General Rules: Discharge to Air as follows:</b> <i>Discharge of contaminants from a mobile source</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	174	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the introduction to the Network Utilities section</b> to specify that the rules take precedence over those in zone chapters.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	215	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone, Coastal Environment Zone, Coastal Marine Zone, Floodway Zone and the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new permitted activity rule is required to facilitate discharge of Contaminants to Air from Internal Combustion Engine during disruption to the power network together with exclusions within the zone rules where necessary.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new section providing for temporary structures or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes within Chapter 2, or in each zone as follows:</p> <p><b>Temporary Structure or Equipment</b>  <b>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b>2.## Permitted Activities</b>  <b>2.##.1 Temporary structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes</b>  <b>2.##.1.1 The structure or equipment must not be located within any zone for longer than 31 days in any calendar year.</b>  <b>2.##.1.2 The structure or equipment must not exceed 2m in length, 2m in width and 1.5m in height.</b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b>2.## Discretionary Activities</b>  <b>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</b>  <b>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</b></p> <p>OR</p> <p><b>Insert the above rules for temporary structures or equipment for scientific purposes into the zone rules for each zone throughout the District, other than the Port Zone.</b></p>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows:</p> <p><del>Other</del> <u>The following General Rules contained in Chapter 2 may apply in addition to the Network Utility General Rules [List] to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.</u></p>					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new Permitted Activity  <b>Permitted Activity – customary harvest</b>  <b>2.X.1 Customary Harvest</b></p> <p>(see subpoint 1189.119 for associated standards)</p>					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new Permitted Activity Standards <b><u>2.x.1.1 Must be undertaken in accordance with tikanga.</u></b> <b><u>2.x.1 2 Where the material or resource is located on private property, an access agreement must be in place with the landowner, or alternatively, permission sought from the landowner on a case by case basis.</u></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:  <i>" 2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard:            (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and            (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i>  As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:  <b><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities            Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:            [R, D]            2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.35 to including the following additional standard:</p> <p><i>" 2.35.1.x. A sign (except for signs associated with the National Grid) exceeding 2.5m in height: (a) must not be located within the National Grid Yard; and (b) must not restrict or prevent access to the National Grid."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Signage' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"2.x Non-Complying Activities"</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.35.1.x."</i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to provide clear direction in relation to the way in which the PMEP provisions apply to network utility activities and particularly to structure the provisions to apply, insofar as is practical, as a standalone suite of provisions.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to include the following:</p> <p><i>"Notwithstanding any other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the requirements of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities "NESETA") Regulations 2009 apply to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of National Grid transmission line(s) that were operating or able to be operated on, or prior to, 14 January 2010 and remain part of the National Grid. In the case of conflict with any other provision of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including any provision in this section, the NESETA Regulations shall prevail."</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	74	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i>  <b><u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u></b>  <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u>  <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b><u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</u></b></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	204	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the permitted activities contained in Section 2.2 to ensure that these activities cease when the particular Freshwater Management Unit is experiencing low flows. Alternatively Fish and Game seek that the permitted take amounts are reduced overall and that during low flows, the permitted amounts are further reduced and all permitted takes cease during extreme low flows, which will direct people toward storing water at appropriate times.</p>					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Request requesting an amendment to rule reference from 2.4.1 to 2.5.1. As per our point above, this section would benefit from some explanation paragraphs.</p>					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1, subject to resolution of PR's concerns as to how the levels and limits in Appendix 6 have been set.					
<b>345</b>	Willowhaugh Enterprises Limited	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from the Council is for the threshold for the Wairau River Class B Water Right to remain at the original 8m <sup>3</sup> /s.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	434	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1. (inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.1.1 as follows:</b> <i>2.1.1.2. The environmental flows and levels, as specified in Appendix 6, do not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water required by Rule <del>2.4.12.5.1</del>, as it relates to not meeting the applicable Standards of a Permitted Activity in Rule 2.2.</i>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1.					
<b>1237</b>	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	435	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Apply the Standard to 2.4.1. <i>(The reference to 2.4.1 in Standard 2.1.1.2 is a typographical error, it should be read 2.5.1.)</i>					
<b>64</b>	Jet Boating NZ Inc	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To have the minor excavation of the river bed to form a jet boat giant slalom course be considered a permitted activity.					
<b>90</b>	Tony Hewitt	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	I seek an additional provision along lines of 2.2.10 but for surface flow testing. Take water for purposes of completing a stream depletion test to determine effects on downstream users and/or values. At no time shall testing remove more than 10% of the flow or be carried out for more than 14 days in any one year. Water can only be pumped to land using approved irrigation methods.					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control. We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for sports, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes. We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request.					
<b>267</b>	Marlborough Motor-Cycle Club Inc	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																												
Decision Requested	<p>That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control.</p> <p>We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for the Club, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes.</p> <p>We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request.</p>																																	
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	437	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support																												
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new rule to the Plan as follows -</p> <p>(a) The take and use of groundwater is a permitted activity provided no more than 10m<sup>3</sup>/day at a rate not exceeding 5l/s is taken;</p> <p>(b) The taking and use of surface water is a permitted activity in accordance with the table below:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>&lt; 100 L/s</td> <td>0.5 L/s</td> <td>2 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>100 – 500 L/s</td> <td>2 L/s</td> <td>10 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>500 L/s – 10 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>20 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>10 – 20 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>&gt;20 m<sup>3</sup>/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>100 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Artificial watercourse</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>10 L/s</td> <td>10 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lakes</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m<sup>3</sup></td> </tr> </table> <p>Note: Nothing in this Plan affects an individual's right to take water in accordance with section 14(3)(b) of the RMA.</p>						River	< 100 L/s	0.5 L/s	2 m <sup>3</sup>	River	100 – 500 L/s	2 L/s	10 m <sup>3</sup>	River	500 L/s – 10 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	20 m <sup>3</sup>	River	10 – 20 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	50 m <sup>3</sup>	River	>20 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	100 m <sup>3</sup>	Artificial watercourse	N/A	10 L/s	10 m <sup>3</sup>	Lakes	N/A	5 L/s	50 m <sup>3</sup>
River	< 100 L/s	0.5 L/s	2 m <sup>3</sup>																															
River	100 – 500 L/s	2 L/s	10 m <sup>3</sup>																															
River	500 L/s – 10 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	20 m <sup>3</sup>																															
River	10 – 20 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	50 m <sup>3</sup>																															
River	>20 m <sup>3</sup> /s	5 L/s	100 m <sup>3</sup>																															
Artificial watercourse	N/A	10 L/s	10 m <sup>3</sup>																															
Lakes	N/A	5 L/s	50 m <sup>3</sup>																															
<b>612</b>	Jet Boating New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose																												
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new activity (bold) is added to 2.2 Permitted Activity:</p> <p><b><i>Rule 2.2.25 Minor excavations of the river bed to construct a giant slalom jet boat course.</i></b></p>																																	
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	85	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part																												

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new rule as follows: <u>[R] 2.2.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u>  <u>2.3.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u> <u>(1) fish passage shall not be impeded as a result of the activity;</u> <u>(2) any activity in the water shall be kept to a minimum to avoid, as much as practicable, discolouration to the river or lake. Where any sediment release occurs, it will be only temporary;</u> <u>(3) any bed disturbance shall be kept to the minimum necessary to undertake the activity and shall be returned as near as practicable to its original channel shape, area, depth, or gradient on completion of the activity (with the exception of revegetation);</u> <u>(4) no fuel storage or machinery refuelling shall occur on any area of the bed;</u> <u>(5) no contaminants, other than sediment released from the bed, shall be discharged to water during the activity unless allowed by a relevant permitted activity rule or resource consent;</u> <u>(6) before any equipment, machinery, or operating plant is moved to a new activity site it shall be effectively cleaned to prevent the spread of "pests" or "unwanted organisms" as defined by the Biosecurity Act 1993;</u> <u>(7) all equipment, machinery, operating plant and debris associated with the bed disturbance activity shall be removed from the site on completion of the activity; and</u> <u>(8) from the beginning of November until the end of May, there shall be no disturbance of the tidal river habitat up to the spring tide level.</u> <u>(9) the diversion is carried out completely within a river or lake bed (i.e. no water is diverted outside of the river or lake bed); and</u> <u>(10) the water is returned to its original course after completion of the activity, no later than one month after the damming and diversion occurs.</u>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That 2.2 Permitted Activity Rules are amended to include the take and use of water consented for viticulture and horticulture prior to the date of notification of the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an additional permitted activity water take pursuant to Rule 2.2 as follows: <u>Rule 2.2X Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per water body per day.</u>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a permitted activity rule and standards to provide for the taking, use and discharge to land of water associated with temporary military training activities.</p> <p>Suggested wording is as follows:</p> <p><i>Permitted activity rule: The take, use and discharge to land of surface water for the reasonable use of water treatment unites operated by the New Zealand Defence Force, up to 5% of the rive flow at any time.</i></p> <p><i>Associated permitted activity standards:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i></li> <li><i>2. The take must not occur for more than ten consecutive days.</i></li> </ol>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That consideration be given to providing for the takes referred to as permitted or controlled activities.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><u><b>“[R] Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</b></u></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><u><b>“ Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</b></u></p> <p><u><b>2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</b></u></p> <p><u><b>2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</b></u></p> <p><u><b>2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</b></u></p>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.2.1					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	436	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	205	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of this activity as outlined below (see submission on 2.3.1)					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.1.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	75	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building'.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	101	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the domestic allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	207	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	102	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Papakainga allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	209	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	103	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the allocation limit for marae.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.4					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	439	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	211	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.4.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.4.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of <del>an individual's</del> a person's animals</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.5					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	441	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to <del>5m3</del> <b>10m3</b> per day per Computer Register."</i> or, delete the Rule as a result of Submission of new proposed rule in separate Submission.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	213	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activities' Amend to add 'or CT' after 'Computer Register.'					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendemnts (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.5: <i>Rule 2.2.5 Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to <del>5</del><b>15</b>m3 per day per Computer Register.</i>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5.					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We want this rule changed to be a volume based on the number of cows being milked per property.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Combine the Permitted Activity rules for domestic, stock and dairy wash water into a single Permitted Activity rule. Increase the volume in Permitted Activity rule for dairy wash water to more than 15 cubic metres per day. <i>(Decision requested is inferred.)</i>					
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.6 as follows (strikethrough and bold): <i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water up to <del>15</del> <b>25</b>m<sup>3</sup> per day per dairy shed.</i>					
<b>451</b>	Bown Partnership	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend permitted activity rule 2.2.6 with the following change (bold): <i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water <b>and dairy milk cool down</b> up to 15m<sup>3</sup> per day per dairy shed.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	215	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m <sup>3</sup> as follows - (a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted (b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity. This involves amending Rule 2.2.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	443	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Take and use of water from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit up to 15m<sup>3</sup> per day for any purpose until <del>9 June 2017</del> <b>one year after the Plan becomes operative.</b>"</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	217	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	77	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.7 by deleting 'Until 9 June 2017.'					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.8					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	151	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend permitted rule 2.2.8 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for fire-fighting purposes and for the purpose of spraying for weed and pest control.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	219	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with amendments that introduce standards that require the use of stored water before water is taken and used directly from waterbodies as other standards that ensure the ecological health of fresh waterbodies.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.8.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.8 as follows (strike through and bold) - <b>"Take, <del>and use</del> and damming of water for fire-fighting purposes and firefighting training (when undertaken by the New Zealand Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting activities)."</b>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	220	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	222	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed with amendments to the standards as sought below.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	97	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.10.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well.</p> <p>Suggested permitted activity standards are:</p> <p><i>(a) The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	224	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	98	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rue 2.2.11.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	79	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.2.11. Take and use of water for road, <u>rail</u> or river control construction works up to 50m<sup>3</sup> per day per construction site.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.2.11 as follows:</b> <b>"Take and use of water for road or river control construction, <i>maintenance, repair or upgrade</i> works up to 50m<sup>3</sup> per day per construction site"</b> <b>And amend standard 2.3.10.4 as follows:</b> <i>"2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction, <u>maintenance, repair or upgrade</u> works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority"</i>					
<b>1151</b>	Simcox Construction Limited	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" Take and use of water for road or river control construction works up to <del>50m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>200m<sup>3</sup></b> per day per construction site."</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Amendment of rule 2.2.12 is requested as follows (bold) - <i>" Take of water <b>by a network utility</b> for dewatering of a trench."</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	226	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	99	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.12.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.2.12 and Standards as follows:</b>  <i>Take of water and associated diversion and discharge of that water for the purpose of dewatering a site of a trench.</i>  2.3.11.1. <i>The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area.</i>  2.3.11.2. <i>The take must relate to a temporary <u>excavation trench</u> <del>excavated</del> for the purposes of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure or <u>geotechnical testing</u>.</i></p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>2.2 Permitted Activities  Permit dewatering (water take) activities associated with underground tank installation and replacement at service stations, and in respect of utilities as well as infrastructure. This could be achieved by amending Rule 2.2.12 as follows:  2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering <b>of a trench</b>.  OR  2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering of a trench <b>and/or tank pit associated with underground fuel tanks</b>.  OR  By providing a definition for trench that specifically includes an excavation to enable the maintenance, replacement or installation of underground utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain</b> Rule 2.2.12 as notified.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	152	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows:  <i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	228	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	100	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.14.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity 2.2.15					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	155	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	230	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	101	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.15.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.15 to include groundwater:  <b>Take, use and discharge of surface-water for non-consumptive use.</b>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	82	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.2.15.</b>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.15 as follows: <i>Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	232	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	102	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.16.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	444	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the Rule and the associated Standards with two new rules and associated standards as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"Damming water and the subsequent use of that water.</del>  <del>Standard 2.3.16.1. -- No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> of water is dammed at any time.</del>  <del>Standard 2.3.16.2. -- The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent."</del></p> <p>Rule 1</p> <p><b>"For the damming or impounding of water outside the bed of a river or natural lake:</b></p> <p><b>(a) the volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup>; or</b></p> <p><b>(b) the maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) if the volume of water impounded is greater than 1,000 m<sup>3</sup>, the design and construction of the dam is certified by a Recognised Engineer; and</b></p> <p><b>(d) the land is not contaminated or potentially contaminated."</b></p> <p>Rule 2</p> <p><b>"For the damming of water in the bed of a river and the constructing, altering, using, maintaining and operating of dam structures within the bed of a river:</b></p> <p><b>(a) The volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup>; and</b></p> <p><b>(b) The maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</b></p> <p><b>(c) The dam does not impound the full flow of the river; and</b></p> <p><b>(d) Any existing passage of fish is not impeded; and</b></p> <p><b>(e) The damming of water does not cause water flow to fail to meet any limits in Appendix 6 or fall below the minimum flow for the surface waterbody if the waterbody is subject to a minimum flow as set out in Appendix 6; and</b></p> <p><b>(f) The damming does not prevent water being taken by any domestic or stock water supply, or reduce the reliability of supply of any existing legally authorised water take."</b></p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule; and Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - " <i>The catchment in which the water is being dammed must not exceed 100 hectares.</i> " (Inferred)					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	157	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	234	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	103	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.2.17.</b>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	237	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The standards associated with the permitted activity for the operation of the drainage channel network do little to ensure that the potential environmental effects of the activity are appropriately addressed. In particular, Fish and Game seek that the setback of the diversion from a significant wetland needs to be increased. Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.2.18 as follows:</b> <i>Diversion and temporary damming of water associated with the operation and maintenance of roadside drainage channels and the Drainage Channel Network existing on 9 June 2016, and permitted activities in the Floodway Zone.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	239	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.19.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	241	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	243	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	246	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	248	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>No details provided in terms of decision requested</i>					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.24.					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert an additional standard within Rule 2.3 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per day.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2.3.X.X Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2.3.X.X The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2.3.X.X The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2.3.X.X Dust suppression on gravel roads must be undertaken by, or on behalf of the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><i>“[R] Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</i></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. 2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time. 2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland. 2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</i></b></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	438	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	206	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers. Fish and Game seek to include conditions on permitted takes for domestic needs that require:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A restriction on the maximum number of takes in water resource units</li> <li>• Stopping water takes at extreme low flows</li> <li>• Each permitted activity take location and amount of take is reported to the Council to ensure that the Council can understand and monitor the extent of cumulative effects from permitted domestic takes.</li> </ul>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	78	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building' or 'dwellings' with 'habitable buildings'.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.1.1:  <i>Standard 2.3.1.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <del>5</del><b>20</b>% of river flow at any time.</i>					
<b>1042</b>	Port Underwood Association	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):  <i>2.3.1.2. The take is limited to one dwelling per take point except where multiple dwellings exist on a single computer Register or on contiguous computer Registers under the same ownership, in which case there may be up to three dwellings per take point. <b>Where a number of properties have a common legal easement to a water take point the taking of water for reasonable domestic use shall be permitted.</b></i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	229	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	208	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.3.2.1.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.2.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.2.2: <i>Standard 2.3.2.2. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <del>5</del><b>20</b>% of river flow at any time.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	210	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	79	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activity'					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	440	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	212	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3. Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.4.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of <del>an individual's</del> <u>a person's</u> animals</i>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.4.1: <i>Standard 2.3.4.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <del>5</del><b>20</b>% of river flow at any time.</i>					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.3.5. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.3.5					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	214	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That the provision is retained in full.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.5.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.5.1:  <i>Standard 2.3.5.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <del>5</del><b>20</b>% of river flow at any time.</i>					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To change the allocation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 litres of water per litre of milk.</li> <li>• To have litres of water per hectare and not per farm.</li> </ul> If we are required to have a Resource Consent for cowshed water that it does not get the same cut off restrictions as the Irrigation Resource Consents.					
<b>131</b>	Simon Tripe	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request that the amount be assessed on a farm size basis with realistic volumes allowed and that it would not be subject to restrictions such as we have with irrigation water.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	216	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for dairy wash takes above 15m3 to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A permitted activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from a source that is not over-allocated.</li> <li>A restricted discretionary activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from an over-allocated source.</li> </ul>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m3 as follows - <p>(a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted</p> <p>(b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity.</p> <p>This involves amending Rule 2.3.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.6.1: <p><i>Standard 2.3.6.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <del>50</del><b>20</b>% of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	218	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	221	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendments to ensure that standard 2.3.8.6 refers to the relevant professional standards for calibration.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.8.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.8.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	223	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that bore testing is not carried out more than once every calendar year.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.9.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days;  AND  Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well.  Suggested permitted activity standards are:  (a) <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i>  (b) <i>The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i></p>					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.10.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	84	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.3.10. Take and use of water for road, rail or river control construction works up to 50m<sup>3</sup> per day per construction site.</i> <i>2.3.10.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</i> <i>2.3.10.2. The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</i> <i>2.3.10.3. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i> <i>2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	225	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	227	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.11.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	81	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.3.11.1.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>2.3 Standards applying to specific permitted activities</b></p> <p>Amend the standards that apply to specific permitted activities to ensure that dewatering activities associated with maintenance upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure is a permitted activity. This could be achieved by making the following changes: Amend the heading of Rule 2.3.11 in accordance with the relief sought to Rule 2.2.12 or the definition of trench (see sub-point 1004.25)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>C. Amend Rule 2.3.11.1. to exempt short term, shallow, non-consumptive takes relating to the maintenance, upgrading (including replacement) or installation of existing infrastructure within a Groundwater Protection Area. This could be achieved by making the following change: <i>The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area <b>unless the take is being carried out for maintenance or upgrading or installation of existing utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks, is non consumptive, is from an excavation not exceeding 5m in depth and will not exceed a total of 10 days.</b></i></p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.3.11.2. to delete the reference to "trench excavated" and replace it with a reference to "excavations", and to refer to upgrading, as well as installation and maintenance. <i>The take must relate to a temporary <del>trench-excavated excavation</del> for the purposes of the installation or maintenance <b>or upgrade</b> of infrastructure.</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 2.3.11.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.3.11.2. The take must relate to a temporary trench excavated for the purposes of the <del>installation or maintenance, upgrade or development of utilities or infrastructure.</del>"</i></p>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend clause 2.3.12.1 to – The take and use of water for skifield facilities may occur all year round as required by skifield operations, and the take of water for snowmaking may only occur in the period 1 May to 30September as required for snowmaking.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	253	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.3.12.3 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>20l/s</del> <b>100l/s</b>."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 150litres per second					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	153	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows: <i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i>					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.13.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	154	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows: <i>The take must not be from a <del>Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</del></i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	156	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	231	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.14.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards under heading 2.3.14 to include groundwater: <b>Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use.</b> <b>2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</b> <b>2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</b> <b>2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality.</b> <b>2.3.14.4. The water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> within 250m of the point of take.</b>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.14.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Standards 2.3.14.</b>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.3.14 as follows: <i>Take, use and discharge of <del>surface</del> water for non-consumptive use.</i> <i>2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of <del>river</del> <u>surface water</u> flow at any time.</i> <i>2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i> <i>2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same <del>surface</del> waterbody from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality.</i> <i>2.3.14.4. <u>For surface water takes,</u> <del>The</del> water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody within 250m of the point of take.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	233	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.15.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	83	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	445	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 2.3.16.1 and 2.3.16.2 under this heading.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	158	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	235	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>115</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Leave as current size 20000m3					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>No more than <del>5,000m3</del> <b>20,000m3</b> of water is dammed at any time.</i> "					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to build dams larger than 5000 m3					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.17 and associated standards:</p> <p><del>2.2.17. — Damming water and the subsequent use of that water. The damming and subsequent use of water does not authorise the construction of a dam, which is governed by provisions in the Zone rules.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.1. — No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> of water is dammed at any time.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.2. — The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent.</del></p> <p>Rule 2.2.17 is replaced with the following:</p> <p><b>Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.6 Rules for the Construction of Dams and the Associated Damming of Water</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1 Permitted Activities</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.1 The dam is on a catchment less than 50 ha in area.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.2 The dam cannot impound more than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup> of water.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.3 The dam is less than 4 metres high, measured from base to crest.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.4 The dam does not intersect the groundwater.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.5 The dam will not be built within 500 metres immediately upstream of a dwelling, public roadway or building.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.6 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam does not reduce the flow below the structure to less than the mean annual low flow existing before the dam was constructed.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.7 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam must provide adequate fish passage in line with the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations (1983).</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.8 The holder of consent/s for the dam shall be responsible for the maintenance of the dam. If the consent holder is not known the owner of the site on which the dam is located shall be responsible.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.9 A legal description of the land, a location map, a grid reference and details of the dam and its construction must be supplied to the Council at least 10 working days prior to construction.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.10 Any records collected on the operation of a dam must be kept and made available to the Council upon request.</b></p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision relating to dam size.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	238	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment particularly the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Insert an additional standard relating to flooding:</b> <i>2.3.17.3. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.3.17.1.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend standard 2.3.17.2. as follows:</b> <i>The diversion must be managed by the Marlborough District Council or New Zealand Transport Agency.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	240	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	242	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	245	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	247	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause 2.3.22.1 to – The diversion of water can occur all year round as required for skifield operations.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	254	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.22.3 as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>400</del>/s <b>20l/s.</b>"</i>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause 2.3.22.3 to – The instantaneous rate of diversion must not exceed 150 litres per second					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend what is allowed under this Provision to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for the existing protection works, but in any case add to Provision 2.3.23.5 that Council must be notified ahead of time.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	249	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.23.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:  Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*  Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	442	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><i>Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows -</i></p> <p><b><i>"The taking and use of more than 15m<sup>3</sup>/day for dairy shed wash down water where the dairy shed existed before 9th June 2016.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Matters of control</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) the dairy shed was lawfully established before [date of notification] and the applicant is able to provide proof of this.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) The water being taken and used within the dairy shed is reasonable and efficient use of water.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Note: Proof the dairy shed being lawfully established before 9th June 2016 can be done by way of providing the following. It should be noted that these are not the only way that the dairy shed can be proven to be lawfully established before 9th June 2016:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) building permit code of compliance; or</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) a resource consent for a dairy shed effluent disposal. "</i></b></p>					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Controlled Activities 2.4 (as listed).</p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:					
	<p>1. Insert new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The lawfully established:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Damming and diversion of water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Take and use of water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Discharge of water to water; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Discharges of contaminants to water and to land; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Use of a structure in the bed of a lake, stream or river; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Excavation and disturbance of the beds of lakes and rivers, and associated clearance of vegetation in the bed of lakes and rivers</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Associated with a hydro-electric power scheme that existed on the date this plan becomes operative is a controlled activity where the following conditions are met:</u></p> <p>i) <u>The consent application(s) replace existing resource consents; and</u></p> <p>ii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume or rate of take or diversion; and</u></p> <p>iii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume of discharge or the nature of contaminants being discharged.</u></p> <p><u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u></p> <p>a) <u>The volume and rate of water taken or diverted, and the timing of the take or diversion;</u></p> <p>b) <u>Intake velocities and measures to avoid or mitigate fish entrainment;</u></p> <p>c) <u>The range, or rate of change of levels or flows of water;</u></p> <p>d) <u>Water levels;</u></p> <p>e) <u>Compliance with environmental flow and allocation limits;</u></p> <p>f) <u>Measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects on the following:</u></p> <p>(i) <u>cultural values;</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>lawfully established users of the lake, river or stream;</u></p> <p>(iii) <u>downstream sediment transport processes;</u></p> <p>(iv) <u>aquatic ecosystems, areas of significant indigenous vegetation, and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u></p> <p>(v) <u>outstanding natural features and landscapes, and natural character;</u></p> <p>(vi) <u>amenity values (including recreation), and existing public access to and along the margins of rivers and lakes;</u></p> <p>g) <u>Fish passage;</u></p> <p>h) <u>Measures to manage land stability and erosion;</u></p> <p>i) <u>Measures to control flooding;</u></p> <p>j) <u>Measures to improve technical efficiency in water use;</u></p> <p>k) <u>Where contaminants are applied to water and/or land, contaminant concentrations and loading rates;</u></p> <p>l) <u>Measures required to comply with s107(1) RMA;</u></p> <p>m) <u>Maintenance and contingency requirements;</u></p> <p>n) <u>Monitoring and information requirements;</u></p> <p>o) <u>Duration of consent;</u></p> <p>p) <u>Review of consent conditions; and</u></p> <p>q) <u>Compliance monitoring.</u></p> <p><u>The re-consenting of hydro-electricity generation schemes will be processed under this rule notwithstanding any other rule in this plan.</u></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	447	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule as notified. And, that an advice not is provided that says the rule doesn't relate to the taking from the dam and subsequent use.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	159	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.4.1; or Include further matters that the councils control will be limited to, which will give effect to Policies 5.8.3 and 5.8.4					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	250	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject. Move to a Restricted Discretionary activity status.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.1					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.1					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Discretionary Activities 2.5 (as listed).					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5, subject to specifying additional permitted activities, and noting that 2.5.2 includes proposed takes that do not comply with the minimum flow restrictions.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	251	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.5.1 as notified.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	252	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (Inferred)					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	253	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	254	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	255	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Prohibited Activities 2.6 (as listed).					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new prohibited activity is included under 2.6: <b><i>2.6.x Take, use, damming and diversion of Lake Elterwater.</i></b>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	160	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	256	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the prohibited activity to ensure that it includes the water takes below minimum flows and that the exclusion provided at (b) cease to have effect by 2030. Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the consent status of Rule 2.6.1 is changed from a Prohibited Activity to a Non-complying Activity.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for takes beyond allocation limits as non-complying.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	257	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the prohibited activity be replaced with an activity that prohibits all water takes from Freshwater Management Units for use in another Freshwater Management Unit.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	258	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change activity status to non-complying.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for takes for frost fighting purposes in this period as non-complying.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.3 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.4					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.4					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	161	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	73	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain <i>Rule 2.6.4. Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i> <i>(j) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	259	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek the reinstatement of the prohibited activity rule (rule 27.1.2.5 of the Wairau Awatere Resource Management Plan) in relation to the taking of water from Lake Elterwater or amendment to the plan with the same effect as the relief sought.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the exemptions in Rule 2.6.4 as follows (bold) - <i>" This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals <b>and the take, use and damming of water for firefighting purposes permitted by Rule 2.2.8.</b>"</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.6.4</b> to exempt the take, use, and diversion of water associated with construction or maintenance activities), for example: <i>Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i> ... <i>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, <u>or to take, use, and diversion associated with construction, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u>, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals.</i> <b>Clarify why diversions of the Branch River are prohibited by Rule 2.6.4</b> , but minimum flows for diversions of the Branch River are given in Schedule 4 of Appendix 6.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.4.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rarangi wetlands is included in Rule 2.6.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Rule 2.6.4 as follows:  <i>"Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i>  ...  <i>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, <u>and those activities will be considered under Rules 2.4.2, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4, 2.5.5. including</u> The take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals <u>will be considered under Rules 2.2.1, 2.2.2, and 2.2.4."</u></i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.5					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	162	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	260	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai Rivers and their tributaries to ensure that damming of water is a prohibited activity in these waterways also.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent. The inclusion of the dual name for the Clarence River is also sought when referred to throughout the plan.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " <i>The installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland.</i> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	467	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows ( <i>no associated standards provided in the Submission</i> ) - <b>"Construction or placement of a new structure, such as a fence, culvert, bridge or stock/vehicle crossing on the bed of a lake or permanently flowing river."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	469	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Permitted Activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows ( <i>no associated standards provided in the Submission</i> ) - <b>"The placement of a river crossing structure, including but not limited to weirs, fords and small bridges, excluding culverts and a river crossing that dams a river, that is fixed in, on under, or over the bed of a river including any associated disturbance of and deposition on the river or lake bed, and diversion of water and discharge of sediment to water."</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	470	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows ( <i>no associated standards provided in the Submission</i> ) - <b>"Maintenance of existing farm drains."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - " <b>Clearance of flood debris from in, on, over or under the bed of a river.</b> " ( <i>Inferred</i> ) Add new Standards to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - ( <i>Details of new Standards not provide by Submitter</i> ) Add new Policies relating to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - ( <i>Details of new Policies, and the appropriate Objective and Issue to relate them to, not provide by Submitter</i> ).					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activities 2.7 (as listed).					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Include the following or similar in the list if permitted activities under 2.7:</p> <p><i><u>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds.</u></i></p> <p>(b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9:</p> <p><i><u>- The riverbed must be less 3 metres in width:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>- There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>- The structure must be deigned and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</u></i></p>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	372	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..."					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Extend the area to which the gravel permit applies to the area traditionally addressed by this permit system, and include a new permitted activity rule that makes it explicit that where a gravel permit is held from Marlborough District Council that the extraction of gravel is permitted activity. For example:</p> <p><b><u>2.7.X The extraction of gravel and the associated disturbance of the bed and banks of a river under the terms and conditions of a valid gravel permit from Marlborough District Council.</u></b></p> <p>Include a new overlay on the planning maps identifying the gravel permit area as all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	81	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to 2.7 as 2.7.11 Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	134	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.					
<b>971</b>	Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That management of the extraction of gravel from the Marlborough river systems continues as per the current Plan (Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.8.1 Permitted Activities - River Control Works <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities, for the installation and use of bridges and fords in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the necessary amendments to ensure only one rule applies to each activity in section 2.7, for example, specify whether each rule applies to continuously, intermittently, and/ or ephemerally flowing rivers, as per the comment on definitions above.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new rules in Section 2.7:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b></p> <p><i>2.7.X. Construction of a temporary dam</i></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b></p> <p><i>[R] Rule 2.7.X: Bore construction or alteration in or on the bed of a lake or river for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated disturbance, water take, use, damming, diversion, and discharge of water or sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Drainage channel maintenance</b></p> <p><i>2.7.X. The removal of vegetation or bed material and associated sediment from any drainage channel, including any associated disturbance, deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b></p> <p><i>2.7.X The removal or demolition of a structure or part of a structure that is fixed in, on, under, or over any river or lake bed, including any associated disturbance and deposition of the bed, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add new sections to permitted activities 2.7</p> <p>2.7.11 customary activities Durville Island</p> <p>2.7.12 placement of eel basket, bed of lake. Durville Island</p> <p>2.7.13 eeling Durville Island</p> <p>2.7.14 Kaitiakitanga Durville Island</p> <p>2.7.15 recreational activities</p> <p>2.7.16 drinking water take existing use W65</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1084</b>	Raeburn Property Partnership	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new Section to be added to plan; Landowners to be permitted to effect small scale 'in' river erosion control work along the Queens chain using machinery under Councils Blanket Resource Consent and under the direction of Councils rivers engineers. (Note: This has been the traditional method of erosion control along tributaries of the Wairau under the Catchment Board and Marlborough District Council until recently when with the MEP coming along there appears policy change detrimental to responsible husbandry of river erosion control.)					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Include the following or similar in the list of permitted activities under Rule 2.7:  <i>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds</i>  (b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9:  - <i>The riverbed must be less than 3 metres in width;</i>  - <i>There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow;</i>  - <i>The structure must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</i>					
<b>1269</b>	KMS Mining Limited	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new permitted activity:  <u><i>2.7.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</i></u>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	458	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.1.3, 2.9.1.4 and 2.9.1.5.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	166	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards 2.9.1 as follows: <i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, and operation of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i> <i>2.9.1.1. The structure must have been lawfully established.</i> <u><i>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</i></u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	261	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	86	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that in respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for superstructure in relation to bridges and culverts.</b></p> <p><b>Amend Standard 2.9.1.2</b> to clarify how the cross-sectional area of the lakebed or river is to be measured.</p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Retain Rule 2.7.1 as notified in the PMEP.</li> </ol>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	459	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.2.2 to 2.9.2.5 (inclusive).					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	168	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows: <i>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river. <del>for existing structures.</del></i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	263	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	88	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.7.2 as follows:</b> <i>Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing structures including gravel and sediment removal, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove rule 2.7.2 from the permitted activity list.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.2 as follows:  <b><i>"2.7.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i></b>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.7.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>10</b>	Nicholas Webby	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Keep in the plan.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	170	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	265	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.</p> <p>See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria  <a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan  (<a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a>; Schedule 2 page 251).</p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	267	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m <sup>3</sup> of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule, but add permitted activity standards for the height of the dam and the volume of impounded water.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</i></li> <li>- <i>The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.7.4.</b>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	465	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to all Standards being deleted (Standards 2.9.5.1 to 2.9.5.4 inclusive).					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	269	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered such as including a maximum size of the structure and limitations on when the structure can be constructed. Fish and Game seek that this applies to all structures except those permitted under 2.7.6 (as amended through this submission) below.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.5.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend the rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend the Rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove rule 2.7.5 from the permitted activity list.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.5 as follows:  <b><i>"2.7.5. Construction or placement of a new structure or utility in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i></b>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	271	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to enable the construction or placement of permanent maimai and whitebait standards as a permitted activity.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	retain or align to NES culvert rules					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	468	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Rule is retained.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	172	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	273	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - "Culvert installation <b>and use</b> in, on, under or over the bed of a river."					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:  The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Support the permitted activity status.</b> <b>Amend Rule 2.7.7 as follows:</b> <i>Culvert installation and replacement in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove rule 2.7.7 from the permitted activity list.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> Rule 2.7.7 as notified.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.7.7 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.7.8 as follows:  <b><i>"2.7.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and mMinor upgrading in, on , or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities:  (a) National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ..."</i></b>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.7.8 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>121</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To allow intensive farmed livestock to cross a river for infrequent crossing. ie once every few weeks to take to yards for animal health or to another paddock location					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	472	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendments and deletions for specific Standards associated with this Rule ( <i>see separate Submissions</i> ).					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.9					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.9					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	174	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	remove reference to livestock "entering onto".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	275	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</i></u></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	277	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	373	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..."					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> the Standards in 2.8 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	449	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To have zero metres from the water provided a secondary containment such as a bund to prevent spillage into the water for containers over 2000 litres - which is the current rule.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	448	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>" Take of water that would cause the water quantity allocation limit for the relevant Freshwater Management Unit to be exceeded, unless the take is:  (a) provided for as a Permitted Activity;  (b) the subject of a resource consent application affected by section 124 of the RMA;  (c) <b>A take in accordance with Section 14(3)(b) for domestic needs and stock drinking.</b>"</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	279	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standard with amendments to ensure that a 20m setback is applied from any location in the bed of a river.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.8.1.1.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of 'surface' water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows: <i>2.8.1.1 No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance, including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants, must take place within 20m of <b>surface</b> water.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	280	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.8.1.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	281	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.1.3.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove reference to Munsell units as a measure of quality					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<u>Describe water clarity at a point 200m downstream of the discharge must not result in significant water clarity degradation from that above the source for more than 8 hours in a day. Where significant is debated, use the black disc method (20%) as the arbitrator.</u>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	450	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That reference to the Munsell scale is deleted in the permitted activity standards.					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete all reference to colour change or Munsell Colour					
	Substitute with Horizontal visibility as measured with a (NIWA) SHMAK clarity tube (in streams & rivers) or Black Disc measurement (in Lakes or in the Sounds)					
	Align the water quality standard with that Proposed in Otago (Plan change 6a) or in Southland – ie no more than a 40% change in visual clarity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	282	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council to work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):					
	<i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i>					
	The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):					
	<i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):					
	<i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule, ensuring that the methods of measurement is useable and meaningful.</p> <p>This applies to all other references to the Munsell scale in the MEP.</p>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 2.8.1.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.8.1.4. Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i></p> <p>The submission also states "Amend substituting measure of Horizontal Clarity".</p>					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would support if the suggested words are added					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>To either scrap the 50 metre rule of a nesting bird or:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To reduce it to 10 metre rule of nesting bird</li> <li>If all areas of the riverbed was to be treated as a nesting bird area under the current 50 metre proposal we could lose over 25 ha of land which is most productive between the months of 1 September and 31 December. In European Union there is subsidies for the lose of land for environmental protection purposes.</li> </ul>					
<b>306</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council needs to distinguish between deliberate nesting disturbance & accidental.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	451	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.8.1.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.8.1.5					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dpwnstream.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	163	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	283	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new standard applicable to all activities that restricts activities from occurring during trout spawning season in trout spawning rivers from June 1 – September 1.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.8.15 as follows:  <i><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50 metres of a riverbed nesting bird, or a nesting bird on a lakebed.</u></i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> <i>The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame.</i>					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to provide greater clarity as to what specific situations require 50 metres of protection. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard, also inferred referencing Standard 2.8.1.5 as the Rule referred to in the submission does not exist)</i>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	374	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..."					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.8.1.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a <b>native</b> nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.8.1.5 as follows:</b>  <i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed <u>that has a conservation status of nationally "Threatened" or "At Risk" on the Department of Conservation's NZ Threat Classification System.</u></i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.8.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>An activity within the wetted area of a riverbed must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i>"</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	453	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	164	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	452	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.					
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow instant removal of fallen, or washed out trees.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dwnstream.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	165	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.2.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	200	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows (strike through) - <i>"All cut or felled vegetation that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must be removed from the bed of the lake or river <del>(except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).</del>"</i>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Permitted Activity Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows: <i>"All cut or felled vegetation and associated debris must: <del>that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must be removed from the bed of the lake or river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).</del></i> <i><u>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u></i> <i><u>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u></i> <i><u>(c) be stored on stable ground;</u></i> <i><u>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</u></i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see this amended to say that in these circumstances, once the flooding has receded then it is permitted to enter to creek for the sole purpose of removing the particular hazard.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.3.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change wording to add " <u>except in the case where ROWs and or access corridors exist before neighbouring land uses change</u> ".					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"The best practicable method, <b>for example.....</b>, must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	454	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standards is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"<b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect): <b>The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.</b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The best practical method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.8.3.1. as follows:</b> <i>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid <u>or mitigate</u> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.8.3.1 as follows: <i>The best practicable <del>method</del> <u>option</u> must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>					
307	Tasman District Council	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	All in-stream structures (existing and new), such as culverts, weirs, dams and fords, that are not governed by a resource consent, should be required to provide for fish passage within 5 years unless there is good reason not to (eg prevent pest fish or trout predation on rare native fish) or by agreement (for those who may have an expensive or large number of in-stream structures to remediate).					
359	WilkesRM Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new standards to the proposed new Permitted Activity rule ( <i>separate submission</i> ) for the installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland.</li> <li>• That the installation or maintenance must be undertaken by Marlborough District Council officers or persons acting on their behalf.</li> </ul>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new standards:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be for diverting river flow around works in the bed of a river.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. Provision must be made for river flows up to and including the 20-year average rain index (ARI) event to bypass the temporary dam with the bypass flow being returned to the bed of the river downstream of the dam.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not result in significant adverse effects on flows or ecology within permanent or intermittent rivers or streams.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam structure must be no greater than 4m high when measured vertically from the downstream toe of the dam embankment to the highest point of the dam crest.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be constructed in accordance with best practice methods.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be removed as soon as is practicable and no later than two weeks following completion of the works.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</u></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x Fish passage must not be impeded.</u></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. The activity disturbs less than 10m<sup>3</sup> of the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. It results in the complete removal of the structure from the bed, or the complete removal of that part of the structure requiring removal from the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. No explosives shall be used in the demolition of the structure.</u></p>					
1269	KMS Mining Limited	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add new standards to support new permitted activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.9.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</u></b></p> <p><u>2.9.0.1 (a) The internal diameter of the nozzle does not exceed 150mm; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) The mining activity is not carried out within 20 metres of any structure which has foundations in the river bed, or any ford or pipeline; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) The activity does not cause any flooding or erosion; and</u></p> <p><u>(d) No refuelling is carried out while the dredge is within the wet bed of the river; and</u></p> <p><u>(e) The area dredged lies within the wet bed of the river, and no material is removed from within or under the banks of the river; and</u></p> <p><u>(f) No suction dredge is operated within 50 metres of another dredge; and</u></p> <p><u>(g) No explosives or earthmoving machinery apart from the dredge is used to move material in the river bed; and</u></p> <p><u>(h) Any rocks moved to allow suction dredging to occur are returned as close as possible to the site from which they were removed; and</u></p> <p><u>(i) There is no conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of the water body beyond a distance of 100 metres downstream of the point of discharge; and</u></p> <p><u>(j) No lawful take of water is adversely affected as a result of the bed disturbance; and</u></p> <p><u>(k) No dredging is to take place between the dates 1st May and 30th September to protect fish spawning; and</u></p> <p><u>(l) Dredging is only to be carried out between the times of 7.00am and 7.00pm on any day with noise levels not exceeding 85dB.</u></p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	167	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards 2.9.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, and operation of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i></p> <p><i>2.9.1.1. The structure must have been lawfully established.</i></p> <p><i><u>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</u></i></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	262	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.1.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a condition to the general permitted activity rules requiring fish passage for all existing structures in waterways within five years of the date of the plan becoming operative.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.7.1</b> to also authorise activities associated with the works to the structure, and replacement of the structure, as follows: <i>Alteration, repair or maintenance or replacement of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river, including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</i>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this sub clause					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	455	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	456	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to to suit the purpose really intended. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	457	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.9.2 (Rule 2.7.2)					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	169	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows: <i>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river. <del>for existing structures.</del></i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	264	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.2.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standards 2.9.2</b> to also relate to gravel and sediment removal for the purpose of protecting an existing structure.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the heading for Standard 2.9.2 as follows:  <b><i>"2.9.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	460	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	461	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	462	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	463	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	171	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	266	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.3.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology. See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria <a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a> . Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan ( <a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a> ; Schedule 2 page 251).					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard list to restrict suction hoses being located within cultural sites/areas.					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	464	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	268	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.4.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</i></li> <li>- <i>The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Standards 2.9.4.</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard list to include a consideration/restriction around cultural values, cultural areas, and cultural sites.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.9.4.3.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	466	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Standards under this heading.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	270	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	272	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity standards under 2.9.6.5					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.5.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the heading for Standard 2.9.5 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.9.5. Construction or placement of a new structure <u>or utility</u> in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i></b></p>					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The structure must not intersect the groundwater <b>intended for consumption.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.9.5.3.					
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.9.7 (Rule 2.7.7)					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	173	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	274	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.7.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	87	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support the permitted activity status.</b>  <b>Amend Standards 2.9.7.4 as follows (or similar wording):</b>  <i>The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8</del>12 m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>20m</del> the length necessary to pass beneath the legal road at that location.</i></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> the Standards in 2.9.7 as notified.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 2.9.7.2 needs to state that it is the <b>invert</b> of the culvert that is set to be .....					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to clarify what part of the culvert is to be placed below the riverbed.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 2.9.7.3					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase permitted pipe width allowance to 15m. Or align rule to NES permitted culvert rules which specify design standards and are more robust.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make provision for the use of temporary or permanent bridges or the use of fords.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the rule.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike though and bold) - <i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8m</del> .....m (a length greater than 8m), except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	There must be a mechanism in the plan to allow a longer culvert pipe to be installed as a permitted activity when necessary.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks Standard 2.9.7.4 to be amended but does not include how it is to be amended.					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The total length of the culvert must not exceed 8m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m</del> <b>the length defined by engineering analysis for the site of the installation.</b> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Either: Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length</p> <p>Or Rewrite the rule as follows (or with words of similar effect): <b>The total length of the culvert must not exceed 15m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</b></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Either: Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length</p> <p>Or Rewrite the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8m</del> <b>12m</b>, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i></p>					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.9.7.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.9.7.4 The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8</del><b>15</b>m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</i></p>					
336	William Ian Esson	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the culvert, <b>except for a permitted, predetermined small degree of erosion or scour to occur after a culvert is first placed.</b>"</i></p>					
469	Ian Bond	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Standard needs to state that the installation of the culvert does not cause erosion over and above that occurring naturally.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
648	D C Hemphill	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no <b>accelerated</b> erosion or scour downstream of the culvert."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no <b>more than minor</b> erosion or scour <del>downstream of</del> <b>at</b> the culvert outlet."</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 2.9.8 in its entirety.					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.9.8 in its entirety.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.9.8 as follows:  <b><i>" 2.9.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and mMinor upgrading in, on , or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities: (ea) National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ...</i></b> <i>2.9.8.1. The utility must have been lawfully established.</i> <i>2.9.8.2 The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the utility by any more than 5% of the original utility, <u>except that this Standard does not apply to works that do not affect the hydraulic efficiency of the river, such as poles and lattice towers.</u></i> <i>2.9.8.3 There must be no significant change to the external appearance of the utility. <u>Painting a structure is not a significant change for the purposes of this Standard.</u></i> <i>2.9.8.4 No greater than 10% of the cross-sectional area of the bed of a lake or river must be disturbed."</i>					
26	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We request further strengthening this clause to state that if there are stock on a property, then the streams and rivers need to be fenced off at least 20 metres above the high water line to prohibit access to stock and to allow for the regeneration of native flora.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To have the same rules no matter how the animal is fed or farmed as long as the conditions of the water quality tests are met.					
<b>298</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would like dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entry to waterways.  To be still able to briefly move a mob of sheep & beef stock from one side of Timms creek to the other periodically.					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that <b>supports sustainability and allows primary</b> production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to <b>economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse</b> effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has <b>been</b> below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant <b>cost</b>, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u>.</p> <p>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.</p>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u>  a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u>  b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u>  c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u>  (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u>  (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u>  (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u>  (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli);</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
472	ME Taylor Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					
479	Department of Conservation	175	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	276	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that intensively farmed livestock entering into or passing across the bed of a river does not result in conspicuous pugging. Fish and Game have previously sought amendment to the definition of Intensively Farmed Livestock to include all cattle farmed on lowland areas.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.9.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To remove the word Prohibited.					
<b>148</b>	Kaye Register	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the clause be amended to allow for stock behind a wire being able to be grazed.  The definition of intensively farmed animals is flawed.  In our case the animals are conservatively no intensively farmed this definition in the clause being the stumbling block to fully utilising our property and financial viability.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	473	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <p>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b></li> <li>- <b>to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b></li> <li>- <b>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b></li> <li>- <b>where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b></li> </ul>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	375	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.9.1					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this rule is a permitted activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
935	Melva Joy Robb	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this rule is a permitted activity.					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.9.9.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>2.9.9.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</i></u></p>					
1258	Gary Barnett	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.1 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del><i>Standard 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></del></p>					
93	Spencer & Susan White	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the water quality tests that are required by the Council are easy to undertake and understand.					
148	Kaye Register	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	that provision 2.9.9.2 is removed as there is no way of measuring these clarity issues under (a), (b) or (c), how or who would collect this data, (eg change in reflectance on an overcast day) under what procedures or reference to historical readings in the area or base would there be to compare them to? Is the Council going to collect this data to gain a base line for the landowners to work from and ensure this is correctly monitored?					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	474	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -  <i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del>  <del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</del>  <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:  <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i>  The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	376	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:  <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i>  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></p> <p>The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:  <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i>  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></p> <p>The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	245	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.9.9.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> a change in concentration of <b>following:</b> (a) daily average <b>2mg/l</b> carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) <b>260</b> Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml."</i></p>					
<b>148</b>	Kaye Register	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the provision 2.9.9.3 be deleted, and the clause regarding mixing or entering or passing of stock over the bed of river for the purposes of recording the average carbonised levels due to organic compounds, and clause (a), (b), (c) and (d) are unable to be calculated.					
	Will Council gather base line readings to give the necessary historical base line figures to ensure the readings are average for this property given the large expanse of native bush directly influencing the flow and what enters this waterway. Will reading then be taken before the river or waterway enters our property as well to ensure it is the livestock on this property effecting this and not the animals pigs goats etc that inhabit the surrounding area or the forest run-off from recently upgraded roads or skid sites altering the readings?					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	475	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	278	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	134	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.10.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redesign the rules cascade of better still align the rules cascade with that of the NES which takes these issues into account.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Establish controlled activity rules for minor non-compliance, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example the length of a culvert pipe.</p> <p>Only default non-compliance of permitted activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.</p>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Establish Controlled Activity rules for minor non-compliance with Permitted Activity standards, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example, the length of a culvert pipe.</p> <p>Only default non-compliance of Permitted Activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.</p> <p>Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The controlled activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet permitted activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	476	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule amended so activities not meeting permitted standards have Restricted Discretionary status.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	284	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.1.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>896</b>	Lachlan Taylor	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	285	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove and replace with a non-complying activity to provide for dams that are not provided for as a permitted activity or prohibited activity in the plan.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	176	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	74	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain <i>Rule 2.11.1. Construction of a dam on the following lakes and rivers, including their tributaries unless otherwise stipulated:</i> <i>(k) Pelorus River above the Rai River confluence;</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	286	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai rivers and their tributaries in the prohibited activity rule.					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.11.1.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent. Dual names are also sought in the plan when referencing the Clarence River.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	75	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain <i>Rule 2.11.2. Construction or alteration of a bore within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries:</i>  <i>(g) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	287	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.11.2</b> to exempt investigation or monitoring bores associated with construction or maintenance activities on existing regionally significant infrastructure.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Rule 2.11.2 as follows: <i>"Construction or alteration of a bore, excluding bores constructed for the purposes of geotechnical investigation or installation of piezometers, within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries: ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. Alternatively, Trustpower seeks the deletion of (b) Branch River from Rule 2.11.2.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	288	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>548</b>	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.3.					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
<b>147</b>	Kaye Register	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	than general rule 2.11.4 be removed and deleted from the Marlborough Environment Plan					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice. Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly. <del>2.11.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	477	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission). (Inferred)					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	177	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	179	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.4 but bring date forward.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	289	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li><i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.4.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
<b>1258</b>	Gary Barnett	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>2.11.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
<b>132</b>	Simon Tripe	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Prohibited status be removed.					
<b>147</b>	Kaye Register	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	that the General Rule 2.11.5 be omitted and deleted from the proposed environment Plan					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice. Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly. <del>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	478	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	178	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	77	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.5 but bring date forward.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	290	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Rule 2.11.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <p><i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p><i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></p> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1258	Gary Barnett	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <del>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del>					
91	Marlborough District Council	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity within the Drainage Channel Network rules under 2.12 for " <b>Sediment removal</b> ."					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	82	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to 2.12 as 2.12.12 Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
91	Marlborough District Council	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	480	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i> ";  And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -  <del>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</del>  (Inferred)					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	481	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	482	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	484	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	485	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel <del>Network Activity</del></i> "; And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -  <i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	214	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Add the following standard to Rule 2.12.10 - " <b><i>The application must be carried out in accordance with Sections 5.3 and 5.5 of NZS 8409:2004 Safe Use of Agricultural Compounds and Plant Protection Products – Management of Agrichemicals.</i></b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	486	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.12.11 as follows - " <i>Discharge of an agrichemical to water for the control of aquatic vegetation.</i> "					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.13.1. General.</i> <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water.</i> <i>2.13.1.2. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i> <i>2.13.1.3. The activity must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i> <i>2.13.1.4. The activity must not be within 20m of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:</p> <p><i><u>"2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard: (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following: [R, D] 2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></b></p>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i></p>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:</p> <p><i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i></p>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water <b>except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</b></i></p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of 'surface' water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows: 2.13 Standards that apply to all permitted activities <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of <b>surface</b> water.</i>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically exempt vessels, fork lifts etc. from the rule.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Heading for " <b><i>Sediment removal.</i></b> "; and a new set of standards as follows -  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The removal must be necessary for maintaining the drainage carrying capacity of the drainage channel, or for the stability of the banks of the channel banks.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Excavators must operate from the bank of the drainage channel where possible.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The removal must not be carried out in water greater than 2m average depth.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The sediment removed must be retained on adjacent drainage channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April in any year.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The removal must not limit fish passage.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Any discharge of sediment into water associated with the removal must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of the receiving water of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the following text under heading 2.14 - " <del><i>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by rule a in the Marlborough Environment Plan (the Plan), the following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the standards:</i></del> "					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	83	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rules for application of agrichemicals as sought for Rule 2.22.1 below. (See Subpoint 769.86) Add an extra standard to 2.14.10: Meet the requirements of 2.22.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 2.14.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
307	Tasman District Council	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning.					
430	John and Pam Harvey	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.14.1 (Rule 2.12.1)					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that these structures must not restrict fish passage.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.5.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
430	John and Pam Harvey	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 2.14.6 so that plant species other than native plant species can be planted, e.g., bitter willow ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
479	Department of Conservation	180	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.14.6.1 as follows: <i>When vegetation is planted for the purposes of aquatic habitat protection, native plant species must be preferentially planted.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	483	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standards under this heading are amended to read as follows (strike through) - " <i>2.14.7.1. Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any section of drainage channel.</i> <del>2.14.7.2. The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, and 1 August and 30 November in any year.</del> <del>2.14.7.3. The excavator must not enter flowing water.</del> 2.14.7.4. The cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel. <del>2.14.7.5. Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.7.2 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, and 1 August and 30 November in any year.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	199	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.7.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>For drainage channels with a width greater than 2m, the</i></b> <del>The cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel.</del> "					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5: <i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>12</del> <b>6</b> hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5: <i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>12</del> <b>6</b> hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5: <i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than <del>42</del>6 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.8.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.8.3 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, and 1 August and 30 November in any year.</i> "					
<b>211</b>	Jill Pendleton	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Additional clause to 2.14.10.3 (a) The agrichemical must not enter the water. (b) The agrichemical must not be applied any closer then five meters from the high water level.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.10.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks clarification and elaboration to Standard 2.14.10.3 but does not include how it is to be clarified or elaborated upon.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new rule permitting discharges of sediment associated with undertaking permitted works within waterways. For example: <b><u>Rule 2.16.X</u></b> <b><u>Any discharge of sediment generated as a result of an activity in, on, over or under the bed of a lake that is permitted by this plan, is a permitted activity.</u></b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new permitted activity as follows (or with words of similar effect): <b>" <i>Discharge of contaminants to water, or to land where it may enter water.</i> "</b> and have the standards as per 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.3.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Adopt new rules that permit the discharge of dewatering water from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure and permit investigations in relation to sites with possible historic contamination and that provide for passive discharges associated with this ensuring that the framework enables a better understanding of the nature and characteristics of the discharge over time, facilitates the appropriate management of contaminants in ground over time and permits active management to cease when that is appropriate. These outcomes could be achieved by inserting a new section providing for the use of land and discharge of contaminants onto or into land where it may enter water as follows:</p> <p><b><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></b>  <b><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure into water or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.2 The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.3 The discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>Discharge of Contaminants Provided for In Rules 2.##.1 and 2.##.3</u></b>  <b><u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.1 Where the discharge may enter groundwater, the discharge is not located within 50m of a bore used for water abstraction for potable supply or stock water.</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.2 If the discharge is from dewatering, the discharge is not from contaminated land, except where it is from land where the contaminant is petroleum hydrocarbons and the discharge contains no more than 15mg/l TPH.</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.3 The discharge shall not cause any erosion of the channel or banks of the receiving waterbody or the coastal marine area.</u></b>  <b><u>2.##.4 The discharge shall not give rise to the following effects after the zone of reasonable mixing:</u></b>  <b><u>a) a change in the pH of ±0.5pH unit, or</u></b>  <b><u>b) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</u></b>  <b><u>c) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity, or</u></b>  <b><u>d) any emission of objectionable odour, or</u></b>  <b><u>e) the fresh water is unsuitable for consumption by farm animals, or</u></b>  <b><u>f) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Introduce a rule to permit investigations and assessments of potentially contaminated land as a permitted activity along the following lines:</p>					

**The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.**

**2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities:**

**2.##.1 The assessment is undertaken in accordance with Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 5: Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (2011).**

**2.##.2 The assessment is reported in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011).**

**2.##.3 A copy of the report is provided to the Marlborough District Council within two months of the completion of the assessment**

AND

Introduce a consenting framework for passive discharges from contaminated land that provides certainty through establishment of an appropriate permitted threshold and a consent requirement (preferably a controlled activity) if the permitted threshold is exceeded. This could be achieved as follows:

**The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.**

**2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities**

**2.##.1 There has been a detailed site investigation report supplied to Marlborough District Council in accordance with Rule 2.##.3 that:**  
**(a) finds that the discharge of contaminants is highly unlikely to be a risk to human health or the environment at present or in the future;**  
**or**

**(b) determines that the concentration of contaminants in groundwater:**

**(i) either 50 metres from the source (i.e. the notional boundary) or at the property boundary (whichever is the lesser distance); and**

**(ii) anywhere a surface water or bore used for abstraction of water (excluding monitoring bores) intersects or lies within (b)(i)**

**Does not breach the following standard:**

**• Where the discharge is to groundwater identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area used for water supply purposes; either the Drinking Water Standards of New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008) or, where ambient water quality is naturally less than this standard it is not being degraded; or**

**• Where the discharge is to a groundwater not identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area for water supply or as a sensitive aquifer: the Australia and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC) Guidelines (2000) at the level of protection for 80% of species, except for benzene where the level of protection is 90% of species (i.e. 1mg/l).**

**2.##.2 The passive discharge of contaminants onto or into land that has previously held resource consent for discharges and where the risk has been assessed and found to be within acceptable levels without control mechanisms and that all the consent conditions have been met to the satisfaction of Council.**

**2.##. Controlled Activities**

**Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:**

**2.##.1 The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water not meeting the permitted activity standards shall be a controlled activity.**

**Matters over which the Council has reserved control:**

**2.##.1.1 the adequacy of the detailed site investigation report including:**

**(i) site sampling;**

**(ii) laboratory analysis; and**

**(iii) risk assessment.**

**2.##.1.2 the need for and adequacy of a site management plan (contaminated land);**

- 2.##.1.3 the need for and adequacy of a remedial action plan (contaminated land);**
- 2.##.1.4 how the discharge is to be:**
  - (a) managed;**
  - (b) monitored, including frequency and location of monitoring; and**
  - (c) reported on.**
- 2.##.1.5 the physical constraints of the site and operational practicalities;**
- 2.##.1.6 the transport, disposal and tracking of soil and other materials taken away in the course of the activity;**
- 2.##.1.7 the effect on potable water supplies;**
- 2.##.1.8 methods to identify contaminant risks prior to works commencing such as qualitative assessments of risk;**
- 2.##.1.9 protocols around notifying the Council of contaminant risks;**
- 2.##.1.10 how stormwater is to be managed;**
- 2.##.1.11 soil management during work and at the completion of the works;**
- 2.##.1.12 odour control;**
- 2.##.1.13 vapour control;**
- 2.##.1.14 groundwater management;**
- 2.##.1.15 contingency plans;**
- 2.##.1.16 remediation or ongoing management of the site, its timing and standard;**
- 2.##.1.17 the nature and type of close out criteria if proposed;**
- 2.##.1.18 the need for a financial bond;**
- 2.##.1.19 the need for any review conditions in the event that standards to be achieved are not achieved;**
- 2.##.1.20 the timing and nature of the review conditions;**
- 2.##.1.21 the duration of resource consent.**

<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.1 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	291	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	99	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.1 and accompanying Standard 2.17.1 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	293	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.16.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.3 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	487	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule to read as follows (bold) -  " <i>Discharge of stormwater to water, <b>excluding the discharge of stormwater to water in farm drains.</b></i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	295	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	101	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are: (bold and underlined):</p> <p><i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <b><u>and Airport</u></b>, the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s/</i></p> <p>Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changed are required to this standard.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.16.3 as follows:</b>  <i>2.16.3. Discharge of stormwater to water <u>and land</u>.</i></p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.3 as notified.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.3.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	184	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	193	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.4. <i>(inferred)</i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	84	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision 2.16.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	103	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	142	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	185	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.5. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	194	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.5. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	85	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision 2.16.5.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	297	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.7.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.7 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	299	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	301	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	303	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.16.9 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	292	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	100	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	140	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain clauses 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.4 and: Remove from clause 2.17.1.5 a) the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue and rewrite clause 2.17.1.5 a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Munsell scale is new to us and we want further explanation of the scale and the consequences for operations in the forest.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	181	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.17.2.3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	294	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	84	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 2.17.2:  Discharge of an aquatic agrichemical into a waterbody</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The substances, including any adjuvants, are approved by EPA under the HSNO Act for discharge directly into or onto water and must comply with requirements covering the person in charge, training, signage, storage, emergency management and all other requirements under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and pursuant Regulations</li> <li>2. The person authorising the discharge direct to water shall notify: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Every person taking water for potable supply within 1km downstream of proposed discharge at least 12 hours prior to discharge occurring; and</li> <li>ii. Every resource consent holder for taking of water for public potable water supply purposes downstream of proposed discharge at least 1 week before commencing discharge.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Qualifications  Discharge of agrichemicals directly into or onto water can be carried out only by persons Holding either: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> </ol> or:  GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> <li>b) Aerial application –the pilot must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company must hold AIRCARE™ Accreditation</li> </ol> <p>Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Records  All users must keep records consistent with Appendix C9 of NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals as evidence and information that provides an authentic record to verify that the application of agrichemical(s) directly to water has been carried out in a safe responsible manner, in particular with respect to notification of any person who may take water for their own use. Such records must be provided to Auckland Council when requested.</li> <li>5. Pest plants identified in Appendix 25, unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</li> </ol>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new standard under heading 2.17.2 as follows -</p> <p><i>"Not in a W65 area".</i></p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standard 2.17.2 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks clarification in the wording of Standard 2.17.2.1 but does not include alternative wording to help clarify the standard.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 2.17.2.3 in its entirety.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	213	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.17.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) – <p><b>" At least one week before commencing the application, the</b> <del>The</del> <i>applicator must notify in writing details of the location, timing and agrichemical to be used in the application to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) every person taking water for domestic supply within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge;</i></p> <p><i>(b) every holder of a resource consent for the taking of water for water supply purposes within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge;</i> <del>at least one week before commencing the application.</del></p> <p><i>(c) the Council."</i></p>					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert rule stating:  the discharge is not from contaminated land					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	488	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards under this heading to add the following new Standards ( <i>the Submitter did not provide the specific wording sought</i> ) - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That quantitative measures are included in the discharge to stormwater provisions.</li> <li>- That allowances for adverse weather events are provided.</li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	296	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	102	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are (bold and underlined):  <i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <b><u>and Airport</u></b>, the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s.</i>  Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changes are required to this standard.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	141	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the numbering of the standards under 2.17.3</b> to correct the error.					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule as it is redundant					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.17.3.1:  <i>Standard 2.17.3.1. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 in Blenheim, the maximum discharge must not exceed <del>20</del><b>50</b>l/s.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	140	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend standard 2.17.3.1 as follows:</b> <i>The discharge must not cause flooding on land other than land within the Floodway Zone <u>or drainage channel.</u></i>					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule as it is redundant					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule as it is redundant					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert additional condition: Any stormwater treatment and discharge system is operated and maintained in accordance with the system design specification for operation and maintenance or, if there is no design specification, the requirements of Auckland Council Technical Publication 10.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.17.3.4 as notified.					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.17.3.9 to permit discharges to stormwater in accordance with the MfE Environmental Guidelines for Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites and delete Rule 2.17.3.10 so that discharges into the reticulated network of stormwater from land zoned Business 1, Business 3, Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 (and meeting all other requirements) is permitted. This can be achieved by making changes along the following lines:  2.17 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities 2.17.3.9. The discharge must not contain stormwater from an area where a hazardous substance is stored unless: (a) the hazardous substance cannot enter the stormwater; or (b) there is an interceptor system in place to collect any hazardous contaminant or diverted contaminated stormwater to a trade waste system; or (c) the discharge is from a Petroleum Industry Site and meets the design requirements of the Ministry for the Environment Environmental Guidelines for Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites.					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule revision that these discharges are permitted until 9 June 2021 and re-written to cover stormwater discharges from local authority stormwater network					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	186	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.17.4. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	195	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.17.4. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	87	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions. (2.17.4 and 2.17.4.1.)					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	143	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	88	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provisions. (2.17.5, 2.17.5.1-2.17.5.6.)					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	298	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	302	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	304	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Delete Standard 2.17.9.1. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. As an alternative, Trustpower seeks that the discharge of tracer dye to water is classified as a controlled activity with the matters of control limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>The duration of the consent;</u></li> <li>• <u>The nature of the tracer dye used (including type, colour, concentration); and</u></li> <li>• <u>The location, timing and duration of the discharge.</u></li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	305	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	306	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	85	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain 2.17.11.1 Replace 2.17.11.2 – 8 with provisions as sought for 2.17.2 (except for clause 5) to 2.17.11. (See Subpoint 769.84) Add additional clause: The discharge must only be for the purpose of eradicating, modifying or controlling aquatic plants or unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity 1993.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	300	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>290</b>	David Wilson	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This should be a restricted discretionary activity with the following requirements;  (a)the resource consent application includes a stormwater management strategy.  Matters for discretion  1.The contents and implementation of the stormwater management strategy  2.Development and implementation of methods, such as catchment specific stormwater management plan(s), in accordance with any relevant objectives identified in this plan, including any relevant whaitua specific objectives  3.Management of adverse effects, including cumulative effects, on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai, contact recreation and Maori customary use					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.18.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters over which the Council has reserved control:' to include cultural values and issues.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the default Rule 2.19 Discretionary Activities as notified.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	182	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	183	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new prohibited activity rule 2.20.4 as follows: <i>Discharge of untreated human effluent to water within rivers, lakes or wetlands.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	489	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	79	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.20.1					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	74	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.20.1 as notified.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows -  <b>"Discharge of dust to air as part of a routine activity, e.g transportation of logs on a gravel road."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	69	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust with effects that are likely to be low or that is likely to have a low risk to human health and amenity values such as Rule 3.2.9.1. For example:  <b><u>Rule 2.21.X</u></b>  <b><u>Any discharge of dust to air that does not have an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site is a permitted activity.</u></b>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	98	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert as follows: <u>2.21.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within an internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion)</u>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	142	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect): The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an additional permitted activity rule within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows:  <i>Rule 2.21.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purpose of dust suppression.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new Permitted Aactivity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect) - <b><i>"The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows:  <i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	490	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	184	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	146	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.21.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b> <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.21.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	86	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.22.1 for Standards for application of agrichemicals as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The substance is approved under HSNO and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</li> <li>2) The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel that contains water unless specifically provided for in other sections of this Plan.</li> <li>3) The discharge is undertaken in a manner consistent with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals and for specific activities compliance with the following sections of NZS8409: 2004 Management of Agrichemicals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Storage – Appendix L4</li> <li>• Use – Part 5.3 and 5.5</li> <li>• Disposal – Appendix S</li> <li>• Records – Appendix C9</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) The discharge must be undertaken in such a way that there are no adverse effects from off target spray drift beyond the boundary property</li> <li>5) Spray plan The owner/ occupier or manager shall prepare a spray plan at least once a year including identifying sensitive areas adjacent to where discharges will occur. (Spray plan requirements to be included in Plan or refer to NZS8409:2004 5.3 and Appendix M4 and template on website);</li> <li>6) Training Where agrichemicals are applied: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) All users, other than agrichemical contractors, must hold a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate or be under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Applied Certificate or Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate.</li> <li>ii) Every ground based agrichemical contractor shall hold a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate Or have a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator</li> <li>iii) Every pilot undertaking Aerial application must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company or operator must hold a current AIRCARE™ Accreditation.</li> </ol> </li> <li>7) Notification The owner/ occupier or manager shall ensure that notification has occurred prior to application commencing as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Sensitive areas other than amenity areas and public places: The owner/ occupier or manager of the property where agrichemicals are to be used is to ensure that any person likely to be directly affected by application and who requests notification, is notified prior to application commencing:</li> <li>ii) Amenity areas and public places The owner/ occupier or manager shall provide a public notice in a local newspaper or letter drop in the area to be sprayed at least 7 days before the proposed application and ensure that the signage below is provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased,</li> <li>ii) Where the spraying is occurring on or alongside roads vehicles associated with the spraying shall display signs on the front and rear of the vehicles advising that spraying is occurring.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> </ol>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	87	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for agrichemicals</p> <p>If the conditions of the permitted activity rule cannot be met then consent as a restricted discretionary activity would be required.</p> <p>Matters of discretion</p> <p>When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of agrichemicals, the matters to be considered are:</p> <p>(a) The type of agrichemical to be discharged, including its toxicity and volatility and the carrying agent (formulation);</p> <p>(b) The proposed method of application, including the type of spray equipment to be used, the spray volume and droplet size, the direction of spraying and the height of release above the ground;</p> <p>(c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator;</p> <p>(d) Measures to avoid agrichemical spray drift;</p> <p>(e) The extent to which the use or application complies with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals;</p> <p>(f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water;</p> <p>(g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies;</p> <p>(h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and</p> <p>(i) Communication requirements.</p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert an additional standard within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows:</p> <p><i>Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</i></p> <p><i>Rule 2.22.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purposes of dust suppression.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.1 If the dust suppressant is a hazardous substance or if the water or dust suppressant contains hazardous substances it shall be licensed for use as a dust suppressant under the provisions of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act (1996).</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.2 The dust suppressant substance shall not be applied at a rate or in weather conditions that result in ponding or surface run-off of contaminants into surface water.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.3 The application of dust suppressants must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i></p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	185	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	147	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.22.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b> <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i> The permitted activity standards applying to discharges to air (2.22.1) are already consistent with those applying to agrichemical discharges to land in other zones, such as the Coastal Environment Zone (4.3.21), and therefore no additional standards are deemed necessary.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.22.1.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.22.1.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword rule to state: chemical not to be discharged over flowing or static water.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	141	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to state: The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>on in water</b> in a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>in water</b> in <del>on</del> a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network <del>that contains water.</del>"</i>					
1238	Windermere Forests Limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows:  <i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule in section 2.24: <b>[2.25] Controlled Activities</b> <b>[2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The design and external appearance of the customer connection.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following permitted activity and associated standards. <b><i>2.24.X.Erection of one sign within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1,2 or 3 that is not greater than 0.5m2 and is not flashing, illuminated or variable for the purposes of:</i></b> <b><i>(a) setting out information relating directly to the onsite activities or uses;</i></b> <b><i>(b) aiding traffic or maritime safety or navigation or providing information for public health and safety requirements</i></b> <b><i>(c) interpretative material on the historic heritage values of the place.</i></b>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule in section 2.24: <b>[2.25] Controlled Activities</b> <b>[2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The design and external appearance of the customer connection.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.1 to read Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</b>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read: 2.24.3. <del>Internal or external safety</del> Alteration of a Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13</b> , necessary for the purpose of improving structural <b>stability or safety through:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>structural seismic upgrades, core sample drilling, temporary lifting, shifting off foundations or permanent realignment of foundations</b></li> <li>• <b>fire protection; and</b></li> <li>• <b>provision of access.</b></li> </ul> <b>performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.</b>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read: Maintenance ( <del>meaning protective care</del> ) of <del>an archaeological site</del> <b>a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13</b> , where that maintenance includes: (a) keeping the site in good condition by controlling noxious weeds, cutting grass and light stock grazing; (b) land disturbance by cultivation <b>or fencing</b> that does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed; <b>or</b> (c) maintenance and upgrading of a paved road, modified berm or path provided that the land disturbance does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed.					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following performance standard: <b><i>2.25.X. Maintenance of a site of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13 meeting the requirements in Rule 2.24.3.</i></b> <b><i>2.25.X.1. Maintenance work shall be supported by the written approval of the relevant tangata whenua iwi.</i></b>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 2.25.1 to read: Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource <b>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13</b> . ... <b>2.25.1.6. The repair or maintenance can include the patching, restoration or minor replacement of materials, elements, components, equipment or fixtures</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.25.2 to read: <del>Internal or external safety a</del> Alteration of a Heritage Resource, necessary for <b>those reasons stated in Rule 2.24.2. the purpose of improving structural performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.</b> .... <b><u>2.25.2.3. The alteration must not involve the relocation, partial demolition, or full demolition of the Heritage Resource.</u></b> <b><u>2.25.2.4. The alteration must not result in any increase in the area of land occupied by the Heritage Resource.</u></b>					
274	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the standard (inferred).					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert the following discretionary activities <b><u>2.26.3. Any land disturbance in a landscape identified in Appendix 1 that has historic heritage related associative values.</u></b> <b><u>2.26.4. Any subdivision of land containing a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13.</u></b>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.26.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 2.26.2 to read: 2.26.2. Any land use activity <b>involving with potential adverse effects on</b> a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 not provided for as a Permitted, <b>Restricted Discretionary, or Prohibited</b> Activity, <b>including but not limited to, plantation forestry and harvesting, land disturbance, network utility infrastructure, and the construction of or addition to buildings or structures.</b>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.27.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert the following new prohibited activity: <b><u>2.27.2. The destruction of a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13.</u></b>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.27.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 2.27.1 to read: The whole or partial demolition or <b>removal</b> relocation of a Category I Heritage Resource <b><u>identified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 13.</u></b>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	193	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.28 Permitted Activities for Notable Trees.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Rule 2.28.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	150	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.28.1.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	151	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.28.2.</b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> Rule 2.28.3 as notified.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	194	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.29 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities for Notable Trees.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows: 29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be (c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power <b>and telecommunication</b> lines;					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows: <i>29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be (c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power <b>and telecommunication</b> lines;</i>					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	195	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.29.2.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	152	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.29.3 as follows:</b> <i>Where there is an existing or imminent threat to life, property, a utility or a service, <u>or to the safe operation of a road</u>, by a Notable Tree or any part thereof, immediate action can be taken to eliminate or abate the hazard by any safe means.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> the Standards in Rule 2.29.3 as notified.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.30.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Rules for Notable Trees by including the following new restricted discretionary activity:</p> <p><b><i>" 2.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>2.x.1. Trimming, pruning or felling of a Notable Tree associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade or development of the National Grid that is not provided for as a permitted activity.</i></b>  <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i>  <i>2.x.1.1. Where a Notable Tree is trimmed or pruned, the impact on the health, integrity and values that make the tree significant.</i>  <i>2.x.1.2. Impact on landscape, ecological, cultural, heritage and amenity values.</i>  <i>2.x.1.3. Whether any replanting is proposed.</i>  <i>2.x.1.4 The benefit of the work to the safety and efficiency of the National Grid."</i></p>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows -</p> <p><b><i>" Transportation of logs."</i></b></p> <p>Or, transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 <i>(see separate submission)</i>.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>361</b>	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new rule providing for reduction of onsite parking requirements on the basis of one space for each 5 bike racks under cover and associated bathroom and shower facilities including storage for clothing being provided on the premises.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	492	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That a new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows -</p> <p><b><i>" Any land use activity relating to transportation that is not limited elsewhere in the Plan."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	70	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.31 Permitted Activities.					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Section 2.31 parking requirements.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>766</b>	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.31.1.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Table 2.1 Parking and <i>Queuing Space Requirements Commercial activity</i> first entry under <i>Minimum Requirements - Number of Spaces</i>  <i>Unless otherwise specified below, 1 per 100m2 gross area of <del>land</del> <b>building</b> being used for the commercial activity, plus 1 per 2 employees.</i>					
<b>1069</b>	Beaver Bed and Breakfast	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to:  <i>2.32 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</i>  <i>Table 2.1 Parking and Queuing Space Requirements</i>  <i>Visitor accommodation or homestay</i>  <i>For homestays – <b>21 for each bedroom</b> in addition to that required for the dwelling.</i>					
<b>766</b>	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.32.1.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the rule as follows: <b>Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 11 children, + 1 per FTE employee.</b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 to include the specific parking requirements for port and marina activities, as set out in Rules 33.1.1.3 (that relates to Port activities) and 34.1.1.2 (that relates to Marina activities) of the operative Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.					
<b>682</b>	Derry Properties Limited	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To amend the Table 2.1 in the Standard to exclude supermarkets from the employee requirement for carpark.					
<b>963</b>	Marlborough Kindergarten Association	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the row in the table for "Educational facility" as it relates to Early Childhood Education as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 10 children the facility is designed to accommodate, plus 1 per FTE employee, plus 1 drop-off space per 5 children the facility is designed to accommodate."</i>					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendment of Table 2.1 to include "Supermarkets" with the "minimum requirements - number of spaces" to read:  '1 per 20m <sup>2</sup> gross floor area devoted to retail sales activities and 1 per 40m <sup>2</sup> gross floor area for all other activities'.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 Table 2.1 as follows: <i>Activity</i>  <i>Industrial and Rural Industrial Activities or Warehousing</i>  <i>Minimum Requirements – Number of spaces 1 per 100m<sup>2</sup> gross floor area, plus 1 for every 100m<sup>2</sup> of outdoor storage, plus 2 per 3 employees employed on the site (based on the maximum number of employees at any one time), plus 2 for visitors.</i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete rule, or include performance standards to manage effects of a large car parking area, such as landscaping.					
<b>682</b>	Derry Properties Limited	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rule 2.32.1.7 be deleted.					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 2.32.1.10 to read:  When a building is increased in floor area, or undergoes a partial change in use, parking requirements for the existing part of the building (if any), or that part remaining in the existing activity, will remain unaltered. Parking requirements for the increased floor area or that area with a new or altered use must be calculated in accordance with Table 2.1. For the purpose of this standard, 'partial' means an addition or alteration of more than 20% of the gross floor area over a 5 year timeframe. <b>This rule does not apply for any change of use of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</b>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>" The loading facility, <b>except if used for commercial forestry harvesting</b>, must be located on the same property as the activity to which it relates, and must be formed and finished to an all-weather, dust-free surface."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	153	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.32.3.10 as follows:</b> <i>A loading facility must be designed and located to avoid vehicle parking, queuing, or standing on the carriageway of a <del>national route</del> State Highway (as identified in Appendix 17)</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the vehicle crossing width and height Standards in 2.32.4 as notified.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Figure 2.7 caption <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Figure 2.7: Vehicle Crossing for Residential Use for <del>23-6</del> Rural Users in the Rural Environment, Coastal Environment, Rural Living or Coastal Living Zone</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	154	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.32.4.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	157	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Standard to 2.32.4</b> stating that new and altered vehicle crossings to the State Highway are not permitted.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.32.4.22. Where a new vehicle crossing is to be formed to a national route (as identified in Appendix 17) and the access crosses a railway and there is less than 25m separation between the national route and the railway the sight distance must be measured from a point:</i> <i>(a) at a height of 1.15m above the proposed surface level of the access;</i> <i>(b) on the access;</i> <i>(c) 5m back from the side of the railway furthest from the national route.</i>  <u><i>2.32.4.22A No access shall require a new railway level crossing to be formed.</i></u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	155	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.22.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.22.</b>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.32.4.23 as notified.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	156	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.24.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.24.</b>					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That rule 2.33 and such other rules be amended to introduce Restricted Discretionary Activity Status together with lists of what Council limits its discretion to.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b><i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose the lack of clarity in the rules", the submitter requests that the rules within the Transportation section of the General Rules Chapter 2 should be clear on how the transportation of harvested forest on public roads is treated in terms of consenting.</i></b>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.33.1 as notified.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting" (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
336	William Ian Esson	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule, however, so this Rule does capture the transportation of logs, I seek that the transportation of logs be included in the definition of 'Commercial Forestry Harvesting' ( <i>see separate submission</i> ) or see transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	491	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
440	Ian Esson	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule will apply to all transportation in the region. If not, it should be included in the definition of a permitted activity related to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.					
448	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
497	Heagney Bros Limited	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to. <b><i>It is inferred that the submitter opposes Rule 2.33.2 and that cartage of logs from plantations should not require a resource consent.</i></b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	143	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the words: <i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land from the definition of Commercial Forestry Harvesting, (refer submission point 962.120)</i> AND Delete rule 2.33.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.33.2 in its entirety.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.33.2 as notified.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	88	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new permitted activity 2.34.13 Signage required by other legislation such as HSNO or Worksafe NZ Act.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a preamble to the signage section</b> as follows: <i>Any sign on or over any part of a State Highway is subject to the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010, and requires the approval from the New Zealand Transport Agency, in addition to compliance with the MEP and any other council bylaws controlling signs.</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity list to include, as permitted, the establishment of pou and/or cultural signage within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	158	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.34.2 as follows: <i>Internal or external illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.34.7 as follows:  <b>"2.34.7. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve."</b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.34.8 as notified.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.8					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.9					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	187	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	196	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.10					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	161	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Rule 2.34.12</b></p> <p>Note that if the definition for "sign" proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief may be sought.</p> <p><b>Change all appearances of "traffic sign" to "official road sign".</b></p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.12.					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No restriction on signage numbers should be included.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	162	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend 2.35.1.1 as follows:</b>  <i>The signage must relate to or be associated with a service, product or event available or occurring on the site on which the sign is located.</i>  <b>Replace all other instances of "signage" with "sign",</b> as appropriate to its particular usage.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.35.1.2 as follows:  <i>Only one sign is permitted per property unless otherwise provided for in the Standards in 2.36 <u>or is required for, or established by statute, rule or regulation</u> or is a traffic or safety sign or a sign denoting the name of a road or the number of a premise.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	163	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend 2.35.1.3 as follows:</b>  <i>A sign must not be erected on, or adjacent to, a <u>legal road reserve</u>, where the sign <del>may:</del>  <del>(a)</del> <i>is on or over a State Highway and the prior approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency (under clause 4 of the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010) has not been obtained;</i>  <del>(b)</del> <i>may obstruct the line of sight of any corner, bend, intersection or vehicle access;</i>  <del>(c)</del> <i>may obstruct, obscure or impair the view of any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i>  <del>(d)</del> <i>may physically obstruct or impede traffic or pedestrians;</i>  <del>(e)</del> <i>may resemble or be likely to be confused with any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i>  <del>(f)</del> <i>uses reflective materials (other than an <u>official road sign</u> or <u>traffic safety and hazard sign</u>) that may interfere with a road user's vision;</i>  <del>(g)</del> <i>is within 120 m of any State Highway intersection or bridge, <u>within that has a 100km/hr speed limit of 70km/hr or greater</u>;</i>  <del>(h)</del> <i>has more than six words and/or symbols of more than 40 characters;</i>  <del>(i)</del> <i>is infrangible.</i></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	165	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.4 as follows:</b>  <i>The sign message must be clear, <u>and concise and easy to read</u>. Lettering sizes on signage must be such that it does not cause any safety issue for motorists.</i></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.35.1.6 to delete the requirement for free standing signs in the front yard to comply with the height in relation to boundary control. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: <i>A sign must comply with the height <del>and, where applicable, recession plane</del> requirements for the zone in which it is located.</i>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.35.1.7 as notified.					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Business Zone 1 should be exempt from the requirement.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	166	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.11 as follows:</b>  <i>The minimum distance between signs on successive properties, <u>and between signs and official road signs</u>, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12.</i></p> <p><b>Amend the column heading of Table 2.12 as follows:</b>  <del>Visibility Distance</del> <i>Minimum Distance Between Signs (m)</i>  The Transport Agency may request other changes to Table 2.12.</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.35.1.11 so that it relates to a second freestanding sign setback from the road boundary only. This could be achieved by making changes along the following lines:  2.35.1.11. <b>Where a second freestanding sign is to be erected within 5 metres of the road boundary, the minimum distance betweenfrom freestanding signs within 5m of the road boundary</b> on successive properties, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12:					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new rule 2.36.1 'Supermarket signage' is added which reads:  '2.36.1: The maximum signage per supermarket shall not exceed 80m <sup>2</sup> .  2.36.2: Supermarket free standing signs shall not exceed 9m in height, 3.5m is width and not have a sign face exceeding 30m <sup>2</sup> .'					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	167	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.2 as follows:</b> <i>Illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	159	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	168	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2</b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <u>Except for in the Port Zone</u> , the illumination must not result in greater than 10 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property within the zone, measured 2m inside the boundary of any adjoining property.					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.36.2.5: <i>Standard 2.36.2.5. The illumination must not result in greater than 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property which is zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 or Business 1 or 2. <b>All lighting fixtures shall be shielded to prevent any light spill above the horizontal plane of the light source. (Lights should be mounted at the top of the sign pointing down rather than at the bottom pointing up.)</b></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	160	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b> <i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, they must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	169	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b> <i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, the must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the heading of Standard 2.36.6 as follows:  <b>"2.36.6. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve."</b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m<sup>2</sup> on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.</p> <p>Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2).</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.36.7. to specifically provide for service station signage as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m<sup>2</sup>;</li> <li>• One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m<sup>2</sup>; and</li> <li>• Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m<sup>2</sup>.</li> </ul> <p>This could be achieved by including a new permitted activity standard into Rule 2.36.7 as follows:</p> <p>2.36.7. Sign on any land zoned Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1 Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.</p> <p><b><u>2.36.7.X. Notwithstanding Rules 2.36.7.1 and 2, the maximum area of signs at service station sites shall not exceed the following:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m<sup>2</sup>;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m<sup>2</sup>; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m<sup>2</sup>.</u></b></p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	97	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m<sup>2</sup> on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport.</p> <p>Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2).</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	170	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Clarify why this standard is specific to show homes.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	171	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.8.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Standard 2.36.8.2</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	172	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.9.1 as follows:</b> <i>A <u>temporary</u> sign must not be erected for more than a maximum duration of three months prior to the date of the commencement of the activity advertised nor remain erected more than one week following the completion of that activity, including the time during which the advertised activity is taking place.</i>					
<b>217</b>	Grant Crosswell	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.9.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	2.36.9.6. Should read, An organisation name and a contact phone number of the group responsible for the sign must be provided on the sign.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.37.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide. That <i>Prohibited Activities</i> is included under the heading <i>Signage</i> for the following activity: <b><i>Prohibited Activities</i></b> <b><i>A sign displaying content that:</i></b> <b><i>(a) Is discriminatory or advocates discrimination based on one or more of the prohibited grounds of discrimination in the Human Rights Act 1993;</i></b> <b><i>(b) Is objectionable within the meaning within the meaning of the Films, Videos and Publication's Classification Act 1993;</i></b> <b><i>(c) Incites or counsels any person to commit any offence;</i></b> <b><i>(d) Is sexually explicit, lewd.</i></b>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows: <b><u>2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections</u></b> <b><u>Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted.</u></b>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Performance Standard as follows: <b><u>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</u></b> <b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b>					
<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include such provision as suggested in the attached submission.</p> <p>That <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> are provided as a permitted activity in all zones with the following standards <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antennas attached to buildings must not exceed the point of attachment height by more than 7 metres.</li> <li>• The maximum number of antennas is 12.</li> <li>• Masts and attached antennas identified as permitted activities have a maximum height of 20 metres.</li> <li>• Allow “height in relation to boundary” to be the maximum height allowed for masts without reference to the distance from the boundary, except that no aerial or antennas mounted on the mast should overhang any boundary.</li> <li>• Require compliance with Streetscape Requirements.</li> <li>• Allow dish antennas close to the ground with a maximum diameter of 5 metres as a permitted activity for Licensed Amateur Radio Operators.</li> </ul> <p>Any <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is a Restricted Discretionary Activity.</p> <p>That the assessment criteria is clarified for Amateur Radio Configurations, especially when there is criteria for existing or similar structures, particularly relevant should an amateur wish to exceed a permitted limit.</p> <p>That existing non-complying Amateur Radio Configurations are deemed to be complying if they have not been subject to complaint or compliance or enforcement action for a period of two years or more.</p> <p>The submission includes the following attachments to provide guidance for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Development control standards for Amateur Radio Configurations as a permitted activity- <i>Attachment 2A: A Rule based on the Environment Court Decisions for the Tauranga District Plan</i> (pages 19 to 21 of the submission).</li> <li>2. Restricted Discretionary Activity assessment criteria for radio communication activities - <i>Schedule 1 Agreed amendments to the Rangitikei District Plan</i> (pages 14 and 15 of the submission).</li> </ol> <p>Additional documents are included to support the submission under <i>PART B: Justification and Technical Supporting Documents</i>.</p>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows: <b><i>2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections</i></b> <b><i>Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted.</i></b>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Performance Standard as follows: <b><i>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</i></b> <b><i>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	72	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i> <b><i>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</i></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><i>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</i></b> <i>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i> <i>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>2.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <b><i>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</i></b></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Change the title and scope of chapter 2.38 to cover <i>Network Utilities and Renewable Electricity Generation Infrastructure</i> . 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows: <u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows: <u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	496	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows: 2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows: (b) a telecommunication line or facility; (c) a radio communication apparatus or facility; (i) <del>a telephone call box</del> or the erection and use of a postal box.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows:  <i>2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:</i>  <i>(b) a telecommunication line or facility;</i>  <i>(c) a radio communication <del>apparatus</del> or facility;</i>  <i>(i) <del>a telephone call box or the erection and use of a postal box.</del></i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 to clearly distinguish regional plan and district plan provisions. Similarly <b>amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 to also provide for distinct regional coastal plan provisions.</p> <p>As a consequence, also <b>amend</b> other provisions in the PMEP (where they are relevant to network utilities) that take a similar approach to clearly unbundle to align with district and regional functions,</p> <p>and <b>amend</b> Rule 2.38.1 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.1 Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:</i></b>  <b><i>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.</i></b>  <b><i>(a) an electricity <u>distribution</u> line or facility;</i></b>  <b><i>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></b></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amendment to Rule 2.38.2 is requested as follows (bold) - " <i>Telecommunication <b>or electricity</b> line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i> "					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows: <i>2.38.2 Telecommunication line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows: <i>2.38.2 Telecommunication line <del>or cable</del> over the bed of a lake or river.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.2 as follows:  “[R,-Ø] <b><i>2.38.2. Telecommunications and National Grid lines or cables in, on, under or over the bed of a lake or river.</i></b> ”					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows: <i>2.38.3. Trenching <b>Earthworks</b> for cable-laying <b>underground network utilities</b>.</i>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	2.38.3 add words <b>[or wetlands]</b> after text ".....bed of a lake or river".  <i>(The submission appears to be on a provision other than that specified but the correct provision was not able to be inferred.)</i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows: <i>2.38.3. Trenching <b>Earthworks</b> for cable-laying <b>underground network utilities</b>.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	493	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"Maintenance <del>and replacement</del> of the following network utility infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016:  <del>(a) an electricity line or facility;</del>  <b>(ab) a telecommunication line or facility;</b>  <b>(be) a radio communication apparatus or facility;</b>  <b>(cd) a meteorological service apparatus or facility."</b></i>  And, " <b>Maintenance and replacement of the an electricity line or facility existing at 9 June 2016 in accordance with the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities.</b> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.38.4.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.38.4					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	69	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.4 as follows:  <b><i>"2.38.4. Maintenance and replacement of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016:  <del>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.</del>  <b>(a) an electricity <u>distribution</u> line or facility;</b>  <b>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</b></i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	495	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows -  <b>"The minor upgrading must not cause any injurious affection to land not owned by the network utility operator conducting the upgrading."</b>  (Inferred)					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.38.5.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.38.5					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	70	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.5 as follows:  <b>"2.38.5. Minor upgrading of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016:</b> <b><u>(x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks.</u></b> <b><u>(a) an electricity distribution line or facility;</u></b> <b><u>(b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</u></b>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	187	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	173	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</b>  <i>Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility existing at 9 June 2016</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	71	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"2.38.6. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility including their associated access tracks existing at 9 June 2016. No other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan apply."</i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	73	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i>  <b><u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></b></p> <p>As a consequence, <b>amend</b> the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><b><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u></b>  <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u>  <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2.</u></b></p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Insert new Standards in 2.39 as follows:</p> <p><u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity.</u></p> <p>i) <u>The maximum height of a building must not exceed 5m.</u></p> <p>ii) <u>The maximum gross floor area of a building must not exceed 65m<sup>2</sup>.</u></p> <p>iii) <u>A structure for a transmission line within the Rural Environment Zone must be set back a minimum distance of 15m from any road intersection and must be measured parallel from the centerline of the carriageways, at the point where the roads intersect.</u></p> <p>iv) <u>A building larger than 15m<sup>2</sup> in ground floor area or over 2m in height must be set back from the road boundary by a distance of not less than half the height of the building.</u></p> <p>v) <u>Excavation, filling, vegetation clearance (indigenous and non-indigenous), noise and discharge rules for the relevant zone in which the renewable electricity generation activity is located must be complied with.</u></p> <p>vi) <u>A transmission line or telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, or a building or depot must not be located:</u></p> <p>a. <u>In, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</u></p> <p>b. <u>Within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p>c. <u>On, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative."</u></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief form the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 2.39 as follows: <u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of renewable electricity generation infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016.</u> i) <u>Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area.</u> ii) <u>Where clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</u> iii) <u>All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> iv) <u>No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> v) <u>Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> vi) <u>All cut or felled vegetation and soil debris must:</u> <u>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(c) be stored on stable ground;</u> <u>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.39.1.3.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.39.1.3					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.39.1.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.39.1.4					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows: <i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. <b><u>This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</u></b></i>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows: <i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. <b><u>This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</u></b></i>					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 2.39.1.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ] be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows: <i>The maximum height of any <b><u>antenna or aerial or (and their support structures)</u></b> attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than <b><u>5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</u></b></i>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows: <i>The maximum height of any <b><u>antenna or aerial or (and their support structures)</u></b> attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than <b><u>5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</u></b></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows: <del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area</b> or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable: <del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm.</b>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows: <del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area</b> or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable: <del>The maximum diameter of a dish</del> <b>Antenna</b> must not exceed 3m <b>in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm.</b>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows: A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. <b>Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading.</b>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows: A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, <del>Industrial 1, Industrial 2,</del> Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. <b>Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	75	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.39.1.9 A new line (excluding a National Grid transmission line), including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2."</i></p>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	186	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows:</p> <p>A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, <del>or a building or depot</del> <b>that is located outside of legal road</b>, must not be located:</p> <p>(a) in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(b) within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(c) on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative.</p> <p><b><u>These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road.</u></b></p>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows: <i>A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, <del>or a building or depot</del> <b>that is located outside of legal road,</b> must not be located:</i> (a) <i>in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i> (b) <i>within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;</i> (c) <i>on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative.</i> <b><i>These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road.</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 2.39.1.14 in its entirety.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows: 2.39.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> for <b>underground network utilities</b> <del>cable laying</del> . 2.39.2.1. <del>Any earth not placed back in the trench</del> <b>earthworks area</b> must be re-located in a stable location. 2.39.2.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road,</b> must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification. 2.39.2.3. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not occur within <del>such proximity</del> <b>[XXm]</b> to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply. 2.39.2.4. <del>The vegetation cover of a trench site</del> <b>an earthworks area</b> must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation. 2.39.2.5. <del>Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:</del> (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del> (b) <del>not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del> (c) <del>be stored on stable ground;</del> (d) <del>be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.</del> 2.39.2.6. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows: (a) <del>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del> (b) <del>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;</del> (c) <del>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows:</p> <p><del>2.39.2. Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> for <b>underground network utilities</b> <del>cable laying.</del></p> <p>2.39.2.1. Any earth not placed back in the <del>trench</del> <b>earthworks area</b> must be re-located in a stable location.</p> <p>2.39.2.2. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road,</b> must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification.</p> <p>2.39.2.3. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not occur within <del>such proximity</del> <b>[XXm]</b> to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.</p> <p>2.39.2.4. The vegetation cover of a <del>trench site</del> <b>an earthworks area</b> must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation.</p> <p>2.39.2.5. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:</p> <p>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(c) be stored on stable ground;</p> <p>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.</p> <p>2.39.2.6. <del>Trenching</del> <b>Earthworks</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;</p> <p>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.39.2.2.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows:</p> <p>2.39.3.2. <del>Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20</del> <b>34°</b>.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	188	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows: 2.39.3. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility existing at 9 June 2016. 2.39.3.1. Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.2. <del>Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking</del> by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than <del>20</del> <b>34°</b> . 2.39.3.3. All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.4. No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.5. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.6. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must: (a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; (b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; (c) be stored on stable ground; (d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.39.3.5.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	494	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Discretionary Activity Rule as follows - <b><i>"The replacement of telecommunication lines, radio communication apparatus, and meteorological service apparatus and facilities."</i></b>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows: <b><u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u></b> <b><u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u></b> <b><u>(a) Small Cell Units</u></b> <b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b> <b><u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u></b> <b><u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u></b> <b><u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u></b> <b><u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m<sup>2</sup> and 4m<sup>2</sup>.</u></b> <b><u>Control is in respect of:</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Siting;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Visual Effects; and</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Safety</u></b></li> </ul>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows: <b><u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u></b> <b><u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u></b> <b><u>(a) Small Cell Units</u></b> <b><u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m<sup>3</sup>, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></b> <b><u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u></b> <b><u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u></b> <b><u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u></b> <b><u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m<sup>2</sup> and 4m<sup>2</sup>.</u></b> <b><u>Control is in respect of:</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Siting;</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Visual Effects; and</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>Safety</u></b></li> </ul>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new discretionary activity rule to Chapter 2.40 as follows: <u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity that is not permitted by Rules in Chapter 2.38."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: 2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following: 2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.  2.40 Discretionary Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following: 2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: 2.40 Discretionary <b><i>Restricted</i></b> Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary <b><i>Restricted</i></b> Activity for the following: 2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <b><i>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</i></b>  <b><i>2.41 Discretionary Activities</i></b> <b><i>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</i></b> 2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: 2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following: 2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.  2.40 Discretionary Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following: 2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: <i>2.40 Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Discretionary <b>Restricted</b> Activity for the following:</i> <i>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <b>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</b></i>  <b><u>2.41 Discretionary Activities</u></b> <b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b> <i>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i>					
<b>116</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.41.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Keep policy  Resource consent not needed.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.41.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.41.1 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.41.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the section of the General Rules that provide for " <i>Temporary Military Training Activity</i>" as follows (strike through and bold) -  "<i>Temporary Military Training <b>and Emergency Management and Training Activities</b></i>"</p> <p>Amend Permitted Activity 2.41.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -  "<i>Temporary military training <b>and emergency management and training activities</b></i>."</p> <p>Add a Permitted Activity as follows (bold) -  "<b>[R]</b>  <b><i>Discharge of contaminants to land from the use of firefighting foam for emergency response training purposes.</i></b>"</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Substitute LAeq for L10 metric, delete L95 noise limits. Note the assessment location should "at any point within the notional boundary of.."  Replace "DBA with dBA" replace (except where dB LAeq is to be adopted based on other submissions.)  Other details should be as submitted by NZ Defence Force.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standards. (<i>Inferred - note reference in submission was relative to the Open Space 3 Zone only</i>)</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	77	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 2.42.1 to include the following:</p> <p><u><i>"2.42.1.x Within the National Grid Yard:</i></u>  <u><i>(a) buildings, temporary structures and activities must meet the safe electrical clearance distances set out in the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001); and</i></u>  <u><i>(b) no explosives may be used."</i></u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.1 in its entirety.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.2 in its entirety.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.42.1.3 and replace with the noise standards developed by NZDF specifically for TMTA activities, attached as <b>Attachment A</b> to this submission.					
<b>117</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase impulse noise level. Explosive and small arms are often louder than 122dBC					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.24.1.4.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.43.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to Restricted Discretionary status for temporary military training activities that cannot meet the permitted activity standards.					
<b>161</b>	David Sim	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recommends an alternative regime consisting of a Sustainable Agriculture Management Programme consisting of a central body accurately monitoring the effects on the environment and recommending/requiring changes in management practices.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the rules and standards that apply to commercial forestry and commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	SEEK that similar controls on the location and reflectance of new buildings, the planting of commercial forestry and limits on excavation and filling of land are applied to large areas of Outstanding Landscapes in south Marlborough that do not appear to have any land use activity controls in place.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	390	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	180	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is submitted that subdivision or land use changes that result in additional traffic loading on these roads should require consent as a restricted discretionary activity. Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	216	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new rule providing for discharges to air associated with the storage and use of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement and emergency power generation as permitted activities with a default to discretionary activity status where the permitted activity standards are not met as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Discharge to Air All Zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>These activities apply within all zones</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 Discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1.1The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation when:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a) the electricity network is disrupted through weather, accidents, or any unforeseen circumstances, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b) the person operating the equipment is undertaking necessary maintenance or testing of the device, or</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c) the electricity connection is not available.</u></b></p> <p>AND</p> <p><b><u>2.## Discretionary Activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>2.##.2. Any discharge to air not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</u></b></p>					
1179	Thomas Robert Stein	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 3.3:</p> <p><b><i><u>“3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b>  <i><u>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i>  <i><u>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></i>  <i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></i>  <i><u>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i>  <i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i>  <i><u>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i>  <b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></i></b></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i><u>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></b>  <i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i>  <i><u>[D]</u></i>  <b><i><u>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i><u>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></b>  <i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i>  <i><u>[D]</u></i>  <b><i><u>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	565	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows -  <b>"Grazing of a permanently fenced riparian margin may occur for weed control purposes."</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	614	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Permitted Activity rule is added rule that reads as follows - <b>"New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016.</b> <b>A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out:</b> <b>(c) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</b> <b>(d) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture;</b> <b>(e) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</b> <b>(f) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</b> <b>(g) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</b> <b>And be available to Council on request."</b>					
<b>453</b>	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity:  <b>3.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.</b>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																								
<b>696</b>	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part																								
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity as follows: <b><i>3.1.59 Intensive poultry farming</i></b>																													
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose																								
Decision Requested	Include in 3.1 Permitted Activities: Accessory buildings for primary production including artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures Or amend the definition of farming to include accessory buildings to the activity.																													
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part																								
Decision Requested	Insert new provisions as follows: <u><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></u> <u><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></u>  <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u></th> <th><u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u></th> <th><u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u></td> <td><u><i>35 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>building spaces e.g.:</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>• <u><i>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>• <u><i>Commercial Spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>To comply with</i></u></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <u><i>satisfactory sound</i></u>  <u><i>levels AS/NZS</i></u> <u><i>2107:2000</i></u> <u><i>(nearest specified equivalent)</i></u>  (Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)						<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>			<u><i>building spaces e.g.:</i></u>			• <u><i>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</i></u>			• <u><i>Commercial Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>To comply with</i></u>	
<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>																												
<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																												
<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																												
<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																												
<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>																														
<u><i>building spaces e.g.:</i></u>																														
• <u><i>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</i></u>																														
• <u><i>Commercial Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>To comply with</i></u>																													

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new permitted activity and standards are included in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p><b>3.1.X Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.X. Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>Replanting must not be in, or within:</b></p> <p><b>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</b></p> <p><b>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</b></p> <p><b>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</b></p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity to include the discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement. (Add to all zones)					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	112	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activities proposed, subject to amendments to the standards sought.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new permitted activity rule is included in Chapter 3 rural Environment Zone subject to appropriate permitted activity standards. Non-compliance with a permitted activity standard should be a restricted discretionary activity, with Council limiting its discretion to the permitted activity standard not met:</p> <p><b>Rule 3.1.XX - Discharge of stormwater to land.</b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1096	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new new permitted activity as follows -  <b><i>"Rural contractor depot."</i></b>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain permitted activities relevant to forestry.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 3.1:  <b><i><u>"3.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></b>					
1201	Trustpower Limited	143	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity: <i><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable."</u></i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	145	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new Rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity: <i><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting."</u></i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	517	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>"Farming, <b>including earthworks ancillary to farming.</b>"</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1. (inferred)					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Farming - refer submission point 970.21.					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following rules 3.3.1.2 to 3.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p>3.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.</p> <p>3.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b.</p> <p>Appendix 22b Notification items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation.</li> <li>2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed.</li> <li>3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed.</li> <li>4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained.</li> <li>5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used.</li> <li>6. The location on a map of any stock bridges.</li> <li>7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan.</li> <li>8. A feed budgeting plan for the year.</li> </ol>					

9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.

3.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.

3.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.

3.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:

(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake

(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;

(c) 200m of the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.

3.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:

(a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;

(b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);

(c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.

3.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:

(a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(c) Be placed on stable ground;

(d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.

3.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:

(a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;

(b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;

(c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

3.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

3.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

3.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

3.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 3.1.1.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled. That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous. That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of farming activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.3.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.1.5. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5					
<b>592</b>	Clifford John Smith	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That other audible bird scarers can be used and not just Category A and B bird scaring measures.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The amalgamation of planting and replanting under definitions needs to be separated					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	354	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. (Inferred)					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (Inferred)					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	189	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: 3.3.6.2. <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</i>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NES. IE "Front –load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse. In the case of ONFLs, default out of PA into full Discretionary activity status, but not so for amenity landscapes					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	144	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NSE. i.e. "Front-load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity.  Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry planting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.6 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If planted as a Permitted Activity or Consent, Council will not restrict any future ability to harvest with/or without supporting earthworks.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	retain					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	355	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.1.7. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. ( <i>inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	191	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES. On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	378	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to include standards to address submission					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	145	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES. On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I don't support the requirement for Commercial Harvesting Plan without there being a requirement for other agricultural land uses to also have effective management plans for their activities, for example dairy farming that can have significant effects on water quality.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry harvesting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled. That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous. That forestry companies should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of commercial forestry harvesting activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	136	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new rule as follows: <i><u>"Commercial forestry harvesting in the Branch catchment upstream of the Branch Weir and in the Waihopai catchment upstream of the Waihopai Dam is a controlled activity.</u></i> <i><u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u></i> <i><u>a) Set back distances from rivers and lakes;</u></i> <i><u>b) Set back distances from transmission lines and other network utility infrastructure;</u></i> <i><u>c) Approval of Commercial Forestry Harvest Plans produced in accordance with Appendix 22 of this Plan;</u></i> <i><u>d) Approval of Erosion and Sediment Control Plans;</u></i> <i><u>e) Method of harvesting;</u></i> <i><u>f) Extent of operations;</u></i> <i><u>g) Containment of slash;</u></i> <i><u>h) Measures to address effects of water quality and riparian vegetation;</u></i> <i><u>i) Measures to address soil erosion during and after harvesting; and</u></i> <i><u>j) Measures to address effects on downstream renewable electricity generation activities."</u></i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.7.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	528	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	193	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.8.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	529	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	195	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.9.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	530	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.11					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.11					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	197	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 3.3.11.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted:</u></i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.  That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.  That those undertaking indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	133	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.11.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	137	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:  <i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i>  ...  <i>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	536	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.12					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.12					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those undertaking non-indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13. (inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	199	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	201	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	307	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	191	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.14 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14. (inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	203	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	308	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity for excavation with amendment to allow additional excavation at the Ormond Aquaculture Ltd site at Keith Coleman Lane of 1000m3.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - " <b>Excavation (including filling)</b> ." And/or amend the definition of Excavation ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this Rule as follows - " <b>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</b> " ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 3.1.15 as follows:  <b><i>" 3.1.15 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.16 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	559	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That farm dams up to 20,000m3 are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule. <i>(Also see separate submission on Rule 2.2.17.)</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	309	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities. Particularly support 3.3.16.8 regarding filling within 8m of a significant wetland or 30m of a water resource unit with a natural state classification – the intent of this provision should be retained through the amendment suggested above.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.16 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.1.17. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. ( <i>inferred</i> )					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. (inferred)					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	147	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.18 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.19					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	205	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.19					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.19					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	560	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>"Land disturbance, <b>including vegetation clearance</b>, to create and maintain a fire break."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>118</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Keep rule					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	561	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to amendments to, and deletions of, some Standards. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " <i>(see separate submission)</i> . <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed Livestock. <i>(inferred)</i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	207	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>485</b>	Donald and Kaye Register	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested. <b><i>It is inferred that the decision requested is that intensively farmed livestock should be able to enter onto, or pass across, the bed of a river when water is flowing in it.</i></b>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	310	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.1.21 be retained as proposed.					
<b>1045</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standards under this Rule. <i>(Inferred, it is not clear in the Submission the specific changes sought.)</i>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>1237</b>	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [inferred].					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</li> <li>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</li> </ol> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:  <u><i>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</i></u></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	566	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22. (inferred)					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	311	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>512</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1045</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying agrichemical into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	139	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Rule 3.1.22 as follows:  <i>"Application of an agrichemical into or onto land, or application of an agrichemical by air onto land."</i> </li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	567	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan. Or if retained, the Rule is amended as follows (strike through) - " <i>Application of fertiliser <del>or lime</del> into or onto land.</i> "					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23. (inferred)					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	312	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with amendments to the standards to ensure that fertiliser application be undertaken in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management. Support standards which state the maximum for nitrogen loading and that no fertiliser will be deposited in waterbodies. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>512</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1045</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 3.1.23.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying fertiliser into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	313	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	573	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	314	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
922	Matthew David Oliver	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. And, add a new standard to the Rule as follows - <b>"If the application to land is of grape marc, soil testing records are required to be kept to monitor soil potassium and acidity."</b> (Inferred)					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25.					
1201	Trustpower Limited	141	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Rule 3.1.25 as follows: <i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
1218	Villa Maria	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	574	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
431	Wine Marlborough	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:  <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:  <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	315	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Agricultural Waste (See subpoint 776.50).					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>922</b>	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a Standards for this Rule as follows -</p> <p><b>"The discharge must not occur before a report has been supplied to the Council that characterises the soils of liquid waste disposal areas."</b></p> <p><b>"The volume of liquid waste discharged must not exceed _____ ("large" - a specific volume is not identified in the Submission)."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That wineries, which are large companies, should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	575	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.27.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	316	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.27.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend all of the provisions in the MEP relating to discharges ( <i>to land - inferred</i> ), such as 3.1.28, to add a standard requiring an appropriate setback to the Mean High Water Springs. There is inconsistency throughout the Plan regarding setbacks.  <i>(The submission related to all discharge rules of a similar nature but did not identify all the specific provisions of concern, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision, provided as an example by the submitter, for the purposes of providing context.)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	586	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Rule, subject to the deletion of Standards 3.3.30.5, 3.3.30.8 and 3.3.30.9, with an amendment as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>Discharge of human effluent</del> <b>from an on-site wastewater system</b> into or onto land."					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	209	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	317	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.28.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 3.1.28.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those discharging dairy farm effluent into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	318	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.30.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the permitted activity standards be amended to require compliance with AS/NZS 1547:2012 On-site domestic wastewater management.					
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.31 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.)</i>					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	319	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that this part of the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.32 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	599	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought in regard to this Submission.</i>					
<b>483</b>	Colin and Lynette King	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.32					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	320	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.32.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	600	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Making <b>Fermentation of</b> compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i>					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>483</b>	Colin and Lynette King	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	321	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the rule and standards with amendment to require the sealing of the bottom of any pit, stack or agricultural waste stockpile.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
922	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows -</p> <p><b>"Making compost in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Making silage in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b></p> <p>And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for "<i>Stockpiling agricultural solid waste</i>" -</p> <p><b>"For grape marc, the stockpile must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to stockpiles that do not exceed _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck)."</b></p> <p><b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b></p> <p><b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile is between _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b></p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
922	Matthew David Oliver	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows -</p> <p><b>" Making compost in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>" Making silage in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>" Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b></p> <p>And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for " Making compost in a pit or stack" -</p> <p><b>" Compost must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to domestic composting, or a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) that is covered."</b></p> <p><b>" A compost pit or stack that exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b></p> <p><b>" If a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b></p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those making compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	607	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.34. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	322	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.34					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.34.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.34					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency.</p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 31.1.35 as notified. (inferred)					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	608	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Rule is deleted. <i>(The decision requested is unclear as the Submission indicates burning in the open is sought to be a Permitted Activity, however the relief sought to delete this Rule would cause burning in the open to be a Discretionary Activity.)</i>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	148	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.36 as notified in the PMP.					
<b>1297</b>	Dawn Janice Rentoul	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see the rule retracted and withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour.					
<b>1298</b>	Brian and Elsie Hall	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.37.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	609	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.37.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.39. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.39					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.39.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.44.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support subject to amendment to Standard 3.2.1.1 ( <i>see separate Submission</i> ).					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.44.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	497	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.47.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.47.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.1.47.					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.48.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.48.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.48.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.51.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.1.51 as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Emergency Service <b>Facilities</b> Facility Activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Lot 1 DP 5102 (Wairau Valley Fire Station)."</i>					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.56.	Support
Decision Requested	That an explanation about 'existing use rights', including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the extent of existing use rights</li> <li>how existing use rights would be advantageous to the golf club</li> <li>the limitations or restrictions that may also apply under existing use rights.</li> </ul>					
<b>26</b>	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That forestry operations cease between the hours of 10pm and 7am to enable people living near forestry sites to be able to sleep at night. That recreational motor bikes must also adhere to the allowable noise limits and not be exempt from same.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities.</i></b> Add a new rule that noise sensitive activities within the Noise Control Boundary should be prohibited unless specifically addressed elsewhere.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities. Add a new rule that requires the creation of new titles within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary (including the Colonial land and the MDC subdivision at Taylor Pass) must trigger a requirement to place covenants on the land consistent with that required of the Colonial land in resource consent decision Colonial Vineyards Limited v Marlborough District Council (2014] NZEnvC 55.</i></b></p>					
591	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support Heading 3.2, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i>.</p>					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new permitted activity standard as follows:</p> <p><b><i><u>3.2.19 Habitable buildings, community activity, recreation activity, and sensitive receptor are set back:</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(i) at least 30 metres from any internal boundary, except where the activity is an alteration to a dwelling, and the setback to the boundary is not thereby reduced;</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>(ii) at least 200 metres from any building or enclosure that houses poultry that is in an existing lawfully established intensive poultry farm which is a poultry farm on or before 9 June 2016.</u></i></b></p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new permitted activity 3.1.59 Burial, spraying, burning or removal of vegetation material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Standards in 3.2 to as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“ 3.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</i></b></p> <p><b><i>3.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>3.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).”</i></b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity standard setting a minimum separation distance for habitable dwellings from productive rural activities such as quarrying. For example:  <b><u>3.2.2.X Any habitable building shall not be located within 250m of the boundary of a legally established quarry.</u></b>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.1, with any amendments necessary to address other aspects of Pernod Ricard's submission.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	498	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted.</b>"</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>unless the Computer Register is 40 hectares or greater in area, then no more than two residential dwellings must be constructed or sited per Computer Register.</b>"</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.1.1 as notified.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.1.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	499	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <i>The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del><b>20</b>m.</i> "					
<b>96</b>	Jane Buckman	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Support in full.					
<b>284</b>	Jane Buckman	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	500	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate side and rear yard setbacks should be specified for sites under 4000m2.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	501	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	127	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.1.6 as follows: <i>A <u>new dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, <u>wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling)</u> or a site designated for such works.</u></i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	502	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.2.1.7.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 3.2.1.7.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	146	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.1.7 Create an ability to vary easily where adjoining property is also commercial forestry.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.1.7 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	503	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>building or structure</del> must not be located within 90m of the designation boundary (or secured yard) of the National Grid Blenheim substation."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 3.2.1.8.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see fences excluded from this rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>281</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council exempt stock fences within 20 meters of a Riparian Natural Character Area.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete reference to structure in Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	504	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	505	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  "A <b><i>dwelling building</i></b> must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, Drainage Channel Network, the landward toe of any stopbank, or the sea."					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	506	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.2.1.11: Delete 'utilising the soils of the site' Add after greenhouse: or artificial crop protection structures					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to 5m as per side boundaries.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	507	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 3.2.1.12 as follows:</p> <p>Habitable buildings  8 m for the front boundary  25 m for the rear boundary  25 m for the side boundary</p> <p>All other buildings  8 m for the front boundary  5 m for the rear boundary  5 m for the side boundary</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Residential dwellings in the rural environment are typically an activity sensitive to the effects of rural production. A larger separation distance should be imposed on new dwellings in the rural environment to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity issues.</p> <p>That separate side and rear yard setbacks should apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20m for dwellings and their accessory buildings</li> <li>• 8m for all other rural buildings</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, I SEEK that this is amended to include " all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45%1 or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	508	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Standard.</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	151	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. (Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	152	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. (Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.13 <b><i>(inferred)</i></b>:</p> <p><i>3.2.1.13. On land within <del>the Limestone</del> <b>any</b> Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
96	Jane Buckman	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Support and adopt in full					
284	Jane Buckman	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	509	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>That standard 3.2.1.14, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.14 <b><i>(inferred)</i></b>:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.2.1.14 On land within <del>the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape</del>: <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes:</i></p>					
93	Spencer & Susan White	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To allow farming structures to be built including small pump sheds, fences, local and national infrastructure such as power poles.					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2&3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
<b>319</b>	Clive Tozer	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	As part of the standard 3.2 .1.15 clarify 'structure' such that conventional farming production support structures including fences , posts, gates, rails, yards, stock water supply infrastructure, grape support posts are permitted in Level 2 Flood Hazard Areas.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	510	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>					
<b>475</b>	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Seek clarification as to whether the establishment of a vineyard with the associated improvements would be considered to fall within either of these standards and therefore would not be a permitted activity under the new plan.  If so seek amendment of the standards to allow for the establishment of a vineyard in Level 2 and Level 3 Flood Hazard Areas in this region given that the overlay maps are so vast.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To be able to keep farming those areas which are currently in pasture or crop.					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	511	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	512	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply:</i> <i>(a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height;</i> <i>(b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture <del>but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse;</del></i> <i>(c) a building alteration or addition must be contained within the original building height and footprint;</i> <i>(d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standards 3.2.1.17.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	513	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add additional points to Standard 3.2.1.18: c) Artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures between 8-12 metres from a pole support structure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meet the requirements of NZECP 34: 2001</li> <li>• Are no more than 2.5 metres in height</li> <li>• Are removable or temporary to allow a clear working space 12 metres from the pole where necessary for maintenance purposes</li> <li>• Allow all weather access to the police and a sufficient area for maintenance equipment, including a crane.</li> </ul> d) An artificial crop support structure or crop support structure located within 12 metres of a tower support structure that meets the requirements of Clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 3.2.1.8.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Standards under Heading 3.2.3.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	194	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Rural Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 3.2.3.1, insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 3.2.3.1, Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	128	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.3.1 as notified.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 3.2.3.1,insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.2. 7am – 10pm 55dBA LAeq					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	129	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 3.2.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm <del>55</del>50 dBA LAeq</i></p> <p><i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am <del>45</del>40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.2.3.3(c) - " <i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	195	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.3(b) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or</del> horticultural <b>or forestry</b> activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;</i> "					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to include commercial forestry and forestry harvesting activities/ also woodlot harvesting under same definition. Or adopt the National Environmental Standard for plantation forestry to resolve the issue					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits" Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services." Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	State that forest preparation, planting, roading, harvesting and transportation machinery are exempt.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  " <i>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i>  <i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i> <i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;</i> <i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes;</i>  <b><i>(d) commercial forestry activities including establishment, management and harvesting.</i></b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	514	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>" <i>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i></p> <p><i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i></p> <p><i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone <b>mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock;</b></i></p> <p><i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i></p>					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Routine forestry activities must also be provided with an exclusion, or the exclusion clause for most of the other activities should be removed.					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Forest harvesting and earthworks activities are also of limited duration and much less likely to occur at night or at weekends than seasonal agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.2.3.3 by hanging 'agricultural or horticultural activities' to primary production activities'					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	147	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to also include forestry activities.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (b) of this Standard as follows (bold) -  " (b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;"					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 3.2.3.3(a) in Standard 3.2.3 as notified.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the same standards for <i>Controlled activity Rule 3.4.1 Erection and use of a frost fan</i> are used.  Also seek rules about the use of helicopters for frostfighting - hours of operation and noise levels. The submission does not provide details about amendments to be made.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	130	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.3.3 by: 1. Inserting new clause (d) under Rule 3.2.3.3 as follows: <u>(d) Rail activity</u> 2. Insert new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule 3.5.2 as follows: <u>3.5.2 Rail noise</u> <u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u> <u>3.5.2.1 The level of sound likely to be received</u> <u>3.5.2.2 The existing ambient sound levels</u> <u>3.5.2.3 The nature and frequency of the noise including the presence of any special audible characteristics</u> <u>3.5.2.4 The effect on noise sensitive activities within the environment</u> <u>3.2.5.5 The value and nature of the noise generating activity and the benefit to the wider community having regard to the frequency of the noise intrusion and the practicability of mitigating noise or using alternative sites</u> <u>3.2.5.6 Any proposed measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate noise received off-site</u> <u>3.5.2.7 The level of involvement of a recognised acoustician in the assessment of potential noise effects and/or mitigation options to reduce noise.</u>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	131	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new Rule 3.2.4.5 as follows: Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 3.2.4.5 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	124	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."</p> <p>Replace 3.2.3.3 with</p> <p>"3.2.3.3</p> <p>(a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.</p> <p>(b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential</p> <p>Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."</p> <p>Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0</p> <p>"Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	125	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	126	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	127	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows: . AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.  Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 3.2.4, including any other or additional measures as appropriate to manage reverse sensitivity effects.</p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Extend coverage of rule to include 300m from any existing commercial forest boundary.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	130	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: .  Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	133	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: .  Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"  In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"  Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 3.2.5 as notified.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.7.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.2.7.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	132	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 3.2.7 as follows:</p> <p>No activity shall result in The odour must not be objectionable or offensive odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p> <p>Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour.</p> <p>Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit.</p>					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	515	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Heading 3.2.8 <i>(inferred)</i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.2.8.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.9.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.2.9.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The best practicable method, <b>for example.....</b>, must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	516	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <b>"Good management practice"</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to avoid <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.9.1					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.9.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	133	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.9.1 as follows The best practicable method option must be adopted to avoid dust effects beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification sought for Heading 3.3, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i> .					
<b>696</b>	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity standard as follows: <b><i>3.3.49 Intensive poultry farming where sheds or enclosures are set back at least 200 metres from any habitable building, community activity, recreational activity and sensitive receptor.</i></b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation. (i.e.; or with words of similar effect) - <b><i>"Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading."</i></b>					
<b>1096</b>	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new heading and new Standards as follows - <b>"Rural Contractor Depot</b> - The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people. - The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership."					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 3.3: <b>" 3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</b> <u>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u> <u>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u> <u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u> <u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u> <u>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u> <u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u> <u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u> <u>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u> <b>Advice Note:</b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u>  As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity: <b>"3.x Non-Complying Activities</b> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <b>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15."</b>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	144	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows: <i><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable.</u></i> <i>i) <u>The maximum generating capacity of the combustion equipment is less than 1 MW; and</u></i> <i>ii) <u>The discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, particulate or smoke beyond the boundary of the property.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	146	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows: <i><u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting.</u></i> <i>i. <u>There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond the boundary of the property.</u></i> <i>ii. <u>Where the discharge occurs from public land there must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond 50m from the discharge point or beyond the boundary of the public land, whichever is the lesser.</u></i> <i>iii. <u>There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant into the coastal marine area.</u></i> <i>iv. <u>The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</u></i> <i>v. <u>Where abrasive blasting is undertaken inside an enclosed booth, the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</u></i> <i>vi. <u>Dry abrasive blasting outside an enclosed booth shall only be undertaken when it is impractical to remove or dismantle or transport a fixed object or structure to be cleaned in a booth.</u></i> <i>vii. <u>For dry abrasive blasting the free silica content of a representative sample of the blast material must be less than 5% by weight.</u></i> <i>viii. <u>The discharge of particulate matter is contained within the immediate area of the abrasive blasting so that particulate matter does not escape into the environment.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing;</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity;" and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	212	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 3.3.1.1 as follows (bold) - "<i><b>The farming must not include a dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, this includes the expansion of an existing dairy farm where there is an increase in the area or intensity of the farming operation resulting in an additional area of dairy shed.</b></i>"</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	518	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.1.1.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 3.3.1.1 as notified but note comments.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	519	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The airstrip or helipad must be <del>integral</del> <b>ancillary</b> to the use of the land <b>for primary production</b> on which the airstrip or helipad is located <b>for farming</b>."</i>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.2.1					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	148	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this standard to apply equally to forestry land and operations.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations</b>."</i>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 3.3.3:</p> <p><del>3.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>3.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>3.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>3.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 3.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>3.3.3.f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.18 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	520	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <p><i>"For a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, structure or container must not:</i></p> <p><i>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	521	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	522	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>6</b>	Eric Driver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Submission</b></p> <p>I submit that to avoid the increasing complaints of affected residents and at the same time improve bird control for vineyard owners, all gas guns be <b>banned</b> from use with immediate effect.</p> <p>I wish to make a verbal PowerPoint submission at the appropriate committee meeting.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	139	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
290	David Wilson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt appropriate noise levels for nearest neighbours or ban use.					
592	Clifford John Smith	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. It is <i>inferred</i> that the following new standards (bold) are to be included under Heading <i>3.3.5 Audible bird-scaring device</i>:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.1.(f) simultaneously.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of audible devices can operate within x meters of noise sensitive activities.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of fixed s and y number of portable audible devices can operate on any property at one time.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.5.x A fixed and portable Category A device must not be used simultaneously.</i></b></p>					
592	Clifford John Smith	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 3.3.5.1 as follows:</p> <p>A category A or Category B device must not be operated</p> <p>a) After sunset and before sunrise</p> <p>b) Exceed 65dB SEL when measured at the notional boundary of the nearest habitable building on a site other than on which the device is located or the zone boundary</p>					
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) within <del>460</del><b>300</b>m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1235	Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the rules for the placement of frost fans and bird bangers are reviewed so that frost fans and bird bangers (<b><i>latter inferred</i></b>) are not placed within 500 metres of the boundary of the township.</p> <p>That a thorough and timely review of the rules for the use of bird scaring devices is undertaken.</p>					
8	Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels.</li> <li>• Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres.</li> <li>• Devices must be turned off over night.</li> <li>• Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving)</li> </ul>					
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see the MDC reduce the minimum limit to 100 metres (<i>between a category A &amp; B bird scaring device and a boundary</i>), the same distance as a Category A device has to be from a public road.</p>					
360	Ken Duff	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 Category A or Category B device must not be operated: (c) within 160m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p> <p>This distance should be increased to a minimum of 500m.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.5.1(a):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or B device must not be operated: (a) between 8.00 pm and <del>7:00</del><b>6:30</b> am the following day if the device is within 2km of a</i></p> <p>between 8.00 pm and <del>7:00</del><b>6:30</b> am the following day if the device is within 2km of a noise sensitive-activity <b>residential dwelling (excluding a residential dwelling on the same property as the audible bird scaring device).</b></p>					
592	Clifford John Smith	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. <b>The following amendments have therefore, been inferred.</b></p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1:</p> <p><i>3.3.5.1. A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) within <del>160</del> <b>500m</b> of <b>Rural Residential or Urban Residential Zones</b> <del>the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</del></i></p> <p><i>(d) such that sound is emitted at a level greater than 65 dB LAE, measured at or within the <b>property</b> boundary (Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 Zones, and Coastal Living and Rural Living Zones) <del>or notional boundary (Rural Environment or Coastal Environment Zones) of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device).</del></i></p>					
592	Clifford John Smith	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1266	Eric Driver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I therefore would ask that the Council <b>ban</b> the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.					
8	Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels.</li> <li>Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres.</li> <li>Devices must be turned off over night.</li> <li>Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving)</li> </ul>					
<b>360</b>	Ken Duff	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device must not be operated: (b) at any greater frequency than 4 events in any period of one hour. An event is defined as 3 discharges within a 30 second period;</i></p> <p>Maximum of four events per hour but only one discharge allowable in a 30second period.</p>					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.2(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device [including one mounted and operated on a mobile platform] must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) at a greater density than one device per five hectares of land in any single land holding, except where the land is less than five hectares in area, one device shall be permitted.</i></p>					
<b>592</b>	Clifford John Smith	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested. It does state "An increase in this separation is suggested unless the rule is more specific as to roads". From this statement, the following amendment (bold) has been <b>inferred</b> with regards to Standard 3.3.5.1(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(e) within 100m of a public road, <b>including all highways, secondary roads and adjoining property legal Right of Ways.</b></i></p>					
<b>1266</b>	Eric Driver	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I therefore would ask that the Council <b>ban</b> the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</b></i> "					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u>					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</b></i>					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.3: <i>Standard 3.3.5.3 A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b>for each 5 hectare area over which the device is being operated.</b></i>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b><u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></b></i>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	523	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	With regards to wilding pines, permitted activity criteria for plantings under a certain area (a small geographical area), in low risk conditions (with low risk conditions including a setback from property boundaries to ensure seedlings are likely to be grazed, rather than spread over property boundaries); and  The ability to apply for a consent setting out the management obligations of the consent holder in relation to the pest plant attributes and risk associated with the planting, for small to medium sized plantings, again with appropriate setbacks from property boundaries.  <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought.)</i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: <i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <u>unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</u></i>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	379	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to address submission including requiring: Greater setbacks Amend the activity status for replating lawfully established forest on Steep Erosion Prone Land to Discretionary Identify high risk wilding pine areas and require consent for any new forestry that has the potential to create a wilding pine risk in these areas. A forestry plan which identifies and addresses, for the entire rotation, at least the following matters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• compliance with the permitted activity standards for planting, and that the standards for harvesting will be able to be met (or if not, the activities for which resource consent will be required).</li> <li>• areas of indigenous vegetation including significant sites and riparian vegetation, and how these will be protected.</li> <li>• all waterbodies within the forestry site, and measures to protect these, including how limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS on Freshwater Management will be complied with.</li> <li>• how fauna known to use plantation forestry as habitat (including long-tail bats, falcon) will be protected.</li> <li>• how wilding pine spread will be avoided</li> </ul>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	149	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	135	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Insert new Standard 3.3.6.4 as follows: <i>"An indigenous vegetation buffer zone of at least 8m must be provided between forestry planting and rivers or lakes."</i></li> <li>2. Insert a new clause to Standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: <i>"(k) 10m of the centerline of electricity transmission lines."</i></li> <li>3. Retain the remainder of Standards 3.3.6 as notified in the PMEP.</li> <li>4. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow planting and especially replanting of specified species only and only when the Scion wilding spread risk calculator indicates a low risk of spread. Align to rules about this subject in the National Environmental Standard for Plantation Forestry.					
<b>167</b>	Killlearnan Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Douglas Fir and European Larch for the standards (inferred).					
<b>282</b>	Warren Forestry Ltd	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain standards for Scots Pine and Contorta (inferred). Use the methodology in the proposed NES-PF to manage wilding pine spread.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (<i>Larix deciduas</i>)</del>.</p> <p>It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.</p> <p>Note that the "Name of policy or rule" included in the hard copy submission document for rule 3.3.6.1 is referred to as "Woodlot forestry planting".</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>343</b>	Martin Douglass	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek that the proposed rule 3.1.6 ( <i>Standard 3.3.6.1(a)</i> ) banning the planting of Douglas Fir be deleted from the plan.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK additional control requiring assessment of the risk of tree spread using the industry Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place. In addition, any spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" spreading out of a planted area), should be required to be controlled by the landowner to avoid it becoming a future threat.					
<b>368</b>	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend by remove D Fir from list. Adopt methodology in the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested. ( <i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.1					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seek clarification on this specific issue.  <b><i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i></b>					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p>" <i>The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p> <p><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i></p> <p><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i></p> <p><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i></p> <p><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i></p> <p><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i></p> <p><b><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</i></b></p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.3.6.1 but consider inclusion of other species.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Douglas-fir from the list. Instead adopt the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers.  Refer <a href="http://www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf">www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf</a>					
<b>542</b>	Allan Tester	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.6.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.1.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	150	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause a) from standard 3.3.6.1 A general restriction on other species may impact on erosion control and stability plantings – including MDC own requirements on the Wither Hills.  Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant. (refer submission point 962.121)					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) from the Standard.					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i>  (a) — <del>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</del> <b>(ba)</b> Lodgepole pine ( <i>Pinus contorta</i> ); <b>(eb)</b> Muricata pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> ); (d) — <del>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</del> <b>(ec)</b> Scots pine ( <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> ); <b>(fd)</b> Mountain or dwarf pine ( <i>Pinus mugo</i> ); <b>(ge)</b> Corsican pine ( <i>Pinus nigra</i> ).					
<b>1054</b>	Ron Bothwell	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] <b>Amend Standard 3.3.6.1:</b> <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <del>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</del> (b) Lodgepole pine ( <i>Pinus contorta</i> ); (c) Muricata pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> ); (d) European larch ( <i>Larix decidua</i> ); (e) Scots pine ( <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> ); (f) Mountain or dwarf pine ( <i>Pinus mugo</i> ); (g) Corsican pine ( <i>Pinus nigra</i> ).					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><b>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted and any other species that is planted must be controlled for wilding pine spread beyond the boundary by using the national Wilding Spread Risk Calculator before planting:</b></p> <p>That the following additional controls are included under heading 3.3.6 to prevent wilding pine spread in South Marlborough:</p> <p><b>3.3.6.x. The risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator is assessed prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.6.y. Planting must not be within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.6.z. Any species that is planted must be controlled for wilding spread beyond the boundary and or into the coastal setback area. The cost of this control must be met by the forestry industry through a levy on logs harvested.</b></p>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment is made to Standard 3.3.6.1(a):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p>					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>22</b>	David Miller	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The clause/s be amended to read " Planting must not be,or within, 50m of the coastal marine area"					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	211	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.6.2. as follows (bold) – <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) ....</i> <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established,</b>"</i>					
<b>96</b>	Jane Buckman	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Support standard in full.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to incorporate comments for b, c,d,e,f,g,& j					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Review the need for or review standards f, g, h and j (inferred).					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (k) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(k) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.6.2(b).					
<b>282</b>	Warren Forestry Ltd	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.6.2(g) (inferred).					
<b>284</b>	Jane Buckman	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is: That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause c					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The NES for plantation forestry handles this and should be used as being more scientifically robust.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause g					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (h) under this Standard as follows -  <i>"(h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting <b>an area of previously</b> harvested commercial forest <b>that was</b> lawfully established;"</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.  <b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	524	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amedn the Standard to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Planting must not be in, or within:</p> <p>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living;</p> <p>(b) 100m of a <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> located on any adjacent land under different ownership;</p> <p>(c) <del>30</del> <b>10</b>m of a formed and sealed public road;</p> <p>(d) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(e) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</p> <p>(f) <del>200</del> <b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area;</p> <p><del>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</del></p> <p><del>(h) Steep Erosion Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</del></p> <p><del>(i) the Limestone Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape;</del></p> <p><del>(j) the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del></p> <p><del>3.3.6.3. Planting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply."</del></p>					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	149	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	150	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(d) <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(e) <del>820m</del> of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain <i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(f) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</i>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Rule ... or Redefine the rule to align with setbacks from rivers and streams Suggest (f) 20m of the coastal marine area;					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Rule Or Develop rules to recognise the ecosystem service provided by afforestation of upland catchment areas being attenuated flood peaks (and reduced frequency of floods of any given size, notwithstanding changes driven by Climate Change) Rework the mapping to identify the actual low-flow generation areas along the river – these are likely to be in the upper 1/3 of the river and be within approximately 50m of the river channel, plus springs (if any)					
<b>767</b>	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.2(j).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i> <i><u>(k) 10m of the rail corridor</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	151	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (c) and replace it with (or with words of similar effect): <i>Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading.</i>  Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	152	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (d) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	153	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (e) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	154	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (f) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	155	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause (g) to not apply to the replanting of an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	156	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (g)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	157	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) <del>30m</del> of <b>an area where vegetation could shade</b> a formed and sealed public road <b>between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading;</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (d) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Plantings must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(d) <del>8 m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</del></i> <b>within 5 metres of-</b> <b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width less than 3 metres;</b> <b>within 10 metres of-</b> <b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width of 3 metres or more</b> <b>(ii) a lake larger than 0.25 ha;</b> <b>(iii) an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (e) in the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Plantings must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(e) <del>8 m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification</del> <b>5 metres of a wetland larger than 0.25 ha or within 10 metres of an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b></i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (f) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Plantings must not be in, or within: (f) <del>200m</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area;"					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (g) of the Standards as follows (bold) - " (g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established</b> ;" (Inferred)					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (g) of the Standard as follows - "(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;"					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain (h) of the Standard, but ensure that it aligns with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(f): <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (f) <del>200</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area;					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.2:  <i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(f) <del>200</del>60m <b>linear from the Mean High Water Springs</b> of the coastal marine area;</i>  On the assumption that the objective is to maintain and improve the subjective nature of the interface between land-based activities and the sea in the Coastal Environment, exclude all other landbased activities such as farming and dwellings/buildings from the 200 metre zone above MHWS.  Given that the National Environmental Standard for Production Forestry is on track for release in April-2017, continue to apply the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan objectives, policies and rules for the Marlborough Sounds until then, and then consider adapting the PMEP to reflect the provisions and guidelines described by that Standard.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(i) and (j) ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(i) <del>the Limestone Coastline</del> <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape;</i>  <i>(j) <del>the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del></i>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.6.2(g) ( <i>inferred</i> ): Standard 3.3.6.2 <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <del><i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</i></del>  The submission does not include a clear decision requested with regards to the statement "Amend - if not amended then Oppose. unless a coppicing tree verity is used."					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the standard (inferred).					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Council to give effect to Sections 10 to 12 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Sources of Human Drinking Water) Regulations 2007 by strengthening the Plans Permitted Activity conditions and calling in all existing resource consent to strengthen the conditions to better constrain intensive pastoral farming systems, the disposal of dairy shed, piggery &amp; chicken farm effluent or the storage of grape marc in other than covered, contained pads, mushroom and other composting systems and similar activities.</p> <p>Council to ensure that all well locations are captured and all disused wells are both plugged and capped.</p> <p>Redesign the Plan to comply with NES Section 10</p> <p>10 Limitations on permitted activity rules for activities upstream of abstraction points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned meets the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) introduce or increase the concentration of any determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it no longer meets the health quality criteria; or</li> <li>• (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(2) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned is not tested in accordance with the compliance monitoring procedures in the Drinking-water Standard unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point by more than a minor amount; or</li> <li>• (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water, so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(3) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned does not meet the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) increase, by more than a minor amount, the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water already exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard; or</li> <li>• (b) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water do not exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard to the extent that the drinking water, after existing treatment, exceeds the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in the table in relation to those determinands; or</li> <li>• (c) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>282</b>	Warren Forestry Ltd	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Follow NES-PF and make all rules positive to encourage best practice while still encouraging forestry as one of the best land uses in Marlborough. Do not discourage existing uses by requiring consents. If you establish good rules that are supported, then you only need to enforce them against the cowboys. The majority of users will be on your side.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	527	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific relief sought in relation to the Standards under this heading relative to this Submission point.</i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	192	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	380	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submissions					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	175	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new commercial forestry harvesting standard which states (or words to similar effect): <i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all provisions that apply to commercial forest harvesting. Provide for the commercial forest harvesting activities, where relevant, as specific rules and standards under land disturbance. Insert industry specific rules, only where there is no alignment with the land disturbance rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new standard which states (or words to similar effect): <i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	177	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add new Permitted Activity Standards as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <u>3.3.7.21. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u> <u>3.3.7.22. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u>					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That duplicated rules relating to Excavation from the Commercial Forestry are remove from the harvesting rules list.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require forestry clearances greater than 50ha to notify adjacent aquaculture farmers.					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	149	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standards 3.3.7 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	retain minimum of 20days notice but redefine and increase options for extended forward notice including annual plans.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Exclude earthworks and other operations from the requirement for a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
318	Reade Family Holdings	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The NES for <u>plantation</u> forests should be used for this process.					
336	William Ian Esson	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Notification must be given to Council <del>not more than 60 working days and</del> not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</i></p> <p>Or, amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before <b>expected</b> harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	525	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
440	Ian Esson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will ensure that they listen to the submissions from experienced members of the forestry industry and modify such rules if necessary, to make them fair, reasonable and workable.					
448	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
469	Ian Bond	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the 60 days requirement be relaxed to at least 12 calendar months.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.7.1					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	158	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the notification standard as follows (or with words of similar effect):  Notification must be given to council:  (a) at least 10 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or  (b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or  (c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.  The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</p> <p>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager.</p>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before planned harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</i></p> <p><b>Notification must be given to council:</b>  <b>(a) at least 20 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or</b>  <b>(b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or</b>  <b>(c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.</b>  <b>The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</b>  <b>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager."</b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	175	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.1 as follows:</b>  <i>Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</i></p>					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the requirement and align with the NPS -PF requiring that material changes be documented on the harvest plan with reasons and available to council on request.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the requirement for prior notification and align rule to NES. Also need clear illustrative definition of what a material change is.					
167	Killlearnan Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard (inferred).					
318	Reade Family Holdings	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the wording to ie <u>landing or location change and the council must be notified 5 working days before.</u>					
336	William Ian Esson	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least <del>20</del> ..... (a number less than 20) working days before the change is implemented, <b>unless the Council deems it necessary to extend this period to enable further consider of the potential implications of the material change.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
368	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete standard 3.3.7.2.</i></b>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	526	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
440	Ian Esson	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will ensure that a reasonable definition of “material change” is developed. Should minor changes to a harvest plan be included in the definition, the rule must include a much shorter implementation time requirement. The final rule must be reasonable and workable, taking into account the complexities associated with and cost of having a harvesting operation “on sight”.					
448	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	159	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the notification period from this standard and amend it to read (or with words of similar effect): <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that will result in trees entering a permanently flowing river.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	171	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this clause and replace it with (or words to similar effect): <b>Trees and slash must:</b> <b>(a) be removed from within a river wherever practicable (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented. <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring, whenever practicable. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of: the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its original planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that would potentially result in an increase in adverse environmental effect.</b>"</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	176	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.2 as follows:</b> <i>Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council <u>and the New Zealand Transport Agency</u> at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i>Standard 3.3.7.2 Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Align setbacks to NES standard, maintain permitted use subject to standards as already listed under the activity rule and dispense with the 200m setback (to 1 10th the size) which is inequitable and unjustified provided other good practice adhered to.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make it explicit that standard (a) includes earthworks (inferred). Delete standard (c) (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change rule to allow for culvert/bridge approaches.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation)."</i>					
<b>448</b>	Lloyd Kenneth Powell	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.3:  <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend part (c) to read  Harvesting machines must not venture within 10m of the coastal marine area.</p>					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3.  <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3:  <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>4</b> m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3: <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>84</del>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	160	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the following from clause a): <i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	161	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause a) to state (or with words of similar effect): <i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings);</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	162	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect): <b>8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</b>  Include any identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	163	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect): 30m of the Coastal Marine area, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016;					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing, <b>or intermittently flowing river when not flowing</b>) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (<del>this exception does not apply to excavation</del>);"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -  <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (b) of this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -  <i>"(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification <b>except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation)</b>;"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p> <p>Delete significant wetlands:  W972-Storeys Creek, W92-Langley Dale, W1368 &amp; W1369-Bartletts, W87 &amp; W779-Pine Valley, W777-Top Valley, W203-Glengyle, W989-Arnotts, W377-Denckers</p> <p>Include any of the above identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>"(c) <del>200m</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area, <b>except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i></p> <p>Any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3(c): <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i> <i><b>(d) encourage native regeneration within the setbacks.</b></i>					
167	Killlearnan Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the standard or provide more certainty over the term "proximity" (inferred).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Align this condition to the NES and the NES erosion susceptibility mapping. Make earthworks on lower risk sites fully permitted subject to conditions					
336	William Ian Esson	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m3 <b>per .....m2</b> must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>542</b>	Allan Tester	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 3.3.7.5 No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup> must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period.</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	164	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1054</b>	Ron Bothwell	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	[Inferred] Amend to exclude excavation for roading.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Restructure the rule to reflect erosion susceptibility of which slope is just one factor and provide for de minimus activity for maintenance and safety on higher risk sites. Align with the NES.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for maintenance excavation on all land.					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The document must make it perfectly clear that there is a mechanism to allow for some land disturbance to enable existing trees on Steep Erosion-Prone land to be harvested and replanted.					
<b>542</b>	Allan Tester	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.6 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 3.3.7.6 No excavation must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	165	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.					
<b>1054</b>	Ron Bothwell	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] Amend to exclude excavation for roading.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	retain rule					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	166	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite this standard as follows (or with words with similar effect): Design and construct batters to be stable.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Batters and filled areas must be designed and constructed to ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of harvesting <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	retain rule					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	167	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this clause.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"(c) such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are <del>stable</del> <b>at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
24	David Miller	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.7.9 to read " All trees must be felled away from a river( -----etc ) ,lake or significant wetland .  I suspect clause 3.3.7.10 will act to virtually enforce my suggested change in any event.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	retain					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard (inferred).					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	168	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	74	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	retain					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	169	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	delete rule 3.3.710 in total it is dis-functional. Retain 3.3.7.16 Align rules to NES					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain, but remove inclusion to allow dragging of trees felled under Standard 3.3.7.10.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	170	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete sub-clause a) retain the other sub-clauses					
167	Killlearnan Limited	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce a permitted activity standard enabling the deposition of trees, slash and debris in intermittently flowing or ephemeral rivers (inferred).					
318	Reade Family Holdings	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule					
336	William Ian Esson	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p>" (a) <b>trees must</b> not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>slash must</b> not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, <b>come into contact with continuously running water in</b> a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <b>and</b></p> <p><b>soil debris in excess on ....m3 must not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area."</b></p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.12: <i>Standard 3.3.7.12. Trees, slash and soil debris must: (a) not be left within <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred);</i>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	381	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend setback as sought in submission					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	172	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this standard					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	173	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the clause to state (or with words of similar effect): <i>Trees and slash must:</i> <i>(c) be stored on stable ground</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	174	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword this clause to make it clear and meaningful as, if the intent is to ensure slash is stable, that is good practice.  Suggested wording (or words with similar effect): <i>(d) Slash piles are to be stable.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " <b>Felled</b> trees, <del>and slash and soil debris</del> must: (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river</del> <b>be removed from within a river wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;"					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (b) of this Standard as follows - <i>"(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Trees, slash and soil debris <b>Slash</b> must:  (c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (d) of the Standard to read as follows (or words with similar effect): " <del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of</b> instability of the land."					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain subclause a) delete the rest					
167	Killearnan Limited	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the standard (inferred).					
318	Reade Family Holdings	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule.					
336	William Ian Esson	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.13: <i>Standard 3.3.7.13. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where: (inferred)</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	391	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Setbacks are inadequate and will not protect water quality from the adverse effects of sedimentation and nutrient discharge. Cultivation rules should be linked to limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS Freshwater Management so that consent is required and can be declined near FMUs that are over allocated or approaching their allocative limit for sediment or nutrient load. Amend to address submission.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	176	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	177	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	178	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	179	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, AND delete the reference to soil debris and the word "tree".					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	180	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the clause as follows (or with words of similar effect): Council will be notified, preferably 2 working days prior to the use of machinery, but will accept notification on the day of use.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks; and</p> <p>Amend (c) of the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"(c) <del>tree slash or soil debris</del> must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting."</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:</i></p> <p><i>(a) access is essential to assisting in the directional felling of trees away from the river or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) crossing the bed of a river to enable access;</i></p> <p><i>(c) tree slash or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting.</i></p> <p><i>In all cases, the Council must be notified <del>at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery</del> <b>as soon as practicable if the activity will result in discolouration of the river.</b>"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete, and restructure rules for machinery in setbacks with alignment to NES setbacks.					
167	Killearnan Limited	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to enable the use of existing tracks and roads within 8 m of a significant wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.14:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.14 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (<b>inferred</b>).</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	382	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend setback as sought in submission					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	181	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide an exclusion to the Standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or with words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Trees <del>must be fully suspended when being pulled across a river (except</del> <b>may be dragged through the bed of</b> an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) – <b>if the intermittent river commences to flow during harvesting, harvesting may continue until its conclusion.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as described.					
167	Killearnan Limited	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
307	Tasman District Council	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:            Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*            Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) & (c) Retain (b) but change "natural clarity" to "water clarity"					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></i></p>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17: <i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17: <i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	182	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue, AND Rewrite clause (a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	183	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword clause (b) as follows (or with words to similar effect): <b>(b) A change of less than 40% in visual clarity</b>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	198	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the current water quality assessment scales are until the MDC can consult widely with all land users on the appropriateness of the proposed Munsell scale.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.7.17(a):</p> <p><i>3.3.7.17. Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	either delete or restructure to demonstrate the effectiveness of avoiding remedying or mitigating and adverse effect and apply the same rule to ALL landuse and infrastructure assets.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Apply this standard to all land use activities in the Rural Environment Zone (inferred).					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the Standard to other Permitted Activities not related to forestry. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>368</b>	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <b><i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Standard 3.3.7.18.</i></b>					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule will apply to all significant failures on all land in the region and not just forestry land. If the rule is applied, a clear definition indicating the threshold of notification must be developed.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	184	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clearly show that there will not be any self incrimination and make applicable to all lands and land uses.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to "when no longer required for management access purposes" and reframe the primary purpose to achieve soil stability and avoid sedimentation by use of "slashing" vegetation cover or full recontouring.					
<b>343</b>	Martin Douglass	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I recognise however that not all harvest tracks are necessary for long term access and my objection to the proposed rule 3.1.7 ( <i>Standard 3.3.7.19</i> ) would be met if the rule was reworded to allow foresters to nominate permanent access ways in their harvest plan that would be retained after harvest.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete "all" from "harvesting tracks " and clarify that "tracks that will be used to facilitate replant can be left in place, subject to Rule 3.3.7.20" Add another Provision to make cable harvesting in steep terrain a Permitted Activity subject to Rule					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.7.19 is deleted or simplified to read "tracks to be covered if not required in the future".					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	383	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.3.7.19					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:  <i><del>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i> Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 ( <b>inferred</b> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>If not required in the future</b>, <del>within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i>					
<b>743</b>	Graham Thomas Cooper	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:  <i><del>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:  <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</i>  Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>(inferred)</b> :  <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 <b>If not required in the future,</b> <del>Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</del></i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	185	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete "all" from harvesting tracks and allow tracks identified for replant access to remain subject to 3.3.7.20.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance, <b>or the tracks are to have industry appropriate water controls installed if they are intended for further use.</b></i>  <b><i>If this cannot be achieved within 30 days due to weather or soil conditions, this must be reported to Council and a new timeframe will be established.</i></b>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adjust as stated					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	371	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 3.3.8.1, 3.3.8.2 and 3.3.8.3.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.  Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.  Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seek clarification on this specific issue.  <b><i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i></b>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	194	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.8 to include the follows:  <i><u>"Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (Larix deciduas)</del> . It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. (Inferred)					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested. (Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.)					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.8.1					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"The following species must not be planted:</i>  <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i>  <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i>  <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i>  <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i>  <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i>  <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i>  <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i>  <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b>  <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b>  <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b>  <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b>  <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b>  <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b>  <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b>  <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b>  <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b>  <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b>  <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b>  <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</b></p>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.8.1 but consider inclusion of other species ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.8.1.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (g) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 3.3.8.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.8.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>820m</del> of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(d) <del>820m</del> of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (<b>inferred</b>);</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i></p> <p><i>(g) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.8.2(c) and (f):</p> <p><i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, <b>except for plantings used for stream bank erosion control and riparian plantings</b>;</i></p> <p><i>(e) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><del><i>(f) Steep Erosion Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established.</i></del></p> <p>If (f) cannot be omitted then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified - see submission point 904.18.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust as listed					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	372	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 3.3.9.1 to 3.3.9.12 (inclusive).					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	196	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	178	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows</b>, or words to similar effect:</p> <p><i>3.3.9.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.9.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.9.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</i></p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(a) .....</i>  <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(b) <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (<b>inferred</b>);</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.9.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.9:  <i>Standard 3.3.9.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i>  <i>(a) not be left within <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (<b>inferred</b>);</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
496	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.10:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.10. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within <del>820</del>m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area <b>(inferred)</b>.</i></p>					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
307	Tasman District Council	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effects of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					
359	WilkesRM Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.9.11: <i>Standard 3.3.9.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</del></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
149	PF Olsen Ltd	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	adjust as requested					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows -  <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.					
41	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
340	B L and C F Leov Bulford	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (Larix deciduas)</del> . It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.					
369	Tony Hawke	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested. <i>(Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.)</i>					
439	John Walter Oswald	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.10.1					
476	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b> <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b> <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b> <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b> <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b> <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b> <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b> <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b> <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b> <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b> <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b> <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</b> "					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.10.1 but consider inclusion of other species ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.10.1.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording of this rule needs amending so as not to apply to this situation.					
<b>201</b>	Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove rule 3.3.10.4 in it's entirety.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>767</b>	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That only indigenous species are planted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.10.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.10.4 There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</i>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.10.5:  <i>Standard 3.3.10.5 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within <del>8</del><b>20</b>m of, a Significant Wetland (<b>inferred</b>).</i>					
<b>26</b>	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Generally, these sub-clauses need to be much stronger in preventing people from clearing indigenous vegetation. They also need to focus on more actively promoting conservation of native vegetation and regenerating land that has been cleared previously with indigenous vegetation.					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standards under Heading 3.3.11 in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone apart from standard 3.3.11.5 ( <b><i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m<sup>2</sup> per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i></b> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.11 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a method is introduced into the MEP that provides for and recognises the value of adopting Farm Environment Plans as an alternate to prescriptive activity based rules.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 3.3.11.1. to read:</p> <p>Indigenous vegetation clearance must comply with Standards 3.3.12.1 to 3.3.4.12.11 (inclusive).</p> <p>Note and action relief sought for non-indigenous vegetation clearance.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	198	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 3.3.11.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>3.3.11.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i></p> <p><i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i></p> <p><i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i></p> <p><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></p>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.3.11.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	384	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	These standards need to be strengthened to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments and protect significant biological diversity. Amend as necessary to address submission.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.11.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I <b>support</b> the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance <b>however</b> rule 3.3.11.2 should not apply to 3.3.11.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would require an additional word					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Develop a rule cascade providing for an intermediate restricted discretionary step.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows -  <b><i>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</i></b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (b) within Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) within Standard.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.11.2(a) as follows (strike out) – " <i>(a) indigenous vegetation under <del>or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt,</del></i> "					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – " <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards <del>3.3.11.3 3.3.11.4</del> to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	531	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>" <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i></p> <p><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the <b>formation or maintenance of a fence line</b>, an <del>existing</del> road, forestry road, harvesting track, <del>or</del> farm track, <b>farm drain, stream/river crossings and bridges</b>;</i></p> <p><i>(e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling;</i></p> <p><b>(f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</b></p> <p><b>(g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure;</b></p> <p><b>(h) management of fire risk;</b></p> <p><b>(i) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949;</b></p> <p><b>(j) undertaking plant pest management activities."</b></p>					
<b>453</b>	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following (bold) to Standard 3.3.11.2 <b>(inferred)</b> : <p><b>(x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;</b></p>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for removal of indigenous vegetation under forestry, but not alongside - impacts upon riparian margins and important areas within forests.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	385	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The exemption for indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt is opposed.</p> <p>The exemption for clearance of indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age, or matagouri where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age is opposed.</p> <p>An exemption for clearance associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track is acceptable but limits should be set (eg 1 m either side of the existing road or track).</p> <p>The exception within a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site for clearance within the curtilage of a dwelling is uncertain as curtilage is not defined.</p> <p>Amend in accordance with submission.</p>					
<b>743</b>	Graham Thomas Cooper	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than <del>20</del> <b>30</b> years in age;</i></p>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I <b>oppose</b> rule 3.3.11.2(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	138	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows: <i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i> ... <i>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>26</b>	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We request that this clause be further strengthened to say that no clearing of native flora be allowed within 20 metres of the high water line of all waterways, be they streams or rivers. And that It is incumbent on owners of such land to reforest the Queen's Chain with indigenous trees and other plants if it has been cleared in the past. (Perhaps the MDC could provide the seedlings free of charge for such regeneration programs.)					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a list of plant species classified as indigenous vegetation in threatened environments.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) within Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	532	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	539	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.11.3					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	386	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site. This is supported in part, but threatened environments do not cover all areas that are “significant” under the criteria in Policy 8.1.1. The rules allow clearance of significant indigenous vegetation as a permitted activity. Clearance of any indigenous vegetation meeting significance criteria should be a non-complying activity.</p> <p>Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site. Greater setbacks are required to protect the significant marine sites.</p> <p>Amend to address submission.</p>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	533	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought by the Submitter with regards to this Standard.</i>					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.11.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	387	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <b><i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i></b>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	534	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.11.5  <i>Standard 3.3.11.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m<sup>2</sup> per Computer Register in any 5 year period.</i>					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That any area of original native forest, particularly lowland forest in the Marlborough Sounds and in South Marlborough should not be able to be cleared as a permitted activity.  That there should be no clearance allowed at all if it has already been assessed as being an outstanding natural feature or landscape.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	388	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed – threshold is too high and will not maintain indigenous biodiversity as required under s 30 and 31. Permitted clearance of indigenous forest should only be allowed for clearly defined reasons: for a single dwelling on a site, for maintenance of existing infrastructure, roads and fence lines. Amend to address submissions.					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI seeks changes to the environment plan (section 3.3.11) that recognizes sustainable indigenous forest management as an activity distinct from vegetation clearance resulting in total forest removal.</p> <p>2. MPI seeks clarity on the interpretation of the vegetation clearance area rule as it pertains to the harvesting of single trees and/or groups of trees from intact indigenous forest. This will assist landowners in more accurately identifying what forest management activities, including indigenous timber harvesting under approvals issued pursuant to Part 3A of the Forests Act 1949, require a discretionary resource consent. The current rules and in fact the proposed rule do not provide clear guidance.</p> <p>3. MPI seeks a review of the amended rule for indigenous forest clearance over 6 metres in height as it applies to the Marlborough Sounds area, which by Council's own admission (Policy 8.3.2), does not have extent of habitat loss evidenced in southern Marlborough.</p> <p>4. Sustainable indigenous forest management should be permitted on forests that lie outside those areas recognised as Significant Natural Areas.</p>					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the clearance of indigenous forest in the Rural Environment Zone is not a permitted activity. The submission does not include what status this type of activity should be.					
<b>1121</b>	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change it to a more whole-farm view.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I <b>oppose</b> rule 3.3.11.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>246</b>	James ( Jim) Rudd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from council is an amendment alteration from (10.000 Square metres) clearance over a 5 year period to No limitation to clearance of indigenous vegetation up to the point where 20 % of cover remains including indigenous forest per computer register and then at reaching that demonstratable point then the area then revert to 10,000 Square metres of allowable clearance in any 12 month period.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	535	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.11.6. <i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:</i> <i>(a) — 2,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is between 3m and 6m;</i> <i>(b) — 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i> <i>(i) — 500m<sup>2</sup> of indigenous sub-alpine vegetation;</i> <i>(ii) — 100m<sup>2</sup> of tall tussock of the genus Chinochloa.</i>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	389	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 3.3.11.6					
<b>743</b>	Graham Thomas Cooper	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	1. That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.6: <i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any <del>5</del> <b>1</b> year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i> <i>OR</i> 2. That the following amendments (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.11.6: <i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m<sup>2</sup> in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i>					
<b>1121</b>	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change it to a more whole-farm view.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify whether the standards apply to commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the to Standard 3.3.11.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>321</b>	Simon and Richard Adams	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the following standards accordingly Standard 3.3.12.6 No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area except. Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemera/ river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing ), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area <u>except for the</u> removal of flood debris.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility. Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Redraft permitted activity rule to the effect of:</p> <p>1. Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2, non-indigenous vegetation clearance is a permitted activity, as long as the activity complies with the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) Any earthworks, the formation of any new track and any planting or replanting of forestry trees must not occur on land that is in, or within 8m of:</p> <p>(i) the bed of a river that is permanently flowing; or</p> <p>(ii) the bed of a lake; or</p> <p>(iii) within 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; or</p> <p>(iv) within 200m of the coastal marine area; unless the new track or earthworks in (a)(i) to (iv) is:</p> <p>(A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity, and/or</p> <p>(B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track or earthwork.</p> <p>(b) Harvesting, or the maintenance of or establishment of new tracks must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply</p> <p>(c) Any new planting of forestry trees and associated formation of any new track or earthworks must not occur on land that is in, or within 10 m of wetlands (including lakes), unless the new track or earthworks is:</p> <p>(A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity; and/or</p> <p>(B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track* or earthwork.</p> <p>(d) Any area of forestry that is harvested (other than firebreaks, tracks, landing sites or areas in (a) and (b)) must be planted or replanted to protect from erosion as soon as practicable and no later than 18 months from the date of the harvesting, unless the area is left to re vegetate naturally.</p> <p>(e) Water run-off controls must be installed and maintained for tracks and landing sites.</p> <p>(f) Batters, cuts and side castings must be established by methods that prevent slumping.</p> <p>(g) Vegetation must be felled away from and not be dragged through any water body other than where this is necessary to avoid endangering the health and safety of workers, or where it is unavoidable and is the best harvest method such as, but not limited to, hauling through corridors or butt extraction, and (i) any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a &gt;20% change in visual water clarity</p> <p>(h) Harvesting must be planned and carried out so as to minimise the amount of slash discharging into any area listed in (a)(i) and (ii).</p> <p>(i) Slash must be removed from within areas listed in (a)(i) where it is blocking river flow, or is diverting river flow and causing bank erosion.</p> <p>(j) Slash associated with landing sites and processing sites must be placed on stable ground and contained to prevent accumulated slash from causing erosion or land instability.</p> <p>(k) Any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a greater than 20% change in visual clarity for that waterbody, or/and shall not cause &gt; 20% deposition of sediment on the bed of the waterbody</p> <p>2. If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 (a)- (k)</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	200	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.12 by adding an additional standard: Removal of vegetation for the purposes of managing unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard (strikethrough and bold): <i>Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading <del>or root-raking</del> by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20° <b>30-40%</b>.</i>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.1 Reword as: "Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 25° unless tethered by a traction winch system"					
<b>1121</b>	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Standard 3.3.12.1: <i>Standard 3.3.12.1 Where clearance is by mechanical means; <b>or blading</b>, <del>or root-raking by a bulldozer</del> must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</i> The submission also suggests that slope angle is increased but does not include a specific slope.					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root-raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), <b>or</b> lake <del>or the coastal marine area.</del></i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), <b>or</b> lake <del>or the coastal marine area.</del></i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b>, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del>, <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i>  Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
1121	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root- raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
319	Clive Tozer	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Permit non- indigenous vegetation clearance when being done to maintain and enhance existing wetlands.</p> <p>We request that the Council drainage team be able authorise and if necessary carry out this minor work (possibly on a fair cost recovery basis).</p>					
423	Chris Shaw	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - "<i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland (<b>unless as part of a restoration project</b>) or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i>" (Inferred)</p>					
455	John Hickman	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - "<i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b></i>"</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 3.3.12.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"3.3.12.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid,</u> <del>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification.</del>"</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.5 Reword as: All trees must be felled away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area, where safe and practicable to do so.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect): <i>Notwithstanding 3.3.12.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.6 Reword as: No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river more than 3 m wide, lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area. The butt end of any tree or log must be at least 1m clear of the ground when dragged across the bed of a river less than 3 m wide (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing)					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <b><i>"Except for trees felled in accordance with 3.3.12., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area."</i></b>  (Inferred)					
<b>319</b>	Clive Tozer	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.3.12. 7 to allow wheeled or tracked machinery within 8m of a significant wetland.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.12.7					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1121</b>	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must:</i> <i>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability</b>;</i> <i>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of instability of the land.</b>"</i>					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.  * Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effects of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place: <i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del> The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place: <i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del> The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-address to ensure effects and mitigation apply equally between landuses					
<b>321</b>	Simon and Richard Adams	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.</p> <p><u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 m of the waterbody.</u></p> <p>That the current standards 3.3.13.2, 3.3.13.3 and 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:</p> <p><b>3.3.13.2</b> <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></p> <p>3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemera/river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></p> <p>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1 m of the Significant Wetland.</u></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	202	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Standard: 3.3.13.7 For cultivation that is undertaken for rotational cropping the activity will use mechanisms in Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for vegetable growing (Horticulture NZ 2014) to minimise sediment run-off to water.</p> <p>Add to Standard 3.3.13.5: except where 3.3.13.7 applies.</p> <p>Amend definition of cultivation as sought elsewhere in this submission.</p>					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.</p> <p>3.3.13.1 <i>On land which slopes down and away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</i></p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.13.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing policy 3.3.13.1 all together as it poses too big a health and safety risk.</p> <p><del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	537	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>"<del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must</del> <b>should be undertaken</b> parallel to the contour of the land, <del>except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour</del> <b>where reasonably practical.</b>"</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <p><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <p>3.3.13.1 <u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <p><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1 <b>(inferred)</b> : <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.1. <b>Good Management Practices must be followed when cultivation occurs</b> <del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del></i></p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.13.1. On all slopes greater than 20°, cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land ; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour</i> <b>a suitable riparian zone with adequate vegetation cover to prevent sediment runoff into the waterways must be in place.</b>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	74	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Definition of <i>active bed</i> :  "The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material." We also recommend the 8m buffer zone be reduced to 5m for cultivation of slopes above 10 degrees. We believe a 5m buffer zone will be sufficient to retain clarity of waterways while allowing more effective use of productive land.  On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within <del>8m</del> 5m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), and Active Bed, lake or coastal marine area.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	538	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. 3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the water body.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read:  On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read:  3.3.13.2 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10°</u> cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: 3.3.13.2 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10°</u> cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.2: <i>Standard 3.3.13.2 On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within <del>8m</del> <b>a minimum of 3m</b> of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river <del>when not flowing</del>), lake or coastal marine area. <b>Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</b></i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read: 3.3.13.2 <i>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
431	Wine Marlborough	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
473	Delegat Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
676	Dairy NZ	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.3:  <i>Standard 3.3.13.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of a river <del>(except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area.</del> <b>Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</b></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>319</b>	Clive Tozer	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.3.13.4 allowing cultivation up to fenced wetland boundary					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	540	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:  <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the significant wetland.</u></i>					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>.or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u></i>					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u></i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice. The submission does not include reference material or other information that indicates the 8m vegetated buffer does not align with scientific literature or trends in good management practice that have been established in other regions.  Exclude artificially constructed wetlands, dams and ponds.					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No specific amendment provided in submission.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.13.4. That explicit boundaries are included that provide GPS definitions of the boundary of Rarangi Significant Wetlands. (We need the edge to measure 8m from) <b>(inferred)</b> .					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	541	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.13.5 is deleted. <del>Standard 3.3.13.5. On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</del>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.5 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Standard 3.3.13.5 On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months <del>the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20%</del> <b>the level of vegetation cover is at least equal to the vegetation cover</b> prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:            Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*            Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	542	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"Cultivation</del> <b>Any run off to a surface water body</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after <b>beyond the zone of</b> reasonable mixing, <del>or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:-</del></p> <p><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;-</del></p> <p><del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;-</del></p> <p><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 <i>(inferred)</i>:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6: <i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del> <del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</del> <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6: <i>Standard 3.3.13.6. Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i> <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	204	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	392	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>251</b>	James ( Jim) Rudd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek an amendment to this proposal of 1.5 Km of formed farm tracking on a slope less than 35 degrees over a 12 month period to be a permitted activity.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	543	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Excavation in excess of <del>1000m3</del> <b>2000m3</b> must not occur on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period.</i> "					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>					
<b>743</b>	Graham Thomas Cooper	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.14.1: <i>Standard 3.3.14.1 Excavation in excess of 1000m3 must not occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period.</i>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b><i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i></b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b><i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i></b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.14.1. That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b><i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3.</i></b> Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	544	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this standard.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	545	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.14.3 Excavation must not be in, or within: (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.14.3(b).					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend wording of Rule 3.3.14.4 so that it reads: "Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards."					
<b>225</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	remove this rule altogether.					
<b>237</b>	Marlborough Mountain Bike Club	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule 3.3.14.4 to read: Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Excavation on soil sensitive areas identified as loess is restricted by conditions as to scale, development of land management plans to identify best management practices.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete these rules					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	546	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The excavation must not occur on a slope greater than 7.5° if the activity is within a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils, <b>unless the excavation is for plantation forestry infrastructure or other engineered roading infrastructure.</b>"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	547	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>475</b>	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.14.5 be amended such that the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m <sup>3</sup> is provided for and if underground water is struck, compaction is to be undertaken to reduce leaching.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.14.5.					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10 m <sup>3</sup> and if underground water is struck compaction to be under taken to reduce leaching.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That excavation work be allowed on Flood Hazard 2 & 3 zones.					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	548	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>475</b>	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Standard 3.3.14.7:</p> <p><i>3.3.14.7 Excavation must not be within a Level 2 or 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Condors Overflow.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.</p> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	549	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><b>"Except for excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, there</b> <del>There</del> <i>must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register located within the following Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period:</i></p> <p><i>a) Chalk Range;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Limestone Coastline."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	147	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.</p> <p><i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i></p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	148	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome.</p> <p><i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.14.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register Computer Register located within the following <b>any</b> Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period:-</i>  <i>(a) Chalk Range;</i>  <i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i>  <i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence; (<b>inferred</b>)</i> <i>(d) Limestone Coastline.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	550	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.14.9.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " <del>Batters must be designed and constructed to ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b> "					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>237</b>	Marlborough Mountain Bike Club	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines:  Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011*  Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	551	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del> <i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;</i> <del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	112	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.14.12.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 3.3.15.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 3.3.15 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“3.3.15-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b>  3.3.15.1 <del>Excavation Earthworks</del> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:  (a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, <u>horticultural</u> or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track;  <b><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></b>  <del>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del>  <del>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del>  3.3.15.2 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> <del>Transmission Tower Support Structure</del>.  3.3.15.3 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> <del>Transpower Tower Support Structure</del>.  3.3.15.4 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line Support Structure</u>.  3.3.15.5 The <del>earthworks filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	552	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is undertaken as part of agricultural or domestic cultivation, or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm <b>or forestry</b> track;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire, <b>or up to the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the structure or wire;</b></i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticulture structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation, <b>or up to the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the foundation.</b></i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.5.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –  " <i>The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).</i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards  That all other permitted activity standards for filling of land with clean fill also contain the same criteria as above (including 4.3.15, 13.3.18, 14.3.9, 15.3.17, 17.3.5, 18.3.6, 19.3.6 and 22.3.7).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 3.3.16.1 as it is not Council's role to manage the commercial or otherwise nature of clean fill, but rather the ensure adverse effects arising from clean fill activities are no more than minor.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	553	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	554	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Fill must not be within <del>a Level 2 or 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Conders Overflow.</del>"</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	555	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i></b></p>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i> <i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area."</b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>100</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.  <b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></b>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.  <b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul>					
	<b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.  <b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i></b></li> </ul>					
	<b><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></b>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	556	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	145	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	146	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.10 <b>(inferred)</b> :  <i>Standard 3.3.16.10 There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register Computer Register located within <del>the following</del> <b>any Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period.</b></i>  <i><del>(a) Chalk Range;</del></i>  <i><del>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</del></i>  <i><del>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Glarence;</del> <b>(inferred)</b></i>  <i><del>(d) Limestone Coastline.</del></i>					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.  * Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	557	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site;</i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i></p>					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Deletion of this rule.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete these rules					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	558	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>475</b>	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.17.1 be amended such that existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained.					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  "(c) <i>within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit, unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of the Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.17.1(f).					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	206	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition of "intermittently flowing" as requested elsewhere in the submission.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Support
Decision Requested	retain as is					
<b>167</b>	Killlearnan Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	187	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Requirement for stability and water & sediment control to remain effective after completion of land disturbance - very open and subjective. <i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>285</b>	James ( Jim) Rudd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek an amendment that allows for a permit for infrequent crossings to be available at minimal cost.					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) and for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that <b>supports sustainability and allows primary production</b> to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u>.</p> <p>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.</p>					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard to allow acceptable classes of stock to graze to waters edge for weed suppression, fire hazard control and aesthetic benefits. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision I SEEK is that:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed.</li> <li>Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas.</li> <li>Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner.</li> <li>Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul>					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.21 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u>  a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u>  b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u>  c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u>  (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u>  (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u>  (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u>  (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	208	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek is that:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> <li>Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	393	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Progressively exclude live stock from waterways					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.					
<b>746</b>	Gregory Walter Webb	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I suggest alternatives be explored, i.e., nutrient traps and filters where streams exit properties.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Livestock should not have access to lakes, wetlands, waterways or the coastal marine area where intensive cattle or sheep farming is practiced.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.21.					
<b>1121</b>	Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Heading 3.3.21: <i><del>3.3.21 Live stock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</del></i>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I <b>support</b> the need to exclude stock from waterways <b>however</b> the rule as it stands in 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I <b>seek</b> to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan <b>and</b> for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers".					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p>					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	An addition of the words as stated.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	562	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</i></p> <p><i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(a) where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(b) to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(c) where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b></i></p> <p><i><b>(d) where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b></i></p>					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.21.1					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed livestock.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></li> <li>• <b>The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></li> <li>• <b>There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and,</b></li> <li>• <b>The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	394	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> <del>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, or enter onto or pass across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA.</del>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river, <b>excluding intermittent rivers,</b> of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p>					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 3.3.21.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>3.3.21.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.3.21.1 is retained as proposed.					
<b>1237</b>	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.3.21.1 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1258</b>	Gary Barnett	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p>					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effects of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>" <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;</i>"</li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	563	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del></i>"</p>					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.21.2					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.21.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</del></i></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	244	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 3.3.21.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> a change in concentration of <b>following:</b> (a) daily average <b>2mg/l</b> carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) <b>260</b> Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml."</i></p>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</li> </ul> (Inferred)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	564	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>429</b>	Tempello Partnership	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.21.3					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.21.3 is deleted.  <del>Standard 3.3.21.3 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:-</del> <del>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> <del>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del> <del>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> <del>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</del>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	395	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation. The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain - protects downstream water quality.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>• All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>• That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p>					
<b>130</b>	Vivienne Harris	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add to this rule an addition being 3.3.22.6 - which reads the same as 3.3.23.6 with agrichemical substituted for fertilizer and lime.</p> <p>In addition incorporate, and implement more fully, the recommendations of the Environet Ltd 2007 report, commissioned by MDC, so that monitoring (especially at peak application times) and buffering is improved/increased, particularly along public roads and along any boundary where adjacent dwelling is not involved (as an owner) in the growing of grapes - especially the urban-rural interface with rural towns and communities.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I derive no trade or commercial benefit.</p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain proposed rule set					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add new standard to 3.3.22 Meet the requirements on 2.17.2. (See subpoint 769.84)</p> <p>Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule as sought by Horticulture NZ for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards.</p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for CCA and agrichemical residue levels.</li> <li>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> <li>3. Add a new rule that prohibits CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas. If these are already in place, broken posts must be replaced by alternative posts that are not chemically treated, eg. durable hardwood; untreated timber encased in plastic; metal.</li> </ol>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the additional standards are included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recycling sprayers be used on Sensitive free-draining soils.</li> <li>• Recycling sprayers be used on vineyards neighbouring Significant Wetlands.</li> <li>• Having used agrichemicals, winegrowing companies be required to measure soil and water for spray residues, at a cost to the grower, not ratepayers.</li> <li>• Grape growers be required to submit spray diaries to the Marlborough District Council on request.</li> <li>• Grape growers must notify neighbours if spraying.</li> <li>• The application must not result in agrichemical being deposited in or onto any nearby property.</li> </ul>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	140	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new standard 3.3.22.6 as follows: <i>"The agrichemical must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the agrichemical is being applied."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.22.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust clause to reflect practical solution to a realtime issue.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.3 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	188	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.3. Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.22.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust clause as requested for same reason as applies to 3.3.22.3					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	396	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 3.3.23.2 by adding: Except during application.</p> <p>Delete 3.3.23.4</p> <p>Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards. Matters of discretion When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of fertiliser, the matters to be considered are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The type of fertiliser to be discharged,</li> <li>(b) The proposed method of application</li> <li>(c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator;</li> <li>(d) Measures to avoid fertiliser drift;</li> <li>(e) The extent to which the use or application complies with Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (Fert Assoc)</li> <li>(f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water;</li> <li>(g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies;</li> <li>(h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and</li> <li>(i) Communication requirements.</li> </ul>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	123	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for agrichemical residue levels <b><i>(inferred that this also includes fertiliser and lime levels)</i></b>.</li> <li>2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> </ol>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>3.3.23.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>3.3.23.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	150	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Standard 3.3.23 as notified in the PMEP.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	568	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.23.1 be deleted.					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rarangi Golf Club land is excluded from Standard 3.3.23.1.  That other alternatives to alter the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan are considered that would achieve the same outcome as provided above, including but limited to an appropriate designation over all or part of the land concerned.					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 3.3.23.1.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.23.1 is deleted:  <i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Fertiliser on Soil Sensitive areas.</b> This rule will make farming non productive, provided it is spread in good weather conditions and in a sensible way this should be a discretionary activity. Some land in this category may flood once in a blue moon, in the meantime it is productive land and should be treated as such. <i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils <b>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:  3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u>					
<b>46</b>	Nicholas Webby	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make rule 3.3.23.2 state clearly that bunding is not required on all four sides.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard so that it reads: "Loose bulk fertiliser must be stored on an impermeable bunded surface, and covered at all times. Bagged product must be stored in original transport packaging and covered at all times.".					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	569	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.2 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 <del>Stored F</del>ertiliser must be <del>stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and</del> covered at all times <b>and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</b></i></p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b></li> <li>• <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b></li> <li>• <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b></li> <li>• <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b></li> <li>• <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;</p> <p>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u></p>					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	570	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i></p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.3 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	189	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Treat in the same manner as 2.22.1.2 Air Application for Agrichemical.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river <b>(except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing)</b>, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.3. Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
255	Warwick Lissaman	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove standard and utilise farm management plans (inferred).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	571	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <del>(excluding N from direct animal inputs)</del> .					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as notified.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
591	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.4 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
676	Dairy NZ	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4. <i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	400	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
904	Land Vision Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the application limit of 200 kg N/ha/yr is increased (note that no alternative limit is provided in the submission) as a controlled activity provided that the landowner can prove:  (i) it is required for crop growth,  (ii) not more than say 100 units are applied at any one time, and  (iii) they can demonstrate the losses out the bottom are limited (by use of Overseer or lysimeters) <b>(inferred)</b> .					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4:  <i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>  Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows: 3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>591</b>	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.5 <b>(inferred)</b> .					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.5.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	572	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  "All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b> "					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	112	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
591	Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.6 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
676	Dairy NZ	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.6:  <i>Standard 3.3.23.6 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime <del>must</del> <b>does</b> not pass beyond the <del>legal</del> boundary <b>land which it was intended for</b> of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied (<i>inferred</i>).</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.24.	Support
Decision Requested	retain in full					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	397	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	124	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.25, subject to amending clauses (a) to refer to 20m.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost/agricultural waste (<b><i>inferred</i></b>) in the water supply.</li> <li>Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> </ol>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	142	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend Standards 3.3.25 as follows: <i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i></li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ol>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.25.1.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.25.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>922</b>	Matthew David Oliver	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.25.2.					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete these rules					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standards under heading 3.3.26, which apply to Rule 3.1.26.					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	398	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of agricultural waste (See subpoint 776.50).					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with agricultural liquid waste (<b><i>inferred</i></b>) in the water supply.</li> <li>Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.</li> </ol>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that wineries be required to carry out and pay for annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management. Results would be publically available with repeat offenders publicised.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.1.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, <b>unless the discharge has effects that are less than minor.</b></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  " (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.2.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.3.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.4.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.5.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.26.5:  <del>Standard 3.3.26.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</del>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.26.7					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.7.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	74	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.26.7:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.26.7 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i></p> <p>Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include.</p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.8.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.9.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.27.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 25 to include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993. (See Subpoint 769.137)					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.27.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for aquatic herbicide residue levels <b>(inferred)</b> . 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.27.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (bold) to Standard 3.3.27.1: Standard 3.3.27.1 Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse, old man's beard, <b>Chinese Privet</b> and <b>Banana Passion Vine</b> are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We recommend bringing compliance dates for major infrastructure upgrades into line with the proposed compliance date for prohibited activities. That is, <u>9 June 2022</u> . This will allow farmers to work towards all major infrastructure changes required over a period of time that is more likely to be financially sustainable.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standards under heading 3.3.28, which apply to Rule 3.1.28.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	210	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activity status for the discharge of FDE into or onto land subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new Rule "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage". Move Permitted Activity location standards 3.3.28.10 to the new Rule along with any other rules that relate to effluent storage.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the intent of the standards to improve the standard of FDE storage capacity and facilities subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part

Decision Requested

For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:

3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.

3.3.28.2. The discharge must not occur within:

(a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU,

(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.

3.3.28.3. A High rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.

3.3.28.4. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.

3.3.28.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.

3.3.28.6. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.

3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.

3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:

3.3.28.1 there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.

~~3.3.28.9.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.

~~3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must not be located within:

(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;

(c) a Flood Hazard Area.

~~3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.~~

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is included under heading 3.3.28:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 3.3.28.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i></b></p>					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We want our property removed from the soil sensitive map and impeded soil area. Property Number: 537552 pt sec 52DIST Of Wairau WEST and lot DP 8576. This will enable us to continue apply dairy shed effluent to our property.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	576	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is deleted.</p>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested.</p>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1:</p> <p><del><i>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area.</i></del></p>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 3.3.28.1.</p>					
<b>1045</b>	Pukematai Farm Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1: <i>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, <b>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows: 3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive <u>Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	577	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The discharge must not occur within:</i> <i>(a) <del>50</del> <b>20m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> <i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i> <i><del>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del></i> "					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	578	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, <del>and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</del></i></p> <p>An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, <del>and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</del></i></p> <p>The submission states "The decision we seek from Council is to change Rule 3.3.28.3. to exclude the upper limit but subject to performance standards." The submission does not include what performance standards that Standard 3.3.28.3 would be subject to.</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.3.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>A high <del>rate</del> <u>depth</u> discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i></p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>• The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	To leave this policy as is.					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	No change					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	579	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	580	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.28.6 is deleted: <i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.6.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.6: <i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.					
<b>397</b>	Heather Collins	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Clarification through policy or methods as to use the limit of 200kg Nitrogen/ha/year rather than a limit based on farm specific conditions to address this issue (inferred).</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	581	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7:  <i>Standard 3.3.28.7 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.7.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i></p> <p>Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include.</p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N//hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p>					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We want to be able to use;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The massey university pound storage calculator</li> <li>2. Council to be more specific about "certified by a recognised professional"</li> <li>3. Supply set of plans and have approved by council before any storage is built</li> <li>4. be able to build back up storage less than 3 months</li> </ol>					
<b>274</b>	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	582	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  <p><i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system <del>with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be</del> <b>designed and</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i></p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.28.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be <b>deemed to be satisfactory by the Council</b> <del>certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification</del> <b>Council approval</b> must be provided <del>to the Council</del> prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	401	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.8. Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read: All existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Recognised Professional with a report to Council by 9 June 2019 confirming that: a) siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx) b) using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation c) when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice.  <i>Possible provisions:</i>  1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.  2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019</u>, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.9.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,</del> the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.28.8.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or</li> <li>• Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or</li> <li>• Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ)</li> </ul>					
<b>274</b>	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	583	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	402	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.9. Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read:  The design and construction of all new, or modifications to existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer from 6 June 2016 that: a) siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx) b) the design and construction is in accordance with IPENZ Practice Note 21: Farm Dairy Effluent Pond Design and Construction (latest version) c) using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation d) structures are sound e) when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded Provisional certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system. A complying Pond Drop Test result provided by the certifier may be delayed for up to 12 months to allow sufficient filling time for structures prior to testing.  Insert a new Appendix 'X' detailing the methodology of the Pond Drop Test, and the maximum allowable pond level drop permitted. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing is undertaken over a minimum period of 48 hours.</li> <li>Testing recording equipment is to be accurate to not more than 0.8 mm.</li> <li>Continuous readings are to be taken over the entire test period at not more than 10 second intervals.</li> <li>Data analysis is undertaken by a party independent of equipment installer.</li> <li>Any change in pond fluid level over the test period needs to be accounted for.</li> <li>Ponds must be at or over 75% design depth before a test can be undertaken.</li> <li>The pond has been de-sludged in the 12 months prior to the test being undertaken and there shall be no sludge or crust on the pond surface during the test.</li> <li>The pond surface is not frozen during any part of the testing.</li> <li>An anemometer shall be installed for the duration of the test and at no time shall the wind speed exceed 10 metres per second during the test.</li> </ul> Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard)    Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours) <0.5            1.2 0.5 to 1.0    1.4 1.0 to 1.5    1.6 1.5 to 2.0    1.8 >2.0            2.0 Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019</u>, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.9.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.28.9.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That dairy farms be able to build back up storage in a flood hazard area provided it is situated in the lowest risk area on any individual property.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	584	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</i> <i>(a) 20m of a river, lake; <b>or</b> Significant Wetland, <del>drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</del></i> <i>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</i> <i>(c) a <b>Level 4</b> Flood Hazard Area."</i>					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.10(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.10 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>a Flood Hazard Area</del> <b>a Flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4.</b></i></p>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	403	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 3.3.28.10 to read "By 9 June 2019 all farm dairy effluent storage systems must not be located within:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</li> <li>ii. 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership</li> <li>iii. A Flood Hazard Area</li> </ul> <p>Insert this amended rule under the new Rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage".</p>					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019</u>, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.9.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</del>, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</del>, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.28.10.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows: (c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	approve the ability to build less than 3 months storage using massey university calculator.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	With a minimum of <del>3 months</del> <u>2 months</u> storage. We believe that 60 days would be a far more appropriate timeframe.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.11 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>397</b>	Heather Collins	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule wording be changed to three years after the plan is operative.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	585	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2019</del> <b>three years of the Plan becoming operative</b> , Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.11:  <i>Standard 3.3.28.11 <b>Three years from the time the Plan is made operative</b>, <del>From 9 June 2019</del>, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	404	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i>  1. <i>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i>  2. <i>The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:  <del>3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.28.11.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.28.11 as follows: <i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative <del>From 9 June 2019</del>, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this provision.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	587	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.30.6.					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	588	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	589	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause 3.3.30.9 and amend the provision so that it deals with 'discharges from on-site wastewater systems', rather than 'discharge of human effluent'.					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include wastewater disposal in a Soil Sensitive Area as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Delete standard 3.3.31.1. (Refer submission point 970.30.) That the MDC commit to providing a bulk funded monthly or bi-monthly rubbish collection service for isolated rural Marlborough properties.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish!					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Heading 3.3.31 is amended to include vineyard waste (marc) stored on Groundwater Protection Area.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.31.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>4</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recommendation to allow the burial of old wire, netting, broken standards and stables from old fences.					
<b>40</b>	Nicholas Webby	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To allow the burial of wire, netting, broken standards and staples from old fences.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To remove the Only Biodegradable Material clause until there are subsidised Farm Skips in rural areas where there are not rubbish truck collections.					
<b>348</b>	Murray Chapman	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	590	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>437</b>	David Ensor	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.31.1					
<b>451</b>	Bown Partnership	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Relax the requirement that excludes everything except biodegradable material to be disposed of into farm rubbish pits and/or delete standard 3.3.31.1.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.31.1 is deleted: <i>Standard 3.3.31.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Standard 3.3.31.1 be deleted in entirety and the remaining standards be re-numbered accordingly.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.31.1.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	591	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i>					
<b>437</b>	David Ensor	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.31.2					
<b>483</b>	Colin and Lynette King	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include in the wording (bold) for Standard 3.3.31.2: <i>Standard 3.3.31.2. Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit <b>or such biodegradable materials that from time to time is brought onto to the properties for farming purposes.</b></i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i><del>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</del></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.31.2 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ). Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <del>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</del>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain (inferred).					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	592	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ): Standard 3.3.31.5 <del>Surface run-off</del> must not enter the pit. <b>The farm rubbish pit must be covered.</b>					
676	Dairy NZ	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: Standard 3.3.31.5 <del>Surface run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit.					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: Standard 3.3.31.5 <del>Surface run-off</del> must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: Standard 3.3.31.5 <del>Surface run-off</del> must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b>					
676	Dairy NZ	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.6.					
88	Chris Bowron	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Needs to be rewritten to incorporate OSPRI recommendations					
93	Spencer & Susan White	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To remove the Except Intensive Farming statement in this clause.					
	To add that wild animal carcasses caught on the property maybe disposed of into an offal pit.					
<b>289</b>	James ( Jim) Rudd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek removal of the reference to' Intensive Farming" in this provision.					
<b>305</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For council to allow intensive farms to bury dead animals as well. ( In a sensible way )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	593	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.1 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Standard 3.3.32.1 The offal or carcass must be from pastoral agriculture, <del>except intensive farming</del>, undertaken on the same property.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	594	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarification of why the standard is required along with a definition of offal pit.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	595	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	596	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>" The offal pit must not be located within:  (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;  (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, <del>drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</del>  (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	597	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rewording to make the reasons clear.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	598	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>" The offal pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise</del> designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>					
<b>451</b>	Bown Partnership	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submission does not include alternative wording to clarify that standard 3.3.32.6 that it is the entry of surface run-off into the pit that needs to be prevented rather than exposure to rain.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: <i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: <i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: <i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete these rules.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost and silage ( <b>inferred</b> ) in the water supply. 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under heading 3.3.33: <b>Standard 3.3.33.x Any pit, stack or stockpile must be bunded.</b> <b>Standard 3.3.33.y The volume of solid waste within any pit, stack or stockpile must not exceed x m3.</b> The submission does not include a maximum volume of solid waste that be within pits, stacks or stockpiles.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	601	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.1: <i>Standard 3.3.33.1 The stack or stockpile must not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area <b>be placed on a sealed surface if it</b> is located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free -draining soils.</i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.33.1 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i>Standard 3.3.33.1. The stack or stockpile must not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils <b>unless the stack or stockpile is located on a sealed pad and the sealing layer must not exceed 1x10<sup>-9</sup> metres per second (m/s).</b></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	602	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	603	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:  (a) <del>50m</del> <b>5m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;  (b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;  (c) <del>10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i>					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.33.3.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>397</b>	Heather Collins	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule is autocratic and should be deleted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	604	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not provide a decision requested.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	605	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>" There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.5. <i>Standard 3.3.33.5. <del>There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile.</del> <b>Visible run-off of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</b></i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We seek that 3.3.33.5 also require that piles of agricultural solid waste including manure be banded to capture leachate. We also seek a rule setting a volume limit for such piles as the rule now stands, they could be massive with correspondingly large effect. Note these have been included in submission point #106.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.33.5 as follows: <i>There must be no <del>run-off</del> of <u>visible</u> leachate from the leaving the pit, stack or stockpile area.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	606	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	123	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.6: <i>Standard 3.3.33.6. Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>347</b>	Edward and Amanda Ryan	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.34.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete these rules.					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.34.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  " (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 3.3.35 <b>(inferred)</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined): <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency.</p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.35.2 to include the following (bold) - <p><i>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i></p>					
<b>669</b>	Go Marlborough Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 <b>(inferred)</b> : <p><del>Standard 3.3.35.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 <b>(inferred)</b> : <p><del>3.3.35.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
<b>1201</b>	Trustpower Limited	151	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standard 3.3.36 as notified in the PMEP.					
<b>1297</b>	Dawn Janice Rentoul	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see the standard retracted an withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour.					
<b>1298</b>	Brian and Elsie Hall	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.36.1 Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same management or ownership may be burned.  Include Permitted activity rule to provide for burning of material infected by unwanted organisms.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	399	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.40.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.40.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.41.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> ".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>302</b>	Mark Jeffries	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.41.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</i> "					
<b>302</b>	Mark Jeffries	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.	Oppose
Decision Requested	we request that mdc allow staff to live in accommodation that meets a proper living standard. We provided a good home to our staff do not treat us the same as viticulture industry.					
<b>93</b>	Spencer & Susan White	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To be allowed to have employees live on the farm.					
<b>207</b>	Simon Tripe	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the rule.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	610	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>445</b>	Trelawne Farm Limited	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (Strike out and bold) - " <i>The <b>permitted activity zone for seasonal</b> worker accommodation <b>in remote locations</b> must not be located within a Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area as <b>is</b> identified in Appendix 24.</i> "  Note: Amend Appendix 24 map (see separate submission).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.47.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.47.1					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standards relating to fire risks and preventative measures need to be included.					
<b>440</b>	Ian Esson	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A clause needs to inserted that requires the organisers of such events to be aware of the level of fire danger in relation to surrounding properties at the time of the event, to take appropriate precautions, to have a contingency plan in place if a fire was to occur and be obliged to carry appropriate public liability insurance. They should also be obligated to speak with the neighbour who owns the adjacent bush or forest clad land.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure event operator has fire controls in place. Speaks with forest neighbour.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the rural environment. Tasman District Council has recently held hearings in association with this issue. Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows: <i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i> <i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area (as shown on the TRMP planning maps) &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977, &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i> <i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i> <i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbeques); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i> <i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	185	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 3.3.48.</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	141	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1. (inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP clearly recognises the existing use rights of existing frost fans erected and operated in compliance with a resource consent and the conditions upon which the consent was issued.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>592</b>	Clifford John Smith	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the use of Frost fans in the immediate vicinity of Wairau Valley Township and other predominantly Urban and Residential areas under the proposed rules be reviewed to reduce the adverse health effects on residents disturbed by frost fan and diesel motor drive noise at night.</p> <p>That MDC consider and action the issues raised in the foregoing in respect of design, consenting, monitoring and reporting on operation of frost fans.</p> <p>This should also include consideration of the cumulative effect of existing (already consented under the existing rules) and future consented frost fans, under any new rules.</p> <p>Monitoring of cumulative frost fan effects was a requirement under Plan Changes 23 and 28.</p> <p>To consult with the Nelson Marlborough District Medical Officer of Health regarding the deleterious health effects of noise and disturbed sleep and lack of adequate ventilation on persons affected by frost fan operation.</p> <p>Do not give preferential treatment to the use of frost fans as the only method of frost protection when other alternative quieter (not helicopters) frost protection techniques might be a preferable option in specific circumstances.</p> <p>Give consideration to a "frost fan no- go zone" in the vicinity of residential areas, Wairau Valley Township in particular. Clearly delineate the area of Wairau valley Township that might be affected by vineyard expansion.</p> <p>A 500 metre no go zone from any all residential accommodation, irrespective of existing or proposed zoning, is suggested.</p> <p>Treat this matter with some urgency in the case of Wairau Valley Township as comments regarding noise, ventilation and human rights are already being made by residents with respect to the recent operation of frost fans, already consented and installed adjacent to the township.</p>					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	125	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1.					
<b>1242</b>	Yealands Estate Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 3.4.2.1 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	126	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 3.4.2, or amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for cultivation: List the matters of discretionary as the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4 a- g.					
<b>1096</b>	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership."</i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u></i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- Safety and efficiency of the transport network.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Reverse sensitivity.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Noise.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Dust."</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p><u>3.56.82. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u></p> <p><u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> <p><u>b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or nay drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u></p> <p><u>d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan</u></p> <p><u>e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u></p> <p><u>f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u></p> <p><u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u></p> <p><u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u></p> <p><u>(iv) be located within:</u></p> <p><u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u></p> <p><u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u></p> <p><u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</u></p> <p><u>g) Demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new rule in 3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities:					
	<p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><b><i>3.5.x Any building or sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation.</i></b></p> <p><i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i></p> <p><i>3.5.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation.</i></p> <p><i>3.5.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation.</i></p> <p><i>3.5.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited.</i></p> <p><i>3.5.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage."</i></p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Align with the NES					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if (permitted activities can't be met).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	612	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation in excess of <del>1000m3</del> <b>2000m3</b> on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i></p>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	405	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Forest and Bird would like to see sediment loss from clear felling operations managed in such a way to reduce the area cleared in any 12 month period in any single river catchment over 100 hectare in size with permanent water flows, restricted to 33% of the land area. Amend to address submission.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide for excavation to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control. The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	211	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend matter of discretion 3.5.1.1 as follows: <i>The effects on water quality, <u>aquatic ecosystems</u> and soil conservation from the excavation</i>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review and amend ruled cascades to removed unnecessary default to full discretion					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	212	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	406	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission. Indigenous vegetation clearance beyond the specified permitted standards should be a non-complying activity.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if permitted activities can't be met.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is changed from discretionary to restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Where non habitable buildings or structures are proposed within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, a Restricted Discretionary activity could be developed with contained matters of assessment to reflect the actual or potential effects of the discharges.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i> That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.					
<b>431</b>	Wine Marlborough	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.6.2. (inferred)					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>473</b>	Delegat Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.2					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	127	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.2.					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.2.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	323	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>696</b>	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 3.6.3 Intensive Farming that is not specifically provided for as a permitted activity in Section 3.1.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.3.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.6.3 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.3.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That <i>Rule 3.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:  <b>a) location;</b>  <b>b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values;</b>  <b>c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan.</b>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.7.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.6.7 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.7 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	613	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	324	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That <i>Rule 3.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><b><i>a) the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X.</i></b></p> <p>Note that the submission does not include details for a Farm Environment Plan in Appendix X.</p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>3.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>3.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>[R]</del></p> <p><del>3.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.8.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	128	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.10.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	615	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the status for Rule 3.6.12 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>496</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird}	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Prohibited Activities listed under 3.7.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	325	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Create an additional prohibited activity or activities to ensure the protection of wetlands by ensuring no livestock, including intensively farmed livestock (with the definition amended as suggested in the submission above) will have access to significant wetlands (as amended to include all wetlands as identified in the submission above) and no grazing or cropping is undertaken within any wetland area.					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rarangi Significant Wetlands - WSS, W132, W133, W134, W135, W136, W138 - are added to the named waterbodies in 3.7 Prohibited Activities.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new rule is included that exclude stock from Significant Wetlands.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Rural Environment Zone: <b><i>3.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</i></b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	126	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities: <b><i>3.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	359	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	616	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are added to Rule 3.7.1:</p> <p><i>Rule 3.7.1 Commercial forestry planting <b>and harvesting</b>, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting <b>and harvesting</b> on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</i></p> <p>To avoid this becoming an ongoing source of wilding pines suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.</p>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	143	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	360	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	617	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.2					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	213	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.2.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.2.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Harvesting of permanent Carbon forest should default to normal forestry rules subject to compliance with other carbon forestry legislation.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	361	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	618	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow for this activity as a Permitted or Controlled Activity in alignment with (commercial forestry) land disturbance rules.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.3.					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
<b>119</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>-For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask.</p> <p>-To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching.</p>					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make it a Permitted activity					
<b>147</b>	Kaye Register	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	that the proposed prohibited Activities section Volume 2 chapter 3 3.7.4 be omitted and deleted from the proposed Marlborough Environment plan					
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	support 3.7.4 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	619	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows - <b><i>"Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</i></b> <b><i>Standards and Terms</i></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b><i>Crossings must be infrequent</i></b> (Submitter did not specify frequency);</li> <li>- <b><i>Crossings must be in particular circumstances</i></b> (Submitter did not define particular circumstances);</li> <li>- <b><i>Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations.</i></b></li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	214	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	326	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	124	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.4 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>3.7.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 3.7.4 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i> That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
<b>1258</b>	Gary Barnett	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.4 <b>(inferred)</b> : <del>Rule 3.7.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del>					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
<b>120</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	-For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask.-To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching.					
<b>141</b>	Hall Family Farms Ltd	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change to permitted activity					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
147	Kaye Register	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the proposed prohibited activities under Volume 2, Chapter 3 point 3.7.5 be deleted and omitted entirely from the plan					
294	Landcorp	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support 3.7.5 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"					
299	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like council to allow dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entering a waterway. Also dispensation for the limited brief movement of sheep & beef animals across the bed of a river.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	620	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows -  <b><i>"Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</i></b>  <b><i><u>Standards and Terms</u></i></b>  <b><i>- Crossings must be infrequent (Submitter did not specify frequency);</i></b> <b><i>- Crossings must be in particular circumstances (Submitter did not define particular circumstances);</i></b> <b><i>- Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations."</i></b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
479	Department of Conservation	215	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.5 as follows: From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	327	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	125	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>3.7.5. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i><b>where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></i></li> <li>• <i><b>the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></i></li> <li>• <i><b>there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</b></i></li> <li>• <i><b>the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></i></li> </ul>					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 3.7.5 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Rule 3.7.5:</b> <i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>  That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
<b>1258</b>	Gary Barnett	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.5 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i>Rule 3.7.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	135	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The disposal of hazardous waste into or onto land is a provided as a discretionary activity. However, there is no definition in the Proposed Plan for "hazardous waste". Fonterra's concern is that the process wastewater may be captured by this rule. The use and storage of hazardous substances is regulated via the HSNO Act, and there is no need to duplicate these planning provisions in RMA documents. Delete Rule 3.7.6, and all other references in the pMEP to hazardous substances and hazardous waste.					
<b>1258</b>	Gary Barnett	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Does this include a long drop and point source application ie caught short out on the farm? ( <i>The submission does not include a specific decision requested.</i> )					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	216	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	328	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.8.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.8.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	217	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	329	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.9.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.9.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	218	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support The prohibited activity for drainage of wetlands as detailed in Rules 3.7.8-11 is supported as this is an inappropriate activity, and this will provide for the protection of their inherent natural character and significant indigenous biodiversity values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	330	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.10.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.10.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	219	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	331	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.11.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.11.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	144	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.13.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule 3.7.13 should refer the runway protection area. Amend the Plan accordingly.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	621	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>592</b>	Clifford John Smith	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. MDC in conjunction with the local viticulture industry should research methods of disposal of cca treated vineyard (and other) posts and timber. At present, my understanding is that high temperature pyrolysis is the only safe way of disposing of such treated timber. To the best of my knowledge, no such facility exists in New Zealand or Australia, where the disposal problem is more acute.</li> <li>2. Ban the use of such vineyard posts in Marlborough unless a safe way of disposal of the stockpiles of such broken and discarded posts can be found.</li> <li>3. Until such time as a safe disposal method is created by MDC, ensure that the requirements of RMA and NES are met by vineyard owners and operators and monitor, record and manage such sites as contaminated under the existing HAIL protocol. EPA of South Australia has written rules for the intermediate storage of such treated timber until such time as safe disposal is available. The rules are aimed at minimising air, water and soil contamination.</li> </ol>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) from this Rule as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials:  <del>(a) wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight;</del>"</i>  And, allow for the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Climatic conditions</li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	136	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.14 as follows: <i>Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials: ...  <del>(k) waste oil</del></i>					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The same rules apply in the Coastal Environment Zone for forestry, harvesting and rural industry as in the Rural Environment Zone.  Transport infrastructure should be enabled to occur at the interface between the CMA and the land where it has a functional need to do so.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	181	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	95	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><b><i>"4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></b></p> <p><i>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i></p> <p><b><i>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></b></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"4.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><b><i>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14."</i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	101	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i><del>“4.3.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</del></i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i><del>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transmission Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transpower Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><b><i>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</i></b></p>					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project.</p>					
<b>100</b>	East Bay Conservation Society	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																		
Decision Requested	Insert Worker Accommodation as a permitted activity in section 4.1 to match the policy of 13.5.5 Volume one where it says Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling: (a) one dwelling per Computer Register; (b) seasonal worker accommodation; and (c) homestays.																							
<b>453</b>	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose																		
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity: <b>4.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.</b>																							
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose																		
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - <b>"Transportation by land and water of logs and all other forest products."</b>																							
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part																		
Decision Requested	Insert new provisions as follows:  <u><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></u>  <u><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></u>  <table border="0"> <tr> <td><u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u></td> <td><u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u></td> <td><u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u></td> <td><u><i>35 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>						<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u>			<u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>		
<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u>																								
<u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>																						
<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																						
<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																						
<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																						
<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>																								

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	122	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>4.1.8 Farming - Permitted activities - D'Urville Island be added as subset of 4.1.</p> <p>That the following 'existing activities' be added as permitted activities as a subset of 4.1.8</p> <p>4.1.8.1 permitted activities</p> <p>4.1.8.1.1 garage for farm vehicles/machinery</p> <p>4.1.8.1.2 wood storage shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.3 dog kennels</p> <p>4.1.8.1.4 woolsheds</p> <p>4.1.8.1.5 chicken shed/shelter</p> <p>4.1.8.1.6 boat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.7 workshop</p> <p>4.1.8.1.8 pig pens</p> <p>4.1.8.1.9 milking shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.0 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.1 stock yards</p> <p>4.1.8.2.2 domestic water storage</p> <p>4.1.8.2.3 mail shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.4 fences</p> <p>4.1.8.2.5 wind turbines/hydro-generation,solar,diesel generator shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.6 farm storage sheds hay,saddles etc</p> <p>4.1.8.2.7 meat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.8 windturbines</p> <p>4.1.8.2.9 windmill water pump</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following 'existing activities', as per the below structure or flow charted as per 'drop down' structure, for convenience of access or documentation layout practicability</p> <p>4.1 permitted activities, as a sub part of 4.1 or;</p> <p>as a sub part of,</p> <p>a new added 'permitted activity' of</p> <p>'4 .coastal environment Zone'</p> <p>4.1.8 Farming - permitted activities.- D'urville Island &lt;new permitted activity as added&gt;</p> <p><u>Existing Activities</u></p> <p>4.1.56 garage for farm vehicles/machinery [4.1.8.1]</p> <p>4.1.57 wood storage shed [4.1.8.2]</p> <p>4.1.58 dog kennels [4.1.8.3]</p> <p>4.1.59 woolsheds [4.1.8.4]</p> <p>4.1.60 chicken shed/shelter [4.1.8.5]</p> <p>4.1.61 boat shed [4.1.8.6]</p> <p>4.1.62 workshop [4.1.8.7]</p> <p>4.1.63 pig pens [4.1.8.8]</p> <p>4.1.64 milking shed [4.1.8.9]</p> <p>4.1.65 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed [4.1.9.0]</p> <p>4.1.66 stock yards [4.1.9 .1]</p> <p>4.1.67 domestic water storage [4.1.9.2]</p> <p>4.1.68 mail shed [4.1.9.3]</p> <p>4.1.69 fences [4.1.9.4]</p> <p>4.1.70 wind turbines/hydro-generation ,solar,diesel generator shed[4.1.9.5]</p> <p>4.1.71 farm storage sheds hay, saddles etc [4.1.9.6 ]</p> <p>4.1.72 meat shed [4.1.9.7]</p> <p>4.1.73 windturbines [4.1.9.8]</p> <p>4.1.74 windmill water pump [4.1.9.8]</p>					
<b>1025</b>	P Rene	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - <b><i>"Kiatiakitanga."</i></b>					
<b>1096</b>	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new new permitted activity as follows - <b><i>"Rural contractor depot."</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	93	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 4.1:  <b><u><i>"4.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</i></u></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	640	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -  <b><i>"Farming, including earthworks ancillary to farming."</i></b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following rules 4.3.1.2 to 4.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Coastal Environment Zone:  4.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.  4.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b. Appendix 22b Notification items:  1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation.					

2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed.
  3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed.
  4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained.
  5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used.
  6. The location on a map of any stock bridges.
  7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan.
  8. A feed budgeting plan for the year.
  9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.
- 4.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.
  - 4.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.
  - 4.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:
    - (a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake
    - (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;
    - (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.
  - 4.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.
  - 4.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:
    - (a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;
    - (b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);
    - (c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.
  - 4.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.
  - 4.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:
    - (a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;
    - (b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;
    - (c) Be placed on stable ground;
    - (d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.
  - 4.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:
    - (a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;
    - (b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;
    - (c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

4.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

4.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing of the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

4.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

4.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	90	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.1 as a permitted activity.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	67	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.1.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.3.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	retain in its entirety					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	356	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	645	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule, subject to deletion of all standards <i>(see separate submissions)</i> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	220	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively; Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows: 4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting. 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within: (a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland; (c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area. 4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply. 4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	72	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Rule 4.1.6: <del>4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting.</del>  4.6 Discretionary Activities  <b>4.6.X Commercial forestry replanting.</b>					
502	Karaka Projects Limited	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.6.					
552	Council of Outdoor Recreation Associations of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
679	David Walker	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds.  Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.6.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	430	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and amend to include standards to address submission.					
<b>751</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m.</b></li> <li>• <b>Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.</b></li> <li>• <b>Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation.</b></li> <li>• <b>Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b>Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></li> <li>◦ <b>Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	28	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This change could be simply achieved by amending Rule 4.1.6 to read " <i>Commercial Forestry replanting more than 300 meters from the Coastal Marine Area</i> " and we submit accordingly.					
<b>946</b>	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following new standards to the Rule as follows -					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>A Replanting Management Plan must be lodged with the Council for all sites where erosion risk is high. This should include inland forestry sites where erosion poses a risk of sediment discharge into rivers.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, roads be placed across slope, parallel to contour. Roadside drains should be vegetated at all times and have culverts placed appropriately to prevent water accumulating too rapidly in heavy rain events.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>All earthworks must meet the following requirements:</i></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b><i>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b></li> <li>◦ <b><i>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i></b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, slash piles be positioned across slope parallel to contour.</i></b></li> </ul>					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Standards to this Rule as follows -					
	<p><b><i>"A Replanting Management Plan is required to be lodged with the Council that identifies areas at high risk of erosion, which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies."</i></b></p> <p><b><i>"Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare."</i></b></p>					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain permitted activity status for 4.1.7 & 4.1.8					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	646	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	222	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>679</b>	David Walker	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds. Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	190	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That there are requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits. There should be requirements specifically to restore and/or replant the coastal set-back areas, for example, to indigenous forest. (At present, there are very unsightly remnants of commercial forestry plantings along the foreshore, particularly in parts of Tory Channel, but also elsewhere in the Sounds, after harvesting has been completed.)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	647	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	224	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>751</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b>Harvest controls:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Remove all woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Earthworks requirements:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b></li> <li><b>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	648	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	226	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	227	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows:  <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i>;</p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows:  <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i>  <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i>  <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i>  <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	135	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.10.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	229	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>484</b>	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	75	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.11					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	230	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - " <b>Excavation (including filling).</b> " And/or amend the definition of Excavation ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this Rule as follows -  " <b>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</b> "  ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	96	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 4.1.14 as follows:  " <b>4.1.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard.</b> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.15 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	123	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	663	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That farm dams up to 20,000m <sup>3</sup> are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	664	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That firebreaks involving both land disturbance and vegetation (indigenous and non-indigenous) clearance are permitted.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	231	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	69	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	669	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	670	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Rule or amend as follows (strike through) -</p> <p>"<i>Application of fertiliser <del>or lime</del> into or onto land.</i>"</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	91	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Rule 4.1.22 as a permitted activity.</p> <p>Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.22 <i>Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that the submitter supports this rule.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	68	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 4.1.22.					
<b>162</b>	Waitai Station	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.</p> <p>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited.</p>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	92	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.27.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Rule 4.1.27 as a permitted activity.</p> <p>Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.27 <i>Discharge of dairy farm effluent into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that the submitter supports this rule.</p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	69	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.27.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.1.27 as follows: Discharge or dairy farm effluent onto or into land, <u>except for new dairy farms provided for under Rule 4.5.3.</u>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	683	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.29.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - " <del>Discharge of human effluent</del> <b>from on-site wastewater systems</b> into or onto land through an onsite management system."					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	690	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.32.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"<del>Making</del> <b>Fermentation</b> of compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	691	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.34 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	692	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.35.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Rule is deleted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	622	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.46.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	122	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.48.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity status for Papakainga.					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	123	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 4.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 4.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>4.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>4.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</b> <b>4.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</b>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	125	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 4.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, unmodified shipping container or an off-river dam (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ... <u>4.2.1.17 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</u>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	623	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted.</b>"</i>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per <del>Computer Register</del> <b>Unit Title.</b>"</i> (Inferred)					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Standard is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, <b>except on rural farms on D'urville Island the following are allowed for:</b></i> <b>(1) small (one room-4 bunk) farm baches for workers;</b> <b>(2) shearing quarters (housing)."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	624	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>120m.</del>"</i>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>10m.</del>"</i> <i>(It is not clear in the Submission what specific change, increase or decrease, is sought.)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	625	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That it be made clearer that 4.2.1.5 does not apply to properly authorised privately owned domestic wastewater treatment systems located on privately owned land.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Standard 4.2.1.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	626	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable structure or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.2.1.6 as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	627	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	628	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>building</del> must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea."</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.2.1.8: <i>Standard 4.2.1.8. A building must not be sited in, or within <b>820m</b> of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	629	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	630	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	EBCS believes it would be better to make small structure permitted but with rules governing the effect of the structure on the environment. EBCS asks that this provision is at least increased in size to structures over 50m2 and that simple guidelines be given as to what is acceptable to build in the ONFL Coastal Environment zone. EBCS further requests that structures smaller than this be Permitted in the Coastal Environment Zone.					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	SEEK that appropriate controls apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.2.1.11 - On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape: (b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	631	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>468</b>	Port Gore Group	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold): <i>Standard 4.2.1.11 (a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del><b>50</b>m2;</i>					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.1.11.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.2.1.11:</p> <p><i>4.2.1.11. On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del><b>50</b>m<sup>2</sup>;</i></p>					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p> <p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p> <p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.11(a):</p> <p><i>4.2.1.11. On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i></p> <p><i><del>(a) a building or structure must not exceed 10m<sup>2</sup>;</del></i></p>					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.11(b): <i>Standard 4.2.1.11(b) On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i> <i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed 10m2;</i> <i>(b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i>					
<b>1086</b>	Ragged Point Limited	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Farm support structures bigger than 10 m2 must be possible. The submission does not include an alternative m2 for structures as a permitted activity within any Marlborough Sounds ONFL.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.  In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SSNZ SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (b) within the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>(b) any paint applied to the</del> <b>all</b> exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less."  (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	56	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p> <p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p>					
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"(a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>10m2</del> <b>___m2</b>." (Submitter did not specify but an area greater than 10m2)</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 4.2.1.12 should require a colour palette rather than be encouraged.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.2.1.12					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	632	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>469</b>	Ian Bond	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.1.12.					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.12: <i>Standard 4.2.1.12 On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape <del>any paint applied to the</del> <b>all</b> exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less." (Inferred)					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 4.2.1.13 or any similar or substitute standard should not apply to any lots of land created out of Lot 1 DP 5648 under resource consent U060765.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	633	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - "A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	634	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - "A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	635	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.15.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply:  (a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height;  (b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture <del>but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse</del>;  (c) building alterations and additions must be contained within the original building height and footprint;  (d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	91	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 4.2.1.15.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	636	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	92	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 4.2.1.16.					
<b>470</b>	Kathryn Margery Hine	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Neighbours who are required to be notified or could be affected need to be given sufficient information to understand the potential noise effects and understand that there are different pumps available.  Information provided on pumps should outline the different pump options available and the noise levels associated with each.  Noise should be a major consideration in the approval of septic tank sewerage systems that could affect neighbours.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	193	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Coastal Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone"</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2. insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.2.2.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.2.2.2 An activity undertaken within the Coastal Environment Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise arising at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or within the notional boundary of any dwelling on land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Coastal Environment <b>and all the ecologically significant marine sites the whale and dolphin sites shown on Maps 17 and 18, anchorages, mooring management areas, marine reserves</b> (the placement of this statement is <b>inferred</b>) does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Standard 4.2.2.3(b) - "<del>(b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps, motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</del>"</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	197	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or horticultural</del> or forestry activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;</i> "					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	47	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	include temporary forestry activity noise					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	637	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i> <i>(a) <del>mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;</del></i> <b><i>Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock;</i></b> <i>(b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	191	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include forestry activities.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	124	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) -  " (a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;"					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."</p> <p>Replace 3.2.3.3 with "3.2.3.3</p> <p>(a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.</p> <p>(b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."</p> <p>Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0 "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows: AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3. Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under 4.2.3 Noise sensitive activity:  <b><i>4.2.3.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i></b> <b><i>4.2.3.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></b>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust as requested					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:. Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <b><i>(inferred): The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i></b> odour.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	638	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.4.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> smoke.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): 4.2.6 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> dust.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	639	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"<b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	192	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	125	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The best practicable method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	409	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is not clear why standards for commercial forest harvesting are not included in the coastal environment zone. Amend to address submission.					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	432	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation. (i.e.; or with words of similar effect): <b>"Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading."</b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>1096</b>	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new heading and new Standards as follows - <p><b><i>"Rural Contractor Depot</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people.</i></li> <li>- <i>The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership."</i></li> </ul>					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	121	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	94	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><b><u>“4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“ 4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.”</u></b></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing;</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	407	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.3.1					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	792	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We have included a new rule ( <i>see separate submission</i> ) which outlines our relief sought on this.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.1.1.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	93	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.1.1.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	641	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"The airstrip or helipad must be <del>integral</del> <b>ancillary</b> to the use of the land <b>for primary production</b> on which the airstrip or helipad is located <del>for farming.</del>"</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>" The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations.</b>"</i>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 4.3.3:</p> <p><del>4.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>4.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>4.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>4.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 4.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>4.3.3.3.f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 4.2.1.1 to 4.2.1.16 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	642	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del>"For a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, structure or container must not:</del></p> <p><del>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</del></p> <p><del>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</del></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	643	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	644	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	49	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Align setbacks to NES standard 5 and 10m thresholds					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	SUPPORT <i>Standards 4.3.6..1(a) and (b) Commercial forestry replanting</i> regarding setbacks from rivers and wetlands.					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	SEEK larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, could be related to slope, ie 30m where the slope for a distance of 500m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres. This is likely to have benefits in reducing sedimentation as well as landscape benefits. (refer Urlich Report)					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>As per the summary recommendations in the paper <i>Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry</i> the following should be adopted in the MEP:</p> <p>(1) Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m (a precautionary approach should be adopted). <b>Note</b> that Standard 4.3.8.1(c) uses this 200m setback for planting and harvesting where it is much less likely heavy machinery, tracking and hauling logs would occur.</p> <p>(2) Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.</p> <p>(3) Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation.</p> <p>(4) Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</p> <p>a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</p> <p>b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</p> <p>In addition to replanting controls other recommendations were also made, these were:</p> <p>(5) Harvest controls: Remove all woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt; 3metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</p> <p>(6) Earthworks requirements:</p> <p>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</p> <p>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</p> <p>These recommendations apply to the Coastal Environment Zone. However, the other applicable zone for forestry is the Rural Environment Zone (Volume 2: Chapter 3) and they should be equally applicable there.</p>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add new standard to heading <i>4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting</i>.</p> <p><i>New Standard 4.3.6.3 - If an area is not going to be re-planted in commercial forest, then it must be actively managed to avoid the regeneration and proliferation of wilding pines (e.g., by spraying).</i></p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	221	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively; Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows: 4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting. 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within: (a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland; (c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area. 4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply. 4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p><b>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</b></p> <p><b>(g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	47	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	408	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m from mean high water springs in the coastal environment zone					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);  (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);  (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);  (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);  (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);  (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);  (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	29	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>If our submission as to a 300m trigger zone is not followed, then we submit the no planting setback be extended to 50 meters (more than one tree length) rather than the current proposed 30 metres.</p> <p>Amend 4.3.6 to make having a Establishment Plan through a Registered Forestry Consultant a requirement.</p> <p>Insert a standard requiring that replanting be carried out within 12 months of harvesting.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain provision for replanting of existing commercial forestry, including in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that already comprises commercial forestry, as a permitted activity subject to the standards in clause 4.3.6 of the Proposed Plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</b></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);  (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);  (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);  (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);  (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);  (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);  (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	123	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</b></p> <p><b>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</b></p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
<b>123</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The decision I seek from Council is</p> <p>1. Change the provisions in Volume 2: Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone. Section 4.3.6.1, to incorporate the recommendations in the December 2015 Ulrich Report "Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds". MDC Technical Report No: 15-009. and to consider my additions to those recommendations as outlined in my submission.</p> <p>2. Consider my comments in relation to Carbon Sequestration Forests</p> <p>43 Consider the cessation of all production forestry in the Coastal Environment Zone that threatens the ecosystems of the waters of The Marlborough Sounds, as the desirable <b>long term goal</b> of the MEP.</p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>30</del> <b>100 metres of the coastal marine area</b> ".					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We submit that there should be adequate set-backs from all formed public roads, foreshore reserves and adjoining property boundaries - just what these set-backs should be is just one of the many issues that needs to be thrashed out by all those concerned.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	794	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(a) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(b) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) <del>100</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area;</p> <p><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30 metres</del> 100 metres of the coastal marine area, <b>except where the slope of the land adjacent to the coastal marine area does not exceed 20 degrees (as measured over a 200 meter distance inland from the coastal marine area) the setback is 30 metres.</b></i></p>					
<b>699</b>	Pete and Takutai Beech	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p> <p>That the following new standards are included (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>A buffer zone for all forest plantations is comprised of native bush with its associated under-story.</p> <p>Any pine plantation adjacent to DOC or private land should be made to stop the planting 100 metres from the ridge lines to stop the pines from dominating the skyline and allowing spill over and allowing wilding pines to spread.</p> <p>Any forest that is regarded as an uneconomic forest and the owners have no intention of reharvesting should be compelled to boom spray to kill all the regenerating pines and allow the native bush to regenerate.</p> <p>A harvesting management plan should be required prior to harvest and should include contacting Iwi and Eco tour operators to see if there are any cultural? or environmental issues that they need to be aware of and pay attention too.</p> <p>Every stream bed from the harvest site needs to have debris dams and engineered soak pits or sediment traps that filter out and prevent the sediment from filling up the bays with mud and smothering the benthic life.</p> <p>Kaimoana beds are protected from sedimentation and restored.</p>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>751</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a Zone or rule to which it relates to. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that standard 4.3.6.1 is relevant.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Commercial forestry replanting.</i></p> <p><i>Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>810</del> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>810</del> metres of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.;</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</i></b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</i> <u><i>(d) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>946</b>	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Replanting must not be in, or within: (a) <del>8</del> <b>5</b> metres of a <b>permanently flowing</b> river <del>(except an ephemeral river)</del> <b>directly coupled to the sea if the river is less than 3m in width, 10 metres of a permanently flowing river directly coupled to the sea if the river is equal to, or greater 3m in width</b> or 8 metres of a lake; (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland; (c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	193	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1: <i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake <b>permanently flowing water course more than 3 metres in width and 20 metres for those greater than 3 metres in width</b>;</i> <i>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	129	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard or replace it with evidence based setbacks as provided by the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include alternative set backs for forestry activities on steep land to reduce the sedimentation issues.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, including slope consideration, i.e. 30m where the slope for a distance of 50m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1(c): <i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.;</i></p> <p><b>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</b></p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, <b>5m of permanently flowing rivers less than 3m in width or 10m of permanently flowing rivers 3m or greater in width;</b></i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>8</del> <b>10m</b> of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>30</del> <b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1: <i>Standard 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> m of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	21	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 <b>(inferred)</b> : 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within: <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(b) <del>8</del><b>10</b> metres of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) <del>30</del><b>100</b> metres of the coastal marine area.;</i> <b><i>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</i></b> That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	793	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	130	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adjust rule set as for the rural area					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK further provisions relating to the management of any commercial forest species that causes wilding issues, including where existing forestry operations are required to set back for future planting and harvesting (i.e. from the coastal marine area). There should be requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following species ( <b>bold</b> ) to Heading 4.3.7 Woodlot forestry planting and Standard 4.3.7.1 The following species must not be planted:					
	(a) Douglas fir ( <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> );					
	(b) Lodgepole pine ( <i>Pinus contorta</i> );					
	(c) Muricata pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> );					
	(d) European larch ( <i>Larix decidua</i> );					
	(e) Scots pine ( <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> );					
	(f) Mountain or dwarf pine ( <i>Pinus mugo</i> );					
	(g) Corsican pine ( <i>Pinus nigra</i> );					
	(h) <b>all pine species</b> ;					
	(i) <b>all wattle species</b> ;					
	(j) <b>sycamores</b> ;					
	(k) <b>robinias</b> .					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	373	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 4.3.7.1, 4.3.7.2 and 7.3.7.3.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.					
	Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.					
	Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	223	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	124	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	97	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.7 to include the follows:</p> <p><i><u>"Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>388</b>	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	They should be planted in woodlots.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b> <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b> <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b> <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b> <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b> <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b> <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b> <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b> <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b> <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b> <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b> <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</b>					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to include only species know to spread rapidly in the Coastal Environment. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard);</i>  Add the Standard to other land use activities.  <i>(Submitter has not identified the other land use activity rules for which the Standard should also apply)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	179	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That there should be standards relating for the management of wilding trees, including <i>Pinus radiata</i> , which is by far the main problem historically and currently in the Marlborough Sounds ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	47	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	47	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	195	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-write Rule 4.3.7.1 so that it applies only to species known to spread rapidly in Coastal environment, that also are likely to be planted there. Apply the rule to all land uses.					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the list of non-permitted species also applies to commercial forestry.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Restrict the planting of invasive pine species.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(f) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road;</i> Amend wording of so that planting setbacks apply to all public roads.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (d) 200m of the coastal marine area</i> Bring 200m setback from the coastal marine area for woodlots into line with set-backs for commercial forestry. <b><i>Inferred</i></b> that the 30 metre setback (underlined) in Heading <i>4.3.6 Commercial forestry</i> Standard <i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within: (c) <u>30 metres</u> of the coastal marine area</i> should be increased to 200 metres setback.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>4.3.7.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road; ....</i> <i>(f) 10m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Planting on steep slopes. MRFA would support a mandatory Replanting and Afforestation Management Plan which would identify areas at high risk of erosion and require steps to mitigate that risk.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	28	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.7.2 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ): <i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i><del>(d) 200m of the coastal marine area;</del></i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>505</b>	Ernslaw One Limited	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3.					
<b>137</b>	Tim Marshall	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see the definition of "woodlot forestry harvesting" altered to reflect minimalist <b>non commercial</b> activity so that small scale thinning for private use ie firewood within the coastal zone is a permitted activity. The proposed plan as it stands is written for commercial forestry and doesn't take into account private use scenario's.</p> <p>This may entail adding exceptions to <b>4.3.8.1 (a)(b)(c)</b> so for example <b>4.3.8.1</b> might read "Harvesting must not be in or within:</p> <p><b>(c)</b> 200m of the coastal marine area (unless the silvicultural treatment is thinning for woodlot health / maintenance or for non commercial use ie firewood for personal use)</p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	51	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adjust as already submitted in the rural environment zone - eliminate the unjustified 200m setback					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	374	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 4.3.8.1 to 4.3.8.12 (inclusive).					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	225	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
558	Bruce John Walton	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That when harvesting is undertaken, siltation stations are set up in streams to lessen siltation into rivers.</p> <p>That a buffer zone of 500m is made around all streams wider than 1m for harvesting and clearing of all hills.</p>					
578	Pinder Family Trust	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like a larger buffer zone on forestry in the Sounds, with the possibility of a slow phasing out and return to native bush.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to make harvesting of commercial forestry within 50 metres of the CMA a prohibited activity.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <u>4.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u> <u>4.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</u> <u>4.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting: <b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b>  That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting: <b><i>4.3.8.X All woody material &gt;100 mm diameter and &gt;3 metres in length from gullies (&gt;5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></b>  That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><i>Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting Standard 4.3.8.1 Harvesting must not be in, or within: (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> <p><b>Inferred</b> Delete 200 metre setback restriction on harvesting of woodlot forestry.</p>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1.</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(b) <del>8</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(d) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area;</i></p>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1.</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>8</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 4.3.8.1 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility ( <i>in the standards - inferred</i> ) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility ( <i>in the standards - inferred</i> ) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility ( <i>in the standards - inferred</i> ) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.8.9: <i>Standard 4.3.8.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i> <b>(e) be removed within one month.</b>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility ( <i>in the standards - inferred</i> ) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	49	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p>Although the suggests that a simpler recording system is included instead of the Munsell scale, an alternative measurement has not been provided.</p>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	49	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></del></p>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	49	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new standard under this heading as follows -</p> <p><b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consider including native indigenous species first and foremost to the list of species in Standard 4.3.9.1.					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.9.1					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>" The following species must not be planted:</i>  <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i>  <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i>  <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i>  <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i>  <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i>  <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i>  <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i>  <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b>  <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b>  <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b>  <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b>  <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b>  <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b>  <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b>  <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b>  <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b>  <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b>  <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b>  <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</b></p>					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.9.1.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	28	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	157	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard is broadened to include (in bold): <i>Standard 4.3.9.3 Only indigenous species must be planted in or within, 8m of a significant wetland, <b>foreshore reserve, Coastal Marine Zone or Open Space 3 Zones.</b></i>					
<b>146</b>	QCWP community	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust as requested					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>244</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is to see provision 4.3.10 retained but to have an addition provision providing for appropriate exemptions for pest monitoring and control activities.					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standards 4.3.10 in Volume 2 Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone apart from standard 4.3.10.5 ( <b><i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m<sup>2</sup> per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i></b> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.30 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	228	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows:  <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i>;</p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows:  <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i>  <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i>  <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i>  <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u></p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	410	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	190	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain except that the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.10.5: <i>Standard 4.3.10.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m2 per Computer Register, <b>or 15 per cent of the title area, whichever is the lesser</b>, in any 5 year period.</i>					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.10.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I <b>support</b> the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance <b>however</b> rule 4.3.10.2 should not apply to 4.3.10.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 4.3.10.2(a) as follows (strike out) – " <i>(a) indigenous vegetation under <del>or within 50m of</del> commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – " <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards <del>4.3.10.3 4.3.10.4</del> to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	158	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend <i>Standard 4.3.10.2</i> The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): by adding the words (bold) to (d) <i>where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road/<b>fire-break</b>, harvesting track or farm track, or <b>fence-line</b>.</i>  Addition (bold) of <b>(f) or for restoration purposes within managed native restoration planting areas</b> to Standard 4.3.10.2.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	650	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i> <i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i> <i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i> <i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i> <i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a fence line, an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track;</i> <i>(e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling.</i> <b>(f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</b> <b>(g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utility operations and existing electricity generation activities;</b> <b>(h) management of fire risk;</b> <b>(i) stream / river crossing formation and maintenance;</b> <b>(j) formation and maintenance of farm drains;</b> <b>(k) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949 prior to 16 September 2010;</b> <b>(l) construction and maintenance of fences;</b> <b>(m) maintaining existing tracks;</b> <b>(n) gathering of plants in accordance with Maori customs/values;</b> <b>(o) installing a bait station network;</b> <b>(p) undertaking plant pest management activities."</b>					
<b>453</b>	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following (bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2 <b>(inferred)</b> :  <b>(x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;</b>					
<b>458</b>	Okiwi Bay Limited	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Seek that Standard 4.3.10.2(e) be amended as follows (strikethrough and bold):  4.3.10.2. <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i>  <i>(e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage</i> <b>and access way of an existing or consented</b> <i>dwelling.</i>					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2(e):  <i>Standard 4.3.10.2 - The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): (e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	411	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (b) of the Standard as follows -  <i>" (b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than <del>20</del> <b>50</b> years in age;"</i>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I <b>oppose</b> rule 4.3.10.3(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	98	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows:  <i>"4.3.10.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	651	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:  <del>(a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site;</del>  (b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site."</i>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	412	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	652	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"<del>Clearance of indigenous vegetation</del> <b>clearance</b> within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:...."</i>					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.10.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 4.3.10.6:  <b>Standard 4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b>					
502	Karaka Projects Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.10.4 (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold) to 4.3.6.10 as per the following:  <del>Standard 4.3.10.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:  (e) coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del>  New Standard: <b>4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrubland is cleared.</b>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	413	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <b><i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i></b>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	21	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	414	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I <b>oppose</b> rule 4.3.10.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	136	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	653	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the coastal environment.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	431	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 4.3.10.6.					
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 4.3.10.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	654	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	132	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <b>"Except for trees felled in accordance with 4.3.11., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area."</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	125	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	133	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b>, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del>, <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	40	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, <b>unless as part of a restoration project.</b>" (Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	134	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i></p> <p>Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	99	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 4.3.11.3 as follows:  <i>"4.3.11.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, <del>the</del> vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland."</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	135	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	159	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	136	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect): <i>Notwithstanding 4.3.11.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	160	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	161	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility <b>(in the standards - inferred)</b> to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	137	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.11.8:  <i>Standard 4.3.11.8 On completion of a vegetation clearance, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, is to be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the vegetation clearance taking place.</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	162	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility ( <b><i>in the standards - inferred</i></b> ) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following addition is made to Standard 4.3.11.10:  <i>Standard 4.3.11.10 Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris must:</i>  <b><i>(e) be removed within 1 month.</i></b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	138	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <del>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris</del> <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must: (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in,</del> <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <del>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del> (c) be stored on <del>stable ground</del> <b>with low risk of instability</b> ; <del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of</b> instability of the land."					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Munsell scale from the MEP.  <i>(The submission related to all standards of a similar nature without identifying a specific standard, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision only for the purposes of providing context.)</i>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	139	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	53	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Align the high risk sediment generation rules to meet or exceed those applied to other landuse					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	433	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that cultivation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	655	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i><del>"On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must</del><b>should</b> be <b>undertaken</b> parallel to the contour of the land, <del>except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del><b>where reasonably practical.</b>"</i></p>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.12.1 is deleted to avoid health and safety risk: <i>Standard 4.3.12.1 On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	799	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	129	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.2: <i>Standard 4.3.12.2 On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. <b>In cultivated areas:</b></i> <b>(1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and</b> <b>(2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and</b> <b>(3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and;</b> <b>(4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	798	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	130	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.3.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.3:  <i>Standard 4.3.12.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. <b>In cultivated areas:</b></i>  <b>(1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and</b>  <b>(2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and</b>  <b>(3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and;</b>  <b>(4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.</b>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	163	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.12.4					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	797	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	796	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	132	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.12.5 is deleted:  <i>Standard 4.3.12.5 On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
965	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.5: <i>Standard 4.3.12.5. On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					
339	Sharon Parkes	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	795	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"<del>Cultivation</del> <b>Any run off to a surface water body</b> must not cause any conspicuous change in <del>the</del> colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after <del>after</del> <b>beyond the zone of</b> reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows: <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del> <del>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</del> <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i>					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	51	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6(a) <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows: <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></i>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	51	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6: <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i> <del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	51	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6: <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i> <del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del>					
<b>146</b>	QCWP community	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	54	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adjust as per equivalent rule under rural environment. Develop a rule cascade for forestry earthworks above the permitted level with discretion limited where the area is not outstanding landscape and is existing forest on slopes over 25 degrees. Delete use of Munsell scale					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included: <b><i>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b> <b><i>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i></b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
578	Pinder Family Trust	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>					
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	434	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13: <b>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b> <b>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13: <b>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b> <b>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b>					
1146	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13: <b>4.3.13.X All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</b> <b>4.3.13.Y All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</b>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	656	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Excavation in excess of <del>1000</del> <b>2000m3</b> must not occur on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <b>12</b> <del>24</del> month period."					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1190	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.13.1. That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation: <b>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3.</b> Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	657	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);"</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
339	Sharon Parkes	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	658	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	659	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register located within the Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape within any 12 month period, <b>except excavation for formation and maintenance of farm tracks, races, fences and drains.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p>					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.</p>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.</p>					
<b>1086</b>	Ragged Point Limited	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Must be able to excavate more than 500 m3. The submission does not include an alternative volume that can be excavated as a permitted activity.</p>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation.</p> <p>The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	29	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Batters must be designed and constructed to <del>ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
210	Kevin Wilson	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
359	WilkesRM Limited	21	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	660	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del>  (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;  <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i>					
648	D C Hemphill	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to specify an acceptable temporary discoloration or loss of clarity. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	196	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review the available science to decide what an acceptable temporary discoloration from acceptable construction and forestry practices should be, bearing in mind the greatly reduced sediment transport for most of the forest rotation, and re-write Rule 4. 3.13.10 to reflect this.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	100	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i>"4.3.14-Excavation or filling <u>Earthworks</u> within the National Grid Yard</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.1 <del>Excavation</del> <u>Earthworks</u> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, <u>horticultural</u> or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) <u>earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></i></p> <p><i><del>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del></i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.2 <del>The <u>earthworks</u> excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> <del>Transmission Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.3 <del>The <u>earthworks</u> excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> <del>Transpower Tower Support Structure.</del></i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.4 <del>The <u>earthworks</u> excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line</u> Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.5 <del>The <u>earthworks</u> filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><b><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</u></b></p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.14.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) – <i>"The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	661	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances <b>of less than: 6.5m (measured vertically) from a 110Kv National Grid transmission line; or 7.5m (measured vertically) from a 220kV National Grid transmission line</b> as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	74	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	649	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	662	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	800	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	801	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	58	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</b>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i> <b>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area."</b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del><b>100</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	802	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:  (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;  (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site;  (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	124	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) and (d) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(c) within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit;  (d) within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of the boundary of a property in which the discharge of dairy effluent to land occurs;</i> "					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	164	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.19					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	194	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	55	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.1.	Support
Decision Requested	retain as is					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision I SEEK is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed.</li> <li>Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas.</li> <li>Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner.</li> <li>Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<b><i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i></b>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul>					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.20					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.20 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u>  a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u>  b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u>  c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u>  (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u>  (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u>  (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u>  (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli);</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	232	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
524	Alice Doole	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>688</b>	Judy and John Hellstrom	70	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> <li>Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality.</li> </ul>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	435	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Progressively exclude livestock from waterways.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.20.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I <b>support</b> the need to exclude stock from waterways <b>however</b> the rule as it stands in 4.3.20.2 and 4.3.20.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I <b>seek</b> to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan <b>and</b> for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers".					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	97	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	70	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to (a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing (b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant. Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that <b>supports sustainability and allows primary</b> production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u>.</p> <p>We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	665	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i> <b>- where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</b> <b>- to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</b> <b>- where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</b> <b>- where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</b>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	133	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, <b>except in the following circumstances:</b></i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</b></li> <li>• <b>The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</b></li> <li>• <b>There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and,</b></li> <li>• <b>The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</b></li> </ul>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	436	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> <del>The entering onto or passing</del> across the bed of a river of stock <del>must not involve intensively farmed livestock</del> if there is water flowing in the river, <u>or enter onto or pass across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA.</u>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 4.3.20.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>4.3.20.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
<b>1086</b>	Ragged Point Limited	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Must be able to graze waterways on private land.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 4.3.20.2(a) - Delete.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.20.2(b) - Support.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.20.2(c) - Delete.</p>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the Standard with the following new Standard -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>" The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;"</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the Standard with the following new Standard -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	667	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del></p>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	134	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i></del></p>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><del><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></del></p> <p>That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
935	Melva Joy Robb	65	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2:  <i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i>  <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del></p> <p>That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement.</p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	93	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	243	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 4.3.20.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> a change in concentration of <b>following:</b> (a) daily average <b>2mg/l</b> carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) <b>260</b> Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml."</i></p>					
339	Sharon Parkes	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	28	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</li> </ul> (Inferred)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	668	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	135	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.20.3 is deleted:  <del>Standard 4.3.20.3. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</del> <del>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> <del>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del> <del>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> <del>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</del>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	437	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation. The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	94	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place.</li> <li>All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.</li> <li>That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	56	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust per same submission for equivalent rule in the Rural Environment Zone					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	76	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i>					
476	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
339	Sharon Parkes	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
149	PF Olsen Ltd	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as requested					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	59	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	438	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
<b>1086</b>	Ragged Point Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Application of fertiliser must be allowed for farming purposes.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	72	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p>Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u>                      <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u>            <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u>        <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u>                      <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>4.3.22.2. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water.</p> <p>4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.22.4. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity,</p> <p>4.3.22.5. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the meaning/correctness of impermeable surface in this standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	803	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	136	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.22.1. <del>Stored</del> <del>fertiliser must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <b>and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</b></i></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	94	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.22.1 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i></p> <p><b>Corrosive HSR002569</b></p> <p><b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b></p> <p><b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b></p> <p><b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b></p> <p><b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b></p> <p><b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b></p> <p><b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></p>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	73	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p><u>Fertiliser storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
339	Sharon Parkes	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	804	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water."</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	137	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.22.2.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	95	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.22.2.					
210	Kevin Wilson	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.					
339	Sharon Parkes	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	805	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)."</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	138	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3: <i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	442	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	96	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3: <i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	74	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:  4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	139	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.22.4.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	98	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.22.4.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	806	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b>"</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.5: <i>Standard 4.3.22.5 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the <del>legal boundary</del> <b>land which it was intended for</b> of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied <b>(inferred)</b>.</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	97	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.3.22.5.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	58	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	retain rule set					
<b>162</b>	Waitai Station	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.23.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.  This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	671	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	439	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submissions.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.24.1.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.24.2: <i>Standard 4.3.24.2 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	672	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	440	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submissions.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	99	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.25.5: <i><del>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</del></i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	100	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.25.6: <i>Standard 4.3.25.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	673	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	165	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.26.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold): Standard 4.3.26.1 Pest Plants identified in the Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed. <b>Exotic species are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</b>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	75	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.1. The discharge must not occur within:</p> <p>(a) 50m of a bore</p> <p>(b) 20m of a river, lake, significant wetland or drainage channel;</p> <p>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</p> <p>4.3.27.2. A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</p> <p>4.3.27.3. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>4.3.27.4. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</p> <p>4.3.27.5. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</p> <p>4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8-7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.10.</del> From 9 June 2019, Standards <del>4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9</del> apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	90	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is included under heading 4.3.27:</p> <p><b><i>Standard 4.3.27.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i></b></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	674	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standad is amended to read (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>4.3.27.1. The discharge must not occur within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>50</del><b>20m</b> of a bore;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</i></p>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.1.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	101	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.1.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	675	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.27.2 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</i></p> <p>An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	102	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.2.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	80	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>A <del>high rate</del> depth discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i></p>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.3.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	103	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.3.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	87	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	676	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.4.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	104	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.4.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	88	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	677	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.27.5 is deleted: <i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	105	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.5: <i>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	89	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and</li> <li>The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</li> </ul>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	82	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs.)"					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	678	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6: <i>Standard 4.3.27.6 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year <b>from this activity</b> (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	106	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6: <i>Standard 4.3.27.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	76	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows: 4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u>					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.7					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	679	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage <del>system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be</del> <b>designed and</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that Standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification report must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i>					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.7 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.27.7 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be <b>deemed to be satisfactory by the Council</b> certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. <del>The certification</del> <b>Council approval</b> must be provided <del>to the Council</del> prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i></p>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> <i>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i> <i>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	107	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.7.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.7.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	77	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8.7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p>					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	84	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or</li> <li>• Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or</li> <li>• Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ)</li> </ul>					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	108	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.8.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.8.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	78	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.8.7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p><del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p>					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	681	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:  (a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland <del>or drainage channel</del>;  (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;  (c) a <b>Level 4</b> Flood Hazard Area."</i>					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.9(c): <i>Standard 4.3.27.9 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</i> (c) a <b>Level 3 or 4 Flood Hazard Area</b> .					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>A provision that supports industry best practice.</p> <p><i>Possible provisions:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i></li> <li><i>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i></li> </ol>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	109	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.9.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.9.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	79	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows: 4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u> <u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system. <del>4.3.27.8.7.2.</del> For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional. <del>4.3.27.9.7.3.</del> For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network; (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership; (c) a Flood Hazard Area.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	86	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows: (c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	682	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2019</del> <b>three years of the Plan becoming operative</b> , Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.10: <i>Standard 4.3.27.10. <b>Three years from the time the Plan is made operative</b>, <del>From 9 June 2019,</del> Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>A provision that supports industry best practice.</p> <p><i>Possible provisions:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i></li> <li><i>2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i></li> </ol>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	110	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.10.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.10.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	80	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p><del>4.3.27.10. From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	88	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.3.27.10 as follows: <i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative <del>From 9 June 2019</del>, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	166	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following heading and standards to the 4.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities (Coastal Environment Zone):					
	4.3.x Discharge of human effluent into land through a long drop toilet.					
	4.3.x.x There must not be a Council operated sewerage system designed for that purpose within 60m of the long drop toilet.					
	4.3.x.x The bottom of the long drop is located above the natural groundwater level at all times.					
	4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be located:					
	(a) within 50m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;					
	(b) within 30m of a bore.					
	4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be constructed on unconsolidated gravels, coarse or medium sands, fissured rocks or scree.					
	4.3.x.x Once the human effluent reaches within 1m of the original ground level, or the long drop is no longer used, the content of the long drop must be covered with soil to a depth of at least 1m.					
	4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must be constructed so that no surface runoff enters the toilet.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	684	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	685	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	167	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.30 ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	415	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to ensure only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish!					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.30.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	686	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
676	Dairy NZ	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.30.1 is deleted: <i>Standard 4.3.30.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	687	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	53	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a specific decision requested, rather it states "Clarification is sought around intent of the standard". It is <i>inferred</i> that the decision requested is to deleted Standard 4.3.30.2. <i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	53	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
935	Melva Joy Robb	53	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	158	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard is 4.3.30.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	688	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	54	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>The farm rubbish pit must be covered.</b></i>					
676	Dairy NZ	159	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: <i>Standard 4.3.30.4. Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit.</i>					
738	Glenda Vera Robb	54	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: <i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b></i>					
935	Melva Joy Robb	54	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: <i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. <b>All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</b></i>					
676	Dairy NZ	160	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.30.5.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	168	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.31 ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	689	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard to allow for a carcass of pig or possum to be sourced from a pig hunter. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	807	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	808	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike through) -  <i>" The offal pit must not be located within:  (a) 50m of a bore;  (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland <del>or drainage channel</del>;  (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to enable covering of a pit to be with dirt. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We would like to see this part of the rule deleted and just have the rule as " <i>the pit must be designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff at all times</i> ". We definitely support it as a permitted activity.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	809	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	55	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ): <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	161	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5: <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	55	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	55	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5: <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
210	Kevin Wilson	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	810	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:</i> <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>5m</b> of a bore;</i> <i>(b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;</i> <i>(c) <del>10m</del> of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership."</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	162	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.32.1.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	811	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
676	Dairy NZ	163	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	812	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	165	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.3: <i>4.3.32.3. <del>There must be no</del> <b>Visible</b> runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</b></i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	814	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	166	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.4: <i>Standard 4.3.32.4 <del>Stormwater</del> <b>Surface water</b> must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.34 as notified.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	169	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.35 but add a new standard (bold): <b>Standard 4.3.35.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.</b>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	170	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.36.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.36 but add a new standard (bold): <b>Standard 4.3.36.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.</b>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	441	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.37.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to address submissions					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.39.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.39.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2:</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.40.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.40.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1:</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.40.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.40.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.42.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 4.3.45.1					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.46.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the coastal environment.</p> <p>Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows:</p> <p><i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i></p> <p><i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i></p> <p><i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbecues); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i></p> <p><i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i></p>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for commercial forestry replanting to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met.</p> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> </ul>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	34	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" [D]</b> <b>4.4.x Emergency Service Facility</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>4.4.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>4.4.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>4.4.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>133</b>	Simon Tripe	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change wording to Retail Sale					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 4.4.2.1 as follows,</b> or words to similar effect: <i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Restricted Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.5.1 and 4.5.2).					
<b>1096</b>	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows -</p> <p><b><i>"A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership."</i></b></p> <p><b><i><u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u></i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>- Safety and efficiency of the transport network.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Reverse sensitivity.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Noise.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>- Dust."</i></b></li> </ul>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	70	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	4.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities					
	The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities					
	<u>4.5.3. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u>					
	<u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u>					
	<u>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u>					
	<u>(b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u>					
	<u>(c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u>					
	<u>(d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u>					
	<u>(e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u>					
	<u>(f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u>					
	<u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u>					
	<u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u>					
	<u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u>					
	<u>(iv) be located within:</u>					
	<u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u>					
	<u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u>					
	<u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u>					
	<u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1245</b>	Pitapisces Limited	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule, subject to amendment to Standard 4.5.11 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ). ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>100</b>	East Bay Conservation Society	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this point by making the size of restricted discretionary structures in ONFL areas bigger than small working structures in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>EBCS requests that all permitted activities in the MEP for Rural One land apply to rural land in the Coastal Environment Zone</p> <p>A reasonable compromise would be to make structures up to 50m<sup>2</sup> permitted and structures over 50m<sup>2</sup> restricted discretionary both with Guidelines as to how the structures could be made to blend in in the ONFL Areas</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	693	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines.</p> <p>The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
<b>1202</b>	Tu Jaes Trust	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That recognition is given (without constraint through a Restricted Discretionary process) to the existing use rights for residential activity of already consented sections and surveyed building platforms on land proposed to be classified as ONFL.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	59	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adjust and provide for commercial forestry earthworks for existing plantations not in an outstanding natural landscape as described					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	694	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation in excess of <del>1000</del> <b>2000m3</b> on <b>any hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20° within any <del>24</del> <b>12</b> month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	233	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend matter of discretion 4.5.2.1 as follows: <i>The effects on water quality, <u>aquatic ecosystems</u> and soil conservation from the excavation</i>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Rule. Replace it with a rule (preferably a permitted or controlled activity rule) that fairly reflects the impacts of all land use activities associated with excavation , not one based on an arbitrary decision as to which types of land use the rule would apply to.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission, particularly as the provision that the Submission relates to has not been identified by the Submitter.</i>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.6.1 to 4.6.13).					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	34	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that is not comprised of commercial forestry planting is provided for as a discretionary activity.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	61	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rebuild the rules cascade to provide for commercial forestry planting as restricted discretion on lands outside outstanding natural landscapes and high value landscapes overlays. Align rule set to NES for areas out side those constraints					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule that requires resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. <i>(Inferred support of this heading and associated standards.)</i>					
<b>388</b>	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	There are some really good forestry sites in the inner and central Sounds. This would be a better option than livestock farming.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	171	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	357	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	695	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of commercial forestry provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	234	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	417	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the discretionary activity status					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ. That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry planting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>That carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) is included in the above activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.6 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.6.2(f) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	60	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Readjust rule cascade.					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	62	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as permitted or restricted discretion activities in alignment with the NES outside outstanding natural landscapes.					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Commercial forestry harvesting as a discretionary activity ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	172	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	358	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	696	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the harvesting of commercial forestry is provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	235	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	40	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 4.6.4.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	418	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the discretionary activity status					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	40	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ. As a minimum the requirements of Chapter 4.3.8 of Volume 2 should be repeated as part of the applicable standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities in the CEZ.  That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry harvesting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.7 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.7.3(c) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 4.6.4.</b>					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Create minimum standards, or use existing standards for the same activity permitted in other zones, so that there is a minimum standard for discretionary activities to which might be added specific rules for the discretionary activity.</p> <p>For example, for the discretionary activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone (CEZ), as a minimum standard use the standards for the permitted activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in Chapter Three Rural Environment Zone (Heading 3.3.7).</p>					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	40	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	416	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: "Small scale quarrying and mineral extraction of alluvial and coastal gravels and sand (a) for the purpose of maintain access and protection of structures (b) up to 10m3 (c) must not be within water"					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	111	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That <i>Rule 4.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters: <b>a) location;</b> <b>b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values;</b> <b>c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan.</b>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.7.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	697	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is deleted and replaced with a permitted activity rule that reads as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><b><i>"New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016.</i></b>  <b><i>A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out:</i></b>  <b><i>(h) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</i></b>  <b><i>(i) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture;</i></b>  <b><i>(j) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</i></b>  <b><i>(k) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</i></b>  <b><i>(l) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</i></b>  <b><i>And be available to Council on request."</i></b></p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	112	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That <i>Rule 4.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><b><i>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X.</i></b></p> <p>It is noted that the submission does not include details for Appendix X.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	71	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>4.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>4.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>....</p> <p><del>[R]</del></p> <p><del>4.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</del></p>					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.6.11 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	698	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>245</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is that Intensively Farmed Livestock be defined as livestock on land that is Intensively Farmed					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 4.7.1 to 4.7.9).					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	419	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows - " <i>The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> </li></ul> (Inferred)					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new rule follows - " <b><i>New commercial forest planted must not be planted within 100m of the coastal marine area or 50m of a ridge.</i></b> " (Inferred)					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	110	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Coastal Environment Zone: <b><i>4.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</i></b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities ( <i>inferred</i> ): <b><i>4.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i></b>					
<b>149</b>	PF Olsen Ltd	63	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for forestry options but under full discretion - align with NES					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	173	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	362	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - <del>"Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry."</del> (Inferred)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	699	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	56	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	56	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.1 as follows (strike through): <i>4.7.1 Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</del> [Inferred].</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	56	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. And, add: - no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds; - existing exotic forestry should be phased out over time, with appropriate incentives for alternative land use (e.g. permanent sink forest initiative). <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific addition to the Prohibited Rule sought.)</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	174	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.2					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	363	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - <i>"The harvesting of <del>commercial forestry or</del> woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established ."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	700	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.2 as follows (strike through):  <i>4.7.2 The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>which has not been lawfully established.</del></i>  [Inferred].					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Rule. Provide for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with the discretion restricted to the following, including but not limited to, matters (or with words of similar effect):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Change status from a Prohibited to a Discretionary Activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. <i>(inferred)</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	175	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add other (weedy) tree species to list of prohibited species to be planted. No species included in submission.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	364	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	701	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.3					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	236	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b></li> <li>• <b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.7.3.					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: <p><b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b>  <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b>  <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b>  <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b>  <b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b></p>					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: <p><b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b>  <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b>  <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b>  <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b>  <b>Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).</b></p>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>"Planting:</i> <b>(a) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</b> <b>(b) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b> <b>(c) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</b> <b>(d) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b> <b>(e) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b> <b>(f) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b> <b>(g) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</b> <b>(h) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>)."'</b>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: <b>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</b> <b>Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</b> <b>European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</b> <b>Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</b>  <b>Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</b>					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	702	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	237	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	71	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.7.5.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	703	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	238	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.5 as follows: From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	58	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	58	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	58	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Rule 4.7.5:</b>  <i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	72	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
<b>100</b>	East Bay Conservation Society	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify that this provision does not include Long drops on Coastal environment zoned properties or remove it					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	704	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Climatic conditions</li> </ul>					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	35	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" 5.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>5.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 5.1.30 that do not meet the Standards in 5.2)</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>5.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>5.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>5.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	190	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b>  <i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site or any road must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i>  <b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b>  <i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	103	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new restricted discretionary activity rule in Chapter 5:</p> <p><b><i>“5.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>5.x.x Any building, sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation.</i></b>  <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i>  <i>5.x.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation.</i>  <i>5.x.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation.</i>  <i>5.x.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited.</i>  <i>5.x.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage.”</i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	210	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 5.1 and a new heading under section 5.3 – <b><i>“ Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.”</i></b> And add two new standards under the new heading in section 5.3 as follows - Standard 1 – <b><i>“ The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.”</i></b> and Standard 2 – <b><i>“ The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.”</i></b></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	188	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><b><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></b></p>					

<u>Receiving Environment</u> <u>(New, relocated or altered)</u>	<u>Laeq, 1 hour</u>	<u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u>
<u>Residential – Bedrooms</u>	<u>35 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>
<u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>
<u>Teaching spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>
<u>All other sensitive activity</u>		

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects  
All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the same provisions for Amateur Radio Configurations in the network Utilities provisions for height, and specify acceptable configurations are included within residential and other zones.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.1.1.					
<b>446</b>	Waikawa Marae Incorporated	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the following words (strikethrough) from Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.3:  <i>Marae activity on:</i>  <i>(b) Lot 1 &amp; 2 DP 11713, <del>Waikawa West 6 &amp; 7 ML 6923 and Sec 47 Blk XII Linkwater SD.</del></i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	129	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the identified appellations of the lots for marae activity. Add Sec 1 SO 426964 to the permitted list and the associated standard (5.3.2b).					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	130	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	49	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That MDC and NMH discuss and explore the options and merits of expanding the provisions of Appendix 16 of the MEP including by providing a specific zone and associated provisions for the Wairau Hospital and associated facilities/services.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>441</b>	Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Seaview Rest Home, and other similar rest homes, and possibly other similar facilities, located in Urban Residential 2 Zones could be included within Appendix 16. With appropriate notes to ensure that nearby residents are consulted prior to any significant additions or alterations - or changes to their activities.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 5.1.11.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	50	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 5.2.2.1 includes the following advice note: <b><i>"Exception: This noise limit does not apply to activities of the Wairau Hospital and/or other medical emergency services including, but not limited to, sirens and the operation of helicopters."</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	187	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 5.1.15 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	128	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>349</b>	Philip Rayner	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That fireworks displays by qualified pyrotechnicians shall be permitted activities all year round.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	36	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> Rule 5.1.21 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>217</b>	Grant Crosswell	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Can it be re worded so that a burner that has a particulate or efficiency level rather than an age limit.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	705	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Rule is retained as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	37	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 5.1.30 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	39	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standards in 5.2 to include a further standard as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“ 5.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</i></b></p> <p><b><i>5.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>5.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).”</i></b></p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	66	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 5.2 for the Urban Residential 2 Zone:  <b>5.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b> <b>5.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b> <b>5.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b>					
<b>635</b>	Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain in the plan.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	130	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>5.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ...</i> <i>5.2.1.21 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.  Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>635</b>	Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain in the plan.					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.  Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete.					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested. Inferred that decision is the stated submission and that is <i>Allow for two residential dwellings on the one site, provided the area and access requirements in the Residential 2 Zone can be met.</i>					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	8	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the maximum height of 10 metres in this area (Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 WARMP).  <b>Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Variation 39. Inferred that submitter is requesting that WARMP Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 2 Height of Dwellings, recreational buildings and others - 2 storeys (10 metres) be <u>included in the MEP.</u></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	198	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.2.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, no <del>no</del> part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</b> "					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain requirements under Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 3 WARMP in this area, which states:</p> <p><i>Height All buildings shall be contained within a building envelope extending from 3 meters above the boundary into the site at an angle of 45deg.</i></p> <p><b><i>Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Plan Change 39. Inferred that submitter requests Rule 2.2.7 point 3 to be included in the MEP.</i></b></p>					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Rule 32.1.3.4.6 Vol 2 Chapter 12 WARMP. Rule 32.1.3.4.6 states:</p> <p><i>32.1.3.4.6 Exception for a garage</i></p> <p><i>Any part of the building may intrude into a recession plane, until the recession plane reaches 3 metres in height with reference to the boundary level to enable the building to be sited up against or nearer to a side or rear boundary provided that:</i></p> <p><i>a) The continuous or aggregate length of a building or buildings sited on or near to the boundary and intruding into the recession plane may not exceed 9 metres.</i></p> <p><i>b) The exemption can be applied to only one side boundary and one rear boundary.</i></p> <p><i>c) Any such building shall be sited at least 5.5 metres from the front boundary. This does not apply to side entry garages, where a 90-percentile vehicle can park between the front boundary and the garage entrance.</i></p> <p><i>d) The maximum height of the building within 1 metre of the boundary does not exceed 3 metres.</i></p> <p><b><i>Note Inferred that submitter is referring to Chapter 32 of the WARMP as the WARMP has no Chapter 12.</i></b></p>					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.  Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	12	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided.					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.  Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add in word "detached" before garage.					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove this rule.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	13	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules and standards relating to the location of garages be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone.  That standards restricting the location of garages within a property be removed to enable the individual landowners the discretion to place garages within their property (subject to appropriate setback rules).					
<b>66</b>	Karen and John Wills	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	1. The 90m restriction be removed.  2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.					

3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.

4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.

5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.

6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.

7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.

8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	102	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 5.2.1.18.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	38	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 5.2.2.1 in Standard 5.2.2 as follows: <i>" This standard does not <b>apply</b> to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	192	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -" <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone:</b></i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	98	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 5.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 5.2.2.1 Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"  In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	123	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits" Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services." Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	154	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	155	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 5.2.3.1: <i>Standard 5.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	34	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	131	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 5.3.2.1					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	132	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 5.3.3.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.3.7:</p> <p><del>5.3.7.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>5.3.7.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>5.3.7.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>5.3.7.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 5.3.7.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>5.3.7.f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 5.2.1.6.</del></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	33	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	32	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.9.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	[Inferred] Delete Rule 5.3.9.1.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	133	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	14	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.3.10.4.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	15	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 5.3.10.5, relating to the filling and excavation of sites not occurring on slopes of greater than 10 degrees.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	242	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.3.10.6 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m<sup>3</sup> within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "					
<b>1254</b>	Greg Norton Limited Trading as Aquanort Pools	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include private inground swimming pools along with house foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40 cubic metres.					
<b>1255</b>	Roland McGregor Post	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include private inground swimming pools along with building foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40cm.					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	129	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	51	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.13.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 5.3.16 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
<b>669</b>	Go Marlborough Limited	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><del>Standard 5.3.16.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><del>5.3.16.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	40	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to include the following: <i>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.17.1 - <i>"<del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del>"</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.17.2 - <i>"<del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del>"</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.18.1 - <i>"<del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del>"</i>					
<b>135</b>	Allister Leach	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement.					
<b>558</b>	Bruce John Walton	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.19.1 ( <i><b>inferred</b></i> ). <i>Standard 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June 2017.</i>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.3.19.1: <i>Rule 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b>.</i>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	15	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	16	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide a restricted discretionary activity status for minor non-compliance's relating to potential amenity effects, including non-compliance s with outdoor amenity, building locations and excavation and filling of residential sites.					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the burning of coal is included in the prohibited activity.					
<b>1239</b>	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Only include Braziers in the ban through the winter months of June, July and August, the months in which we are most likely to exceed the air standard. That outdoor rubbish burn-offs within the Blenheim Air-shed are banned, unless with a permit. Permits could be issued based on weather conditions; this also gives the opportunity for questions about what will be burnt and how dry it is etc.					
<b>227</b>	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Rule 5.5.4 <b>From 9 June 2022 the d</b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale <b>or for ambiance in commercial places.</b></i></p>					
<b>1239</b>	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Rule 5.5.4. Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking, <del>or</del> smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale, <b>or is not the main source of heating.</b></i></p> <p>That consideration is given for commercial dining premises that have fireplaces, for example, chimney filters could be required to reduce omissions.</p>					
<b>191</b>	Wayne Gander	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The lifespan of appliances installed since 2000 should be extended maybe 20-25 years. Also a longer period of time allowed to fund and replace appliances installed prior to 2000, say 5-7 years.</p>					
<b>227</b>	Jessica Bagge	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
<b>1017</b>	Peter Gilford Gilbert	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>After 15 years, the efficacy and safety of the installation can be certified by a certified installer of small-scale solid-fuel burning appliances, on a yearly basis on or before the anniversary of the installation.</p>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.5:</p> <p><i>Rule 5.5.5 From 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b> the discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from the burning of solid fuel in a small scale solid fuel burning appliance (except a pellet burner) that has been installed for more than 15 years.</i></p>					
<b>1239</b>	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 5.5.5 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted.</p>					
<b>1239</b>	Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group)	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 5.5.6 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted.</p>					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>125</b>	Fiona Leov	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>126</b>	Mike Leov	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>194</b>	Paul Roughan	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the rules for the Urban Residential 3 Zone ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>195</b>	Michelle Roughan	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the rules for the Urban residential 3 Zone ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	191	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b>  <i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site or any road must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b>  <i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	207	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 6.1 and a new heading under section 6.3 – “<b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.</b>” And add two new standards under the new heading in section 6.3 as follows - Standard 1 – “<b>The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.</b>” and Standard 2 – “<b>The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.</b>”</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																																							
852	Kelvin Holdaway	5	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose																																							
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone.																																												
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	189	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part																																							
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><u>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</u></p> <p><u>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</u></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Receiving Environment</u></td> <td><u>Laeq, 1 hour</u></td> <td><u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>(New, relocated or altered)</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Residential – Bedrooms</u></td> <td><u>35 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u></td> <td><u>40 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Teaching spaces</u></td> <td><u>40 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>All other sensitive activity</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>building spaces e.g.:</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>• <u>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>• <u>Commercial Spaces</u></td> <td><u>To comply with</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>satisfactory sound</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>levels AS/NZS</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>2107:2000</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>(nearest specified equivalent)</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)</p> <p><u>Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.</u></p> <p><u>A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:</u></p> <p><u>i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or</u></p> <p><u>ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and</u></p> <p><u>iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in</u></p>						<u>Receiving Environment</u>	<u>Laeq, 1 hour</u>	<u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u>	<u>(New, relocated or altered)</u>			<u>Residential – Bedrooms</u>	<u>35 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>Teaching spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>All other sensitive activity</u>			<u>building spaces e.g.:</u>			• <u>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</u>			• <u>Commercial Spaces</u>	<u>To comply with</u>		<u>satisfactory sound</u>			<u>levels AS/NZS</u>			<u>2107:2000</u>			<u>(nearest specified equivalent)</u>		
<u>Receiving Environment</u>	<u>Laeq, 1 hour</u>	<u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u>																																											
<u>(New, relocated or altered)</u>																																													
<u>Residential – Bedrooms</u>	<u>35 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																																											
<u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																																											
<u>Teaching spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																																											
<u>All other sensitive activity</u>																																													
<u>building spaces e.g.:</u>																																													
• <u>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</u>																																													
• <u>Commercial Spaces</u>	<u>To comply with</u>																																												
<u>satisfactory sound</u>																																													
<u>levels AS/NZS</u>																																													
<u>2107:2000</u>																																													
<u>(nearest specified equivalent)</u>																																													

all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and  
iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and  
v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance  
New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005.  
Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw.95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	6	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	17	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	18	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	41	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the 6.1 Permitted Activities to include the following: <b>"[D]  6.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	42	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 6.1 to include the following: <b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b> As a consequence, Amend 6.1 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following: <b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.  6.1.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.  6.1.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.  6.1.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	47	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.					
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	4	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 6.1.4.					
91	Marlborough District Council	186	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 6.1.8 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
1	Rob Pears	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.17.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Modify 6.1.17 to refer to the type of material that is burnt. Delete reference to the age of the solid fuel burning appliance.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	44	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 6.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 6.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>6.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>6.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	67	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	209	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>On a site, no <del>no</del> part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</i></b> "					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	43	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause 6.2.2.1 in Standard 6.2.2 to include the following: <b>" This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	208	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -" <b><i>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i></b> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	99	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 6.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 6.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	156	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	157	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	10	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 6.2.3.1: <i>Standard 6.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	10	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.323:</p> <p><del>6.3.2.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>6.3.2.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>6.3.2.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>6.3.2.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 6.3.2.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>6.3.2.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 6.2.1.4.</del></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	5	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	134	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	38	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	33	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.4.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] Delete Rule 6.3.4.1.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	11	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	241	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.5.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.3.5.5 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m<sup>3</sup> within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	8	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	52	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.8.1 - " <del><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	12	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.10.1 - " <del><i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	13	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.10.2 - " <del><i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	14	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.11.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.11.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	16	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	3	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>368</b>	Kate and Shane Ponder-West	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission opposes <i>Policy # or rule # 7.2.9 Harvest Plan</i> . Volume 2 ( <i>inferred</i> ) Chapter 7 Coastal Living does not have any reference to Forestry Harvest Plans.  The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	78	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide certainty as to provisions applying to unzoned land, or clarify zoning of Rail Corridor.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	45	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" 7.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>7.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>7.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>7.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>7.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	183	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	192	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i> <b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	108	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><b><i>“ 7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></b>  <i>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i>  <i>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i>  <i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height: or</i>  <i>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</i>  <i>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i>  <b><i>Advice Note:</i></b> <i>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</i></b></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	113	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"7.3.10 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></b>  <b><u>7.3.10.1 Excavation Earthworks</u></b> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:  (a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track;  (b) <del>earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes).</del>  <del>(b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del>  <del>(c) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del>  7.3.10.2 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u>  7.3.10.3 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u>  7.3.10.4 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line support structure.</u>  7.3.10.5 The <del>earthworks filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</u></b></p>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	190	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><u>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</u></p>					

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005. Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw,95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

*0.3 mm/s*

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

*Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects*

*All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:*

<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li><li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li></ul>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	19	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	46	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 7.1 to include the following: <b>" 7.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b> As a consequence, Amend 7.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following: <b>" 7.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b> <b>7.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b> <b>7.3.x.2. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	106	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 7.1:  <b><u>"7.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	135	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae.					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	137	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 7.1.9.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	185	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 7.1.11 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	109	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 7.1.12 as follows:  <b><i>" 7.1.12-Excavation-or-filling Earthworks within-a the National Grid Yard."</i></b>					
<b>432</b>	Kevin and Mary Daly	5	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.17 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> )					
<b>432</b>	Kevin and Mary Daly	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.18 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> )					
<b>432</b>	Kevin and Mary Daly	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.19 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> )					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	47	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standards in 7.2 to include a further standard as follows:</p> <p><b><i>" 7.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</i></b></p> <p><b><i>7.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>7.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</i></b></p> <p><b><i>7.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</i></b></p>					
<b>61</b>	Peter Buckley	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Additional clause added to Volume 2 Chapter 7 Coastal Living Area</b></p> <p><b>That all further permanent buildings include rainwater harvesting facilities.</b></p> <p><b>Water storage being a minimum of 25 cu.meters on land areas over 4,000 sq.meters and</b></p> <p><b>10 cu.meters on land area less than 4,000 sq.meters.</b></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	204	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new standard under heading 7.2.1 – <b><i>" A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i></b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	131	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>7.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities)....</i> <u><i>7.2.1.12 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarangi District Residents Association	3	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 7.2.1.1.					
<b>1270</b>	John Walter Oswald	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to reject proposed Rule 7.2.1.5.					
<b>1271</b>	Robert J and Penelope W Donaldson	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).  Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation  Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1272</b>	Tikao Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1273</b>	Matthew Somerville-Smith	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1274</b>	Tikao Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1275</b>	Lewis Noel Austin	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as is without any alteration.</p> <p>The submission does not identify a zone, provision or property number to which the above statement relates to. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that standard 30.1.3.2.2 in the Sounds Residential Zone of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (below and emphasis added) is relevant to the submission.</p> <p><i>Sounds Residential Zone</i></p> <p><i>30.1.3 Amenities</i></p> <p><i>Standard 30.1.3.2.2 Provided that <u>no building may be sited closer than 20 metres from a coastal marine area boundary or 8.0 metres of a foreshore reserve.</u></i></p>					
<b>1276</b>	Anna Caroline Memorial Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I seek that Council does not alter the current setback of 20m. That other property has only an 8m setback, even 20m can be deemed inequitable. Should this 28m setback be able to be clearly demonstrated to be fair, reasonable and necessary for particular purpose, and benefit to the community at large, I seek that property consultation be undertaken with property owners who have riparian rights; that normally accepted levels of disclosure are undertaken rather than Council taking the laws into their own hands, under cover and passing legislation because someone sees fit. Having demonstrated this, appropriate financial compensation is made available to all land owners with riparian rights who are affected.</p> <p>If the rule does pass, I advise it is my intention to join with others similarly affected and instigate a legal challenge to block it and seek to recover costs.</p>					
<b>1277</b>	Rothwells Hineora Properties Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Withdrawal of the proposed setback legislation.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1278</b>	Raewyn Shand	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1279</b>	William Frank Waterhouse Leckie	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1280</b>	Ragged Point limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1281</b>	Andrew Harris	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.2.1.5 from the proposed plan.					
<b>1282</b>	Barbara Mary Stewart	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.2.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>Standard 7.2.1.5 A building must not be constructed or sited within 28m of the Coastal Marine Zone.</del></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1283</b>	Peter Edward and Gillian Margaret Rothwell	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.5.1.2:</p> <p><del>Standard 7.5.1.2 A building must not be constructed or sited within 28m of the Coastal Marine Zone.</del></p> <p>If standard 7.5.1.2 is not deleted then at the very least, re-notify the above proposal.</p>					
<b>1285</b>	Oswald Family Sounds Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rescind Rule 7.2.1.5					
<b>1286</b>	Karaka Point and Environs Residents Incorporated	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposed rule be removed from the MEP.</p> <p>In any event that the proposed rule be properly notified to all property owners with riparian rights.</p>					
<b>1287</b>	William P Musgrove	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<b><i>inferred</i></b>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1288</b>	Karaka Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).  Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation  Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1289</b>	Whatamonga Forests Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).  Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation  Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1290</b>	Ahuriri Forests Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1291</b>	Whatamonga Farms Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1292</b>	Margaret and Ivan Sutherland	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1293</b>	Helen Crowder	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the proposed Rule 7.2.1.5.					
<b>1294</b>	Crawford Family Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1295</b>	The Lazy Fish Partnership	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.2.1.5. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>1296</b>	Reia Stannard	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Keep the proposed set back as is without change.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1305</b>	Tim and Jane Greenhough	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1306</b>	Joena Elkington	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1307</b>	Josephine Faragher	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1308</b>	Stuart and Raewyn Dayman	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1309</b>	Jonathan and Karina Coote	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p>					
<b>1310</b>	Craig and Christine Aston	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1311</b>	Rob Morris and the Morris Family	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1312</b>	Errol Hattersley	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1313</b>	Francis Monopoli	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (inferred).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>1314</b>	Jackie and Stephen Coote	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1316</b>	Larry Kaberry	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1317</b>	Dee Ngakuru	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 7.1.2.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1318</b>	Pat Kaberry	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	176	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 7.2.1.9					
<b>432</b>	Kevin and Mary Daly	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amends (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 7.2.1.9:</p> <p><i>On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape or any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of <del>45</del><b>36</b>% or less <b>and must be in the natural range of greens, greys and browns.</b></i></p>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	14	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	104	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standards 7.2.1.10.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	136	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	105	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standards 7.2.1.11.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	223	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 7.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	100	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 7.2.2.1 , replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	79	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested in relation to noise and the use of chainsaws.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	158	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	159	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	177	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.2.3					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	11	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 7.2.3.1: <i>Standard 7.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>1042</b>	Port Underwood Association	13	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>7.2.3.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	13	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the standards that apply to specific permitted activities.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	107	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><b><u>“7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b>  <u>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u>  <u>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u>  <u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u>  <u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u>  <u>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u>  <u>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u>  <b><u>Advice Note:</u></b> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</u></b></p>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	47	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	80	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	137	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.3.3.1.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	11	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 7.3.4:</p> <p><del>7.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>7.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>7.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>7.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 7.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>7.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 7.2.1.1 to 7.2.1.11 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>365</b>	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	178	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.6					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	81	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (<b>bold</b>) to Standard 7.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>7.3.6.1 Only indigenous species may be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland <b>or the coastal margins and Sounds Foreshore Reserve.</b></i></p>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	179	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.7					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	138	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	31	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>498</b>	Hura Pakake Family Trust	3	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 7.3.7.2(e):  <i>Standard 7.3.7.2(e) The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive):  (e) where the clearance is on a <del>Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site</del> and that clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	110	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 7.3.7.2 as follows:  <i>"7.3.7.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive):  (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i>					
<b>458</b>	Okiwi Bay Limited	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.7.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 7.3.7.6(x):  <b>Standard 7.3.7.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
498	Hura Pakake Family Trust	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold):</p> <p><i>Standard 7.3.7.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species: <del>(e) coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del></i></p> <p>New standard:</p> <p><b>Rule 7.3.7.X Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b></p>					
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	138	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity.</p>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	19	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 7.3.7.1) as follows -</p> <p><b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	139	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	111	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 7.3.8.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 7.3.8.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p>					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	82	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	18	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	140	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>225</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Definition of 'foundation' or rewording of this rule required.					
<b>225</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	3	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule re worded or foundation definition established.					
<b>225</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule altogether					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	29	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					
<b>225</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	5	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Additional wording should reflect where it would be unrealistic to comply with this rule, eg batters steeper than 2 (H) to 1 (V) ....26.5 deg or say 30 deg?					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	112	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><b>"7.3.10 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</b></p> <p><i>7.3.10.1 <del>Excavation</del> Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i><del>(b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</del></i></p> <p><i><del>(c) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</del></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.2 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.3 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.4 The <del>earthworks</del> excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.5 The <del>earthworks</del> filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><b><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</u></b></p>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	41	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.10.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –</p> <p><i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	53	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.11.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
476	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	20	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.11.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>432</b>	Kevin and Mary Daly	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We consider that that Standard 7.3.13.1 should be amended to provide for all lawfully established onsite wastewater systems <u>irrespective of whether it was established via resource consent</u> . It could be that once the consent expires, the permitted activity provisions apply until such time that a building is further extended.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	37	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	22	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope.					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	9	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	180	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.14, Standards 7.3.14.1 and 7.3.14.2					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	83	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	15	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.15.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.15.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	16	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.15.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	17	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.16.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	37	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	35	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] Delete Rule 7.3.17.1.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	13	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	12	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 7.4.1 to 7.4.7).					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	17	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.4.4 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	11	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 7.5.1 to 7.5.6).					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	420	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 7.5					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	365	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - <i>"<del>Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</del>"</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	9	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Downgrade some of the prohibited activity controls within the MEP B+LNZ submits that some of prohibited activities used within the MEP appear unnecessarily restrictive.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	49	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	366	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - " <del>The harvesting of commercial forestry</del> or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established ." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	367	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 7.5.3					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	13	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 7.5.3.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	84	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	85	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
1268	Azwood Energy	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
103	Rod Gray	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	kindly support the rarangi residents association application and alter the rules to suit a beach side community environment					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	48	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" 8.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>8.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>8.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>8.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>8.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	182	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	193	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site or any road must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows</p> <p><b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	141	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add three new Standards to this Rule as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The external appearance of the building.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Compatibility with buildings in the immediate vicinity.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Landscaping requirements.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.1.5.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	81	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.1.7 as notified.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	14	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	16	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	184	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 8.1.12 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	49	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.1.16 as notified.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	50	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 8.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 8.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>8.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>8.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	222	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under heading 8.2.1 – " <b>A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	142	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	137	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 8.2.1 by including the following: <u>A dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling) or a site designated for such works, or dairy effluent storage ponds</u>					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Maximum height of 7.5m as this allows for better environment for living.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	221	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, the</b> <del>The</del> <b>minimum setbacks from property boundaries must be:</b> "					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	109	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 8.2.1.4 by adding 15 metres for a habitable building located on a boundary with the Rural Environment Zone.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	68	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Prefer more generous setbacks to address the interface with rural production activities. The submission does not provide details of alternative setback distances.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The minimum setback from the property boundaries for a building used for the housing of the following animals must be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 4.5m for poultry and other birds;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 4.5m for dogs;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 50m <b>(the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback)</b> for pigs;</i></p> <p><i>(d) 30m <b>(the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback)</b> for other animals associated with farming."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>96</b>	Jane Buckman	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support and adopt in full.					
<b>284</b>	Jane Buckman	21	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	44	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No relief sought.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. That standard 8.2.1.8, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	220	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -" <i>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	101	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 8.2.2.1,.Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"  In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	160	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	161	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	129	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows: AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3. Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following new standards under this heading -  - <b>The use of helicopters for frost control..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b> and  - <b>The use of audible bird scarring devices..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b>  (Inferred)					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	138	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 8.2.3 by including the following: <u>Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 8.2.3.1 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.</u>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	132	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:. Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 8.2.4.1: <i>Standard 8.2.4.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>1042</b>	Port Underwood Association	14	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.4.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>8.2.4.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarang District Residents Association	37	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 8.2.5.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when spraying is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarang District Residents Association	38	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 8.2.6.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	2	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when any burning is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1089</b>	Rarang District Residents Association	39	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.7.	Support
Decision Requested	We SUPPORT this rule on the condition that it is complied with. This has not been our experience in recent months with ongoing lime dust affecting residential areas.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	4	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust from a vent or stack is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	143	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 8.3.3.1.					
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 8.3.4:</p> <p><del>8.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>8.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>8.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>8.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 8.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>8.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 8.2.1.1 to 8.2.1.8 (inclusive).</del></p>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed <del>within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site</del> and is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed <del>within the 6 month period.</del></i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	31	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing;</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity;" and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	82	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.3.6 as notified.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	45	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.  Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.  Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	144	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.7.1					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	15	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b> <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b> <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b> <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b> <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b> <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b> <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b> <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b> <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b> <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b> <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b> <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</b> "					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.7.1.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (g) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) ..... <b>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	53	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	194	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect: <u>8.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u> <u>8.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</u> <u>8.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	22	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) ..... <b>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	59	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1. <i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	59	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.8.8.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b> m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	59	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1:  <i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>84</del><b>4</b>m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del><b>100</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	17	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows -  <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.9.1					
<b>476</b>	South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust	17	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <b>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</b> <b>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</b> <b>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</b> <b>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</b> <b>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</b> <b>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</b> <b>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</b> <b>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</b> <b>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</b> <b>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</b> <b>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</b> <b>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</b>					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.9.1.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	2	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	29	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	29	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	145	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	34	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, <b>unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	31	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	146	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation removal on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas is not permitted.					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	39	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Within, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25, <b>non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project</b> and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard must be the only vegetation removed, and plants must only be cleared by non-mechanical means.</i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	36	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	14	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	147	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1082</b>	Richard Warwick Evans	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	54	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.12.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>243</b>	Marguerete Osborne	4	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Further action for agrichemicals.					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete. There are still significant areas of commercial crops in this zone and large land areas more suitable to machine application. As long as other rules are adhered to there is no environment gain with this proposed rule.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.13.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Explain how this would be physically possible.					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.14.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The discharge must not occur within 50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 8.3.15 ( <i>inferred</i> ):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</i></li> <li><i>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</i></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	51	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.3.15 to include the following (bold) -					
	<i>?" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"?</i>					
<b>669</b>	Go Marlborough Limited	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):					
	<i>Standard 8.3.15.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ):					
	<i>8.3.15.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	13	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <i>Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i> "					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase to 8m3 and include a prohibition from burning during period May - Aug incl.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	14	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.17.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	19	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.17.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.18.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	36	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	34	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] Delete Rule 8.3.19.1.					
<b>1265</b>	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.4.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	83	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.4.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.4.6 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	368	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - <del>"Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry."</del> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	21	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	50	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	369	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - " <i>The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established</i> " ." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>41</b>	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	370	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.5.3					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	19	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>692</b>	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.5.3.					
<b>1250</b>	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	17	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	16	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>278</b>	Mark Batchelor	2	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.</p> <p>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Section 9 Business Zone 1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type															
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	195	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Support															
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>																				
681	Department of Corrections	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose															
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>9.1 Permitted Activities</i> list: <b>9.1.X. Community corrections activity</b>																				
852	Kelvin Holdaway	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose															
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone.																				
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	191	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><u>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</u></p> <p><u>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</u></p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Receiving Environment</u> <u>(New, relocated or altered)</u></th> <th><u>Laeq. 1 hour</u></th> <th><u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Residential – Bedrooms</u></td> <td><u>35 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u></td> <td><u>40 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Teaching spaces</u></td> <td><u>40 dB</u></td> <td><u>100m</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>All other sensitive activity</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>building spaces e.g.:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</u></li> <li><u>Commercial Spaces</u></li> </ul> <p><u>To comply with</u></p> <p><u>satisfactory sound</u></p> <p><u>levels AS/NZS</u></p> <p><u>2107:2000</u></p>						<u>Receiving Environment</u> <u>(New, relocated or altered)</u>	<u>Laeq. 1 hour</u>	<u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u>	<u>Residential – Bedrooms</u>	<u>35 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>Teaching spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>	<u>All other sensitive activity</u>		
<u>Receiving Environment</u> <u>(New, relocated or altered)</u>	<u>Laeq. 1 hour</u>	<u>Compliance Distance (no less than)</u>																			
<u>Residential – Bedrooms</u>	<u>35 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																			
<u>Residential – Habitable Spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																			
<u>Teaching spaces</u>	<u>40 dB</u>	<u>100m</u>																			
<u>All other sensitive activity</u>																					

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005. Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw,95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	8	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li><li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li></ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	53	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 9.1 to include the following:  <b>" 9.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b></p> <p>As a consequence, Amend 9.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:  <b>" 9.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b>  <b>9.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b>  <b>9.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b>  <b>9.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>9.1 Permitted Activities  Insert a new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone. This could be achieved as follows:  <b>9.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</b></p>					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 9.1.3.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	52	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 9.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -  <del>?" Emergency service <b>Facility</b> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 1 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</del></p>					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	8	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.1.7 allowing residential activity so long as the residential activity does not interfere with existing use/business activity, in particular around noise requirements.					
91	Marlborough District Council	183	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 9.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	76	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 9.1.9.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	132	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	78	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 9.1.10 as notified.					
<b>266</b>	Aitken Taylor Limited	13	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce standards that prioritise pedestrians, incentivise residential development in the CBD, require verandahs and support an Urban Design Panel (inferred).					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	55	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 9.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 9.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>9.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>9.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 9.2: <b>9.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b> <b>9.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b> <b>9.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b>					
<b>266</b>	Aitken Taylor Limited	11	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include requirements for visual permeability of facades and regulate the use of commercial buildings (inferred).					
<b>766</b>	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	9	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Submitter's interest in these provisions be recorded pending submissions from other submitters and Council's further consideration of these matters.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	134	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>9.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <u><i>9.2.1.16 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	10	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 9.2.1.1 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply.					
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	8	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure Amend 9.2.1.1 as follows:</p> <p>9.2.1.1. A building must be located on the front boundary of the site with no setback from the street edge except that a recess of up to 0.5m within the façade of the building <b>or a service station shop where the canopy edge</b> is within 2m of the street edge is permitted.</p>					
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	9	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure Amend 9.2.1.2 as follows:</p> <p>9.2.1.2. The primary customer entrance must be located on, or adjoin, the front boundary of the site <b>except for service stations where the main entrance to the shop faces the street edge or where there is a clear pedestrian connection between the shop and the street edge.</b></p>					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	9	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.5.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	35	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 9.2.1.5: <i>Standard 9.2.1.5 The height of a building or a structure must not exceed <del>±20</del><b>20</b>m.</i> That a new standard is included for an additional height for plant room and like structures of a further 3m over a maximum of 10% of the floor area of the building. Otherwise amend, delete or add additional rules to enable multi-level apartment style dwellings in the CBD, and in particular, ensure their economic viability is not held back through unnecessarily restrictive height restrictions.					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	10	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.6.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	36	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 9.2.1.6: <i>Standard 9.2.1.6. A building must only differ by one storey in height from immediately neighbouring buildings, unless additional storeys are set back from the front boundary by at least 3m.</i>					
<b>266</b>	Aitken Taylor Limited	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce an additional control requiring that at least 70 percent of any glazed space must be visually permeable (inferred).					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	11	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.9.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	11	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 9.2.1.9 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Verandahs to be mandatory on all new developments as per the size & build requirements for verandahs as detailed in section 9.2.1.10.					
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	10	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure Amend 9.2.1.10 as follows: 9.2.1.10. A veranda must: (a) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street; (b) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb; (c) be self-supporting. <b><u>Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</u></b></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	129	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to Standard 9.2.1.11 is requested is as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>A building or structure in the Business 1 Zone in Blenheim must landscape 10% of the site <b>road frontage</b> with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof, unless the land adjoins a Landscape Exclusion Street in Appendix 18.</i> "					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	13	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The landscaping requirement is reduced from 10% to 5% in line with Business Zone 2 & includes requirements for the building owner to have regular maintenance to preserve the landscaping.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	103	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 9.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	54	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 9.2.2.3 in Standard 9.2.2 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	252	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 9.2.2.1 as follows - " <del>The An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at</del> <b>or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the zone boundary or within the zone.</b> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	102	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 9.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	74	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 9.2.2.1.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	75	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 9.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 1 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:  9.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfield) or Open Space 1: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	162	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	163	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	80	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 9.2.4.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:  9.2.4.1. <b>The odour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b> , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That rule 9.3.1.1 be deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	73	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 9.3.3.1 as notified. (inferred)					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	77	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 9.3.4 as notified.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	13	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rules 9.3.4.1 and 9.3.4.1.2 be amended by changing the excavation and filling volumes to 500m <sup>3</sup> .					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	148	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	14	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 9.3.4.3(b) be retained and expanded to include foundation works necessary for parking and maneuvering areas necessary as part of a development which also includes building foundations.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	133	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	79	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 9.3.5 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	55	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	21	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.8.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.8.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	22	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.8.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	23	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.9.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	81	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 9.4 as notified.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	6	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>278</b>	Mark Batchelor	4	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.</p> <p>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	196	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type															
<b>681</b>	Department of Corrections	8	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose															
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>10.1 Permitted Activities</i> list: <b><i>10.1.X. Community corrections activity</i></b>																				
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	8	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose															
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone.																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	192	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><u><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></u></p> <p><u><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></u></p> <table> <thead> <tr> <th><u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u></th> <th><u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u></th> <th><u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u></td> <td><u><i>35 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u></td> <td><u><i>40 dB</i></u></td> <td><u><i>100m</i></u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u><i>building spaces e.g.:</i></u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u><i>Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces</i></u></li> <li><u><i>Commercial Spaces</i></u></li> </ul> <p><u><i>To comply with</i></u></p> <p><u><i>satisfactory sound</i></u></p> <p><u><i>levels AS/NZS</i></u></p> <p><u><i>2107:2000</i></u></p> <p><u><i>(nearest specified equivalent)</i></u></p> <p>(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)</p> <p><u><i>Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.</i></u></p>						<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>	<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>		
<u><i>Receiving Environment</i></u> <u><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></u>	<u><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></u>	<u><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></u>																			
<u><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></u>	<u><i>35 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																			
<u><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																			
<u><i>Teaching spaces</i></u>	<u><i>40 dB</i></u>	<u><i>100m</i></u>																			
<u><i>All other sensitive activity</i></u>																					

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005. Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	9	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	57	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 10.1 to include the following:  <b>" 10.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b>  As a consequence, Amend 10.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:  <b>" 10.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b>  <b>10.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b>  <b>10.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b>  <b>10.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p>					
1007	Outer Limits Limited	1	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.					
1244	Z Energy Limited	11	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>10.1 Permitted Activities  Insert new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone as follows:  <b><u>10.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</u></b></p>					
1244	Z Energy Limited	12	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>10.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities  Amend 10.2.1.4 as follows:  10.2.1.4. A building, in the Business 2 Zone in Blenheim, must have a veranda, and the veranda must:  (a) be self-supporting;  (b) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street;  (c) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb;  (d) generally conform with adjoining verandas in regards to height, width, and depth of fascia.  <u>Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</u></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	56	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -? <i>"Rule 10.1.5. Emergency service <b>Facility</b> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 7 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</i> ?					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	182	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 10.1.7 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	82	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 10.1.7 as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	135	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	83	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 10.1.8 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	59	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 10.2 to include a further standard as follows: "10.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting 10.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008. 10.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	149	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	137	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>10.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i>10.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>682</b>	Derry Properties Limited	2	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	58	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 10.2.2.3 in Standard 10.2.2 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	219	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 10.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</b></i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	104	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 10.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"  Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	84	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 10.2.2.1 as notified.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	105	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  In 10.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	85	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 10.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 2 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>10.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone:  7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq  10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	164	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	165	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	86	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 10.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>10.2.5.1 The odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p>					
<b>682</b>	Derry Properties Limited	1	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as necessary to ensure there is certainty for Springlands to operate a licenced premise, and continue to obtain a new liquor licence.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	15	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That rule 10.3.1.1 be deleted.					
<b>682</b>	Derry Properties Limited	3	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (d) of Standard.					
<b>1044</b>	Progressive Enterprises Limited	16	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules 10.3.1.3(d) and (e) be deleted.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	87	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 10.3.4 as notified.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	150	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	136	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	88	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 10.3.5 as notified.  OR  Include rule 10.3.5 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief sub-point 1004.83 above.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	151	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend rule 10.3.5.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	56	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	24	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.8.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	25	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.8.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	26	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.9.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.9.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>430</b>	John and Pam Harvey	9	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Heading 10.3.10 (Rule 10.1.14)					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	89	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 10.4 as notified.					
<b>1304</b>	Hannam, Kay Lucille Williams, Brian Lloyd and	1	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Place an exemption of 10.5.1 for the Wairau Valley Township.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	7	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>278</b>	Mark Batchelor	3	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities.</p> <p>Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	15	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 11 Business Zone 3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	197	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	9	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	10	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	2	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	181	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 11.1.3 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	90	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 11.1.3 as notified.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	92	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain and 11.1.4 as notified OR Include Rule 11.1.4 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	152	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>286</b>	Blenheim Business Association Inc	14	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase the minimum gross floor area in section 11.2.1.6 to 1500m2.					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	3	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"Large Format Retail tenancy areas must have a gross floor area greater than 1000m<sup>2</sup>, <b>except in the Westwood Business Park where two tenancy areas are permitted to have a gross floor area each of between 500m<sup>2</sup> and 1000m<sup>2</sup>.</b>"</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	218	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 11.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</b></i> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	106	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 11.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"  Replace "dB LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	94	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 11.2.2.1 as notified.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	212	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>In 11.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within".</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	95	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 11.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 3 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within a newly created adjoining zone or a Business 2 zone, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>11.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or <b>is</b> within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone <b>(except the Business 2 zone)</b>:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq  10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	166	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	167	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	96	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 11.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>11.2.5.1 The odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b>, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	91	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 11.3.3 as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	153	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	93	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain 11.3.4 as notified.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Include rule 11.3.4 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief in sub-point 1004.92 above.</p>					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	154	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 11.3.4.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
91	Marlborough District Council	57	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	lete Standard 11.3.5.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	27	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.6.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	28	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.6.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	29	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.7.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	97	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 11.4 as notified.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	8	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>374</b>	Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations)	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.	Support
Decision Requested	Support rules for Industrial 1 Zone. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	118	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><b><u>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b></p> <p><u>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</u></p> <p><u>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><b><u>Advice Note:</u></b></p> <p><u>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</u></p> <p><u>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	121	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"12.3.19-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b>  <i>12.3.19.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive):</i>  <i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i>  <i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i>  <i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i>  <i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i>  <i>12.3.19.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i>  <i>12.3.19.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i>  <i>12.3.19.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i>  <i>12.3.19.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</i></b></p>					
160	TH Barnes and Co Limited	1	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Industrially zoned land between Murphy's Road and Adams Lane</b></p> <p>Lot 2 DP 8419 at 12 Adams Lane, Lot 4 DP 400 at 3 Murphys Road and Lot 1 DP 2100 with no street address, that are located within and surrounded by residential properties is proposed to be zoned Industrial 2.</p> <p>Specific provision be made for this site to be used and developed for residential or accommodation or a range of activities provided for as Permitted Activities in the surrounding Residential 2 Zone but retention of the proposed Industrial Zone. Use of either of the following options.</p> <p><b>As a Permitted Activity</b></p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities subject to compliance with a new rule added to Rule 5.2 that requires compliance with the Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards to any such development.</p> <p>To address any potential reverse sensitivity issues, the new rule would also prescribed that in the event of any such development left a portion of the site undeveloped for that purpose, any use and development of that undeveloped portion would become subject to the development and performance standards of the adjoining Residential 2 zone along the boundary between it and the residential developed portion.</p> <p><b>As a Controlled Activity</b></p> <p>Specifically listing the property and including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Controlled Activity, or alternatively adding a Controlled Activity category to the Zone.</p> <p>The Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards could be prescribed as matters in regard to which the Council retained control.</p> <p><b>As a Restricted Discretionary</b></p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Discretionary Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Restricted Discretionary, unless provided with a separate Restricted Discretionary Activity category.</p> <p><b>As a Discretionary Activity</b></p> <p>Include specific identification of the site in the list of Discretionary Activities by reference to residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the Residential 2 Zone as an activity in the list of Discretionary Activities.</p>					
487	Carlton Corlett Trust	1	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The relief sought is that the provisions of the Plan be modified to allow in a suitably limited part of the Corlett Trust land to the north of Rosina Corlett Lane uses such as museums or other public interest facilities which would be compatible with the Omaka Aviation Heritage Centre and the Car Museum.					
<b>681</b>	Department of Corrections	9	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>12.1 Permitted Activities</i> list:  <b><i>12.1.X. Community corrections activity within Industrial 1 Zone</i></b>					
<b>713</b>	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	2	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	As part of the introduction of the 'Trade Supplier' definition (submission point #1), it is sought that Rule 12.1 be amended to include "Trade Supplier" on the 'permitted activities' list for the Industrial 1 Zone.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	6	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relocated buildings in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones is a permitted activity.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	10	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	11	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	116	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new Rule in 12.1:</p> <p><b><u>"12.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></b></p>					
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	13	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Industrial 1 and 2 Zones Amend the permitted activity standards to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing truck stops within the Industrial 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>12.1 Permitted Activities Amend 12.1.5 as follows: 12.1.5 Truck Stop <del>within industrial 2 zone.</del> OR Retain 12.1.5 as notified 12.1.5 Truck Stop within industrial 2 zone. AND Insert a new rule providing for truck stops associated with a service station development. <b><u>12.1.## Truck Stop where it forms part of a service station development in the Industrial 1 zone.</u></b> OR Insert a new rule providing for alterations and additions, including retanking, to existing truck stops as follows: <b><u>12.1.## Additions and alterations to truck stops existing as of the date of notification of the Plan, including retanking.</u></b></p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	139	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new Rule 12.1.35 as follows: <u>Commercial activities ancillary to industrial activities.</u></p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	59	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain service stations as a permitted activity within the Industrial 1 and 2 zones. This could be achieved by retaining Rule 12.1.6 as notified.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	62	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):  <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	60	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.1.44 as notified.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	61	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.1.12 as notified					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	60	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 12.1.13 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	180	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 12.1.29 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	62	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.1.29 as notified.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	119	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.30.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 12.1.30 as follows:  <b><i>"12.1.30 Excavation or filling <u>Earthworks</u> within the National Grid Yard."</i></b>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	138	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	63	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.1.31 as notified OR Include rule 12.1.31 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	61	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 12.1.33 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	63	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 12.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 12.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>12.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>12.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 12.2 for the Industrial 1 Zone: <b>12.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b> <b>12.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b> <b>12.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	227	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under heading 12.2.1 as follows - " <b><i>At least 10% of the road frontage must be landscaped with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof.</i></b> "					
<b>713</b>	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 12.2.1.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	140	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>12.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities).</i> <i>12.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	155	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this requirement in the instance of a fence, wall or some other form of screening established along the boundary.					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposed to be placed on the adjoining land is an appropriate planning decisions in this circumstance. This would also result in no need for the restriction on fence height.					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Modify this requirement to provide for large sites to be exempt from the requirement in recognition that the sites are large enough to accommodate their own treatment in a similar manner as rural properties and in recognition of the prohibitive cost of connections in the circumstances of some large industrial properties.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	114	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standards 12.2.1.9.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	115	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete</b> Standard 2.2.1.10.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	215	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.1 as follows - " <b><i>Standards for the Industrial 1 Zone only:</i></b> ", and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><i>Standards for the Industrial 2 Zone only:</i></b> " and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.4 as follows - " <b><i>Standards for both Industrial 1 and 2 Zone:</i></b> " and delete Standard 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the zone boundary or within the zone: At any time 75 dBA LAeq 85dB LAFmax.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	217	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i></b> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	107	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 12.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	191	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Delete "Exception" and substitute "Note"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	64	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.2.2.1 as notified.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	108	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  In 12.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary with, " with "on another site within the Zone " and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	109	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  In 12.2.2.3 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
460	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Modify the rules to remove the requirement for compliance within the site. If there is desire to address health and safety matters on sites, include reference to health and safety regulations as the basis for control.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	65	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.2.2.2 as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	66	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.2.2.3 as notified.					
91	Marlborough District Council	216	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling <b>on any property zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Rural Environment in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones)</b>.</i> "					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	110	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 12.2.2.4 replace "at or within" with "at any point within" and replace "within the notional" with, "at any point within the notional"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
460	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abandon the proposed application of the Urban Residential Zone to the land adjoining the sawmill. Alternatively identify this site as one that is exempt from this standard as has been done for other existing activities that present similar circumstances.</li> <li>2. Provide LAeq limits that result in the same or higher noise levels in view of the actual circumstances of this immediate locality and also provide for higher noise levels at times when the ambient noise levels are greater than these.</li> </ol>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	67	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rules relating to night time noise in Industrial 1 and 2 zones so that the level at any time at the notional boundary of a dwelling in any other adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 zones) is 50dBA LAeq and 70dBA LAFmax, and to ensure compliance is measured against dwellings that are existing at the time of the establishment of an activity. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>12.2.2.4 An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land <b>that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either</b> zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or <b>is</b> within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</p> <p><b>At any time 7.00 am to 10.00 pm</b> 50 dBA LAeq  <b>10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq</b> 70dB LAFmax</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	168	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	169	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	198	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain external lighting Standards.					
460	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.3.3.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove this rule in so far as it applies to light spill within the same zone and the same property or alter it to apply to non-industrial activities that may become subject to applications for resource consent for establishment in industrial zones to include protection from light spill.  <b><i>As noted in the submission for this point, the submission identifies Standard 12.2.3.3; however, this standard relates to light spill onto adjoining sites not zoned Industrial and have a light spill limit of 2.5 Lux. It is inferred that the submission is relevant to Standard 12.2.3.2 and not Standard 12.2.3.3.</i></b>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	140	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.2.4as follows: <i>Any activity <u>in the Industrial 1 Zone</u> must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land ...</i> ... <i>Any activity in the Industrial 2 Zone must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</i> <i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm <del>55</del>50 dBA LAeq</i> <i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am <del>45</del>40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i>					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Remove the 6m set back. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal.					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal.					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	68	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:  12.2.5.1 The <del>o</del> odour <b>that is not specifically provided for by any other rule</b> , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	141	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>No activity shall result in <del>The odour must not be objectionable or offensive</del> odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect <del>as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</del></i></p> <p><i>Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit.</i></p>					
<b>130</b>	Vivienne Harris	2	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Strengthen, or even actually monitor, the emissions of dust from activities such as, rock crushing to produce gravels, sand and aggregate and similar.</p> <p>Ensure standards are met for site storage, on site movement of material (including cement) and so that dust and other contaminants is minimized or eliminated and, in any case, restricts such dust and contaminants to within the operational site or storage site boundary.</p> <p>Provide monitoring data (including appropriate explanatory notes) to those living within a (say) 1 km radius or to anyone who may request such information.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I obtain no trade benefits.</p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	142	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.2.7.1 as follows</p> <p><i>The best practicable <del>method</del> option must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	143	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.8.2 as follows: <i>The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 2 50mg/m<sup>3</sup> at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	144	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.8.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 12.2.8.3					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	117	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><b><u>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></b>  <u>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</u>  <u>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u>  <b><u>Advice Note:</u></b>  <u>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</u>  <u>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b><u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	69	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 to provide an exemption for generators used for civil defence purposes or during emergency situations to sit alongside the general rule (refer sub point 1004.56) as follows:</p> <p>12.3.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion).</p> <p>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</p> <p>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than 0.05% by weight must not be burned.</p> <p>12.3.2.3. The power output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total heat output from a site.</p> <p>12.3.2.4. If the power output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW:  (a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and  (b) if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</p> <p>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined heat output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</p> <p><b><u>Exception: The above standards 12.3.2.2 – 12.3.2.5 do not apply to combustion to provide emergency power generation provided for within the general rules.</u></b></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	145	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e., internal combustion).</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than 10ppm (or 0.001%) <del>0.05%</del> by weight must not be burned.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.3. The <del>net energy power</del> output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total <del>heat</del> <u>net energy</u> output from a site.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.4. If the <del>net energy power</del> output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW:</i>  (a) <i>the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and</i>  (b) <i>if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined <del>net energy heat</del> output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</i></p>					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.2.1 to include Reprocessed Oil, as defined by MfE in HSNO COP63.					
<b>1262</b>	EnviroNZ Limited	4	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to amend Rule 12.3.2.2 to relax the sulphur concentration limits to allow Reprocessed Oil to be used as a recovered fuel in industrial premises.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	63	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined): <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	64	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.3.3 to include the following: <i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	146	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.3.9.1 as follows: The discharge must not contain more than the following maximum <u>net energy heat</u> output limits....					
<b>1262</b>	EnviroNZ Limited	6	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.1(g) to include Reprocessed Oil.					
<b>1262</b>	EnviroNZ Limited	7	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.8 to include Reprocessed Oil.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	30	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.12.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.12.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	31	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.12.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	32	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.13.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	70	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.3.18 as notified.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	156	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	120	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><b>"12.3.19-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</b>  12.3.19.1 <del>Excavation Earthworks</del> within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive):  (a) <del>Excavation that is earthworks</del> undertaken as part of agricultural, <u>horticultural</u> or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:  <b>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</b>  <b>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</b>  <b>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</b>  12.3.19.2 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure</u>.  12.3.19.3 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure</u>.  12.3.19.4 The <del>earthworks excavation</del> must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line Support Structure</u>.  12.3.19.5 The <del>earthworks filling</del> must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</b></p>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	40	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.19.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –</p> <p><i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	139	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	71	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.3.20 as notified OR Include rule 12.3.20 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with sub point 1004.63.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	157	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.20.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.3.20.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	58	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	72	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 12.4 Discretionary Activities as notified.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	147	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.4.2 as follows: <i>Commercial activity <u>not ancillary to an industrial activity.</u></i>					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.3 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category.  Also remove reference to age of plant.					
<b>460</b>	Timberlink New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.7.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.7 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category.					
<b>1262</b>	EnviroNZ Limited	5	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We support the inclusion of waste oil in Rule 12.5.7(k) but seek to amend its definition to exclude Reprocessed Oil and provide a separate definition for Reprocessed Oil (as defined by the MFE in HSNO COP63).					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	9	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	31	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	188	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in Section 13.1. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	197	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in Section 13.1. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	98	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new permitted activity rule in 13.1: 13.1.X Port Activities					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	99	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity rule for Industrial Activities related to Port Activities, and enable retail ancillary to or associated with the industrial use.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	127	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this chapter to ensure the activities taking place at Havelock are provided for. This may also include amendments to the zoning at Havelock.					
<b>749</b>	GBC Winstone	1	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are included as permitted activities under 13.1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>GBCWinstone (a Division of Fletcher Concrete &amp; Infrastructure Ltd.) activities (on land described as Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 in Picton and as shown as Specific Identified Sites on Planning Map [Insert relevant Map Number]) shall be permitted, including all other activities listed as permitted in the Port Zone, provided that they comply with the standards for permitted activities in the Port Zone.</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharges of contaminants into air from particular industrial or trade premises used for the storage, blending and distribution of concrete processing materials.</i></b></li> </ul> <p>This approach will be consistent with sections 5, 7(c) and 7(f) of the Resource Management Act and Policy 15.3.5 of the PMEP.</p>					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	74	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.1 Permitted Activities.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	100	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 13.1.1 to include: 13.1.1 Shipping activities, as follows: movement and other activities associated with the berthing and departure of ships, ship anchorage, ship docking and berthage, and mooring activities (except swing moorings). <u>and the placement and use of service lines to ships.</u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	141	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	142	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	101	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	143	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	102	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	13.1.4 Processing of cargo ( <del>except wood or forestry</del> ) that is <del>delivered</del> <u>transported</u> by ship to <u>or from</u> the Port for processing or use.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	145	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	146	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	148	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	239	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	107	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.12.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	104	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	103	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and <u>the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves.</u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	149	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	62	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.19 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	54	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.19 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	150	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	108	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain rule.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	151	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	153	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	179	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.28 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	178	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.29 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	155	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	65	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.33 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	66	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.34 as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	157	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.37.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to provide clarity as to application to moving vehicles.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	110	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.45.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	158	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 13.2.1 and 13.2.2).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	158	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	75	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain permitted activity standards in 13.2.1.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	111	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at <del>9 June 2016 and</del> located on Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 must not exceed 35m. 13.2.1.4. <u>Except as provided for in Rule 13.2.1.1</u> , the maximum height of a building or structure on a wharf must not exceed 10m.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	112	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at <del>9 June 2016 and</del>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	189	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type															
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night</th> <th>Night-time</th> </tr> <tr> <th>(Long term)</th> <th>(Short term)</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>65 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85 dB LAFMax</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Location	Day-night	Night-time	(Long term)	(Short term)		At any point on land at, or beyond,	65 Ldn (5 days)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours)	the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	68 Ldn (1day)	65 LAeq (15 min)	85 dB LAFMax		
Location	Day-night	Night-time																			
(Long term)	(Short term)																				
At any point on land at, or beyond,	65 Ldn (5 days)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours)																			
the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	68 Ldn (1day)	65 LAeq (15 min)																			
85 dB LAFMax																					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	113	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.	Oppose															
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p>																				
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	15	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain the Port Zone rules in 13.2.3 as notified.																				
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	192	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.1.	Support in Part															

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances “when measured at the boundary of, or within,” with “from”, and replace “at, or beyond,” with “at any point beyond”</p> <p>In 13.2.3.4. replace “NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise” with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008.”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	114	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include “dB” where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	54	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs.					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.3.1. <del>For port operations in</del> <b>In</b> Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise <del>when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone</del> does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	193	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type						
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances “when measured at the boundary of, or within,” with “from”, and replace “at, or beyond,” with “at any point beyond”</p> <p>In 13.2.3.4. replace “NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise” with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008.”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>											
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	190	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Support in Part						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:</p> <p>“For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night (Long term)</th> <th>Night-time (Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>75 dB LAFMax”; and</p> <p>Consequential changes to the maps in Volume 4 to create a Noise Control Boundary for Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay.</p>						Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)										
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)										
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	115	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Oppose						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include “dB” where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p>											
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Support in Part						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.2: <i>Standard 13.2.3.2. <del>For port operations in</del> <b>In</b> Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise <del>when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone</del> does not exceed the following noise limits:</i>  <i>Location</i>  <i>At any point on land <del>at, or beyond,</del></i>  <i>the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	159	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	56	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to the list aquaculture harvesting and the mussel processing plant.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	194	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances "when measured at the boundary of, or within," with "from", and replace "at, or beyond," with "at any point beyond" In 13.2.3.4. replace "NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise" with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	170	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	195	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace "adequately insulated" with "adequately acoustically isolated"            In 13.2.4.2.replace "insulation " with "acoustic isolation"            In 16.2.3.1. replace "or within" with "at any point outside"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	191	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consequential changes will be necessary if the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are rezoned as Port Zone.            Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."</p>					
<b>1244</b>	Z Energy Limited	16	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the Noise Sensitive Activity provisions as notified.</p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	116	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission:            13.2.4.1  <u>Any</u> new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be are adequately insulated from port noise.</p>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.1:  <i>Standard 13.2.4.1 <b>any</b> new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock <b>shall be</b> <del>are</del> adequately insulated from port noise.</i></p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	196	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace “adequately insulated” with “adequately acoustically isolated”            In 13.2.4.2.replace “insulation “ with “acoustic isolation”            In 16.2.3.1. replace “or within” with “at any point outside”            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	117	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission:            13.2.4.2.  <del>Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard.</del>  <u>Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</u></p>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.2:  <del>13.2.4.2. Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard.</del> <b>Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b></p>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	13	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 13.2.5.3:  <i>Standard 13.2.5.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i></p>					
<b>1042</b>	Port Underwood Association	15	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.5.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>13.2.5.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	118	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.2.6.3. Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, structure or building.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	66	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.'					
<b>749</b>	GBC Winstone	3	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.2.9.1: <del>Standard 13.2.9.1 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</del>  That the following new standards (bold) are included under heading 13.2.9:  <b>13.2.9.1 The dust must not result in an objectionable or offensive effects at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</b> <b>13.2.9.2 The dust must not result in an adverse health effects beyond the property boundary.</b> <b>13.2.9.3 Any person undertaking an activity resulting in the emission of dust shall adopt the best practicable option to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects resulting from the dust emissions on the receiving environment.</b>					
<b>749</b>	GBC Winstone	4	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Heading 13.2.10 and associated standards: <del>13.2.10. Dust from any process vent or stack.</del> <del>13.2.10.1. The dust must not contain hazardous substances.</del> <del>13.2.10.2. The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 250mg/m<sup>3</sup> at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</del> <del>13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed 0.05mm size in any direction.</del>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	119	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.10.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed <del>0.05mm</del> <u>0.5mm</u> size in any direction.					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	76	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standards under 13.3.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	120	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	13.3.1. Processing of cargo (except wood or forestry) that is delivered transported by a ship to or from a port for processing.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	144	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	147	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	55	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	193	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	240	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	3	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.</p> <p>MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	121	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause 13.3.4.1.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	194	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	201	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	57	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend so that the effect not the activity is managed.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	160	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as proposed: <i>13.3.6. Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure in the coastal marine area <u>except as provided for under 13.2.1.</u></i> <i>13.3.6.1. In the case of replacement of a building or structure, the original building or structure must have been lawfully established.</i> <i>13.3.6.2. There must be no increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure.</i> <i>13.3.6.3. The effects of the activity occurring in or on the replacement building or structure must be the same intensity and scale as those occurring in or on the building or structure prior to its replacement.</i> <i>13.3.6.4. There must be no change in the location of the building or structure.</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	159	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 13.1.15 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.6 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	66	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	58	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	195	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Standard 13.3.10 should be amended: So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area; To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1); To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	202	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 13.3.10 should be amended: (a) So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area; (b) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1); (c) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and (d) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	196	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 13.3.10 should be amended: So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area; To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1); To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	197	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:  So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;  To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);  To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and  To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	109	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain rule.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	152	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	160	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	122	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions. (under 13.3.13.)					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	154	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	161	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 13.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>462</b>	Blind River Irrigation Limited	36	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	26	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	74	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: 3.3.13.4 <u>Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	123	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions. (rule 13.3.14 and associated standards.)					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	162	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 13.1.26 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.15 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	7	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	23	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.16.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	163	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	58	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.17.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	59	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.17.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	42	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	124	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. Alternatively, delete standard 13.3.18.1.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	18	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 13.3.20.1) as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	164	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	165	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	125	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.20.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.3.20.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	126	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.20.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 13.3.20.4 to exempt the Port zone from this clause.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	192	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	200	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	60	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	156	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	67	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 13.3.22 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	68	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 13.3.23 as notified.					
749	GBC Winstone	5	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.25.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.3.25.4(a), as it does not appear to serve any RMA related purpose.</p> <p>Standard 13.3.25.4 If the power output of the device is between 30kW and 400kW -</p> <p><i>(a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period;</i></p> <p>Alternately, the following new permitted activity rule is included:</p> <p><b>Rule 13.1.X Discharges of contaminants to air from the combustion of fuel for the purposes of electricity generation during mains power unavailability.</b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	33	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.28.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2:</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	34	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.29.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.29.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1:</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	35	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.29.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.29.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2:</i> "					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	128	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.4.1. Construction and use of a building or structure (including the extension of an existing building or structure) in the coastal marine area and associated occupancy of the coastal marine area.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	130	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new assessment matter: Social, economic, cultural, and in Havelock, recreational benefits resulting from the activity.					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	77	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.1.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	166	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	129	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.4.1.1 The building or structure (or extension thereof) must be necessary for the operational requirements of the port or be necessary for activities permitted in the Port Zone					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	78	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.2.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	167	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The 'matters of restricted discretion' that apply to any 'other' commercial activity, within the Port Zone, do not consider or account for cultural areas, sites, locations or values. Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	131	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.4.2.1 The potential for reverse sensitivity effects on existing <u>and/or</u> permitted activities within the Port Zone.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	132	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend rule as follows: 13.4.3 Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 13.4.1 <u>and</u> 13.4.2.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	135	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend matters of discretion to include: 13.4.3.9 Benefits likely to arise from use of the activity. (Add to standard - inferred)					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	79	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.3.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	168	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	133	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 13.4.3.1.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	134	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend matters of discretion to include: 13.4.3.2 Material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area <u>other than the material being disturbed</u> .					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	198	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule 13.4.4. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	203	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.4. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	136	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions. (13.4.4, 13.4.4.1 - 13.4.4.3)					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	63	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete reverse sensitivity.					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	80	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.5.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	169	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	137	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.4.5.7 Effects on <del>the integrity of any</del> <u>significant</u> terrestrial or marine ecosystems.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	138	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.5.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.4.5.9 Restrictions on public access during the <del>operation</del> <u>construction activities</u> .					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	170	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	139	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert new rule: <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	105	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	106	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port.					
<b>1041</b>	Port Clifford Limited	81	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 13.5.2: <i>Rule 13.5.2 Any activity carried out for the purpose of constructing a port or any port activity within the Port Zone at Clifford Bay.</i>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	202	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in 13.6 Prohibited Activities. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	207	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 13.6. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	140	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.3: 13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the <u>combustion</u> of any of the following materials: ...					
<b>749</b>	GBC Winstone	6	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 13.6.3: <i>Rule 13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the <b>burning</b> of any of the following materials:</i>					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	10	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	204	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.4 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	8	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.4.</p> <p>Rule 13.6.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	34	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.</p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	200	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 13.6.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	205	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 13.6.5 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".</p>					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	9	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.5.</p> <p>Rule 13.6.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	35	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.</p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	201	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain rule 13.6.6. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	206	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain rule 13.6.6. (inferred)</p>					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	10	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 13.6.6 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>13.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	36	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.</p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	141	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 13.6.7:</p> <p>13.6.7. <del>New noise sensitive activity or alteration or addition to an existing building that will be used for a noise sensitive activity</del> within the mapped Inner <u>Noise Control Boundary</u> at the port of Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	200	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	32	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	203	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1 Permitted activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	208	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules under Section 14.1. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	145	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new permitted activity rule, and associated performance standards, to provide for the manual scraping and wet sanding of anti-foul paint for the purpose of removal, and any associated discharge to air.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	147	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Port Landing zones. Port Zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Port Landing Zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table).					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	148	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for dredging in the Port Landing zones: XXX. Dredging, and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, within the Port Landing Zone. Standards XXX. No more than 1,000m <sup>3</sup> of foreshore and seabed material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle (except live vegetation), must be removed by any person within a calendar year. XXX. The purpose of the dredging must be to maintain the water depth level of a navigational channel. XXX. At least 10 working days prior to the commencement of dredging activity, Maritime New Zealand and the Council must be advised in writing of the nature and duration of the intended works. XXX. The depth of any seabed disturbance must be limited to the amount necessary to maintain water depth levels. XXX. Dredged material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area. XXX. The activity must not adversely affect navigational safety. XXX. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	12	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Port Landing Area Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	64	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Manage all commercial wharfs across the region to the same policies and methods. Amend the maps, descriptive and rules accordingly.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	143	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	144	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	63	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1.9.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	55	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.9.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1.9.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	177	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	176	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.14 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	146	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement and use of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	69	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1.17 as notified.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	149	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions under 14.2.1.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	209	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read: "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	226	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 14.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must <b>not cause noise that exceeds the following noise limits at or within the boundary of any other property be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits.</b></i> "					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	204	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm      55 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am      45 dB LAeq      75 dB LAFmax"</p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	215	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 15.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm      60 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am      45 dB LAeq      75 dB LAFmax";</p>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	150	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Landing Zone, including the following amendment:</p> <p>14.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured <u>at beyond</u> the boundary of, <del>or within</del>, the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	43	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,</p> <p>(ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	44	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 14.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	171	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	172	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	151	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 14.2.4.1 Light spill onto any land zoned Coastal Living must not exceed <del>2.5</del> <u>10</u> Lux spoil (horizontal and vertical).					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	14	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 14.2.4.3: <i>Standard 14.2.4.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area Zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1042	Port Underwood Association	16	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>14.2.4.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area zone and any road. <b>All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
1140	Sanford Limited	65	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.'					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	210	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced."					
1140	Sanford Limited	68	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to provide for minor alterations that do not discernibly change the scale, size and height as a permitted activity that do not require a resource consent.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	205	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced."					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	67	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
1158	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	59	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	211	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended: (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1); (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2); and (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	206	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:  To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	207	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:  To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	208	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended:  To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5).					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	171	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	43	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	152	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a definition for "commercial clean fill".					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	17	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	172	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	209	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	212	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	61	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	70	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 14.3.12 as notified.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	153	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new rule: <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	212	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain rule 14.5 - Prohibited activities. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	215	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 14.5. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	11	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	210	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	213	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	11	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	38	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.</p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	211	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 14.5.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	214	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".</p>					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	12	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.5.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve (inferred).</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	39	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.</p>					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	13	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 14.5.6 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b>  <b><i>14.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b>  <b><i>14.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>14.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	201	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	33	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	213	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1 - Permitted Activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	216	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 15.1. (inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	158	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule for indigenous vegetation clearance as a permitted activity, and corresponding specific standard, such as Standard 13.3.20, with the exception that the amendment sought to standard 13.3.20.3 also apply to the Marina zone.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	154	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	156	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.1.3 <u>Commercial activities related to marina activities, including but not limited to:</u> Commercial ship brokering, charter boat hire services, chandlery and sail making services.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	241	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal.  Or  Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	155	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	64	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 15.1.16.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	56	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.16.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1.16.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	214	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 15.1.21 to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	217	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom".					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	157	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule as follows: Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the mouth of <u>entrance to the marina</u> , as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	175	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.26 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.27.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.27 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	62	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.29.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	71	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1.30 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	64	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.32.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined): <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>the New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	72	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1.32 as notified.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	173	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 15.2.1 and 15.2.2).					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 15.2. <b><i>15.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</i></b> <b><i>15.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i></b> <b><i>15.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	159	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions listed under 15.2.1.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	69	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to exclude existing users.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	218	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Amend 15.2.3.1 to read:            "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits:            7.00 am to 10.00 pm 60 dB LAeq            10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and</p> <p>(b) Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:            7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq            10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax."</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	225	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>Amend Standard 15.2.3.1 as follows - "An activity must <b>not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Marina be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Zone does not exceed the following noise limits.</b>"</i></p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	160	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Marina Zone, including, but not limited to the following amendment:</p> <p>15.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at <u>beyond</u> the boundary of, <del>or within</del>, the Zone does not exceed the following limits:</p>					
1140	Sanford Limited	48	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary,            (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	237	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 15.2.3.2 as follows (bold) - "<i>An activity undertaken within the Marina Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured <b>at or within an Urban Residential 2 or Open Space 1 Zone does not exceed the following limits:</b>"</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	216	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm      55 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am      45 dB LAeq      75 dB LAFmax					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	49	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	173	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	161	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause 15.2.4.2 to require the measurement of light spill to be 2m inside of the adjoining zone boundary.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	162	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.2.5.3.      Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, <u>structure or building</u> .					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	171	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Marina zone. Port zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Marina zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table).					
<b>457</b>	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	163	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.3.2.1. The activity must not involve any abrasive blasting <u>within the coastal marine area</u> .					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	242	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal. Or Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination.					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	4	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.  MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	164	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause 15.3.3.1.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	178	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.3.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <del>15.5.3.3. The reclamation of the foreshore or seabed must not be located in that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10.</del>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	165	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.3.3 Living accommodation facility for marina staff <u>or contractors</u> .					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	166	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <del>15.3.4.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the marina.</del>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	167	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.3.4 .21 The accommodation must only be provided to employees <u>or contractors</u> of the operator of the marina.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	168	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.3.5.2. There must be no <u>more than 5%</u> increase in the height, size or scale of a building or structure.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	68	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	60	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	219	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the following Standards under Heading 15.3.9: (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1); (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2); and (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from the background sedimentation. (15.3.9.5)					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	217	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1);					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	218	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2);					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	219	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (15.3.9.5).					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.12.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 15.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	169	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend provision as follows: Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the <del>mouth of</del> <u>entrance</u> to the marinas, as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	175	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 15.1.23 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	6	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	176	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 15.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.14 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	24	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.14.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	25	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.15.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	177	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	44	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	170	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 15.3.17.1.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	16	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  (Inferred)					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	178	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	220	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	220	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	73	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 15.3.20 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	74	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 15.3.22 as notified.					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	1	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	4	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Should Rule 15.4.1 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>There must not be more than 5 ? litres of coating material applied per hour and not more than 20 ? litres of coating material applied per month.</del> " <i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i>					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	2	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	5	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), delete this Standard.					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	6	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The total amount of coating material sprayed at one place must not exceed 40 ? litres per hour.</del> " <i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i>					
<b>1155</b>	Soundsmarine Limited	3	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	180	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new restricted discretionary rule as follows: <u>XXX Discharge of contaminants in the coastal marine area as part of the construction of a structure necessary for or ancillary to activities permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> <u>Matters over which Council will exercise discretion:</u> 4.1 <u>Effects on quality of water in receiving environment.</u> 4.2 <u>The quantity and nature of the discharge.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms Include an additional matter of discretion: Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	179	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	172	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms 15.5.1.1 The structure (or extension thereof) is necessary for or <u>ancillary to</u> the operational requirements of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	173	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms 15.5.1.2 <del>The building or structure (or extension thereof) must not be located</del> In that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10, <u>this rule only applies to the extension of an existing building.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	175	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 15.5.1, including the removal of sand, shell, shingle or other natural material, required as part of the construction and use of a building or structure that is necessary for the operation of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	176	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an additional matter of discretion: <u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	180	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	179	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an additional matter of discretion: <u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	181	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	177	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 15.5.3.1 The reclamation must be necessary for the operation of the marina, <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	128	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add " <b>M2643</b> " to the table in Standard 15.5.4.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	181	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new rule for occupation of the CMA as follows: xx <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine area not provided for as a permitted or restricted discretionary activity.</u>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	221	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	221	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	22	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 15.7 Prohibited Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	12	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	222	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	222	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	14	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	20	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) are made to Rule 15.7.4:</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.4. From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	14	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.4 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>15.7.4. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p>That the following new rules (bold) are included in 15.7 Prohibited Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	223	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	223	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting 9 June 2022".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	15	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.5.</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i></b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	21	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5:</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	15	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.5. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	16	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.6.</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.6 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</del></p> <p><b>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</b>  <b>15.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</b></p> <p><b>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</b>  <b>15.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>15.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</b></p> <p><b>15.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</b></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	23	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6:</p> <p><i>Rule 15.7.6 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources.</b></i></p>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	16	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6:</p> <p><i>Rule 15.7.6. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources.</b></i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	155	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone		Support
Decision Requested	<p>The addition of the following Prohibited Activity rule is requested - "<b>Rafting of logs as a means of transportation through the Coastal Marine Zone.</b>"</p>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	48	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rules as published with amendments requested in submissions related to headings 16.3.9.1 (submission point #49), 16.7.2 (submission point #50), 16.7.3 (submission point #51), 16.7.4 (submission point #52) and 16.7.5 (submission point #53).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	710	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new rule is included which reads as follows - <b>"Disturbance in the coastal marine area for the purpose of clearing debris, excluding gravel.</b> <b>(a) The disturbance is limited to the extent necessary to clear the debris;</b> <b>(b) The disturbance does not damage any riverbank, riverbed, or cause any flooding or erosion;</b> <b>(c) All reasonable steps are taken to minimise the release of sediment during the disturbance;</b> <b>(d) The site is left tidy following completion of the activity;</b> <b>(e) The debris removal is carried out within twelve months of the flood event that deposited the debris."</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	711	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new permitted activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <b>"Maintenance and repair of coastal protection structures."</b>					
<b>686</b>	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	6	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	203	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a statement specifying that the Coastal Marine Zone is the same thing as the Coastal Marine Area and is determined by the location of Mean High Water Springs, or rename Coastal Marine Zone as Coastal Marine Area throughout the MEP. <b>Amend the introduction to Chapter 16</b> to state that the rules relate to activities controlled under Section 12 of the RMA.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	217	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Coastal Marine Zone as follows:</b> <u>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</u>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	34	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices.					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following new Permitted Activity rule - <b>"Customary fishing methods including but not restricted to spear, gathering, net, line or dredging."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following new Permitted Activity rule - <b>"Casual anchoring for cultural and customary purposes."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	28	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " <b>Fishing by any method.</b> "					
<b>236</b>	NIWA Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from the Council is to clarify whether relaying empty mussel shell will require consent.  We also request that Council provide the relief of making it Permitted Activity subject to Standards (such as in designated appropriate areas, not discharging over known ecologically significant marine sites; notifying the harbour master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation can be avoided; and subject to biosecurity approval).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1 - Permitted activities. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	225	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The Marlborough Sounds used to contain extensive natural mussel beds. Attempts to restore those mussel beds in appropriate areas is consistent with NZCPS policy 14.</p> <p>Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and</p> <p>Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules under Section 16.1 - Permitted Activities. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	225	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and</p> <p>(b) Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development.</p>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	421	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	15	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the 1000m rule is to be retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 1000m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul> <p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul>					
1023	P Rene	9	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Permitted Activities, and associated Standards, to apply to areas around d'urville Island and around it's surrounding Islets: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Rahonui Island, Tapararere Island, Te Horo, Anatakapu Island, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands as follows -</p> <p>as sub parts of 16.1 being 16.1.24 [new permitted activity]</p> <p>16.1.25 permitted activity='kaitiakitanga']</p> <p>16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape</p> <p>16.1.28 iwi management plans/ kaitiakitanga plans</p> <p>16.1.29 community wellbeing and with all as permitted activities</p> <p>As subset parts of ;16.1.24, 16.1.25, 16.1.26, 16.1.28, 16.1.29</p>					



16.1.24.1 For Durville Island and its surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.24.1.1.1 unloading/loading of stock,vehicles,machinery,farm produce,goods, wool bales,buildings,materials, by barge, for property owners -Durville Is or it's surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1.2 droving of stock O'urville islanai only 16.1.24.1.1.3 boundary fences. D'urville Island,only

16.1.24.1.1.4 launching of boats, where the boat owner is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is only

16.1.24.1.1.5 ensuring options for making 'safe' boats and vessels of local property owners, via moorings, permanent anchoring, a boat ramp, or jetty, adjacent to the owners property D'urville and islets

16.1.24.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line[d'urville island surrounding Islets] .D'urville

16.1.24.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.24.1.1.8 options [16.1.24.1.1.1 - 16.1.24.1.1.7 inclusive] must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.25.1 1 Kaitiakitanga - Rangitoto ki te tonga/d'urville island and surrounding Islets [kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1: subject to 16.1.25.1.1.1.a, 16.1.25.1.1.1.b, 16.1.25.1.1.1.c]

16.1.25.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.25.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga [riparian rights continue to be held in private ownership of whanau] for Durville Island and it's surrounding Islets, as the 'Customary rights' markers & rohe marker for whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata [coastal area interface -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.2 Kaitiakitanga -cultural activities(coastal area -rohe-location) [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d] 16.1.25.1.1.3 kaitiakitanga - customary practices [coastal area -rohe-location] (subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d) 16.1.25.1.1.4 kaitiakitanga - Moorings [coastal area -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.5 kaitiakitanga - Maitaitai, taiapure,rahui. (coastal area -rohe-location)

16.1.25.1.1.6 Kaitiakitanga - cultural landscape [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga criteria/standards(16.1 .25.1.1.1.1a - 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d inclusive)

16.1.25.1.1.1.1a Kaitiakitanga content ='Traditions and cultural'-Rangatiratanga ,Tikanga' of [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1b kaitiakitanga - community = [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1c kaitiakitanga - Location = rohe of Durville Is and surrounding Islets and includes, their ancestral lands, sites, waters, waahi tapu, and other taonga

16.1.25.1.1.1.1d under kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1.1:

16.1.25.1.1.2 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c 16.1.25.1.1.3 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c  
16.1.25.1.1.6 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c

else Kaitiakitanga must not happen where the rohe is 'rangitoto ki te tonga'/d'urville island and includes the following blocks Tinui Island, Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui,

Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands

16.1.25.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.25.1.1.8 options (16.1.25.1.1.1 - 16.1.25.1.1.7, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.29.1. community wellbeing [being owners at d'urville island and surrounding islands; block Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands]

16.1.29.1.1 Permitted Activities

16.1.29.1.1.1 right to apply for and occupy the coastal marine area, for use as boat ramp, where the ramp applicant is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.2 right to apply for, occupy and use coastal space, for a jetty, adjacent to property, of the jetty owner, for loading and unloading of vessels, for servicing property at Durville Is or surrounding Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.3 permanent anchorage, by owners of adjacent property-Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.4 right to apply for and operate a mooring, adjacent to property of the mooring owner, or servicing property at Durville Is or Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.5 surveillance equipment

16.1.29.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line (d'urville island)

16.1.29.1.1.7 moorings, where that site is adjacent to property of the owner - D'urville Island

16.1.29.1.1.8 option to apply for moorings/weka management where no bylaw for managing swing moorings (Durville Is or tinui Island)

16.1.29.1.1.9 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line Islets surrounding Durville island,

16.1.29.1.2.1 recreational fishing parks, marine management parks (be subject to 16.1.25.1.1 and 16.1.29.1.2.1)

16.1.29.1.2.1 existing use rights, of the RMA

#### Standards

16.1.29.1.2.2 options (16.1.29.1.1.1 - 16.1.29.1.2.1, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety

	16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape					
	16.1.26.1 permitted activity					
	16.1.26.1.1 Kaitiakitanga					
	16.1.28 iwi management plans / kaitiakitanga plans					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	182	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a permitted activity to allow for ecological restoration initiatives to be undertaken without resource consent.					
<b>1233</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	10	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>If the 1000m Rule is to be retained (<i>infer Rules 16.7.2 and 16.7.3</i>), include in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> </ul> <p>If the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained, included in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> <li>• <b>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b></li> </ul>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	10	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.1 Permitted Activities:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	195	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions.					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	86	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	161	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	39	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.1.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	40	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.2.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	41	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	198	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	88	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.6.					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	191	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 16.1.8 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity, unless authorised as an ancillary by a consent for another activity.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	122	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 16.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><b><u>"16.1.9 Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the following:</u></b>  <b><u>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</u></b>  <b><u>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</u></b>  <b><u>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</u></b>  <b><u>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</u></b><del>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable."</del></p>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	65	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.11.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	57	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.11.					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	193	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.12.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.1.12: <i>Rule 16.1.12 <del>Temporary</del> <b>Permanent</b> structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes.</i>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	181	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.13					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	182	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.14					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	185	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	186	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule 16.1.15.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	819	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Rule as follows - <b>"Driftwood is not removed from a seabed, estuary or lagoon area."</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	204	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 16.1.6.</b>					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	192	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.17.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 16.1.17 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	75	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.19 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	76	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.20 as notified.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	208	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Rule 16.1.23.</b>  <b>Add a new permitted activity rule, as follows:</b>  <i>Renewal of an existing resource consent for the occupation of coastal marine area by a structure owned by a network utility operator.</i></p>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	15	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is added under <b>16.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities:</b>  <b><i>Standard 16.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b></p>					
<b>1042</b>	Port Underwood Association	17	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new standard:  <b><i>16.2.8 Use of external lighting.</i></b>  <b><i>16.2.8.1. All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	183	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Marine Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 16.2.1 and 16.2.2).					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	123	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the Standards in 16.2 to include the following:  <p><b><i>" 16.2.x Activities in the vicinity of the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables</i></b>  <i>16.2.x.1 Except for works associated with the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables, there shall be no disturbance, anchoring, mooring or occupation of the foreshore immediately adjacent to Transpower New Zealand Limited's Fighting Bay Terminal Station.</i>  <b><i>Advice Note:</i></b> <i>The Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996, the associated Submarine Cables and Pipeline Protection Order 1992, and Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Amendment Order 1999 contain further restrictions on fishing and anchoring within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone. "</i></p>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 16.2: <p><b><i>16.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</i></b>  <b><i>16.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i></b>  <b><i>16.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></b></p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	226	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	243	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include an additional permitted activity standard as follows: <p><u>16.2.1.X The disturbance must not be of an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site in the planning maps.</u></p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	42	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	226	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	706	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change the Heading " <i>Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure</i> " under " <i>Standards that apply to all permitted activities</i> " to a Permitted Activity Rule.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	209	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 16.2.2.2</b> to allow small increases in the height, size or scale of a structure as a permitted activity when undertaking maintenance, repair and replacement work. To align with similar MEP rules in riverbeds, the standard could read:</p> <p><i>16.2.2.2. The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the structure by any more than 5% of the original structure.</i></p> <p>The standard could apply to minor additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	813	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	815	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	816	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	148	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone"</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	227	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read:            "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits:            7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dB LAeq            10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and</p> <p>(b) Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."</p>					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	194	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Avoid permitted status of noise in and around sensitive areas for wildlife (refer to submission point #188).</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	65	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this rule by adding TMTA to the list of activities excluded from this rule, and instead requiring TMTA comply with the NZDF noise standards requested for insertion in General Rules Standard 2.42.1.3, as requested in submission point 55 above.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 16.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -" <del>The An activity must</del> <b>not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property</b> <del>be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the zone does not exceed the following noise limits:"</del></p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	227	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read: "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm    50 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am    40 dB LAeq    75 dB LAFmax"					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	45	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	228	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	46	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	175	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	66	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.2.3.3 as notified.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	176	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	67	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.'					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	87	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
<b>699</b>	Pete and Takutai Beech	4	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Ferry speeds should be limited to 15 kts. No Grandfather clauses.  Retain standard 16.3.1.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	162	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>16.3.1. Use of surface coastal water by a ship in the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound.</i> <i>16.3.1.1. A high speed ship must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots, with the exception of the MV Aratere which must not exceed a ship speed of 19 knots.</i> <i>16.3.1.2. A ship exceeding 500 gross registered tonnes must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	67	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard to provide for NZDF activities, including but not limited to clarifying relevance across other areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	196	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provisions.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	197	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	228	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	229	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is unclear whether the policy is intended to apply to ships and barges used for the purpose of aquaculture. "Ship" is defined in the MEP as having the same meaning as in s 2 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994. The case law suggests that a barge could be captured by that definition.  Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.					
<b>443</b>	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <del>The ship must not be anchored to the foreshore or seabed for more than 60</del> <b>10 consecutive days or more than 90 days within any 12 month period, within the same embayment, inlet, or estuary, and should be subject to the requirement of the harbour master that such a ship or vessel should be manned when at anchor on a twenty four hour basis.</b> "  (Inferred)					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	199	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	205	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 16.3.5.</b>					
<b>1233</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	11	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The erection or placement of a marine navigational aid (including lighting), <b>with the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys</b>, must be carried out by, or on behalf of, Maritime New Zealand."</i>					
<b>1233</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	12	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"<b>With the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys</b>, prior to installation, the GPS mapping co-ordinates and a description of the marine navigational aid must be provided to the Harbour Master."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	124	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the Standards in 16.3.7 as follows:  <i>"16.3.7. <b>Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the follows:</b> <b>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</b> <b>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</b> <b>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</b> <b>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</b><del>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable.</del> 16.3.7.1. No more than 500m<sup>3</sup> of material must be disturbed in any one calendar year. 16.3.7.12. The repair, maintenance or replacement works must not adversely affect navigational safety. 16.3.7.32. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity."</i>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	69	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar</b> location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	61	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same <b>or similar location</b> as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	230	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	229	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
<b>79</b>	Jonathan Gardner	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change rule 16.3.9.1 so that there is no time limit on the period of deployment of monitoring equipment.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	231	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete standard 16.3.9.1.					
<b>613</b>	Cawthron Institute	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We request that the rules pertaining to temporary scientific moorings be made more flexible especially with respect to the duration of these installations. The detailed conditions of this scheme may need to be worked out in consultation between MDC, and science providers. It is suggested that:					
	- Approval from the Harbour Master after submission of information on: location, details of the installation, mooring tackle, lighting and signage, purpose, duration, etc.					
	- A mooring should not be installed over any scheduled ecologically significant marine site (unless the purpose of the installation is protection of this site).					
	- Duration of one location be automatically granted up to 12 consecutive calendar months, but with flexibility to adjust or extent the duration up to 36 consecutive months after approval is given by the Harbour Master.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to read 100 days and delete reference to scientific, permitted rule should apply to all monitoring. Make consequential changes to similar rule across all sections.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	232	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or					
	Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:					
	To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2).					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	233	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or					
	Policy 16.3.9 should be amended:					
	To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	183	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.10					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	817	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows - <b>" Council must be advised prior to the works occurring. "</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	184	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	707	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	184	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.11					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	818	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows - <b>" Council must be advised prior to the works occurring. "</b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	708	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	206	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 16.3.11.1. as follows:</b> <i>Disturbance must be undertaken by non-mechanical means, or be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or New Zealand Transport Agency.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	207	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 16.3.11.7 as follows:</b> <i>The clearance works must not result in fish passage <del>being</del> must not be impeded.</i>					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	195	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a further permitted activity condition that the sand being used for replenishment is of similar size and composition to that which naturally occurs.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	187	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.12.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	185	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.13					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	709	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"No more than <del>0.5m<sup>3</sup></del> <b>1m<sup>3</sup></b> of natural material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle but not including vegetation, must be removed by any individual within a calendar year."</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	188	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 16.3.14.3 to require early notification and consultation with iwi on discovery of dead mammal and provide iwi approval before burial.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	230	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	77	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.3.16 as notified.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule, 'Other than for the purposes of running a <u>vessel</u> xxx'					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	234	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	78	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.3.17 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	36	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.18.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	37	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.19.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	38	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.19.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity Rule and associated Matters over which the Council has reserved control as follows - Rule: <b>"A single mooring for each title in Maori Freehold title that relies on water access due to either there being no road access and where there is road access in the circumstances of this being impracticable for reasonable ease of access."</b> Matters over which the Council has reserved control: <b>"- Specific location;            - the type and specifications for the mooring;            - maintenance;            - consent period."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	163	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>  <i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	89	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.4.2.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	164	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>  <i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>					
<b>790</b>	Strait Shipping Limited	5	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 16.4.2.9: <i>Standard 16.4.2.9 The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be retained and archived on board the ship in an electronic format readable by the Council for a period of no less than <del>6 months</del> <b>30 days</b> except where that data is available to the Council via an Automatic Identification System.</i>					
<b>790</b>	Strait Shipping Limited	6	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 16.4.2.17: <i><del>Standard 16.4.2.17 Approved methods, frequency and certification of calibration of the Data Recording Device(s) required by the Plan to measure Ship Speed.</del></i>					
<b>790</b>	Strait Shipping Limited	7	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.4.2.19. That a new standard is added: <b><i>16.4.2.X The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be provided to the Council within 7 days of a written request except where the data is available to the Council via an Automatic identification System. The Council may request this data a maximum of once per year.</i></b>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	200	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	53	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to limited notification to effected parties.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	42	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.6.1.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	201	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>443</b>	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a Standard to this Rule as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"Moorings in all areas other than Waikawa should be limited to vessels of no greater length than 18 metres."</b></li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>443</b>	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add two Standards to this Rule as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"The jetty must be available for public use."</b></li> <li>• <b>"Consent will not be granted for any other occupation of the zone that has the effect of limiting access to any part of a jetty."</b></li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	43	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.6.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>79</b>	Jonathan Gardner	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Restoration activities should be Permitted Activities in their own right, subject to reasonable standards that might include (1) not altering ecologically significant marine sites, (2) notifying the Harbour Master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation are avoided, and (3) consulting with Iwi to avoid sites of cultural interest.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	210	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add specific rules for the following activities</b>, bundling in associated foreshore/ seabed disturbance, destruction, deposition, material removal, reclamation, occupation, and discharge of contaminants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permit minor additions or alterations to structures (e.g. up to an addition of 5m in horizontal projection and 1m in vertical projection, except for stormwater pipes where deeper or wider excavations are only permitted for the purpose of constructing a sediment retention trap) The standard could apply to additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures.</li> <li>• Permit temporary structures (e.g. in place for up to 31 days).</li> <li>• Permit replacement structures (e.g. where they are like for like).</li> <li>• New structures as a discretionary activity.</li> </ul>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	202	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new non-complying activity rule:  <u>xx. The construction of marinas within the Coastal Marine Zone in that part of the Coastal Marine zone defined as "Waikawa Bay" is a non-complying activity.</u></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	18	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 16.7 Prohibited Activities:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	34	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a two new Prohibited Activity rule as follows -					
	<p><b><i>"Anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites."</i></b></p> <p><b><i>"Anchoring and dredging within any buffer zone around an ecologically significant marine site."</i></b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	236	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	232	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.1. (inferred)					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>20</b>	Chris Duckworth	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recommendation: The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>23</b>	James Turner	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>27</b>	Joseph Maurice Carter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.					
<b>37</b>	David Kennett	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That clause is changed to reduce distance to 500 metres but adds a depth restriction of 20 metres (up from current 5 metres).					
<b>38</b>	Nicky Jenkins	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -  "From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>47</b>	Sue Parkinson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	THE LIMITS APPLYING TO DISCHARGE OF SEWAGE IN THE COASTAL MAINE ZONE REMAIN AT 500 METRES TO SEAWARD OF MHWS AND 500 METRES OF A MARINE FARM.  NO PUMP OUT FACILITIES BE ENFORCED					
<b>49</b>	Grant Hutchings	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>50</b>	Michael Rattray	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	4. Recommendation The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point).					
<b>51</b>	Kerry Kirk	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.					
<b>53</b>	Neil Middleton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>54</b>	John McCully	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I propose that the Marlborough District Council leave the current rules and regulations, i.e. not within 500 meters, in place.					
<b>55</b>	Kevin Henderson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Decisions Requested In order that the boating public remain able to enjoy using the Sounds as they have (without ill effect) for decades I request that the 'Environment Plan' adopt the national 500 metre standard regarding the discharge of sewage.					
<b>65</b>	Rick Gordon	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>65</b>	Rick Gordon	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>67</b>	Anne Marett	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>68</b>	Belinda Moss	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.</p>					
<b>70</b>	Mark Radnor	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate.</p>					
<b>71</b>	John Jackson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and do not need to increase cost for all parties, council included.</p> <p>John and Mary-Anne Jackson</p> <p>Residents of Waikawa Bay</p> <p>Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club</p> <p>Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and Mooring Association</p> <p>Owner of launch</p>					
<b>72</b>	Mandy Carpenter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The distance from MHWS for sewage disposal should remain at 500m and at a depth of 5m or more.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>76</b>	Helen Ashworth	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>77</b>	Rob Grigg	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the current 500m distance from MHWS and Fish Farms rule be retained.					
<b>78</b>	Chris Douglas	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm					
<b>80</b>	Brian Hobbs	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	4. Recommendation The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>81</b>	Paul Lee	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>82</b>	Sebastian Stapleton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>97</b>	Jonathan Duffy	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
101	Viv Butcher	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Recommendation:</b> The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p>					
102	Donald Thomson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p>					
106	Val Holmes	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p>					
108	Ian Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p>					
127	Mark Altoft	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m.</p> <p>2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"</p>					
138	Peter Broughton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1) That the regulated limits applying to discharge of sewage on the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>2) That Council, in consultation with the community, publish a map showing a more restrictive area where Council advises that boaties may discharge sewage. That map to show just the central, deep part of the main Queen Charlotte Sound from about Picton Harbour to seawards, none of Tory Channel (subject to consultation) and maybe just the central deepest part of Endeavour Inlet (subject to consultation).</p>					
142	Wayne Norwood	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres of MHWS.					
<b>159</b>	Richard Middleton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewerage in the coastal marine zone are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clause 16.7.2 reads that in the Coastal Marine Zone discharge of sewage may only take place at a distance at least 500 metres to seaward of MHWS.</li> </ul>					
<b>170</b>	Thomas van der Burgh	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>178</b>	Ralph Rosthorn	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>231</b>	Jono Wilson	5	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm</p> <p>All vessels used for overnight and longer cruises must be fitted with a holding tank by 2020. No vessel must overnight in QCS without a holding tank from 2017.</p>					
<b>235</b>	Chris Collie-Holmes	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>242</b>	Doug Smith	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm.					
<b>248</b>	David Colsell	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark.</li> <li>- Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey).</li> <li>- Recreational craft &gt;7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all.</li> <li>- The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible.</li> </ul>					
<b>276</b>	Ian Gardiner	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>332</b>	Robert John Culbert	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a MHWS."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	237	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.2.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	186	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately <b>(inferred)</b> .					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	233	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
494	Evaon Watkins	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
495	Bruce Watkins	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	17	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.2 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.2.</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.2 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p><b>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</b> (inferred).</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	90	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.2.					
<b>523</b>	Alan Dodgson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>526</b>	A G N Anderson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations.					
<b>536</b>	Andrew Simmonds	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>580</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p> <p>And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances.</p>					
<b>586</b>	Christopher Fenton McCallum	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>					
<b>644</b>	Diana Elizabeth Harper	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>					
<b>651</b>	David James Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.</p>					
<b>653</b>	David Edward Johnstone	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>					
<b>675</b>	Donald Wright	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <del>The</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i></p>					
<b>695</b>	Elias I Wilson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>706</b>	Forrest McDougall	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>720</b>	Gordon Berry	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>732</b>	Graeme Kenneth Riach	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>739</b>	Graham Bruce Robertson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
<b>774</b>	Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>786</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>789</b>	John Nicholas Burton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>795</b>	Jeff Cook	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>813</b>	John Leon Aldridge	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>840</b>	John Winlove	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." (Inferred)					
<b>849</b>	Ken Cookson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>864</b>	Katie Strader	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>					
<b>875</b>	Liz Ann Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.					
<b>876</b>	Leonard Arthur Woodman	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>					
<b>933</b>	Michael John Dryden	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>					
<b>938</b>	Mark Langdon Robertson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>					
<b>947</b>	Melvyn Ronald Davies	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS, and a <b>minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres.</b>"</i>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	16	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i>Rule 16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
980	Nigel Edward Ackroyd	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Plan. Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties. Proof of necessity of this plan change.					
1020	Peter M Thorne George	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." (Inferred)					
1033	Pacific Eagle Charters Limited	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
1048	Robyn Anne Barclay	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
1071	Roland McGregor Post	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
1105	Simon Bell	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS." (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1117</b>	Steven Guy La Plante	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	40	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
<b>1169</b>	Tom Kane	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."  (Inferred)					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	36	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	45	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
<b>1222</b>	Warwick Foley	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>1232</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>1233</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del> " <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <del>16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del> That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.7 Prohibited Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>1260</b>	Christopher Robert Webb	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The status quo until further science has been done to support change.  I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place.					
<b>23</b>	James Turner	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>27</b>	Joseph Maurice Carter	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.					
<b>38</b>	Nicky Jenkins	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -  <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>49</b>	Grant Hutchings	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>50</b>	Michael Rattray	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	4. Recommendation  The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point).					
<b>51</b>	Kerry Kirk	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.					
<b>67</b>	Anne Maret	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>68</b>	Belinda Moss	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.					
<b>70</b>	Mark Radnor	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate.					
<b>71</b>	John Jackson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and donot need to increase cost for all parties, council included. John and Mary-Anne Jackson Residents of Waikawa Bay Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and Mooring Association Owner of launch					
<b>72</b>	Mandy Carpenter	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the distance from a marine farm for discharge of sewage remain at 500m					
<b>76</b>	Helen Ashworth	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>80</b>	Brian Hobbs	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>81</b>	Paul Lee	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>82</b>	Sebastian Stapleton	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>97</b>	Jonathan Duffy	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity.					
<b>101</b>	Viv Butcher	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Recommendation:</b> The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>102</b>	Donald Thomson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>106</b>	Val Holmes	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>127</b>	Mark Altoft	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m. 2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"					
<b>142</b>	Wayne Norwood	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of a marine farm.					
<b>170</b>	Thomas van der Burgh	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>178</b>	Ralph Rosthorn	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>235</b>	Chris Collie-Holmes	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>242</b>	Doug Smith	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm.					
<b>248</b>	David Colsell	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark.</li> <li>- Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey).</li> <li>- Recreational craft &gt;7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all.</li> <li>- The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible.</li> </ul>					
<b>276</b>	Ian Gardiner	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>332</b>	Robert John Culbert	4	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	238	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.3.					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	187	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately <b><i>(inferred)</i></b> .					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	234	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>494</b>	Evaon Watkins	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>495</b>	Bruce Watkins	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	18	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.3 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.3.</p> <p>Rule 16.7.3 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><b>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</b></p> <p><b>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</b> (inferred).</p>					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	94	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.3.					
<b>523</b>	Alan Dodgson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>526</b>	A G N Anderson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i></p> <p>And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>536</b>	Andrew Simmonds	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>580</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances.					
<b>586</b>	Christopher Fenton McCallum	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>644</b>	Diana Elizabeth Harper	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>651</b>	David James Nelson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.					
<b>653</b>	David Edward Johnstone	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>675</b>	Donald Wright	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>695</b>	Elias I Wilson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>706</b>	Forrest McDougall	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>720</b>	Gordon Berry	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>732</b>	Graeme Kenneth Riach	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>739</b>	Graham Bruce Robertson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>774</b>	Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>786</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>789</b>	John Nicholas Burton	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>795</b>	Jeff Cook	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>813</b>	John Leon Aldridge	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>840</b>	John Winlove	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>849</b>	Ken Cookson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>864</b>	Katie Strader	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>875</b>	Liz Ann Nelson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.					
<b>876</b>	Leonard Arthur Woodman	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>933</b>	Michael John Dryden	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>938</b>	Mark Langdon Robertson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>947</b>	Melvyn Ronald Davies	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm, and a <b>minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres.</b>"</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	17	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.3 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del>					
980	Nigel Edward Ackroyd	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Plan. Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties. Proof of necessity of this plan change.					
1020	Peter M Thorne George	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."  (Inferred)					
1033	Pacific Eagle Charters Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
1048	Robyn Anne Barclay	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
1071	Roland McGregor Post	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  <del>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1105</b>	Simon Bell	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1117</b>	Steven Guy La Plante	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	41	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
<b>1169</b>	Tom Kane	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	37	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1193	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	46	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
1222	Warwick Foley	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm.</b> "					
1232	Waikawa Boating Club	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm.</b> "					
1233	Waikawa Boating Club	14	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del> " <b>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b>  <b>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b>  <b>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</b>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	12	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.3 ( <b>inferred</b> ):  <del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del>					
1260	Christopher Robert Webb	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The status quo until further science has been done to support change. I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	156	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - " 16.7.4. - <i>Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage <b>from land based activities</b> into the coastal marine area, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</i> "					
<b>127</b>	Mark Altoft	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That clause 16.7.4 is deleted.					
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	239	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.4. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	52	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.4					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	188	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendment (strikethrough) ( <i>inferred</i> ): Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area, <del>except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</del>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	235	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.4. ( <i>inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	244	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>503</b>	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	19	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.4 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.4.</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.4 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area-</del></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>16.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></b></p>					
<b>504</b>	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	95	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.4.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	19	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:</p> <p><i>Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	122	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
<b>1233</b>	Waikawa Boating Club	15	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -  <i>"Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure."</i>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:  <i>16.7.4. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
<b>179</b>	Tui Nature Reserve	7	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision (inferred).					
<b>241</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is that Rule 16.7.5 be amended to include the two points I have made above, as suggested in Research, survey and monitoring report number 824  I also seek that concepts such as artificial reef structures be discussed in relation to the enhancement and protection of significant marines sites.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	53	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel.					
<b>418</b>	John Craighead	19	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>419</b>	Fly-fish Marlborough	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>420</b>	Windsong Orchard	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>421</b>	Janet Steggle	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>422</b>	Jan Richardson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>423</b>	Chris Shaw	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	123	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - "Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except <b>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1</b> , Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9."  <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>468</b>	Port Gore Group	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	245	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified subject to proposed new Policy 8.3.X as detailed above.					
<b>480</b>	Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc	4	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Rules as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and <b>all sites identified in Tennyson Inlet</b>—No. 3.9.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5					
<b>524</b>	Alice Doole	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>578</b>	Pinder Family Trust	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015. Reference to this assessment is provided on page 21 of the submission.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. Protection of rare and endangered habitats and marine life.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>599</b>	Carney Ray Soderberg jr	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>610</b>	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>701</b>	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>710</b>	The Fishing Industry Submitters	18	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 16.7.5.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	422	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to prevent dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	196	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5 but make it clear that the rule does apply to the whale and dolphin sites 7.15 and 8.1 on Maps 17 and 18, respectively by amending the legend on Map 17 to " <b>Marine Mammal (whale)</b> significant marine site and Map 18 to <b>Marine Mammal (dolphin)</b> significant site".					
<b>752</b>	Guardians of the Sounds	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).					
<b>827</b>	Jos Rossell	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>833</b>	Jason Tillman	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>861</b>	Kerrin Raeburn	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>865</b>	Karen Walshe	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 16.7.5 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14)</li> <li>West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15)</li> <li>The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11)</li> <li>Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16)</li> <li>Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18)</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery.</li> <li>In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area.</li> <li>If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations.</li> </ul>					
<b>915</b>	Margaret C Dewar	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>921</b>	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>"<b>Anchoring and</b> fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9."</i>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.7.5: <i>Rule 16.7.5. <del>Fishing activity</del> <b>Dredging, trawling or anchoring, or any other non-destructive fishing method</b> that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9.</i>					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support this but proposed rule does not go far enough. Should be clear in stopping dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or</li> <li>Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows:</li> </ol> <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p>					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	7	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarify how the standards for permitted activities, discussed in <b>Rule 16.2</b>, apply to large scale bottom contact fishing methods.</li> <li>The Marlborough District Council ensure further reference highlighting the ecologically significant sights affected by <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to be made available.</li> <li>The Marlborough District Council change or append <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to clarify methods that are deemed to cause disturbance.</li> <li>Increase the ecologically significant sites, creating a buffer zone to adequately protect from sedimentation arising from the use of destructive fishing methods on the margins/outside the Ecologically Significant Sites.</li> </ol>					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	5	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is with regards to the the points raised that Council does not have the jurisdiction to manage fishing activity for Fisheries Act purposes.</p> <p>That Rule 16.7.5 clearly states that bottom trawling, dredging, dumping and other activities that disturb the seabed with potentially more serious adverse effects are prohibited <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>					
<b>1049</b>	Silverwood Partnership	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1051</b>	Cape Campbell Farm	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.7.5 <b>(inferred)</b> .					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ban all dredging in identified ecological marine sites and controlled only anchoring.					
<b>1109</b>	Steffen Browning	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5.					
<b>1146</b>	Sea Shepherd New Zealand	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).					
<b>1179</b>	Thomas Robert Stein	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1190</b>	The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	33	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	43	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).</p> <p>The submission includes Figure 1 from the Panel assessment that shows sites that should be prohibited to anchoring due to rhodolith beds, tubeworm mounds, and bryozoan gardens.</p>					
<b>1194</b>	The Sunshine Trust	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1209</b>	Verena Frei	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1230</b>	Wendy Tillman	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>401</b>	Aquaculture New Zealand	235	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Minor and spot removal of bio-fouling (including marine pests) from a ship, that inadvertently removes antifouling, should be permitted. Maintenance and applications of antifouling below MHWS should be prohibited, other than minor works and keel strip.</p> <p>Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	231	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	246	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	68	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new rule for the in-water cleaning of ships in the MEP. Suggested wording is provided below, based on a similar rule in the proposed Natural Resources Regional Plan for Wellington:</p> <p><i>In-Water bio-foul cleaning - permitted activity</i></p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants and biological material into coastal water from in-water cleaning of biofouling from a vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid, in the Coastal Marine Zone, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the anti-foul coating on the vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid shall not have exceeded its planned service life as specified by the manufacturer, and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the cleaning method shall be undertaken in accordance with the coating manufacturer's recommendations, and</i></p> <p><i>(c) the cleaning of microfouling and goose barnacles of international origin shall be removed using a gently, non-abrasive cleaning technique, and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the cleaning or treatment method shall capture any biological material released into the water column greater than 50µm in diameter, with any captured cleaning debris disposed on land, and</i></p> <p><i>(e) any captured cleaning debris is appropriately disposed of, and</i></p> <p><i>(f) if suspected harmful or unusual aquatic species are found, the vessel owner or operator shall take the following steps:</i></p> <p><i>(i) any cleaning activities shall cease immediately, and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the Harbourmaster shall be notified within five working days, and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the cleaning may not recommence until notified by the Council to do so.</i></p>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	52	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rule and permit minor maintenance and remedial work removal.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	26	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add condition for fish passage at existing in-stream structures.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	25	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That information/maps showing future areas intended for future Active Recreational areas are provided.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	211	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	11	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	13	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	173	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.6 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	172	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.7 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	113	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 17.1.10 but request amendments to be made to Standard 17.3.8.2 (submission point #114).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	165	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>233</b>	Totaranui Limited	7	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	189	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 1 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards under heading 17.2.1).					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	167	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>17.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i>17.2.1.7 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	47	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	(i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	236	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 17.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	111	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 17.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	112	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In all sections replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	177	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	178	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	16	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.2.3.3:  <i>Standard 17.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	12	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 17.3.2.2(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	190	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	29	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	15	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 17.3.2.1) as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	191	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	192	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Excavation should not be permitted without adequate consideration of the potential adverse effects on cultural resources, values or sites.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	28	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	45	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	59	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 17.3.7.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	23	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	60	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	114	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 17.3.8.2:  <i>Standard 17.3.8.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i>  <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b>  <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b>  <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b>  <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b>  <b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b>  <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b>  <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></p>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	37	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	119	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.3.8.5:  <i>Standard 17.3.8.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	14	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> "					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	166	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	193	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend rule 17.3.10.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	14	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	11	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	10.3.10.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> "					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	79	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: " <b>18.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>18.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 18.1.8 that do not meet the Standards in 18.2)</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>18.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>18.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>18.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	26	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That information/maps showing future areas intended for future Active Recreational areas are provided					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	212	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	125	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:  <u>"[D]</u> <b><i>18.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</i></b>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	126	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:  <u>"[D]</u> <b><i>18.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i></b>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	127	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i><u>"18.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b>  <i><u>18.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></i>  <i><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i>  <b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	128	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><i><u>"18.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></i></b>  <i><u>18.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></i>  <i><u>18.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</u></i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	129	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><b><u>"18.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[D]</u>  <b><u>18.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 18.3.x and Standard 18.3.x."</u></b></p>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	12	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	14	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	60	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	60	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	60	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	80	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 18.1.8 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	171	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	170	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.10 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	115	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 18.1.13 but request amendments to be made to Standard 18.3.9.2 (submission point #116).					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	82	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 18.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>"18.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>18.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>18.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 18.2: <b>18.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b> <b>18.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b> <b>18.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	194	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 18.2.1).					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	81	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain clause 18.2.2.1 in Standard 18.2.2 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	127	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to Standard 18.2.2.1 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service, or noise associated with recreational events or special events provided the noise does not exceed a level of 60 dBA Leq between the hours of 11.00 pm and 9.00 am at the boundary of any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	235	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 18.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property at the zone boundary or within the zone.</b> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	113	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 18.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	114	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	179	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	180	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	17	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.3.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.2.3.3:  <i>Standard 18.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. <b>All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</b></i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	195	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>88</b>	Chris Bowron	17	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision 18.3.3.2.(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	28	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows -  <b>" Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	130	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 18.3.3.2 as follows:  <i>" 18.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 18.3.3.3 to 18.3.3.4: (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ... "</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	14	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 18.3.3.1) as follows -  <b>" Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	196	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	131	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 18.3.4.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"18.3.4.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	197	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	27	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	46	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	60	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 18.3.8.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	24	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	61	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	116	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 18.3.9.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 18.3.9.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i></p> <p><b>Corrosive HSR002569</b></p> <p><b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b></p> <p><b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b></p> <p><b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b></p> <p><b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b></p> <p><b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b></p> <p><b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	77	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser <del>must be <u>that is</u> stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	36	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	120	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.3.9.5: <i>Standard 18.3.9.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	21	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	198	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 18.3.11.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	15	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>74</b>	Helen Ashworth	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding and Scouting camp fires to be an authorised activity. It is fully appreciated that this would most likely be a Restricted Activity hence allowing permits/safeguards to be put in place. A mandatory fire permit per camp fire/or per location is considered entirely reasonable to enable MDC/Fire Service spot checks to be made on the location, safety and burn cleanliness of the fire - hence satisfying the intent of the act around air quality while teaching our future adults their responsibilities for the environment.					
Please don't allow the Marlborough girls to miss out and not get the chance to learn fire safety, care of the environment and the wider values of Girl Guiding.						
<b>208</b>	Girl Guiding NZ	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That MDC make provision for organisations such as GirlGuidingNZ to have fires for campfire and fire related activities where the fire is not used solely for cooking. This may be in the form of exemptions or the need to obtain a permit.					
<b>614</b>	Churchward Park Scout Group	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	153	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone		Support
Decision Requested	Add new heading under 19.3 as follows - <b><i>"Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river."</i></b> and standards as follows - <b><i>1. "The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of livestock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river." 2. "After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site; (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%." 3. "After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following: (a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) Escherichia coli (E. coli)."</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	154	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone		Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule under 19.1 to as follows - <b><i>"Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river."</i></b>					
<b>424</b>	Michael and Kristen Gerard	189	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Clarify at the start of this chapter than Open Space 3 Zone covers all the Sounds Foreshore Reserve, DOC Reserves, Titirangi Farm Park, and some privately Covenanted land.  There should be no exotic plantings on this land, and no clearance of indigenous vegetation (except that required for walking track/road maintenance and the on-going management of DOC Reserves and Titirangi Farm Park).					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	38	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We reserve our position to make oral submissions on this aspect.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	27	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That information/maps showing future areas intended for future Active Recreational areas are provided.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	213	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	132	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:  <i><u>[D]</u></i> <b><i>19.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard.</i></b>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	133	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><i><u>"[D]</u></i>  <b><i><u>19.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	134	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i><u>"19.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b>  <i><u>19.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i>  <i><u>19.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></i>  <i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes); or</u></i>  <i><u>(c) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></i>  <i><u>19.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i>  <i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i>  <i><u>19.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	135	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i>"19.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b>  <i>19.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</i>  <i>19.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>19.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>19.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>19.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	136	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><b><i>"19.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>19.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 19.3.x and Standard 19.3.x."</i></b></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	409	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>There is no provision for signage in the Open Space 3 zone where land is privately owned. Provision is needed in the plan to enable signage to be erected for directional and educational purposes within all areas of the Open Space 3 zone.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	44	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That commercial forestry harvesting, replanting and optimisation of existing commercial forestry are provided for as a permitted activity. That a notification rule is provided that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting, harvesting or the optimisation of existing commercial forestry (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation) in the Open Space Zones. This is because the use of land for established forestry activities is anticipated where those activities are already occurring.					
<b>1086</b>	Ragged Point Limited	2	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No Open Space 3 Zone on Rangitoto ki te Tonga or private land. We wish to retain our riparian rights and rights to refuse people to cross private land on all our land <i>(inferred)</i> .					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	418	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain rule as proposed. Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.					
<b>113</b>	Herb Thomson	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Decision is to rezone to another open space zone to exclude camping or keep open zone 3 and prohibit camping in this zone. Currently DOC, private landowners and camp sites are being used and enjoyed by visitors to the area in suitable locations					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	124	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To the landholders generally it is of concerned that freedom campers, if allowed to camp anywhere in the open space 3 zone in an unrestricted manner. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>475</b>	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	8	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete freedom camping as a permitted activity under the Open Space 3 Zone rules: <del>19.1.3 Freedom camping except for in an area identified as a prohibited area for freedom camping in a bylaw made by the Council.</del>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	421	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to require campers within Open Space 3 Zone to be self-contained where no waste facilities are available.					
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	61	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>712</b>	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	33	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	61	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	61	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities.					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	9	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That freedom camping in areas subject to GPA overlays not be provided for as permitted activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	723	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	422	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	182	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	45	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	45	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.5					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	247	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows:  <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i>;</p> <p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows:  <i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i>  <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i>  <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i>  <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	424	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	727	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	183	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	42	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.6					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	42	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.6					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	426	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	169	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.17 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	728	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new standard is added under this Rule as follows -  " <b><i>Excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, including within an ONL.</i></b> "					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	184	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.7					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.7					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	428	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	168	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.8 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	185	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	430	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	168	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	741	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	40	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.10					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	40	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.10					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	433	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	84	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.10 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	83	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.11 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	742	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	743	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this rule is deleted, and burning of materials provided for as a permitted activity.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	249	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>162</b>	Waitai Station	2	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.  This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited.					
<b>393</b>	Barry and Lila McLeod	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 19.1.16 is deleted <b>(inferred)</b> .					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	31	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	251	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 19.1.16 as follows: <del>Application</del> <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	435	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend <i>(details on amendment required not included in submission)</i>					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p>" <i>The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> </li></ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	744	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	24	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	50	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.17					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	50	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.17					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	253	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows: <i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows: <i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows: <del><i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label.</i></del> <i>All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i> Include new permitted activity standard as follows: <i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	437	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	745	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	255	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	439	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>1024</b>	P Rene	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - <b><i>" The discharge must not be in or on a Significant Wetland on D'urville Island."</i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	746	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	15	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	441	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	117	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 19.1.19 but with the requested amendments to be made to Standard 19.3.17.2 (submission point #118).					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	85	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 19.1.19 as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	747	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	443	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.20 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	444	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.21 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	749	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	445	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.22 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	446	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.23 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	754	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"<del>Making</del> <b>Fermentation</b> compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	447	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.24 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	755	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	448	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.25 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	412	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Plan needs to be amended to specifically provide for the erection of buildings and structures in the Open Space 3 Zone as a permitted activity. Amend standard 19.2.1.3 to exempt structures within 8m of a river, lake or significant wetland associated with game hunting and structures that are erected to provide information and shelter in Open Space 3 areas as well as benches and picnic tables associated with the use of public open space.					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following new heading and standards are added to 19.2: <b>19.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</b> <b>19.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</b> <b>19.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</b>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	419	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	170	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>19.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <u><i>19.2.1.10 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	199	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 19.2.1).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	712	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed <del>10m</del> <b>15m</b>."</i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	186	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	713	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	187	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	188	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	714	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A <b>dwelling</b> <del>habitable or accessory building</del> must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	84	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 19.2.1.4 as follows (bold) - <i>"A habitable <b>structure</b> or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	715	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	716	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	717	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Except for the construction or siting of a <del>fence or gate</del> <b>building or structure</b> necessary for farming activity, or for conservation purposes, no building or structure must be constructed or sited within the White Bluffs Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape."</i>					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	718	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	5	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	719	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area <b>with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production.</b>"</i>					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	189	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	720	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following exemptions are added to the noise limits: <b>" Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock; any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</b>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	413	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the noise provisions of the Open Space 3 Zone, and any other relevant Zone where game hunting activities are undertaken.					
91	Marlborough District Council	234	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 19.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	115	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 19.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"  Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	181	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	182	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	414	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	721	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  "The odour, <b>except if generated by farming</b> , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	415	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	722	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>Good management practice</b> <del>The best practicable method</del> must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>manage</b> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	416	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	417	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Rule 19.2.6 and associated standards in their entirety.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	420	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard 19.3.1.1 to provide an exemption from game hunting activities. Amend standard 19.3.1.3 to exempt structures associated with game hunting from requiring removal following the end of the event. Amend 19.3.1.4 to exempt activities at the Para Wetland from gaining approval from the Road Controlling Authority.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	2	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows -  <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  (Inferred)					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	200	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to protect cultural sites.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	137	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.2 to include the follows:  <u><i>"Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></u>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	423	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment, which permits the planting of weeping willow and pin oak trees at the Para Wetland in accordance with the approved management plan.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	64	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (bold):  <i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i> <b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	64	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (bold): <i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland. <b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	248	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted:</u></i> Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows: <i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	425	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	201	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
88	Chris Bowron	19	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision 19.3.3.2.(d) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	30	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (e) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	725	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following</i></p> <p><i>(a) Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a fence line an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track.</i></p> <p><b>(f) Avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</b></p> <p><b>(g) Avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure</b></p> <p><b>(h) Management of fire risk;</b></p> <p><b>(i) To give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949</b></p> <p><b>(j) Undertaking plant pest management activities."</b></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	138	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"19.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 19.3.3.3 to 19.3.3.5:</i></p> <p><i>(x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	726	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	190	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend provision as follows:</p> <p>19.3.3.3</p> <p>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within <del>20m</del> of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	724	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the Open Space 3 Zone. <i>(No specific relief sought.)</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	13	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 19.3.3.1) as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	427	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 19.3.4.1 to ensure that vegetation is not removed by fire within 8m of a Significant wetland. Retain 19.3.4.5 and 19.3.4.6 as proposed					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	202	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	139	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Standard 19.3.4.3 as follows:  <i>"19.3.4.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i>					
359	WilkesRM Limited	16	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	429	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support 19.3.5.1 and 19.3.5.2 as proposed. Amend standard 19.3.5.3(b) to provide for small scale excavation for the purpose of maintenance and upgrading of access tracks in the Para Wetland as a permitted activity. Amend standard 19.3.5.12 to provide an exemption for Fish and Game management of the Para Wetland using wheeled or tracked machinery. Retain 19.3.5.15 as proposed					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	203	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	729	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"There must be no excavation in excess of <del>4000</del> <b>2000m<sup>3</sup></b> on any <b>hectare of</b> land with a slope greater than 20 degrees within any <b>12</b> <del>24</del>-month period."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	730	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	731	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	191	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	65	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	72	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	732	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>277</b>	Peter Bown	6	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	733	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	734	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	735	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	736	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	192	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	737	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	193	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	738	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	66	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	26	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.14.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	15	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	739	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows: <del>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</del> (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site; <del>(c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</del></i>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	740	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>433</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	194	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 19.3.6.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	431	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	75	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	820	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any <b>12-24</b> month period."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	821	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	822	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	823	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	824	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	825	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	826	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	827	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	828	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	829	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	14	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	830	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows:  (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.  (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site.  (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%."</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	432	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	169	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	32	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul> <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A map or aerial photograph showing;</li> <li>• The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;</li> <li>• The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise</li> <li>• The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;</li> <li>• The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;</li> <li>• The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity;" and</li> <li>• The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.</li> <li>• A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nutrient Management;</li> <li>• Irrigation Management;</li> <li>• Soils Management;</li> <li>• Waterbody Management; and/or</li> <li>• Point sources (e.g. offal pits).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<b>308</b>	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That dairy farming be treated as per a discretionary maner.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	434	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	86	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.3.8.1 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
925	Michelle Gail Harris	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 19.3.9 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</del></li> <li><del>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</del></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	85	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 19.3.9 to include the following (bold) -?</p> <p><b>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day."</b></p>					
669	Go Marlborough Limited	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><del>Standard 19.3.9.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
852	Kelvin Holdaway	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><del>19.3.9.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	250	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified.</p>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	28	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.12.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - "The bottom of the long drop is located <b>at least 1 metre</b> above the natural groundwater level at all times."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	20	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope.					
<b>162</b>	Waitai Station	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets.</p> <p>This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	252	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 19.1.16 as follows:  <del>Application</del> <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	436	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to include a 20m setback from all freshwater bodies to ensure their protection from the adverse effects on the application of vertebrate toxic agents onto land.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	254	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows:  <i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i>            Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows:  <i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 <u>and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</u></i>            Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows:  <del><i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label.</i></del> <i>All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i>            Include new permitted activity standard as follows:  <i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i></p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	438	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	61	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 19.3.15.1 - " <del><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</i></del> "					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	256	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	440	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	25	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	62	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	442	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.</p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	89	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p> <p>19.3.17.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>19.3.17.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	831	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	22	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	90	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:  19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	833	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	118	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 19.3.17.2: <i>Standard 19.3.17.2 Fertiliser <b>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</b></i> <b>Corrosive HSR002569</b> <b>Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570</b> <b>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</b> <b>Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572.</b> <b>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</b> <b>Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and</b> <b>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</b>					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	91	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p><del>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</del></p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	78	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows;</p> <p>Fertiliser <del>must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times</del> <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	834	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being <b>intentionally</b> deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i></p>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	35	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	835	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading <b>from the application of fertiliser</b> on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)."</i>					
<b>1090</b>	Ravensdown Limited	121	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 19.3.17.4: <i>Standard 19.3.17.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <b>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</b></i>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	92	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:  19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	836	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>"All <del>reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime does not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied</del> <b>practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area.</b>"</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	23	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	12	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.19.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	204	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of waste to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	750	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	751	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property <b>or a property under the same ownership</b> must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i>					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	13	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	752	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"Surface <del>run-off</del> <b>water</b> must not enter the pit."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	748	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	753	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	205	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of offal to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	837	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	838	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	839	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offal pit must not be located within:  (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;  (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;  (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	15	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	840	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	841	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offal pit must be <del>completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</del>"</i>					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	32	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	206	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the making of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	847	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	846	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	845	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:  (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;  (b) <del>20m</del> <b>5m</b> of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;  (c) <del>10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</del>"</i>					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	16	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	844	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	843	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile <b>into a waterbody.</b>"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	842	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	207	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the storing of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised.					
<b>575</b>	Butt Drilling Limited	17	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.23.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" (a) <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"</i>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	449	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	87	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.4.1 as notified.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	205	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Immediately preceding, and in relation to, Rule 19.4.2 replace <del>{R, D}</del> with <b>[R, D]</b> .					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	756	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	450	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	88	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.4.2 as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	451	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>1023</b>	P Rene	7	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i></li> <li>• <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 &amp; 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	757	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	452	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	16	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	453	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Rretain as proposed					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	758	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	257	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	454	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	455	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In relation to chapter 20 Open Space 4 we note the following general comment with respect to Rainbows submission in total:</p> <p>Fair and considered recognition of the Rainbow 2015 submission and this submission in relation to the proposed MDC plan and all changes specific to Rainbow Ski Area</p> <p>To be heard in person by Council in relation to this submission</p> <p>For Council to recognise in the proposed plan that in order to operate a skifield the management of the skified operation is an all year round i.e. 12 months of the year, and the requirement for staff, members and contractors is all year round and the provision of water for operational purposes is required to be all year round.</p> <p>Marlborough District Council in drafting this proposed plan has failed to recognise the twelve month operation of the skifield and has only focused on the winter activity component. In the interests of health and safety and practicality of accessibility.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	258	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	214	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	140	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><b><u>20.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard.</u></b></i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	141	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><u>"[D]</u></i>  <b><i><u>20.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	142	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><i><u>"20.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></b>  <i><u>20.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i>  <i><u>20.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i>  <i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></i>  <i><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m<sup>2</sup> and under 2.5m in height.</u></i>  <i><u>20.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></i>  <i><u>20.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><b><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	143	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><i>"20.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></b>  <i>20.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for irrigation and dams), is exempt from the following standards:</i>  <i>20.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>20.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>20.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i>  <i>20.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	144	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><b><i>"20.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[D]</i>  <b><i>20.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 20.3.x and Standard 20.3.x."</i></b></p>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	6	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	20.1 – Add as clause 20.1.13 permitted activity temporarymilitary training activities including use of skifield buildings andaccommodation					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	15	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 4 Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	5	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	20.1.1 – amend clause by deleting “existing at 9 June 2016’					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	167	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 20.1.5 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	259	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows: <i>Application Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i>					
<b>845</b>	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	18	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under <b>20.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities:</b> <b><i>Standard 20.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></b>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	116	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 20.2.1.1, replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”  Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	183	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	184	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	8	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add clause 2.3.2.2 – The on-site accommodation may furtherbe used for temporary military training activities.</p>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	7	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Clause 20.3.2.1 to – The on-site accommodation to be used for authorised persons including staff, members and contractors undertaking skifield business for the necessary operation of the skifield all year round.</p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	208	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.</p>					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	9	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend clause 20.3.3.1 to - No excavation in excess of 1000m<sup>3</sup>may occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period with the exception of any earthworks related to the maintenance and repair of the skifield access road or carparking.</p>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	13	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	25	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	209	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	145	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Standard 20.3.5.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"20.3.5.3.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p>					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	4	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.5.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is suggested that this rule be modified to say "woody material should not be deposited on or over the river bed if it covers greater than 20% of the aerial extent of the bed or is highly likely (from experience) to cause the formation of a debris dam.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	260	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows:</p> <p><del>Application</del> <i>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	39	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.8.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	40	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.8.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.8.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	42	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.9.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	210	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Open Space 4 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources.					
<b>228</b>	Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated	10	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 20.6.1.k to waste oil, except where waste oils burnt in enclosed oil burner or furnace where the oil is to be used for heating or energy recovery.					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	17	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>158</b>	Gerard Verkaaik	1	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Cooperative approach with Council, RSA, and local residents to continue improving the environment around the Taylor River floodway; gradually extending the recreational areas that traverse the growing urban development along either side and around the Omaka Aerodrome.					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	11	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend what is allowed under this Chapter to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for existing protection works.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	261	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	218	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Floodway Zone as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	147	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard:</i>  <i>(a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and</i>  <i>(b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"21.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  <i>[R, D]</i>  <b><i>21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</i></b></p>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	13	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone.					
<b>925</b>	Michelle Gail Harris	16	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and</li> <li>• fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</li> </ul>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	126	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <b>Rock, concrete block or gabion structural bank protection works.</b> "					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	262	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows: <i><u>The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.</u></i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	232	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>Replacement or Maintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</i></b> "					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	264	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows: <i><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</u></i>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	76	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 21.1.8 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries. <b>21.1.8. Gravel and sediment removal within a dry part of a riverbed <u>within the gravel permit overlay.</u></b>					
<b>971</b>	Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ	2	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear what the decision requested is for Rule 21.1.8 but it is inferred that the Submitter wants the same rule added to the Riverbed Activity rules in Chapter 2.</i>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	82	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 21.1.9 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries. <b>21.1.9. Gravel and sediment removal within a wet part of a riverbed <u>within the gravel permit overlay.</u></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	240	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.10 as follows (bold) - " <b><i>Gravel and sediment stockpiling, and gravel processing facilities, within a dry part of a riverbed.</i></b> "					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	146	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><u>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard:</u>  <u>(a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and</u>  <u>(b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"21.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b>  <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u>  <u>[R, D]</u>  <b><u>21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</u></b></p>					
<b>1284</b>	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 21.2:</p> <p><b><i>21.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</i></b>  <b><i>21.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i></b>  <b><i>21.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></b></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	233	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 21.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - "<del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any a zone other than Floodway Zone</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone."</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	117	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 22.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary ", with "on another site within the Zone" and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within".</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	41	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Standard 21.2.2.3(b) - "<del>(b) a fixed motor or equipment, frost fan or gas gun, milling or processing forestry activity, static irrigation pump; or motorbike that is being used for recreational purposes.</del>"</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	230	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 21.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through) - "<del>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits: (a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of farming activity occurring in the Floodway Zone.</del>"</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	185	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	186	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	211	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 21.3.1, 21.3.3, 21.3.6, 21.3.7, 21.3.8, 21.3.9, and 21.3.14).					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	229	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Works must be undertaken outside of the wet part of the riverbed, <b>where possible.</b></i> "					
<b>172</b>	Davidson Group Ltd	12	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change this Provision to be more enabling of stopbank maintenance works both by Council and private stopbank owners.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	125	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 21.3.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
<b>307</b>	Tasman District Council	2	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning.					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	263	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows: The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	231	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend heading 21.3.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>Replacement or M</b> <del>maintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</del> "					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	27	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Reword along the following lines:  The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
<b>479</b>	Department of Conservation	265	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows:  <i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</i></p>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	12	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	11	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
307	Tasman District Council	5	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern.					
91	Marlborough District Council	228	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.9.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Gravel or sediment removal must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period <b>in any reach</b> of any floodway.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	104	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.9.6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "					
359	WilkesRM Limited	10	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
91	Marlborough District Council	239	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.3.10 as follows (bold) - " <i>Gravel and sediment stockpiling, <b>and gravel processing facilities</b>, within a dry part of a riverbed.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	122	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 21.3.11.1 as follows- " <i>Crack willow must not be planted on any floodway, except for the Wairau River downstream of the Wye River confluence.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	248	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any river reach, except that the Lower Opaoa River may be cut up to four times per year <b>and the Taylor River may be cut up to two times per year.</b></i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	247	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.12.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.12.3 as follows (bold) - " <i>The excavator must not enter flowing water, <b>where possible.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	246	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.14.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.14.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation greater than 100mm in diameter must be removed from a riverbed wider than 3m, <b>except in the Floodway Zone in the Upper Wairau River.</b></i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	759	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Discharge of agrichemicals into or onto land <b>by any person.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
91	Marlborough District Council	124	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 21.3.15.2 - " <i><del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</del></i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	760	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the rule is retained as notified. That clarity is provided with regards to the need for a formal agreement to move livestock across the Floodway Zone.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	90	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule 21.3.16					
319	Clive Tozer	5	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the event that the Floodway Zone for the area on our property is not removed, retain Permitted Activity 21.1.17 (Farming undertaken by any person) and delete Standard 21.3.16.1 ie remove requirement for authorisation by Council formal agreement.  And any other amendments , including consequential amendments, to address the submission points raised.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>640</b>	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	62	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i>					
<b>738</b>	Glenda Vera Robb	62	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>935</b>	Melva Joy Robb	62	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1: <i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	16	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
<b>459</b>	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	36	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p><b>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u>  a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u>  b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u>  c) <u>the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u>  (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u>  (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u>  (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u>  (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	121	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.2 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of land <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.2					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	120	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.3 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of the bed of a lake or river <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.3					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	119	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.4 as follows (bold) " <i>Any discharge of contaminants into or onto land, or to air <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
<b>631</b>	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.4					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	18	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	219	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b> <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	123	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	6	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition of these words ' <i>and the full range of processes required</i> ' after ' <i>by- products</i> '. Retains words in WARMP.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	8	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amendment of documents as requested:  Separate <i>'take and use coastal water'</i> from <i>'the maintenance of existing seawater intake'</i> so that they are not read conjunctively.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	166	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.7 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	165	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.8 as follows - " <b><i>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	9	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition of these words.  Include the words <i>'and greywater'</i> after the word <i>'effluent'</i> .					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	10	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove words as requested.  Remove the words <i>'other than'</i> from the use of a moveable source.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	7	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition and deletion of the words as requested.  Replace with <i>'building, bunds, roads and other developments'</i> .  Delete words <i>'existing at 9 June 2016'</i> .					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	11	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.1.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace words as requested.</p> <p>Delete the word <i>'notwithstanding'</i> and replace with <i>'any building not coming within'</i>.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	118	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 22.2.2.1. replace "at the Zone boundary ", with "outside the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	119	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 22.2.2.2. replace "when measured at or", with "when assessed"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	187	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	188	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	12	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete words as requested.					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	9	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.6.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	48	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	8	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	27	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.8.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows -  <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	12	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 22.3.8.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>359</b>	WilkesRM Limited	7	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.9.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	13	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.12.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	14	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.12.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	15	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule.					
<b>355</b>	Dominion Salt Limited	16	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Move heading 22.4.2 from Controlled Activities to Permitted Activities.					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	124	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Accept					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	19	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	72	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a rule in the MEP to manage discharges of heated air so that they do not prejudice flight safety on any aircraft flight path.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	73	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a rule to the same effect as Rule 44.1.5.1.8 from the operative Plan, into the MEP:</p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants into air from:</i></p> <p><i>b) premises used for the servicing of aircraft, motor vehicles, including fuselage, body and engine repairs, panel beating, fibreglassing and painting carried out in a booth enclosure that has been designed to contain any omission of paint overspray.;</i></p> <p><i>...."</i></p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	91	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new rule in Chapter 23 to provide for burning green waste, with suggested wording as follows:</p> <p><i>Permitted activity: Discharge of contaminants to air arising from burning in the open.</i></p> <p><i>Standards:</i></p> <p><i>- Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i></p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	86	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Permitted Activity as follows:</p> <p><b>" 23.x Permitted Activities</b></p> <p><b>[D]</b></p> <p><b>23.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</b></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	202	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	7	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an appropriate standard to activities relying on Rule 23.1.12. The submission does not indicate what the appropriate standard should be.					
91	Marlborough District Council	164	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 23.1.13 as follows - " <b><i>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	70	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	87	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 23.1.21 as notified.					
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	212	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically Standards under heading 23.2.1).					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	28	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 23.2.1.1:  <i>Standard 23.2.1.1. A building or structure, including a mast, pole, fence, overhead telegraph cable, overhead power cable, tree or other object must not penetrate any flight path, take off, climb/approach fan or transitional slide slope identified in the <b>Woodbourne</b>, Picton (Koromiko) or Omaka Obstacle Limitation Surfaces shown in Appendix 15.</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	152	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amendments requested to Standard 23.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Noise from a source other than an aircraft movement, aircraft engine testing, or a national or international gliding event, must comply with the following noise limits measured at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or at or within the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity on any land zoned Rural Environment:</i>  <b>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq</b> <b>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</b> <del>Monday to Saturday 7.00 am to 6.00 pm 55dBA LAeq</del> <del>Monday to Saturday 6.00 pm to 10.00 pm 50dBA LAeq</del> <del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45dBA LAeq</del> <del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 70dBA Lmax</del> "					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	120	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 23.2.2.1 replace both occurrences of "at or within" with " at any point within"  Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	29	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Update table. No details of the decisions requested has been provided in the submission.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	189	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	190	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere," Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	135	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation" In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation" Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	69	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 23.2.3 as notified.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	136	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	137	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	138	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	74	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Rule 23.2.7.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	75	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.8.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Redraft Rule 23.2.8.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	76	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Rule 23.2.9.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	77	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.2.10.1 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	78	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.10.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.2.10.3 or relate it to some environmental effect.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	197	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In sub-clause (a) replace in "Measured at" with "assessed at any point within"</p> <p>In sub-clause (d) replace "noise levels at" with "noise limits at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "noise levels with "noise limits"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	213	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	214	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend rule 23.3.3.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	62	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.4.1 - " <i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</i> "					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	26	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3);</li> <li>• Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12);</li> <li>• Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5).</li> </ul>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	63	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	18	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.6.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"<i>The discharge must not occur within <del>50m</del> <b>30m</b> of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i>"</p>					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	5	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 23.3.7 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films;</i></li> <li><i>(c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks.</i></li> </ul> <p>At the very least, <b>professional companies</b> who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	71	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	88	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) -</p> <p><b>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>?"</b></p>					
<b>669</b>	Go Marlborough Limited	5	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 23.3.7.2 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><del>Standard 23.3.7.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del></p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	79	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	80	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify and amend this preamble to assist with readability.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	81	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.2 to simplify provisions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	82	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.3 to clarify.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	83	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.7 to better apply an effects based approach.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	84	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.  And  Provide an exemption for electricity generation.  And  Provide an exemption for discharges to air from aircraft engine maintenance and testing.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	85	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.9.5.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	86	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.10.4 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	87	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.11.2.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	88	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.12.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.12.6.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	89	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.13.4.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	80	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.14.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	81	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.14.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	82	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.15.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	90	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rule 23.5.1 to replace "burning" with "outdoor burning or burning in a small-scale heating appliance"; And Include the phrase "unless permitted by rule 23.3.7".					
<b>1268</b>	Azwood Energy	20	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	145	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	146	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>125</b>	Fiona Leov	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>126</b>	Mike Leov	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>194</b>	Paul Roughan	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred).					
<b>195</b>	Michelle Roughan	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred).					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	25	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reference to the need for landscape requirement, as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise and the Urban Design Protocol. This requirement should read something like this:  <i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential, business, and industrial developments, that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that space.'</i>					
<b>352</b>	Robyn Dunn	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Suggest a reference to the need for Landscape requirement - as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise, which also needs updating and reference made to the Urban Design Protocol.</p> <p>Should read something like;</p> <p><i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential , business, and industrial developments , that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that dedicated Landscape Space.'</i></p> <p>Also, within the same section;</p> <p><i>'That provision is included within the plan, and also specified within the Subdivision Code of Practice, that if removal of a street tree is required for a development or other reason and approved by council, that the tree be valued using an nationally recognised standard valuation method , and compensation be paid for the loss of the tree and the standard replacement cost for another tree. The developer will also be required to pay for the removal cost of the tree. '</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	184	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	220	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add an introductory sentence to beginning of Chapter 24 Subdivision:</b>  <u><i>Any activity requiring access to a road which is a Limited Access Road will require a Licensed Crossing Point issued by the New Zealand Transport Agency in the case of a State Highway.</i></u></p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	129	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Chapter 24, subject to any amendments required by other parts of PR's submission.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	151	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><b>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor.</b></p> <p><u>Standards and terms</u></p> <p><u>24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained.</u></p> <p>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</p> <p><u>24.4.4.3</u> The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17.</p> <p><u>24.4.4.4</u> The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</p> <p><u>24.4.4.5</u> Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid.</p> <p><u>24.4.4.6</u> The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line.</p> <p><u>24.4.4.7</u> The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, <u>including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).</u></p> <p><u>24.4.4.8</u> The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><u>"24.x Non-Complying Activities</u></b></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><b><u>24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</u></b></p>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	11	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Roading</i>':</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan for all roads within the subdivision. The plan shall show any proposed landscape planting, existing trees and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, one tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i></p>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Electricity</i>':</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following rule under the heading <i>Telecommunications</i> ; <i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	14	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following rule under the heading <i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i> ; <i>A landscape plan shall be provided including planting and existing trees land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	15	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested						<p>Add new requirements under 24.1 as follows:</p> <p>Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan showing any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p> <p>Under a new heading 'Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds ';</p> <p><i>Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds shall be designed to provide grades along their banks that make ingress and egress on foot, provide pedestrian pathways along or around sides and amenity planting within them.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED)</i></p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the landscape plan satisfies the Crime Prevention through environmental design(CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication dated November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Covenants and other restrictions and controls</i></p> <p><i>Any covenants and any other restrictions and requirements on development, planting, fencing and walls along, on or parallel to the front boundaries placed on properties shall be specified in the application. The application shall include description and assessment of how these satisfy the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication date November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol and any national standards or policy statements relating to urban design.</i></p>

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	27	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 should have the following rules added to it:</p> <p>Under the heading <i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i>;</p> <p><i>A landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	28	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Telecommunications</i>;</p> <p><i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	29	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Electricity</i>;</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1</p> <p>Under the heading <i>'Roading'</i>;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment, where this is possible.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips; <i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a timeframe (eg, 10 days) is included for service providers and Assets & Services to provide certification after they have received the information.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	70	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.10.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	62	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.1.10.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	71	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.11.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	63	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.1.11					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	72	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.12.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	64	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.1.12.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	73	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.13.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.13.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	65	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.1.13.					
<b>12</b>	Rod Gray	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 24.1.14 to require a minimum of 10 cubic metres of potable water per day.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	89	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) - <i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	31	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 24.1.14:  Water Supply  <i>Rule 24.1.14 In circumstances where a connection to a Council owned reticulated water supply is not possible, the applicant must provide for a minimum of 2m3 of potable water per day for each proposed <del>allotment</del> <b>Certificate of Title</b> (except for allotments <b>Certificate of Titles</b> to vest as reserve or road).</i>					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add words " <i>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent</i> ". Substitute " <i>must be provided</i> " for " <i>may be provided</i> ".  Add in a rule:  <i>An exemption - the above rule (<b>inferred Rule 24.1.16</b>) shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.  Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (<b>inferred</b>) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	761	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That this Rule is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina, <b>where it boundaries:</b></i> <b>a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</b> <b>b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</b> <b>c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</b> <b>d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</b> <b>e) the Queen Charlotte Track.</b>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 24.1.16 <b>(placement of additional wording inferred)</b> : <i>Rule 24.1.16 In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina <b>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent.</b></i>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	10	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1; <i>24.2.1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i> <i>24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours ...[THESE ARE TO BE DETERMINED IN THE REVIEW PROCESS]....[these are to be specified in the rule] ..... and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	34	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1;  <i>24.2. 1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i>  <i>New Standard 24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours .... ... [these are to be specified in the rule] ..... and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	74	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows: <i>Subdivision of land associated with utilities <b>undertaken by network utility operators.</b></i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	66	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows: <i>Subdivision of land associated with utilities <b>undertaken by network utility operators.</b></i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	148	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> Rule 24.2.1 as notified.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	111	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add an additional matter: 24.3.1.27 Potential for reverse sensitivity effects and mechanisms to avoid such effects.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	69	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That additional assessment criteria is included that considers activities in the surrounding environment, reverse sensitivity, proximity of rural production activities, loss of production land and impacts on food supply ( <b>inferred</b> ).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has reserved control</i>' prescribed in rules 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26, should have the following rules or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p><i>24.3.1.27. Landscape plan including planting and existing trees, development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision proposed to be vested in Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>The design of stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds, pedestrian pathways and amenity planting, including the species of any planting within them.</i></p> <p><i>How the landscape plan and any site development within public spaces comply with Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principle sand guidelines as referred to in Rule 24.1.</i></p> <p><i>24.3.1.28 the extent to which the application provides for and should provide means of satisfying the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines.</i></p>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	26	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has reserved control</i>' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p>New standard to be added:</p> <p><i>24.3.1.27. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other part of the subdivision proposed to be vested jn Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision that are required by Rule 24. 1.</i></p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	762	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the minimum lot sizes are retained. However, we consider the default status where the Minimum site size standards are not met should be restricted discretionary.</p>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	763	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new " <i>Matter over which the Council has reserved control</i> " as follows - <b>"Reverse sensitivity issues."</b>					
<b>482</b>	Worlds End Enterprises Limited	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposal to make subdivision in these Coastal Environment Zone and the Coastal Living Zone a controlled activity.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	92	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 24.3.1.26 as follows (bold) - <b>"Provision of water for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008."</b>					
<b>1189</b>	Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu	125	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Accept with the following amendments to Matters for control 24.3.1.18. The protection of existing vegetation and revegetation, <b>and opportunities to enhance indigenous vegetation on the site.</b> <b>Add the following matters of control:</b> <b><u>24.2.1.27 The extent to which the proposal manages erosion and sediment discharge to waterways</u></b> <b><u>24.2.1.28 Any adverse effects of the proposal on the quality of surface and ground water, mahinga kai, including within waterways, on drainage to, or from, adjoining land, existing drains, waterways, and/or ponding areas.</u></b> <b><u>24.2.1.29 The extent to which any springs are protected, maintained and enhanced, including in relation to ecological, cultural and amenity values and the extent to which the development provides for pathways, for the water to flow from the spring head, that have regard to the existing natural flow path.</u></b> <b><u>24.2.1.30 Recognition of Tangata Whenua iwi heritage and identity and cultural values.</u></b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	90	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 24.3.1.1 in Rule 24.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - <b>"The subdivision must comply with Rules 24.1.1, 24.1.4, 24.1.7, and <b>24.1.10 and 24.1.14.</b>"</b>					
<b>2</b>	Michael Doherty	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I would like the Council to consider a transitional zone or a percentage allowance of tolerance with regard to the 7500 sqm size. Perhaps the further away from Urban Residential zones the tolerance becomes less.</p> <p>For applications to subdivide in the proposed Rural Living Zone I believe if the property has a boundary with high density housing the permitted size should be 4500 sqm as already allowed by Council. Perhaps properties that do not share a town boundary then use the 7500 sqm.</p>					
<b>9</b>	Ryan Lock	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Any review of allotment/subdivision size should be set the size at a lot less than 7500 square metres.</p> <p>Introduce a controlled activity standard for properties with reticulated sewerage of normal town-size.</p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	262	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend "<i>Rural Living</i>" under zone column of table to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><b>"<i>Rural Living (except land within the Brancott or Benmorven Freshwater Management Units)</i>"</b></p>					
<b>96</b>	Jane Buckman	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support in full.</p>					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
<b>192</b>	Perry Mason Gilbert	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Minimum area of 5000m2.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>204</b>	Stephen and Kristen Dempster	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the current minimum controlled activity lot sizes and corresponding standards for accessways for the Urban Residential 2 zones within Blenheim.					
<b>284</b>	Jane Buckman	20	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>458</b>	Okiwi Bay Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.3.1.2					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 24.3.1.2					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	110	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 24.3.1.2 to required minimum building platform shape factor for subdivisions and include it for all subdivisions in the Rural Area, including the Rural Environment					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	21	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions of Table 24.3.1.2 as they relate to subdivision within Rural Environment zone in the Omaka Valley Area.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Maintain the existing Minimum Net Allotment Areas for all Zones as per the WARM and MSRM Plans except for Rural living which could be reduced from the proposed 7500m<sup>2</sup> down to 5000m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>Footnote 2 - must be clear of easements. Recommend deleting this requirement as purposes is to maintain acceptable living space and easements have no effect on that aspect.</p> <p>Footnote 3 - reads as though frontage must be exclusive of access to subject allotments. Thus frontage would have to be 18.5m in Residential 2 Zone and 17.5m in Residential 1 Zone.</p> <p>Maintain the existing Special Subdivisions Rules (28.3.7 WARMP and 27.3.3.2 MSRMP to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To facilitate the protection of significant environmental features.</li> <li>b) For special purpose lots.</li> <li>c) For boundary adjustments.</li> <li>d) To allow Limited Discretion - allotment and access minima.</li> <li>e) For Integrated Residential Developments.</li> <li>f) Special Provisions to Protect Large Lots</li> </ul> <p>We suggest simple Boundary Adjustments could be considered a Permitted Activity if the following criteria is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two or more adjacent lots</li> <li>• No additional titles</li> <li>• Net site area of any proposed allotment is approximately the same or does not differ by 10 % net site area that existed prior.</li> </ul> <p>If the above criteria cannot be met, then we suggest it will trigger a Controlled Activity whereby Assessment Criteria as set-out in the WARMP and MSRMP needs to be met.</p> <p>Similarly with Special Purposes Allotments, Integrated Residential Developments, Special Provision to Create a Single Rural Residential Allotment and Special Provision to Protect Large Lots, we suggest that the options are included and similar wording to Rule 28.3.7 from the WARMP and Rule 27.3.3.2 from the MSRMP are adopted.</p>					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	17	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the minimum allotment size for the Urban 2 Zone in Blenheim be reduced to 400m2, as a controlled activity. That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.					
<b>99</b>	GJ Gardner Homes	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.  Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 24.3.1.3					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>507</b>	Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>508</b>	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	91	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the notes that accompany the Table in Standard 24.3.1.3 to include the following:?  <b><i>" Where a building platform is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)." ?</i></b>					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.3 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	18	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the minimum width of accessways for one residential unit be reduced to 3m, as a controlled activity.  That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	171	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>24.3.1.4. The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or via a level crossing.</u></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	221	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 24.3.1.4 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <i>The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or access to a road that leads to a State Highway.</u></i>					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	14	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.4 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>66</b>	Karen and John Wills	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	1. The 90m restriction be removed.					

2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.
3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.
4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.
5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.
6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.
7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.
8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	15	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.5 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	16	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.6 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	198	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	17	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.7 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	18	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.8 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	19	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.9 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	20	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.10 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	21	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.11 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	22	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.12 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	23	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.13 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	24	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.14 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	25	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.15 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	26	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.16 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>369</b>	Tony Hawke	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add in rule as above <b><i>(inferred relates to new rule requested in Submission point 369.12)</i></b> Add in a rule: <i>An exemption - the rule shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.</i> <i>Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (inferred) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i>					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	27	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.17.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.17 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	28	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.18 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	29	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.19 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.20 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	31	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.21 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.22 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.23 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	34	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.24.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.24 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	35	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.25 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	36	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.26 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	37	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	9	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion</i>' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p><i>24.4.1.15. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion</i>' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p>Add a new standard: <i>24. 4. 1. 15. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	199	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 24.4.1.13. Replace "(a)" with "Adequate acoustical isolation of dwellings from noise sources."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	112	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 24.4.1.13.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	222	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend 24.4.1.13 as follows:</b>  <i>The proximity of existing lawfully established rural and non-residential activities, including the road network, and appropriate measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on these activities including consideration of the following measures:</i>            ...</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	223	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 24.4.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i>            24.4.2.1. <i>The matters set out in 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26.</i>            24.4.2.2. <i>Any adverse effects on <del>the State Highway, traffic movement or traffic safety</del> or the efficient operation of the road network, including cumulative effects in the Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</i>            24.4.2.4 <i>Whether the written approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency has been obtained as an affected party.</i></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	149	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 24.4.3 as follows:</b>   <b><i>"24.4.3 Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land located within 90m of the National Grid Blenheim Substation on Sec 1 SO 4246, Lot 1 DP 8572 and Pt Sec 1 SO 6959 (or any successor)."</i></b></p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	150	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><b>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor.</b>  Standards and terms  24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard.  24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained.  Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:  24.4.4.3 The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17.  24.4.4.4 The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.  24.4.4.5 Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid.  24.4.4.6 The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line.  24.4.4.7 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).  24.4.4.8 The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence <b>amend</b> the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><b><i>"24.x Non-Complying Activities</i></b>  <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i>  [D]  <b><i>24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</i></b></p>					
<b>263</b>	Mark Batchelor	8	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>24.5.4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1.7, 24.1.12, 24.1.18, 24.1.1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i></p>					
<b>351</b>	Helen Mary Ballinger	35	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>New Standard 24.5.4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1.7., 24.1.12, 24.1.18, 24.1.1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>436</b>	Rikihana Clinton Bradley	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include specific allowance for boundary adjustments similar to existing MSRMP ( <b><i>MSRMP standard 27.3.3.1.3 inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	764	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.1 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	765	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.2 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.					
<b>458</b>	Okiwi Bay Limited	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.5.2. Seek that a new Discretionary Rule, Rule 24.5.4, be imposed to 'Protect Large Lots' as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.5.2.					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																		
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new discretionary activity rule (bold) to Discretionary Activity list 24.5 to 'Protect Large Lots' (as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan):</p> <p><b>Rule 27.3.3.2 Special Provision to Protect Large Lots</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.1 The Council may consent to a subdivision for the creation of residential lots to protect the integrity of lots in excess of 150 hectares. The creation of such lots must be in accordance with the formula expressed in Table 24.5.X.1).</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Any subdivision undertaken in terms of this rule will render the subdivision potential of the balance area a Non Complying Activity and this status shall be the subject of a consent notice on the title of that portion.</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Subdivisions may be staged in accordance with Assessment Criteria 27.2.4.5.7 and the Council may consider extending the time to give effect to the consent to five years maximum for subdivision proposals of 10 Jots and over. However, in these circumstances, if the consent is not given effect to within the five years provided, a new application will be required for any further subdivision to complete the available lot entitlement prescribed in Rule 27.3.3.2.4.</b></p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b></th> <th><b>No of Residential Lots</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>150 - 200</b></td> <td><b>4</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>201 - 250</b></td> <td><b>5</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>251 - 300</b></td> <td><b>6</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>301 - 350</b></td> <td><b>8</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>351 - 400</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>401 - 500</b></td> <td><b>12</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>501 - 600</b></td> <td><b>14</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>600</b></td> <td><b>+ 15</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>27.3.3.2.4 All residential lots are to comply with Rules 27.2.3.3, 27.2.4, 27.2.5, 27.3.1 and 27.3.2 above.</b></p>						<b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b>	<b>No of Residential Lots</b>	<b>150 - 200</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>201 - 250</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>251 - 300</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>301 - 350</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>351 - 400</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>401 - 500</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>501 - 600</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>+ 15</b>
<b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b>	<b>No of Residential Lots</b>																							
<b>150 - 200</b>	<b>4</b>																							
<b>201 - 250</b>	<b>5</b>																							
<b>251 - 300</b>	<b>6</b>																							
<b>301 - 350</b>	<b>8</b>																							
<b>351 - 400</b>	<b>10</b>																							
<b>401 - 500</b>	<b>12</b>																							
<b>501 - 600</b>	<b>14</b>																							
<b>600</b>	<b>+ 15</b>																							
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	766	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.3.	Support in Part																		
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.3 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.																							
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	206	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support																		
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of vegetation including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment. <b>For clarity, it does not mean commercial forestry harvesting, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) forestry harvesting and woodlot forestry harvesting.</b></i> "																							
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose																		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting".					
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. ( <i>Inferred that the submission relates to the definition of "Meat processing".</i> )					
<b>210</b>	Kevin Wilson	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for "passive and informal recreation" include cycling.					
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the definition of intensively farmed animals to specifically exclude extensively farmed animals that may be mustered at infrequent times of the year and cross rivers as part of the mustering process.					
<b>318</b>	Reade Family Holdings	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the definition used in stream management to <u>logs with a small end diameter of greater than 100mm and at least 3.0m long.</u>					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the definition of a Pit as it relates to the following words in the definition "No excavation of the land is to be undertaken." ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>648</b>	D C Hemphill	12	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a definition of "degree of natural character", as it relates to Objective 6.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	107	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable material</u> " in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	200	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of <i>Ecologically Significant Marine Sites</i> includes Maps 16, 17 and 18.					
88	Chris Bowron	13	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	An additional definition is required					
88	Chris Bowron	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Significant Wetland definition should be reworded. Un verified wetlands should not be listed as Significant and should not be listed.					
88	Chris Bowron	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A definition of Dairy Cattle is required					
91	Marlborough District Council	83	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete definition - " <del>Setback</del> <i>has the same meaning as "yard"</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition for Solid waste as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>means waste that has a moisture content of <del>more</del> <b>less</b> than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g. it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	116	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Change the definition of " <del>Wastewater</del> " to be the definition of " <b>Human effluent</b> ", and change the definition as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means <del>wastewater</del> <b>human effluent</b> originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows."</i>					
91	Marlborough District Council	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade A treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade A treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade B treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade B treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	143	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of the definition for run off requested is as follows (strike through) - " <i>means water moving over the ground surface <del>and into a river, lake or the sea.</del></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	144	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of LAE as follows - " <b>LAE - sound exposure level. Ten times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ration of the A-frequency-weighted sound exposure to the square of the reference value.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	145	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested to the definition of dairy farm effluent is as follows (bold) - " <b>Dairy farm effluent means all dairy effluent and contaminated washwater generated on the site of the farm dairy and associated yard areas. This includes machine washwater, pit washings, faecal matter, and washwater deposited on hard stand areas <del>and sealed feed pads.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	146	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural solid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural solid waste means organic agricultural waste that has a moisture content of less than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g., it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	147	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural liquid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural liquid waste means agricultural waste that has a moisture content more than or equal to 95%. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	148	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, <b>safety</b>, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, active and passive recreation.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	149	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	It is requested that the definition for " <i>Municipal water supply</i> " is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>means any water supply, <b>other than a supply exclusively providing an irrigation water</b>, owned, managed or administered by the Marlborough District Council.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of a definition for commercial cleanfill is requested as follows - " <i><b>Commercial cleanfill</b></i> " - " <i><b>Commercial clean fill - means the placing or dumping of fill that involves remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	151	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The deletion of the definition for non-commercial cleanfill, as follows, is requested. " <i><del>Non-commercial clean fill means the placing or dumping of fill that does not involve remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site, whether or not consideration provided to the owner is the sole reason for the placing or dumping of the fill.</del></i> "					
201	Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	1. Remove the words "grazed on irrigated land" from the definition. 2. Use a better method of defining, or assessing livestock situations to identify high risk factors, and achieve the desired outcomes. This may require the addition of new definitions, or provisions.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	37	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <b>Maintenance, as it relates to electricity network utility infrastructure</b> " as follows -  <b>"has the same meaning as in Section 23(3) of the Electricity Act 1992."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	38	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i> <i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i> <i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i> <i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i> <i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i> <i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i> <i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i> <i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage <b>(this does not apply to line upgrades up to 110kv).</b>"</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	39	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of NZECP34:2001 as follows (bold) -  <b>"means the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances. Where there are references to NZECP34:2001 in the Plan, this should be read to include any subsequent amendments to NZECP34:2001."</b>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "offal pit" to read:</p> <p>"means a hole <u>greater than 3m deep</u>, excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals generated on that property."</p>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "Intermittently flowing" to read:</p> <p>"Means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year <u>to the extent that the waterbody bed is prevented from grassing over each year.</u>"</p>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	26	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "indigenous vegetation" to:</p> <p>"means naturally occurring <u>woody</u> vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district" or</p> <p>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district. <u>Refer to Appendix XYZ for list of plants for each specific sub region.</u>"</p>					
<b>256</b>	Justin Stevens	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. I believe this definition needs to be clearer as the current wording is open to an individuals interpretation. An example would be that the " Hog Swamp Creek" may not be considered " intermittently flowing " as it has not flowed for the last two years. My assumption would be that it is not an intermittently flowing creek and would not be subject to the current Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>2. I believe that the Marlborough District Council need's to be clear and concise on each and every River ,Stream and Creek or body of water and to have a " catchment-specific plan "( Volume 1 page 15-12 ) for every River, Stream and Creek or body of water so as to remove any miss interpretation of rules and definition's.</p>					
<b>256</b>	Justin Stevens	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock.</p> <p>Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ?</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>260</b>	Jaquetta Bradshaw	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	remove the words "excluding transportation".					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide definitions for the different terms used for water supplies which are consistent with other existing legislation.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace "a frequency weighted" with A-Frequency-weighted"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	85	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision. if NZS 6809:2010 is incorporated into the plan, otherwise delete the definition of L10 Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	86	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace the definition with</p> <p>"means the day-night average sound level over a 24 hour period obtained after the addition of 10 decibels to sound levels at night, where night is 10.00 pm to 7.00 am the following day."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	87	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace the term "LAEQ (Leq) with "LAeq (LAeq)"            Replace in the text the term "(dBA Leq)" with "(dB LAeq)" which is the commonest usage (and the correct usage) in the plan. AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT THE PLAN WHERE REQUIRED.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	88	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace the term "LMAX (LAMAX)" with "LAFmax (LAFmax)" note the first term incorporates subscript text and the alternate term in brackets does not.            Insert ", F-time-weighted" before "sound level"            Delete "(dBA Lmax) "            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	89	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	90	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	91	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	92	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Add "or (LAE)" after "(SEL)" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Add new definition "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm, but excludes "small wind turbines" as described in section 1.6 of NZS6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>282</b>	Warren Forestry Ltd	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include the transportation of logs within the definition of commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).					
<b>282</b>	Warren Forestry Ltd	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replanting should be removed from this definition.					
<b>292</b>	James ( Jim) Rudd	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek a modification of the definition of meat processing to <u>exclude</u> the above facilities as described.					
<b>319</b>	Clive Tozer	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add clear definitions of the terms "Floodway" and "Stopbank Defence System".					
<b>321</b>	Simon and Richard Adams	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:</p> <p>Intensively farmed livestock means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</li> <li>• (b) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</li> <li>• (c) farmed pigs.</li> </ul> <p><u>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</u></p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend re-writing the definition of Meat Processing to explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. It does not include the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>Alternatively, MDC could explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption from Heavy Industrial Activity.</p> <p>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing (<u>excluding the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption</u>); heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy.</p>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a definition for "<i>material change</i>" as it is referred to in Standard 3.3.7.2.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	22	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Commercial forestry harvesting" as follows (strike through) -  <i>"means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:  (a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);  (b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;  (c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;  but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land."</i>  Or, add a new Permitted Activity for the transportation of logs under section 2.31 ( <i>see separate submission</i> ).  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	23	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>Significant failure</i> ", relative to Standard 3.3.7.18.					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition that would clarify how setbacks, such as the 8m setback in Standard 3.3.7.12(a), is measured. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>trees</i> ", as it would relate to Standard 3.3.7.12.  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>336</b>	William Ian Esson	26	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Slash</i> " as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"includes branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees and other waste wood, greater than <del>100mm</del> .....mm (a number greater than 100) in diameter at any point."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
340	B L and C F Leov Bulford	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	that are, in themselves, large and heavy. Excludes homekill					
340	B L and C F Leov Bulford	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Storage of meat and associated products <u>excluding homekill</u> .					
359	WilkesRM Limited	42	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Farming as follows - " <i>means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. <b>For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</b></i> "					
359	WilkesRM Limited	43	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Agricultural liquid waste" as follows (bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <b>and</b> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> "					
380	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways , fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	240	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	241	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Primary Production" to read:  "All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	242	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain chapter 25 - definitions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	243	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Outstanding" to read: "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the term natural and human use values is defined in the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	186	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify and/or define the terminology for "flood defences", "floodways" and the "Floodway Zone". <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	376	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That all words included in the definitions list are in lower case unless they are referring to another document or Appendix in the Plan and need to be capitalised.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	377	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Agrichemical as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means any substance, whether inorganic or organic, manufactured or naturally occurring, modified or in its natural state, that is used in any agriculture, horticulture, forestry, management of public amenity areas, or related activity, to eradicate, modify, or control flora or fauna. This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, vertebrate pest control products and <del>organ</del> <b>oral</b> nutrition compounds."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	378	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	New Definition: Archaeological Site. <i>(Specific definition wording sought not provided by Submitter.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	379	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Bare Ground.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	380	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The definition for Breakfeeding is deleted; or</p> <p>The definition for Breakfeeding is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Means the feeding of <del>animals</del> <b>livestock</b> on <del>paddocks</del> <b>pasture or forage</b> where feeding space <del>allocation</del> is controlled by the movement of an electric fence. <b>For the purpose of this Plan, breakfeeding refers to winter months (June to September).</b>"</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	381	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The definition of Building as amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i><del>"has the same meaning as in Section 8 of the Building Act 2004</del> <b>means any temporary or permanent or movable or immovable structure; and includes any structure intended for occupation by people or animals or machinery but does not include any of the following:</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(a) Any fence or wall which has a height of 2 metres or less.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) Any structure which has a height of 2 metres or less and having a floor area of less than 5.5m<sup>2</sup> which is located at least 1 metre from any adjoining property boundary.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(c) Any vehicle, trailer, tent, caravan, or boat.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(d) Any swimming pool or tank which has a height of less than 1 metre above ground.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(e) Any part of a deck, terrace, balcony, or patio which has a height less than 1 metre above ground.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(f) Any electricity poles and towers.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(g) Any pergola, crop structure or vertical crop protection structure.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(h) Scaffolding or falsework erected temporarily for maintenance and construction purposes.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) Lightning rods and their mountings where they do not exceed 2 metres above the building or structure to which it is attached."</i></b></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	382	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Carbon sequestration forestry planting (permanent).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	383	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition: Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	384	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Clean fill is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means material that does not have the potential to contaminate the environment. This material includes clay, soil, rock, concrete, Brick or demolition products that are free of combustible, organic materials and contaminants and are, therefore, not subject to biological or chemical breakdown. This will involve bulk filling operations where material is required to be carted to the filling site or specifically placed there, rather than <del>This definition excludes</del> cut to fill operations such as normally occurs with construction of tracks, roads and landings <b>and cleanfill required for normal farming activities.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	385	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Commercial Forestry is amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production, <b>excluding any trees:</b></i>  <i><b>(a) less than 10ha in extent, or</b></i>  <i><b>(b) planted for primarily amenity purposes, for example landscape enhancement or animal shelter, (including farm shelter belts) where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</b></i>  <i><b>(c) planted primarily for erosion control, including riparian margin strips, where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</b></i>  <i><b>(d) planted for scientific or research purpose, including established arboretums, or</b></i>  <i><b>(e) intended to remain in perpetuity, for instance trees planted for purposes of permanent carbon accumulation, or trees contained in a QEII or similar covenant.</b></i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	386	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Commercial forestry planting.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	387	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Commercial forestry harvesting.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	388	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a definition for compost is included in the Plan. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	389	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition Computer Register is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	390	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Conservation Planting is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	391	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Consumptive Uses is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	392	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Cultivation is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means breaking up or turning soil such that the surface contour of the land is not altered, <b>excluding:</b></i> <b>a) direct drilling and strip tiling</b> <b>b) no-till practices</b> <b>c) harvesting of forage and crops including ground disturbance</b> <b>d) forestry."</b>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	393	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a definition for dairy cattle is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <b><i>"means milking cows located on the dairy platform."</i></b>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	394	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Domestic livestock is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	395	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition for Drainage channel as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means an <b>permanently flowing</b> artificial or other watercourse maintained or created for the purposes of removing unwanted water. <b>Channels designed and constructed to convey water only during rainfall events and which do not convey or retain water at other times are excluded from this definition.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	396	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Ephemeral is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	397	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Excavation is amend as follows (bold) -  <i>"means to dig out soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered, <b>excludes normal production earthmoving activities including the formation and maintenance of farm tracks, fence post holes, filling around troughs and gates, cultivation and harvesting of crops, planting trees, removal of trees and horticultural root ripping, drilling bores, digging offal pits, and burials of dead stock and plant waste and installation of services.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	398	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit <b>primary production activity including agriculture, horticulture, floriculture, arboriculture, arable and cropping activities, plantation forestry, woodlot forestry, associated structures and buildings, and activities ancillary to the above.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	399	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Heavy industrial activity is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	400	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Heritage resource is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>Means an <del>type of</del> historic heritage place or area <b>identified within Appendix 13: Register of Significant Heritage Resources, within the Marlborough Environment Plan.</b> <del>It may include</del> <b>The schedule includes</b> a historic building or item, historic site, a place/area of significance to Maori or heritage landscape. <del>The term may be used to refer to both heritage resources listed in the Marlborough Environment Plan and to those registered by Heritage New Zealand.</del></i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	401	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for High rate discharge system is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	402	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Home occupation is amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>"means any occupation, business, trade, craft or profession <b>conducted from the home</b>, the primary purpose of which is to derive income. Excluded from this definition are any activities involving escort agencies, brothels, massage parlours, homestays, retail sales, panel beating, spray painting, motor vehicle repairs, heavy trade vehicles, fibre-glassing, sheet metal work, wrecking of motor vehicles, bottle and scrap metal storage, rubbish collection service, wrought iron work, fish processing, motor body building and any process that involves continual use of power tools and drilling or hammering or any other activity that would detract from the amenities of the neighbourhood or locality. <b>Excludes primary production.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	403	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Indigenous vegetation is amended to read (bold):  <i>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the District. <b>Excludes scattered trees and plants occurring in pasture.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	404	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intensively farmed livestock is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means:</i>  <i>(a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land</del> or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops <b>(July – September)</b>;</i>  <i>(a) dairy cattle <b>located on the milking platform</b>;</i>  <i>(b) farmed pigs."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	405	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intensive farming is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means any primary production activity exhibiting <del>two or more</del> of the following characteristics:</i> <i>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries; <b>and</b></i> <i>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter; <b>and</b></i> <i>(c) substantial <b>indoor</b> environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter; <b>and</b></i> <i>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; <b>or</b></i> <i>(e) land based aquaculture."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	406	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intermittently flowing is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	407	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Lawfully established is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>means an activity that is permitted through a rule in a plan, a resource consent, a national environmental standard, <b>common law</b> or by an existing use right.</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	408	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Land disturbance activity is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	409	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for Maintenance and replacement is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means any work, including foundation work, or activity necessary to continue the operation and or functioning of an existing line, building, structure or (for the purpose of utilities) other facility with another of the same or similar <b>character, intensity,</b> height, size or scale, within the same or similar position and for the same or similar purpose."</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	410	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Meat processing is amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>"means the use of land and buildings for the <b>commercial</b> yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>Excludes primary production where farmed or wild animals are slaughtered for home consumption.</b>"</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	411	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for Minor upgrading is amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>"means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, <b>and do not result in injurious affection...."</b></i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	412	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Munsell scale is deleted from the Plan.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	413	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for National Grid Yard is amended to read as follows (bold) -  "means <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a <b>pylon or tower</b> National Grid support structure <b>and 8m from a pole</b>; and</i></li> <li>• <i>the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i></li> <li>• <i>the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid line on pi poles or towers."</i></li> </ul>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	414	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Natural clarity is clarified and everyday language is used. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	415	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a definition for new dairy farm is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <b><i>"means the establishment of a new milking plant and surrounding land for the farming of dairy cattle for milk production. Excludes additional land brought into an existing dairy farm."</i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	416	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Offal pit is amended to read as follows (bold) - <b><i>"means a hole excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals, and decomposable material generated on that property. Excludes single animal burial."</i></b>					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	417	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for On-site waste water system is retained as notified.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	418	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Pit is deleted.					
<b>425</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	419	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Reasonable mixing is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</i></p> <p><i>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) 200m downstream</i></p> <p><i>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</i></p> <p><i>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</i></p> <p><del><i>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:-</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(a) 200m downstream; or</i></del></p> <p><del><i>(b) the property boundary.</i></del></p> <p><i>For lakes:</i></p> <p><i>Within a radius of 100m.</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	420	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Riparian Natural Character Management Area is deleted from the Plan.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	421	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for River is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><del><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act</i></del> <b>means a continually or intermittently flowing body of fresh water that is 1 metre or wider, 30cms or deeper, and permanently flowing. This includes a stream and modified watercourse; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal).</b>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	422	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Significant Wetland is amended as to refer to the new schedule of Significant Wetlands that have met the significance criteria ( <i>see separate Submission seeking schedule</i> ).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	423	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the first definition for "Site" (relating to a building or structure) is changed to be the definition for "<b>Building site</b>".</li> <li>That the second, third and fourth definitions for "Site" be deleted.</li> <li>That a new definition for "<b>Site</b>" be added as follows - "<b>means a property with a Certificate of Title</b>".</li> </ul>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	424	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Stormwater is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means rainfall that <del>runs off land</del> <b>is collected from impervious surfaces and directed into</b> <del>for which specific drainage channels or pipes</del> <b>which</b> have been constructed <b>for this purpose.</b>"</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	425	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition for Structure as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act and includes an underwater cable <b>but excludes farm fencing, tanks, pipes and troughs.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	426	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Surface water is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means water contained <b>permanently</b> in lakes, wetlands, <del>drainage channel,</del> rivers, streams, <del>either permanently or intermittently.</del> <b>For the purpose of this plan, surface water does not include water in drains, drainage channels, water races, dams, ephemeral flow paths and bodies of water designed, installed and maintained for any of the following purposes: water storage ponds including but not limited for fire fighting, irrigation or stock watering, and water treatment ponds including but not limited to wastewater, stormwater, nutrient attenuation, sediment control or animal effluent.</b> As opposed to groundwater."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	427	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Vegetation clearance is amended to read as follows (strike through and <b>bold</b> ) -  <i>"<b>Indigenous</b> Vegetation Clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of <b>standing</b> vegetation <b>that is indigenous to New Zealand</b> including <del>indigenous and exotic plant vegetation</del> by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	428	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Wetland be deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	429	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Winery be amended to read as follows (bold) -  <i>"means a facility <b>involving all buildings and plant</b> for the processing of grapes or other fruit for the production of wine, or juice for the subsequent production of wine, and the blending, storage, bottling and packaging of wine. <b>It also includes the vertical integration of other activities aligned with the on-site wine making, such as the retail sale of wine produced on the site, and the serving of food and beverages.</b>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	430	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Worker accommodation be amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term <b>temporary</b> labour requirements of a <b>seasonal</b> farming activity <del>where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming activity occurs.</del>"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	431	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Woodlot forestry is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	432	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of Woodlot forestry harvesting from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	433	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new definition for quarrying is added to the Plan which reads as follows -  <i>"<b>Quarrying means any activity where open or surface excavation of rock or other material deposits including gravel, rock, soil, clay, sand or peat is undertaken and removed from the property for commercial purposes.</b>"</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	666	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>No decision requested.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	680	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>impermeable surface</i> ". ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	832	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Farming</i> " to include earthworks ancillary to farming.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	236	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	237	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Primary Production" to read "All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	238	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 25 - Definitions. ( <i>inferred</i> )					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	239	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."					
431	Wine Marlborough	86	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	142	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend definition of Noise Sensitive Activity as follows:</p> <p>Noise sensitive activity means any use of land and/or buildings that is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby land uses in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning. Examples include dwellings, visitor accommodation, hospitals, health care and medical centres, residential care housing, educational institutions, structures for the purpose of, or activities involving public assembly. <u>This definition excludes those activities that are permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities in the Port and Port Landing zones, and at Havelock also includes those activities that are permitted in the Marina Zone.</u></p>					
433	Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited	203	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition to enable retail activities associated with and / or ancillary to the port engineering activity.					
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	16	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Agricultural waste" as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <b>producers</b> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> harvesting <b>and processing</b> of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> "					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	58	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Ephemeral</i> ".					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	63	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>River</i> ".					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " as follows (strike out) - "means: (a) <del>cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</del> (b) dairy cattle; (c) farmed pigs.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Commercial Forestry Planting</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	74	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent)</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Woodlot forestry</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	88	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Cultivation</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	101	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Excavation</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Worker accommodation</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Meat processing</i> " as follows (bold) - " <i>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</b></i> "					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	69	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	69	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend definition of reasonable mixing to the effect of:</p> <p>Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</p> <p>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</p> <p>a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</p> <p>b) 200m downstream</p> <p>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</p> <p>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</p> <p>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:</p> <p>a) 200m downstream; or</p> <p>b) the property boundary.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	65	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of <del>all forms of indigenous</del> <u>indigenous</u> vegetation <del>including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment.</del>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	66	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of River to the effect of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>a river or stream that is deeper than 15 cm and wider than 1 metre</u>; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal)</li> </ul>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of intensive farming as notified.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to remove irrigated land, i.e: a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land</del> or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops <u>(July – September inclusive)</u> ; b) dairy cattle; c) farmed pigs.  If there are concerns that the definition does not capture intensively farmed cattle, a industry agreed stocking rate or alternative measure could be introduced.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	41	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i></p> <p>(a) <i>cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i></p> <p>(a) <i>dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>farmed pigs.</i></p> <p><u>For clarity Intensively Farmed Livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or the crossing of stock across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensively / break fed grazed area.</u></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of Antenna.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	76	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of Height as follows:</p> <p><i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <b><u>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</u></b></i></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	77	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows: Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes: (a) The <b>replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or</b> addition of <b>lines</b> , circuits and conductors; (b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors; (c) The re-sagging of conductors; (d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators; (e) The addition of earthwires <del>which may contain telecommunication lines</del> , earthpeaks and lightning rods; (f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading; <b>(g) The replacement of a pole, provided that:</b> <b>(i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and</b> <b>(ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and</b> <b>(iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole.</b> Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage. <b>Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.</b>					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	80	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	82	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication Facility as proposed.					
<b>464</b>	Chorus New Zealand limited	83	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><b>Infrastructure includes:</b></p> <p><b><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(k) district roading network;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></b></p>					
469	Ian Bond	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b><i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose this definition", the submitter requests that the definition of Commercial forestry harvesting is amended so that the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land is not excluded.</i></b></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticulatural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	266	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	267	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the definition of Agricultural Waste:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <b>viticultural</b>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p>					
497	Heagney Bros Limited	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to.</p> <p><b><i>It is inferred that an amendment(s) to the definition of Commercial forestry is made to clarify the consequences of excluding transportation.</i></b></p>					
503	Yachting New Zealand Incorporated	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following definitions:</p> <p><b><i>Recognised Navigational Routes - is a safe sea passage and commonly used by ships navigating within that area. The recognised navigational route may be one used by commercial ships to and from ports, and may also include pleasure craft routes which are normally used to navigate between popular destinations.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Recognised Anchorages of Refuge - means an anchorage which is referred to in cruising guides, pilot books and similar publications as being suitable shelter for small/larger craft in adverse weather.</i></b></p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to enable planting for the purposes of environmental enhancement to occur.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the definition with amendment to include all cattle on low-land farms (excluding high country farmed cattle) not just cattle on irrigated land or contained for break-feeding of winter feed crops in recognition that all cattle farmed on lowland areas have the same impacts, particularly when entering onto or passing across the bed of a river.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the definition of intensive farming to remove (e) land based aquaculture from the definition and to specifically exclude the fish farm operated by Ormond Aquaculture Ltd on Keith Coleman Lane as effects from land based aquaculture activities are most appropriately addressed through discharge to water consents.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to include outdoor recreation, and to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of wetland to remove the wording "but this does not include these areas where they are entirely man made" and amend definition to ensure that improved pasture/crop areas are considered as wetlands.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to ensure that all remaining wetlands in the Marlborough Region be identified as significant wetlands given their global rarity and to recognise the diverse, complex and productive nature of these ecosystems. In particular, Fish and Game also seek to ensure that Lake Elterwater is recognised as a significant wetland due to its local significance as game bird and waterfowl habitat.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <b>Natural Character</b> " and " <b>Outstanding Features and Landscape</b> ".					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Heavy Industrial Activity" as follows (bold) - <i>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing; heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy. <b>For clarity, in this context meat processing does not include home kill for private purposes.</b></i>  (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for "Farm Quarry". (Inferred)					
592	Clifford John Smith	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A definition of Category A and B Devices should be added.					
648	D C Hemphill	46	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of commercial forestry and forestry road to be the same as the draft National Environmental Standard on Plantation Forestry.					
676	Dairy NZ	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates a definition of 'Dairy Farm' is included in relation to Heading 3.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	105	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "recognised professional" in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	112	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "property" in relation to Standard 3.3.31.2, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable</u> " and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.33.4, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	127	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>recognised professional</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	152	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable material</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	157	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>property</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.30.2, no definition is provided.					
<b>676</b>	Dairy NZ	164	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable</u> and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.32.2, no definition is provided.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	167	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of <i>wetland</i> is deleted:</p> <p><del><b>Wetland</b> has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act but does not include these areas where they are entirely man made.</del></p>					
681	Department of Corrections	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new definition is included in the MEP:</p> <p><i>Community corrections activity means the use of land and buildings for correctional administrative and non-custodial services. Services may include probation. rehabilitation and reintegration services. assessments. reporting, workshops and programmes and offices may be used for the administration of and a meeting point for community work groups.</i></p>					
681	Department of Corrections	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>community activity</i>:</p> <p><i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, <b>community corrections activities</b>, active and passive recreation.</i></p>					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Intensive Poultry Farming</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Raising or keeping poultry for human consumption or egg production, where the predominant productive processes are carried out primarily within buildings.</u></b></p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of intensively farmed livestock is amended to remove reference to stock grazing on irrigated land or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops.</p>					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	22	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows:  <i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b><u>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</u></b></i>					
<b>713</b>	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is sought that a new "Trade Supplier" definition (and associated activity status) is included in the Proposed Plan which will enable retailers and wholesalers which are best located in industrial areas to be separated from general 'commercial activities'. The following definition is sought:  <b><i>Trade Supplier means business engaged in sales to businesses, and may include sales to general public, but wholly consists of sales in one or more of the following categories:</i></b> <b><i>(a) Automotive and marine supplies.</i></b> <b><i>(b) Buildings supplies, including household fixtures, timber, tools, paint, wallpaper and plumbing supplies.</i></b> <b><i>(c) Garden and landscaping supplies.</i></b> <b><i>(d) Farming and agricultural supplies.</i></b> <b><i>(e) Hire services (excluding hire of books, DVD and video).</i></b>					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	423	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete requirement for species to be indigenous to the District					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	424	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition for "Intensively farmed"					
<b>715</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	425	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Clarify application of definition					
<b>716</b>	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	199	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following definition of <i>Landscape</i> is included:  <b><i>Landscape means an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the cumulative result of the action and interaction of natural and/or cultural factors.</i></b>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	77	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Notify a definition of Safe Yield.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Notify a definition of Enhanced Transfer System.					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the notified definition of clean fill with MfE's definition from its clean fill guidelines.  <b><u>Cleanfill material</u></b>  <b><u>Material that when buried will have no adverse effect on people or the environment. Cleanfill material includes natural materials such as clay, soil and rock, and other inert materials such as concrete or brick that are free of:</u></b>  <b><u>- Combustible, putrescible, degradable, or leachable components</u></b>  <b><u>- hazardous substances</u></b>  <b><u>- products or materials derived from hazardous waste treatment, hazardous waste stabilisation or hazardous waste disposal practices</u></b>  <b><u>- materials that may present a risk to human or animal health such as medical and veterinary waste, asbestos or radioactive substances</u></b>  <b><u>- liquid waste.</u></b>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	80	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new definition of Quarrying:</p> <p><b><u>Quarrying</u></b></p> <p><b><u>means the use of land, buildings and plant for the purpose of extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock and the associated processing, storage, sale and transportation of those same materials and quarry site rehabilitation. It may include:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>a. earthworks associated with the removal and storage of over-burden;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b. extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock materials by excavation or blasting;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>c. processing of aggregate materials by screening, crushing, washing and/or mixing them together;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>d. the addition of additives such as clay, lime, cement and recycled/recovered aggregate to extracted materials;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>e. workshops required for the repair of equipment used on the same property;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>f. site management offices;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>g. car parking;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>h. landscaping;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>j. quarry site rehabilitation and any associated clean-filling.</u></b></p>					
<b>717</b>	Fulton Hogan Limited	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new definition of Rural Productive Activities</p> <p><b><u>Productive rural activities</u></b></p> <p><b><u>means farming, plantation forestry, intensive forestry, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></b></p>					
<b>766</b>	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Large format retail</i>:</p> <p><i>Large format retail means the use of land and buildings for the sale of goods to the trade and/or general public. <b>Large format retail applies within the Business 3 zone only.</b></i></p> <p>The Submitter considers that a minimum floor area threshold should be added to the large format retail definition. The Submitter is not interested in the setting of this threshold; that is the responsibility of the Council. For the purpose of this Submission, the Submitter is only interested in ensuring that the definition, if retained, only applies to the Business 3 zone.</p>					
<b>766</b>	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of <i>Commercial activity</i> be retained provided that the definition for <i>Large format retail</i> is revised as set out in this submission (point #1).</p>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	66	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Council should consult with tangata whenua to agree on how sites of significance to Maori should be referred to and then an appropriate definition be included in Chapter 25 Definitions.</p> <p>That the following definitions be added to the plan:</p> <p><b>Alteration</b> means any changes to the fabric or characteristics of a building involving, but not limited to, the removal and replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors or roofs, either internally or externally and includes any sign attached to the building. It does not include repair or maintenance.</p> <p><b>Addition</b> means an extension, or increase in floor area, number of stories, or height of a building or structure. It includes the construction of new floors, walls, ceilings, and roofs.</p> <p>Archaeological site has the same meaning as in Section 6 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.</p> <p><b>Repair</b> means the restoration to good or sound condition of any existing building or structure (or part of any existing building or structure) for the purpose of its maintenance. It includes reconstruction after damage caused by natural hazards.</p>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition for Maintenance of a building or structure to read:</p> <p>Maintenance <b>of a building or structure</b> means the protective care of a place. For clarity, the maintenance of a building or structure does not extend to the complete rebuild or replacement of the a building or structure.</p>					
<b>768</b>	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The definition be amended to only focus on replacement and the word 'maintenance' be removed.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	113	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of accessory: Means a separate detached building the use of which is incidental to that of the principal building or buildings on the site or the activity on the site.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	114	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition by changing 'organ' to 'oral' nutrition compounds					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	115	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the relationship between 'accessory' and 'ancillary' and amend to ensure that there is clarity as to how the terms will be applied in the Plan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	116	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to the end of Category B: Such as sirens and high frequency devices.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a definition for artificial crop protection structures as follows: Artificial Crop Protection Structures means structures with material used to protect crops and/or enhance growth (excluding greenhouses).</p> <p>Include a definition for greenhouses as follows: Greenhouses are a totally enclosed structure where plants are grown in a controlled environment.</p> <p>Include a definition for crop support structures as follows: Crop support structures are open structures on which plants are grown.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of building by adding an exemption for artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	119	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of bare ground by adding: But does not include land that is part of a rotational growing system where it is between crops.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	120	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of cultivation: Means breaking up, turning and mounding of soil in preparation for sowing and harvesting a crop, including ancillary erosion and control methods to minimise sediment runoff to water.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of farm airstrip and helipad					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	122	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition of intensive farming to exclude greenhouses and include in the definition of farming.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	123	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'and mobile' from the definition of frost fan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	124	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete greenhouses from intensive farming and provide for them as a standalone activity. Add an exclusion to the definition of intensive farming: But does not include greenhouses for the production of vegetative matter.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a definition of fertiliser as the ACVM regulations or as follows: A substance or biological compound or mix of substances or biological compounds that is described as, or held out to be for, or suitable for, sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity, or quality of plants or, indirectly, animals through the application to plants or soil of: i) essential nutrients and ii) fertiliser additives; and iii) non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	126	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of minor upgrading by adding to b): The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors but does not include an increase in voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage. Delete last sentence of the definition.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	127	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of noise sensitive activity: Delete 'examples include' and replace with 'Noise sensitive activities are..'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	128	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a definition of sensitive activities as follows: Sensitive activities are: a) Habitable buildings b) Educational facilities c) Correctional facilities d) Public places and amenity areas where people congregate e) Public roads					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	129	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows: Reverse sensitivity occurs when occupants of a new development (for example, a lifestyle block) complain about the effects of an existing, lawfully established activity (for example, noise or smell from industry or farming). This can have the effect of imposing economic burdens, operational limitations or other constraints on the existing activity thereby reducing its viability.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	130	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of ponding: Means the intermittent formation of pools of surface liquid which remain for 24 hours after the source of liquid has ceased.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	131	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of rural industry: Means an industry, constructional engineers and roading, cartage or rural contractor workshop or yards or facilities for the processing, packing and storage of primary products where either:					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	132	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance by adding: But does not include the harvesting of crops. Delete 'cultivation'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	133	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of worker accommodation: Means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term labour requirement of a farming activity or rural industry where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming or rural industry activity occurs.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	134	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for production land: Production land has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definitions are included in the MEP:</p> <p><b>Relocated Building</b> means any previously used building which is transported in whole or in parts and re-located from its original site to its destination site; but excludes any prefabricated building which is delivered dismantled to a site for erection on that site.</p> <p><b>Removal of a Building</b> means the shifting of a building off a site.</p> <p><b>Relocation of a Building</b> means the placement of a relocated building on its destination site.</p> <p><b>Re-siting of a Building</b> means shifting a building within a site.</p>					
776	Indevin Estates Limited	50	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</u></i></p>					
870	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Improve the ease of use for Chapter 25- Definitions [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	172	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	173	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	174	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	175	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	176	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	177	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Has the same meaning as network utility operator in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	178	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Has the same meaning as in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	179	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	180	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	181	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	182	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rationalise four definitions of "Site" to provide clarity.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	183	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	184	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	185	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the absence of clarity, delete Marine Mammal (Dolphin) Map 18.					
904	Land Vision Limited	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following definition of <i>Impeded Drainage</i> is included is included in the MEP <b>(inferred)</b> :  <b><i>Impeded Drainage means soils that have either i) between the 30cm and 60cm of the soil surface, but not within 15cm of the base of the A horizon, 50% or more low chroma mottles on cut faces or ped faces or ii) that have within 15cm of the base of the A horizon or within 30 cm of the mineral soil surface, 2% or more redox segregations or &lt;50% low chroma colours on cut faces or ped faces.</i></b>					
904	Land Vision Limited	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of <i>High rate discharge system</i> <b>(inferred)</b> .					
904	Land Vision Limited	18	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If <i>Standard 3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within: (f) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established</i> cannot be omitted, then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified that is not based on a map generated from 1:50,000 scale map. This definition needs to be opened for discussion. The submission does no include amendments to be made to the definition of <i>Steep Erosion-Prone Land</i> .					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Agricultural Liquid as notified.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</u></i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to include management as an activity.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	119	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect): <b>d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	120	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the words: <del><b>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</b></del>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to replanting in the definition.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	122	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the second sentence of the definition as follows (or words with similar effect): <b>Includes the planting, management and preparation of land for planting, including excavation.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	123	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the last sentence of the definition: <b>This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	124	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of excavation by including (or words to similar effect): This definition does not pertain to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of slash and replace it with the following (or words with similar effect): <b>Slash: means wood waste (slovens, cull logs, uprooted stumps, broken trees, chunks, branches and tops) greater than 100 mm in diameter and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	126	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of farming be amended as follows:  Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. <u>For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</u>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs.</i> <i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i><u>the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</u></i></li> <li><i><u>livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</u></i></li> </ul>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	23	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new definition of Early Childhood/Daycare facilities as follows: <b>Land or buildings used for the care during the day of pre-school aged children other than those residing on the site.</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forestry. Change all references to commercial forestry (plantation forests) to Plantation Forestry (plantation forests).					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add in a definition of Plantation forestry as follows (or with words of similar effect): Growing trees and removing them from the land, to produce timber and/or fibre, or where the land cover is principally timber tree species. Forest has a corresponding meaning. It includes:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accessory land preparation</li> <li>• Accessory tracking or roads, landings or other accessory earthworks</li> <li>• Clearing understorey</li> <li>• Harvesting trees (including de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees</li> <li>• recovery of windfall and other fallen trees</li> <li>• Planting trees</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Tree alteration</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Thinning trees</li> <li>• Accessory vegetation removal</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include management as an activity.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to also state (or with words of similar effect): The activity of plantation forest harvesting (the land disturbance activities) is provided for by regional function rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	10	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect): d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	11	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the following words from the definition of Commercial Forest harvesting (strike through) -  <i>" means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:  (a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);  (b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;  (c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;  but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land."</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	12	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forest planting. Amend the definition as follows (or words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>" means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, <del>management and replanting,</del> <b>management of trees,</b> and <del>the preparation of land for planting,</del> <b>including excavation and land disturbance.</b>"</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	13	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forest replanting.  Delete the last sentence of the definition: This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone. Ensure the activity of replanting a commercial forest is a permitted activity throughout the region.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of excavation to read as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) -  <i>" means to dig out <b>and infill</b> soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "<i>Slash</i>" as follows (or words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"includes means wood waste (slovens, branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees) and other waste wood, greater than 100mm in diameter at any point and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks."</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	16	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of Soil debris.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	17	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Definition of Slope.</p> <p>Replace 50 m with 200m for slope measurement within a rural or coastal environment zone.</p>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition to read:</b></p> <p><i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops.</i></p> <p>While grazing on irrigated land may result in livestock being intensively farmed, the requirement to prevent access to a riverbed could be incorporated in consent conditions for water takes used for irrigation.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Either add a new definition to Chapter 25 which lists the facilities fro Policy 4.2.1</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Add definition to Chapter 25 that directs the reader to Policy 4.2.1 where the facilities are listed.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	94	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a definition in the MEP. NZDF's suggested wording is as follows:  <i>"When existing activities are affected by newer uses establishing that may have sensitivity to, and subsequently complain about, the effects of the existing activities; and seek to limit the ability of the existing activities to continue. Common examples are new residential development establishing next to farming or industrial operations, which can lead to the new residents complaining about noise, odour or other nuisance effects from those established activities".</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	95	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain definition as notified, with the exception of fixing an error by removing an extra 'the' as follows:  <i>"means a temporary training activity undertaken for <del>the</del> defence purposes in accordance with the Defence Act 1990".</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of 'emergency service' as follows (bold) - <i>"means an organization that is essential to the community's <b>initial</b> response to an emergency incident or hazard event."</i>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	45	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to the definition of <i>commercial forestry harvesting</i> :  <b><i>Commercial forestry harvesting</i></b> means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:  <i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i>  <i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i>  <i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i>  <i>but does not include <del>the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del></i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	70	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural liquid waste</i>  The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	71	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural solid waste</i> The submission does not include a decision requested.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	72	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural waste</i> The submission does not include a decision requested.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Domestic livestock</i> ( <b>inferred</b> ):  <i>Domestic livestock means livestock bred, reared and/or kept on the property for home consumption, or as pets, or for hobby purposes and from which little or no income is derived. <b>Domestic livestock includes the keeping, breeding or rearing of five (5) or fewer pigs that have been weaned, or more than two (2) sows (with progeny until weaned).</b></i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	74	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Farming</i> ( <b>inferred</b> ):  <i>Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter, <b>and includes outdoor (extensive) pig farming.</b> Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Intensive farming</i>:</p> <p><del><i>Intensive farming means any primary production activity exhibiting two or more of the following characteristics:-</i></del>  <del><i>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries;</i></del>  <del><i>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</i></del>  <del><i>(c) substantial environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</i></del>  <del><i>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; and</i></del>  <del><i>(e) land based aquaculture</i></del> <b><i>the commercial raising and keeping of animals and/or plants which is dependent on a high input of food including but not limited to cut pasture and/or supplementary meal or fertiliser throughout the year from beyond the holding, and is contained in, buildings or outdoor enclosures. It includes, but is not limited to.....</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> </ul> <p><b><i>the keeping of pigs outdoors without ground cover being maintained.</i></b></p> <p>It is not clear if the bullet points included in the submission are relevant to the proposed definition.</p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	76	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a new definition is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>Outdoor (extensive) pig farming means an area of a SITE where all pigs, boar, and piglets are contained within a paddock/s with groundcover maintained.</i></b></p>					
<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a definition of 'Masts' that includes support structures, because these are frequently a form of configuration used by Amateurs. The submission does not include further details for the decision requested for this submission point.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1001	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following definition of <b>Amateur Radio Configurations</b> is included in the MEP  <b>Amateur Radio Configurations (ARC) means aerials, antennas and associated support structures which are owned and operated by licensed amateur radio operators.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	224	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Suggest all words and terms which are defined in Chapter 25 be clearly marked with an asterisk, underlining, bold or similar at each appearance. In the online Flipbook it would be useful to hyperlink defined words to their definition.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	225	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definition of abrasive blasting as follows:</b> <i>means the cleaning, smoothing, roughening, cutting or removal of part <u>of</u> a surface <del>of</del> or any articles by the use as an abrasive of a jet of sand, metal, shot or grit or other material propelled by a blast of compressed air or steam or water or by a wheel.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	226	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete the definition for access and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b> <del><i>means a practical permanent vehicular and pedestrian access from a formed road to a site over either:- (a) land that is included within the site; or (b) other land pursuant to an easement of right of way running with the land and appurtenant to the site; or (c) land that is legal but unformed road.</i></del> <u><i>Means a vehicular entrance formed to provide access to any property from the carriageway of the public road and includes any crossing constructed over a footpath, kerb, berm, water channel or drain.</i></u>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	227	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for agricultural.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	228	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for bore.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	229	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete or amend the definition for cut-off to relate to its usage in the MEP.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	230	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for dam</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	231	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for damming as follows:</b> <i>"Damming means impounding surface water or groundwater water with any structure. This excludes water held in tanks, and for the avoidance of doubt excludes coffer dams."</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	232	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for dewatering.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	233	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for diversion</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	234	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for drainage channel</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	235	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for front yard</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	236	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definition for land development signage as follows:</b> “Land development <del>signage</del> <u>sign</u> means a sign <del>must relate</del> <u>relating to</u> land development that involves a minimum of six allotments or units.”					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	237	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete the definition for land transport and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b> <i>means all forms of land-based transport, including road and rail.</i> <u>(a) Means –</u> <u>(i) transport on land by any means;</u> <u>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services facilitating that transport; and</u> <u>(b) Includes –</u> <u>(i) coastal shipping (including transport by means of harbour ferries, or ferries or barges on rivers or lakes) and associated infrastructure;</u> <u>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services (including education and enforcement), the primary purpose of which is to improve public safety in relation to the kinds of transport described in paragraph (a)(i).</u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	238	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Define external lighting and outdoor lighting to exclude street lights.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	239	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert a definition for limited access road, as follows:</b> <u>Limited Access Road means any road or part of a road which has been declared a “limited access road” under the Government Roding Powers Act 1989.</u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	240	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for local road, as follows:</b> <u>means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</u> Ensure the term “local road” is used consistently throughout the MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	241	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definitions for "maintenance of a building or structure" and "maintenance and replacement"</b> to clearly distinguish between the two. For example, replace with separate definitions for "maintenance" and "replacement"					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	242	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for mobile source as follows:</b> <i>A mobile source that discharges contaminants into air including, but not limited to, motor vehicles (cars), trucks, light utility vehicles, buses, aircraft, trains, vessels (boats), and mobile plant, including plant used for construction or maintenance purposes.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	243	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for net site area.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	244	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the Network Utilities section</b> , such as in the introductory statement, to state that activities within the legal road are not subject to the rules in this chapter (where they are undertaken by the requiring authority and in accordance with the designation purpose and any conditions)					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	245	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for noise sensitive activity.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	246	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for official road sign as follows:</b> <i>means any sign erected in accordance with:</i> <i>(a) the Traffic Regulations 1976; or</i> <i>(b) the Land Transport Act 1998 and rules made pursuant to it, including the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004; or</i> <i>(c) any Gazette Notice issued under clause 4.4 of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	247	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for point source discharge as follows:</b>  <i>A discharge that runs off land or structures and is collected or diverted through specifically constructed drainage channels or pipes.</i></p> <p><b>Add a definition for non-point source discharge as follows:</b>  <i>A discharge that runs off land or structures in a diffuse manner for which no specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	248	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain definition for reasonable mixing.</b></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	249	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for regionally significant infrastructure as follows:</b>  <i>Existing or proposed infrastructure, or a component of infrastructure, which contributes to the social, economic, environmental, health and safety wellbeing of the Marlborough District, and includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></li> <li>• <i>Reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></li> <li>• <i>Reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></li> <li>• <i>Regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></li> <li>• <i>National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></li> <li>• <i>Local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></li> <li>• <i>Facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></li> <li>• <i>Strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2 (1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></li> <li>• <i>Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></li> <li>• <i>Main trunk railway line;</i></li> <li>• <i>Road network;</i></li> <li>• <i>Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></li> <li>• <i>Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></li> <li>• <i>RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i></li> <li>• <i>Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	250	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows:</b>  <i>Reverse sensitivity is the vulnerability of an established land use. In practice such complaints can compromise the established land use.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	251	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for river as follows:</b>  <i>River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act. <u>For the avoidance of doubt, river includes continuously, intermittently, and ephemerally flowing watercourses, but does not include artificial watercourses</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for intermittently flowing as follows:</b>  <i>Intermittently flowing means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year, <u>and excludes ephemeral waterbodies.</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for ephemeral as follows:</b>  <i>Ephemerally <u>flowing</u> means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that only exists or flows for a short period following heavy or persistent precipitation or snowmelt, and <u>excludes intermittent waterbodies.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	252	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for road as follows:</b>  <i>Has the same meaning as in <del>Section 2 of the Act</del> Section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	253	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for road network, as follows:</b>  <i><u>means all local roads and State Highways</u></i>  Ensure the term "road network" is used consistently throughout the MEP.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	254	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain the definition for road controlling authority.</b>  <b>Change all uses of <i>roading authority</i> to <u>road controlling authority.</u></b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	255	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for rock rip-rap</b> to either specify that the definition relates to its use in rivers only, or expand the definition to relate to its use in coastal protection.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	256	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for run-off</b> but update all occurrences of 'runoff' and 'run off' to read as 'run-off' for consistency.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	257	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for safety and hazard sign.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	258	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for sign as follows:</b> <i>Sign means any name, figure, character, outline, display, notice, placard, poster, banner of any kind, advertising device or appliance, or any other thing of a similar nature intended to attract attention; and</i> <i>(a) includes all materials composing the sign, together with the frame, background, structure and support or anchorage of the sign;</i> <i>(b) includes any of the above listed things when fixed or mounted on any vehicle that is parked on a State Highway for the purpose of displaying that sign;</i> <i>(c) includes road safety billboards, sandwich boards and temporary local banners; but</i> <i>(d) does not include any official road sign or traffic sign.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	259	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for State Highway buffer area as follows:</b> <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway buffer area", and used to promote separation between noise sensitive activities and the State Highway. The buffer extends up to 40 m from the edge of the traffic lane and typically incorporates shoulder areas, stormwater drains, stormwater treatment, utility corridors, cycle and foot paths and other non-noise sensitive activities.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	260	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for State Highway effects area as follows:</b> <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway effects area", and used to implement design standards on new buildings within this area to achieve reasonable indoor acoustic amenity. The effects area extends up to 100 m from the edge of the traffic lane.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	261	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Replace the definition for stormwater as follows:</b> <i>Rainfall runoff from land, including constructed impervious areas such as roads, pavement, roofs and urban areas which may contain dissolved or entrained contaminants, and which is diverted and discharged to land and water.</i> <del><i>means rainfall that runs off land and for which specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i></del>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	262	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for temporary sign.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	263	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for Tree Protection Zone.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	264	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	265	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation clearance</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	300	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid'</b> to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law. <b>Ensure</b> each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP. <b>Insert a definition for these terms in Chapter 25.</b>					
<b>1004</b>	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	11	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition for 'Heavy Industrial Activities' as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	12	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition for 'Light Industrial Activities' as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	43	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new definition of Sign, which excludes warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation. This could be achieved by adding a definition of sign along the following lines:</p> <p><b><u>Any display or device whether or not placed on land or affixed to a building, stationary vehicle or structure, intended to attract attention for the purposes of directing, identifying, informing, or advertising, and which is visible from a public place. The area of a sign shall be a measurement of that sign's face or total message visible from a public place and warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation shall be excluded from the definition of sign.</u></b></p>					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	49	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Bore as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	50	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Dewatering as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	51	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Excavation as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	52	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Fill, filling and Fill Material as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	53	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Service Station as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	54	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Vehicle Oriented Activities as notified.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	55	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new definition for 'drive through facility' that excludes Service Stations is included in the MEP.					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definitions of <i>Commercial forestry planting</i> and <i>Commercial forestry replanting</i>:</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management <del>and replanting of trees</del>, and the preparation of land for planting.</i></p> <p>A decision requested is also included in <b>Item Fourteen. Access tracks and roads for Commercial forestry planting</b> of the submission (page 19).</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management and replanting of trees, <del>and the preparation of land for planting</del> <b>and the excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for planting or replanting (for example forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance).</b></i></p> <p><i>Commercial forestry replanting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately planted for wood production to replace trees previously lawfully planted for the same purpose and subsequently harvested. <del>This definition pertains only to the Coastal Environment Zone.</del></i></p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Commercial forestry harvesting</i>.</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i></p> <p><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i></p> <p><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i></p> <p><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i></p> <p><b><i>(d) the transportation of trees from the land.</i></b></p> <p><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i></p> <p>Alternatively, as provided in <b>Item Ten. Transportation</b> of submission (page 15), the same exclusion to all other activities on the land that have a transportation component could be applied. For example, farming, winery and marine farming activities are defined but do not include the transportation of the associated production from the land.</p>					
<b>1035</b>	Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of intensively farmed livestock as proposed.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	130	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Agrichemical definition, subject to amendment as follows:</p> <p><i>"This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, <u>compost</u>, vertebrate pest control products and organ nutrition compounds."</i></p>					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	131	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Farming definition.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	132	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Noise Sensitivity Activity definition.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	133	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Winery definition, but consider clarification.					
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	134	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 25 to include definition of 'reverse sensitivity'.					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	82	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of <i>Port activities</i> .					
1041	Port Clifford Limited	83	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following definition (bold) of Regionally significant infrastructure:</p> <p><b><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is:</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b><i>Strategic land transport network and arterial roads</i></b></li> <li>2. <b><i>Infrastructure in Port Zones</i></b></li> <li>3. <b><i>Telecommunication facilities</i></b></li> <li>4. <b><i>National regional and local renewable electricity generation activities of any scale</i></b></li> <li>5. <b><i>The electricity transmission network</i></b></li> <li>6. <b><i>Sewage collection treatment and disposal networks</i></b></li> <li>7. <b><i>Community land drainage infrastructure</i></b></li> <li>8. <b><i>Community potable water systems</i></b></li> <li>9. <b><i>Established community-scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure</i></b></li> <li>10. <b><i>Transport hubs</i></b></li> <li>11. <b><i>Bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals wharf lines and pipelines.</i></b></li> <li>12. <b><i>Electricity distribution network.</i></b></li> </ol>					
1044	Progressive Enterprises Limited	17	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>A definition of supermarket be included in section 25 whereby supermarket means:  'A retail shop where a comprehensive range of predominantly domestic supplies and convenience goods and services are sold for the consumption or use off the premises and includes lotto shops and pharmacies located within such premises and where liquor licences are held for each premise.'</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1090	Ravensdown Limited	122	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ravensdown seeks for Council to delete the current definition of Intensive Farming and replace it with a definition that is practical and easy to understand. The submitter does not include an alternative definition in their submission.					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	123	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definition for <i>fertiliser</i> is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>Any substance (whether in solid or liquid form) that is described as or held out to be for, or suitable for sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity or quality of plants or animals through the application of the following essential nutrients to plants or soils: nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, magnesium, calcium, chloride, sodium as major nutrients, or manganese, iron, zinc, copper, boron, cobalt, molybdenum, iodine, selenium as minor nutrients or fertiliser additives, and includes non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser, but does not include substances that are plant growth regulators that modify physiological functions of plants.</i></b></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	124	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definition for <i>Good Management Practice</i> is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness of particular issues are developed and disseminated.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Support is given to identify these as: <u>Industry Agreed Good Management Practices</u> being the practices described in the document entitled <u>Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality - dated September.</u></i></b></p>					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definition for <i>Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</i> is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>A Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Manager Adviser Certification Programme Ltd.</i></b></p>					
1096	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows (bold): <i>"means an industry, constructional engineers and roading and cartage contractor workshops or yards (excluding rural contractor depots) where either: (a) 75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; or (b) The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone."</i>					
1096	Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated	10	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new definition for " <b>Rural Contractor Depot</b> " as follows - <b><i>"The land and buildings used for the purposes of storing or maintaining machinery, equipment and associated goods and supplies associated with a rural contracting business."</i></b>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	20	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means:</i> <b><i>a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter crops;</i></b> <i>b) dairy cattle;</i> <i>c) farmed pigs.</i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows: <i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b><u>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</u></b></i>					
1124	Steve MacKenzie	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of non-commercial clean fill as it is unnecessary.					
1140	Sanford Limited	70	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Intensive farming - exclude ocean based aquaculture.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	71	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Marine farm - specify that farms need to be located seaward of MHWS.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	72	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Meat processing - exclude the yarding of animals (i.e. aquaculture sorting and washing) and on-water processing.					
<b>1140</b>	Sanford Limited	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Non-consumptive - examples of water use should include cooling of vessels.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Antenna.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Height as follows: <i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <b><u>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</u></b></i>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	69	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	70	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows:</p> <p><i>Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>The <b>replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or</b> addition of <b>lines</b>, circuits and conductors;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>The re-sagging of conductors;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>The addition of earthwires <del>which may contain telecommunication lines</del>, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading;</i></p> <p><b><i>(g) The replacement of a pole, provided that:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole.</i></b></p> <p><i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.</i></p> <p><b><i>Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.</i></b></p>					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	71	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	72	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	74	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication facility as proposed.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed.					
<b>1158</b>	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	76	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><b><u>Infrastructure includes:</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(k) district roading network;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></b></p> <p><b><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></b></p>					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	215	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition section but inserting three new definitions, one for 'cultural values', another for 'cultural sites', and another for 'Cultural commercial activities'. Cultural values should identify those important values of iwi that need to be taken into account. Cultural sites should state that locations of specific cultural significance. Cultural commercial activities should state those activities undertaken in accordance					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	216	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 'administration offices ancillary to the marae activity' and replace with 'Maori commercial offices'.					
<b>1186</b>	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	217	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the current definition and replace with: ' <b>a self-contained residential unit, used or intended to be used for a permanent residential activity, associated with a marae or tribal housing for kaumatua</b> '.					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>FANZ seeks that a definition of Certified Nutrient Management Adviser to be included in the Plan.</p> <p><u>Certified Nutrient Management Adviser means a Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Management Adviser Certification Programme Limited.</u></p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	94	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of 'farming' as notified.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	95	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>FMU means Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p><del>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) as mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Map 1 to 5.</del></p> <p><u>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU)</u></p> <p><u>A Freshwater Management Unit can be a water body, multiple water bodies or any part of a water body that is an appropriate spatial scale for setting freshwater objectives and limits and for freshwater accounting and management purposes.</u></p> <p><u>FMU's are mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Maps 1 to 5.</u></p>					
<b>1192</b>	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	96	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>Good Management Practice</u></p> <p><u>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness or particular issues are developed and disseminate. For example the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices prepared by the Canterbury Regional Council:</u>  <a href="http://ecan.govt.nz/GET-INVOLVED/MGMPROJECT/Pages/matrix-good-management.aspx">http://ecan.govt.nz/GET-INVOLVED/MGMPROJECT/Pages/matrix-good-management.aspx</a>.</p>					
<b>1193</b>	The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated	128	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a definition of the "edge" of a wetland is included in the MEP.</p>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	152	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'Abrasive blasting' as notified.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	153	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'earthworks'</p> <p><b><i>"Earthworks (when within the National Grid Yard) means any filling, excavation, deposition of or other disturbance of earth, rock or soil on a site, including, raising of the ground level or changes to the profile of the landform; in relation to the installation of services or utilities; the construction of tracks, firebreaks and landings; or root raking and blading."</i></b></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	154	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'Maintenance and replacement' as notified or consider combining with the definition of minor upgrading (including within the rule framework).</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	155	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'Minor upgrading' as follows:</p> <p><b><i>"Minor upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></b></p> <p><i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i></p> <p><i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i></p> <p><i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i></p> <p><i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i></p> <p><i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i></p> <p><i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i></p> <p><i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	156	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'National Grid':</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid</b> means the network that transmits high-voltage electricity in New Zealand and that is owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited."</i></p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	157	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'National Grid Corridor' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid Corridor</b> means the area located either side of the centreline of any National Grid transmission line as follows :</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>- 16m for the 110kV lines on pi poles</i></li> <li><i>- 32m for the 110kV lines on towers</i></li> <li><i>- 37m for the 220kV transmission lines</i></li> <li><i>- 39m for the 350kV transmission line."</i></li> </ul>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	158	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend</b> the definition of 'National Grid Yard' as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"National Grid Yard</b> means:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>- the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a National Grid support structure; and</i></li> <li><i>- the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i></li> <li><i>- the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid <u>transmission</u> line on pi poles or towers."</i></li> </ul>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	159	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain</b> the definition of 'National Grid Blenheim Substation' as notified.</p>					
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	160	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the definition of 'National Grid Transmission Lines' as follows:  <i>"<b>National Grid Transmission Lines</b> has the same meaning as 'transmission line' in the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations, 2009 as identified on the Zone Maps."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	161	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> the definition of 'NZECP34:2001'.					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	162	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert</b> the following new definition of 'Sensitive activities':  <i>"<b>Sensitive activities</b> means those activities that are particularly sensitive to National Grid transmission lines. Such activities are residential activities, retirement accommodation, visitor accommodation, worker accommodation, Marae activity, camping grounds, schools, childcare and preschool facilities, and health care activities."</i>					
<b>1198</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited	163	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the definition of 'Subsurface Cook Strait Cable' as follows:  <i>"<b>Subsurface National Grid Cook Strait Submarine Cables</b> means the power and telecommunications cables owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited and protected by the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996 that are within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone established under the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Order 2009."</i>					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	66	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add definition for reverse sensitivity [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1218</b>	Villa Maria	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <del>and</del> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
<b>1237</b>	Willowgrove Dairies Limited	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle on properties with milking platforms;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs.</i> <i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i> <i>1. the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</i> <i>2. livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</i>					
<b>1238</b>	Windermere Forests Limited	28	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the definitions <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i> <i><del>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</del></i> <i><del>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</del></i> <i><del>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees.</del></i> <i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i>  <i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, <b>and</b> management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	91	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed livestock as follows: <i>means:</i> (a) <i>cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> (b) <i>lactating dairy cattle;</i> (c) <i>farmed pigs.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	148	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition of "Fuel burning equipment" as follows: <i>Fuel burning equipment means any fireplace, grate, stove, incinerator, boiler, furnace, gas turbine, or internal or external combustion engine, and that:</i> <u>1. Has a net heat or energy output of more than 40 kW; or</u> <u>2. Is on or associated with an industrial or trade premises or process.</u> <i>This excludes:</i> <u>1. Small scale solid fuel burning appliances</u> <u>2. Waste incineration devices and crematoria</u> <u>3. Motor vehicles</u> <u>4. Boats</u> <u>5. Aircraft</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	149	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Heavy industrial activity"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Light industrial activity"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	151	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Liquid waste"					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	152	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of "Noise sensitive activity" as notified.					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	153	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows: <i>...means an industry, <del>constructional engineers and roading and cartage contractors, workshops or yards where either:</del></i> (a) <u>The activity is related to the processing of raw materials or primary produce derived from the rural environment; or</u> (b) <u>75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; or</u> (c) <i>The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone.</i>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	154	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Site in relation to a building or structure" as follows:</p> <p><del>Site in relation to a building or structure, means any area of land/or volume of space of sufficient dimensions to accommodate any complying activity provided for by a rule in the Plan.</del></p> <p><u>Any area of land which meets one of the descriptions set out below:</u></p> <p><u>(a) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. comprised of one allotment in one certificate of title, or two or more (i)contiguous allotments held together in one certificate of title, in such a way that the allotments cannot be dealt with separately without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. contained in a single lot on an approved survey plan of subdivision for (ii)which a separate certificate of title could be issued without any further consent of the council;</u></p> <p><u>being in any case the smaller area of clauses (i) or (ii) above; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an area of land which is composed of two or more contiguous lots held in two or more certificates of title where such titles are:</u></p> <p><u>i. subject to a condition imposed under section 37 of the Building Act 2004 (i)or section 643 of the Local Government Act 1974; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately (ii)without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>(c) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. partly made up of land which complies with clauses (a) or (b) above; and (i)</u></p> <p><u>ii. partly made up of an interest in any airspace above or subsoil below a (ii)road where (a) and (b) are adjacent and are held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately without the prior approval of the council;</u></p> <p><u>Except in relation to each description that in the case of land subdivided under the Unit Titles Act 1972, the cross lease system or stratum subdivision, 'site' must be deemed to be the whole of the land subject to the unit development, cross lease or stratum subdivision.</u></p> <p><u>Noting that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Corner site - will be deemed to be a 'front site';</u></p> <p><u>(b) Front site - means a site having one frontage of not less than the minimum prescribed by the Plan for the particular zone in which the site is situated to a road, private road, or the sea; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Rear site - means a site that is situated generally to the rear of another site and that has not the frontage required for a front site for that use in the zone. Where a right of way is employed, the line(s) defining the extent of that right of way on a survey plan must be treated as a legal boundary for the purpose of bulk and location controls for buildings.</u></p>					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	155	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	156	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					
<b>1251</b>	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	157	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	158	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "solid waste".					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	159	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Waste" as follows:</p> <p><del>Waste any material, solid, liquid, gas or radioactive, that is unwanted and or unvalued, and discarded, discharged, emitted or deposited in the environment in such volume, constituency or manner as to cause an adverse effect on the environment. It includes all unwanted or unusable by-products at any given place and time, and includes any other matter that may be discharged, accidentally or otherwise, to the environment. For the purposes of this Plan, waste does not include stormwater or treated human sewage.</del></p> <p><u>Waste means</u></p> <p><u>(a) anything disposed of or discarded; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) includes a type of waste that is defined by its composition or source (for example, organic waste, electronic waste, or construction and demolition waste); and</u></p> <p><u>to avoid doubt, includes any component or element of diverted material, if the component or element is disposed of or discarded</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	160	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Wastewater" as follows:</p> <p><del>Wastewater in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means wastewater originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large-scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows.</del></p> <p><u>Wastewater means liquid (and liquids containing solids) waste from domestic, industrial, commercial premises including (but not limited to) toilet wastes, silage, industrial and trade wastes and gross solids.</u></p>					
1258	Gary Barnett	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					