## Summary of decisions requested - by provision

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
255	Warwick Lissaman	21	Volume 3	All		Oppose					
Decision Requested	d Include a new appendix is required that identifies the rivers that are ephemeral and other rivers as defined under Section 2 of the RMA.										
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	213	Volume 3	All		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN Replace in all rules occurrences of the term "dBA LAeq" with "(dB LAeq)" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.										
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	69	Volume 3	All		Oppose					
Decision Requested	That the archaeological requirements appe	ndix in A	ttachment 2 be a	added to Volume Three of the Plan.							
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	30	Volume 3	All		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Add a new Appendix detailing a mandatory	establis	hment plan for C	ommercial forestry replanting [ <i>inferred</i> ].		·					
1090	Ravensdown Limited	126	Volume 3	All		Oppose					
Decision Requested	That a new Appendix is included in the MEI Appendix proposed by FANZ in its submissi			to be included in a Farm Environment Plan	. In particular, Ravenso	down adopts the					
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	98	Volume 3	All		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Appendix X - Farm Management Plan Requ	irements	5								

A Farm Management Plan can be based on either of:

1. The material set out in Part B below;

OR

2. Industry prepared Farm Management Plan templates and guidance material that:

(a) Include the following minimum components:

(i)The matters set out in 1, 2, and 3 of Part B below;

(ii) Contains a methodology that will enable development of a plan that will identify actual and potential environmental effects and risks specific to the property, addresses those effects and risks and has a high likelihood of appropriately avoiding, remedying or mitigating those effects;

(iii) Performance measures that are capable of being audited; and

(b) Has been approved as meeting the criteria in (a) and being acceptable to the Marlborough District Council by the Chief Executive of the Marlborough District Council.

Part B - Farm Management Plan Default Content

The plan requirements will apply to:

1. a plan prepared for an individual property or farm enterprise; or

2. a plan prepared for an individual property which is part of a collective of properties, including an irrigation scheme, principal water supplier, or an Industry Certification Scheme.

The plan shall contain as a minimum:

1. Property or farm enterprise details

(a) Physical address

(b) Description of the ownership and name of a contact person

(c) Legal description of the land and farm identifier

2. A map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows:

(a) The boundaries of the property or land areas comprising the farm enterprise.

(b) The boundaries of the main land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise.

(c) The location of permanent of intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains, ponds or wetlands.

(d) The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies.

(e) The location on all waterways where stock access or crossings occurs.

(f) The location of any areas within or adjoining the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity".

3. A list of all Marlborough District Council resource consents held for the property or farm enterprise.

4. An assessment of the adverse environmental effects and risks associated with the farming activities and how the identified effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, offal pits and farm rubbish pits.

5. A description of how each of the following objectives will, where relevant, be met.

(a) Nutrient management: To provide for sustainable production while minimising nutrient losses to water

(b) Irrigation management: To operate irrigation systems efficiently and ensuring that the actual use of water is monitored and is efficient.

(c) Soils management: To maintain or improve the physical and biological condition of the soils in order to minimise the movement of sediment, phosphorus and other contaminants to waterways.

(d) Collected animal effluent management: To manage the risks associated with the operation of effluent systems are compliant 365 days of the year.

(e) Livestock management: To manage wetlands and water bodies so that livestock are excluded as far as practicable from water, to avoid damage to the bed and margins of a waterbody, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens.

(f) Offal pits: To manage the numbers and locations of pits to minimise risks to health and water quality.

The plan shall include for each objective in 5 above:

(a) detail commensurate with the scale of the environmental effects and risks;

(b) defined measurable targets that clearly set a pathway and timeframe for achievement;

(c) a description of the good management practices together with actions required;

(d) the records required to be kept for measuring performance and achievement of the target.

Part C - Farming Information

Information to inform development of the Farm Management Plan includes the following:

1. Information detailing:

	<ul> <li>(d) A description of the farm management practices used on each block including:</li> <li>(i) Ground cover - pasture, crops, fodder crops, non-grazed areas (including forestry, riparian and tree areas) and any crop rotation;</li> <li>(ii) Stock management - lambing/calving/fawning dates and percentages, any purchases and sales and associated dates, types and age of stock;</li> </ul>									
	(iii) Fertiliser application - types and quantities per hectare for each identified block, taking into account any crop rotation;									
	(iv) Quantities of introduced or export	ed feed;								
	(e) Farm animal effluent, pig farm effluent, feel pad and stand-off pad effluent management including:									
	(i) Area of land used for effluent application;									
	(ii) Annual nitrogen loading rate and nitrogen load rate per application;									
	(iii) Instantaneous application rate;									
	(f) Irrigation - areas, rates, monthly volumes and system type.									
	This information is to be collected for the period 1 July to 30 June.									
100	East Bay Conservation Society	28	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values	Support					
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that The outer sounds	Landscape i	is used to reasse	ss the whole of East bay as ONFL	·					
	East Bay Conservation Society	29	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values	Support					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
100	East Bay Conservation Society	30	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support		
Decision Requested	No Change.							
	However EBCS requests that MDC take thes	e values	s into account whe	en assessing the Natural Character and Fea	tures and Landscapes of	of East Bay		
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	3	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part		
	For each area where there is an existing salmon farm may include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing salmon farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."							
261	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	7	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
	<ol> <li>Move the seaward facing slopes of Arapawa Island into the Exposed Eastern Coastline assessment unit and re-asses Northern Arapawa landscape values</li> <li>Remove proposed ONFL classifications in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>Amend Section 32 Report 7 and supporting documents accordingly, and.</li> <li>Remove the comment "The waters around East Bay have nationally significant ecological values, particularly for Hector's dolphin." from the Boffa Miskell report <i>Marlborough Landscape Study 2015. Landscape Characterisation and Evaluation.</i></li> <li>Retain the exclusion of the waters of East Bay from the ONFL classification</li> </ol>							
366	Basil Roger Stanton	3	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part		
	Appendix 1 #21 - Te Parinui o Whiti/White I Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access p							
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	244	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-w	vritten, s	o that it is consist	ent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1 and t	he requirement in Polic	y 7.1.4;				
	This potential methodological flaw has resul	ted in in	correct mapping,	meaning the landscape overlay maps shou	ld be redrafted accordii	ngly; and				
	Where existing marine farms are present, the	Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect landscape values.								
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	108	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
	Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "B. Wairau Dry Hills Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows (if Policy 7.2.2 is amended as per a separate submission) - " <i>Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills</i> ."									
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	110	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "A. Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows - " <i>Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape</i> ."									
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	767	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested		the value are dele	es include the prir eted from the App	he schedule of values in Appendix 1. nary production activities that have actively endix, and associated policies deleted from		g the landscape.				
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	68	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
-	<ul><li>a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the wor</li><li>b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and</li></ul>				bay, reach or valley ap	proach); and				
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	70	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define bounda	ries of a	feature as a cohe	erent land and sea type"; and						
	b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.									
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	73	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Support the identification of outstanding natura Delete reference to "high" amenity values;	al landsc	apes (ONL), but opp	ose the methodology in the MEP.						
	Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and									
	In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.									
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	76	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	<ul><li>a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not</li><li>b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page</li></ul>		e landscape polici	es chapter; and						
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	240	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
479	Department of Conservation	268	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support				
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.									
486	Waitui Holdings Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>The submission point relates to:</li> <li>Outer Sounds Landscape Area 1; and</li> <li>Cape Jackson, Cape Lambert and All</li> <li>Change the classifications of both landscap limited aquaculture to occur on the westerr</li> </ul>	igator H e (incluc	ding seascape) and		and away from high va	lues to enable some		
490	Murray Lewis Waghorn	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove natural feature and landscape values <i>(inferred)</i> from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Landscape maps. ?							
513	Helen Johnston	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and la	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm; or record that aqu	aculture will not affect	the relevant values.		
518	Abigail Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and la that aquaculture will not affect the relevant			vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in	East Bay, Queen Charlo	otte Sounds; or record		
534	Anne-Marie Prendeville	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and la the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe	Bay; or record that aqu	aculture will not affect		
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."									
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.									
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.									
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar 8250; or record that aquaculture will not aff			vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354,	8560, 8551, 8082, 816	7, 8443, 8269 and				
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affe			vicinity of marine farm 8574, 8500 and 859	90 in Forsyth Bay, and 8	3209 in Horseshoe				
579	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
1 cqueeceu	H Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.							
587	Caroline Farley	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
	ed Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.							
601	Christopher Redwood	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.							
605	Colin Ronald Norton	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that	t aquaculture will not af	fect the relevant		
626	Christopher Peter Womersley	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8181 and 8179; or r	ecord that aquaculture	will not affect the		
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	14	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision	requeste	ed.					
645	Darnyl Gordon Slade	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
	d Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
648	D C Hemphill	47	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Add a section to the Appendix that defines the criteria used to derive the values given, and specific guidelines showing how they were applied.								
668	David Quintin Hogg	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar affect the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8515, 8519, 8520 a	nd 8540; or record that	aquaculture will not			
702	Frank Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar that aquaculture will not affect the relevant		overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in l	East Bay, Queen Charlo	tte Sounds; or record			
707	Frank Prendeville	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe	Bay; or record that aqu	aculture will not affect			
	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	426	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the	Wairau	River as Outstand	ing landscapes				
719	Gary and Ellen Orchard	5		Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested								
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	<i>r</i> icinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; o	r record that aquacultu	re will not affect the		
733	Graeme L Beal	12	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture				Forsyth Bay, and 8307 a	and 8306 in		
735	Gillian Margaret Rothwell	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar values.	ndscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that	aquaculture will not af	fect the relevant		
738	Glenda Vera Robb	17	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.									
748	GAL Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar not affect the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of the 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Por	t Underwood; or record	that aquaculture will				
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	d For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."									
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in: - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from: - Port Ligar; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; a - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that m classification, by amending the values at Vo	arine fa			ersely impact the values	s that lead to that				
764	HARO Partnership	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing m Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):	arine far	m, include an exp	press statement to the following effect (fol	lowing the approach in t	the proposed Auckland			
	"Some bays contain existing marine farms,	but this	does not compro	mise [relevant area's name] current natur	al values."				
764	HARO Partnership	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Ca	amel Poir	nt;						
	AND								
	Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;								
	OR								
	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.								
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	70	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	That the historic heritage related associativ	ve values	remain as notifie	d.					
788	Jessica Bunting	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and la values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record th	at aquaculture will not a	affect the relevant			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
815	Jonathan Large	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
820	Jeffrey Meachen	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Hebberd, John Roderick	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
839	John Wilson	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Record that existing aquaculture will not aff	ect the ı	relevant values.							
	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."									
	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Reduce the extent of the ONL mapping in F	Iallam C	ove;			
	OR					
	The MEP should expressly recognise that m Appendix 1, as per separate submission.	arine fai	rms do not advers	sely impact the values that lead to that clas	ssification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,
842	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Ca AND Remove ONL overlay from: - Fitzroy Bay; - The northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; - Tawero Point; and - Tapapa Point/ Tawhitinui Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that m Appendix 1, as per separate submission.			sely impact the values that lead to that clas	ssification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,
843	Karen Anne Harris	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar affect the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homev	vood Bay; or record tha	t aquaculture will not
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	19	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of V	alues.				
847	KJB Marine Farms Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
riequeeceu j	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar the relevant values.	dscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point	; or record that aquacu	lture will not affect			
848	Kirsten Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	d Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
854	Kathleen Mary Mead	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
855	Kyra Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar values.	dscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that	aquaculture will not af	fect the relevant			
866	Karen Donaldson	15		Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar will not affect the relevant values.	idscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240,	8223 and 8071; or reco	ord that aquaculture			
867	Karl Donaldson	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar will not affect the relevant values.	idscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240,	8223 and 8071; or reco	ord that aquaculture			

	Submitter	Poin	nt Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):			xpress statement to the following effect (fo		n in the proposed Auckland					
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed for	inner Ad	miralty Bay;								
	AND										
	Remove the ONL overlay from:										
	- The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and										
	- Port Ligar.										
	OR										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3,										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that Appendix 1, as per separate submission.	marine f	arms do not adv	ersely impact the values that lead to that c		nding the values at Vol 3,					
874		marine f	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		nding the values at Vol 3, Oppose					
Decision	Appendix 1, as per separate submission. KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited			Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of							
Decision	Appendix 1, as per separate submission. KPF Investments Limited and United			Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of							
Decision	Appendix 1, as per separate submission. KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited Remove the ONL overlay from:	13		Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of							
Decision	<ul> <li>Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</li> <li>KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited</li> <li>Remove the ONL overlay from:</li> <li>Orchard Bay; and</li> </ul>	13		Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of							
Decision	<ul> <li>Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</li> <li>KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited</li> <li>Remove the ONL overlay from: <ul> <li>Orchard Bay; and</li> <li>The northeastern headland of Anakoha</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	13 Bay.	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of		Oppose					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре						
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed:											
	- In Beatrix Bay;											
	- At Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound;											
	- In South East Bay; and - In Crail Bay; AND											
	Remove ONL overlay from: - Horseshoe Bay;											
	- Kauauroa Bay;											
	- Grant Bay;											
	- Fairy Bay; and											
	- Kaiuma Bay;											
	OR											
	The MEP should expressly recognise that m Appendix 1, as per separate submission.	arine far	ms do not advers	ely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 1,						
890	Lloyd Sampson David	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose						
Decision Requested												
890	Lloyd Sampson David	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into the Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.									
890	Lloyd Sampson David	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that ma Appendix 1, as per separate submission.	arine fai	rms do not advers	ely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,				
903	Lewis Wilson	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar	idscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farms; or record that aqu	uaculture will not affect	the relevant values.				
911	M and S Johns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar relevant values.	idscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay;	or record that aquacult	ure will not affect the				
916	Margaret Hippolite	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar affect the relevant values.	ldscape	overlay from the	vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and Eas	st Bay; or record that aq	uaculture will not				
923	Margaret Dalley	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	30	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
928	Michael Headley Harris	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	ed Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
935	Melva Joy Robb	14	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision	requeste	ed.								
940	Michelle Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar values.	dscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that	t aquaculture will not af	fect the relevant					
952	Matthew White	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
958	Marine Farm Management Limited	58	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8194, 8081, 8083, 8287, 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559, 8264, 8263, 8248, 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
959	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	(a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified. (b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.										
977	Nanette Bunting	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
997	The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."										
1003	Olivia Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar that aquaculture will not affect the relevant	dscape values.	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in	East Bay, Queen Charlo	tte Sounds; or record					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
1010	PB Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that	at aquaculture will not a	ffect the relevant			
1019	Philip Henderson	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1022	Patricia Redwood	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural character overl 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record th				n South Forsyth Bay, 81	30 in Wakatahuri, and			
1034	P W Archer	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested									
1036	Philip Wilson	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cov	ve; or record that aquac	ulture will not affect			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
L037	PADD Investments Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and la affect the relevant values.	andscape	overlay from th	e vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schanpp	er Point; or record th	nat aquaculture will not			
.042	Port Underwood Association	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support			
ecision equested	Retain Appendix 1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].								
.056	Rob Curtis	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
.060	Richard F Paine	20	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and la Channel and Waitata Reach; or record tha				East Bay in Queen (	Charlotte Sound, Tory			
068	Robert Hippolite	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
equeeceu	Remove outstanding natural feature and la affect the relevant values.	indscape	overlay from th	e vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and E	East Bay; or record th	nat aquaculture will not			
094	Richards Family Trust	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove outstanding natural feature and la aquaculture will not affect the relevant val		overlay from th	e vicinity of marine farms 8488 in Clarice	Island and 8491 in W	/aitaria Bay; or record that			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
1098	Sandra Ann King	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8043, 8130, 8148, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1111	Stephen Cross	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8419 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1125	Scott Madsen	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 83	302; or record that aqua	aculture will not affect				
1143	Schwass Family Trusts Partnership	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar that aquaculture will not affect the relevant	ndscape values.	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420,	8425, 8435, 8441, 845	3 and 8580; or record				
1145	Sea Health Foods Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar the relevant values.	ndscape	overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay	y; or record that aquacu	Ilture will not affect				
1147	Shand Enterprises Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
•	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.										
1148	Shand Trust Partnership	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine of In particular, to amend the relevant plannin Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected rules that equally protect all elements of nat designation, or which contribute to it) such accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases i	r only sl g map t to is fin cural cha that adv	lightly modified. o remove the Out ally determined to aracter (including verse effects on th	standing Natural Landscape designations of be having Outstanding Natural Landscap modified elements such as terrestrial and lose activities contributing to natural chara	over the Port Ligar area e, then to implement po marine farming within t cter are avoided (or mit	objected to. licies, methods and hat landscape igated) in future in					
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing ma Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing marine farms, a		•		2	ne proposed Auckland					
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in the northeastern part of Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from Port Ligar; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.										
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do no adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.										
1154	Sounds Fun Mussel Company	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
1156	Southern Crown Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	d Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
1160	St George Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
1160	St George Limited	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
Decision Requested											

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
1160	St George Limited	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove or reduce the western extent of the ONL overlay from Tawero Point; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.									
1171	Tim Madden	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	218	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend the Associative values list and recom	d the cu	ltural significance	of the area.						
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	219	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend the 'Associative values' list to record that the location is of ongoing cultural significance, occupation and cultural traditions.									
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	12	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture v				rs 290 in Admiralty Bay	, 297 and 460 in				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
1196	Tiracaan Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natura character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.									
1197	Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1200	Triple LG Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	Remove outstanding natural feature and la aquaculture will not affect the relevant valu		overlay from the	vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora K	akahu, Port Underwood	; or record that				
1203	Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lan not affect the relevant values.	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will								
1214	Vincent Rene Smith	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	Remove outstanding natural feature and la aquaculture will not affect the relevant valu		overlay from the	vicinity of marine farms 8040 in Admiralty	Bay and 8363 in Nydia	Bay; or record that				
1216	Victoria White	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
	William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
1234	Waimana Marine Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	ed Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8321 Wilson Bay and 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
1240	Worlds End Enterprise Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
1257	Allan Tester	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
1264	Ron Bothwell	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose					
	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar aquaculture will not affect the relevant value		overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 84	48 in Port Underwood;	or record that					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
100	East Bay Conservation Society	31	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Remove the exclude areas of East Bay or b outstanding natural character of East bay	ubble zo	one the marine fa	rms to prevent even more subdivision of th	e marine environmen	t further degrading the				
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing salmon farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):"Although salmon farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment".									
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	I propouse to remove the natural character The MEP should expressly recognise that sa Appendix 2.									
261	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
	3. amend Appendix 2, Section 32 Report	6 and su	upporting docume	ents accordingly.						
291	Chris Kirk	1	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested										

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	245	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	The entirety of Appendix 2 needs to be re-v	vritten, s	so that it is consis	tent with the definition in Policy 6.1.1 and	the requirement in Poli	cy 6.1.4;					
	This potential methodological flaw has resul and	This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the coastal natural character overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and									
	Where existing marine farms are present, th	nere sho	uld be an express	s statement that those farms do not affect	natural character value	S.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	768	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>That Appendix 1 is amended so that primary production is recognised as an asset and contributor to coastal natural character, and is identified in the Appendix where it has actively shaped the landscape.</li> <li>That the maps contained within Appendix 1 are clarified, and show each sub area, and provided in the same detail as provided in the Boffa Miskell report.</li> </ul>										
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	241	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
468	Port Gore Group	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle Peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland , all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".										

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
479	Department of Conservation	269	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support				
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but correct the maps as described in detail under position and reason (columns of table in submission).									
486	Waitui Holdings Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>The submission point relates to:</li> <li>Coastal Marine Area B: D'Urville Island - Northern Cook Strait</li> <li>Coastal Terrestrial Area 2: Cook Strait</li> <li>Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay.</li> </ul>									
490	Murray Lewis Waghorn	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character values <i>(inferred)</i> Note that no list has been provided in the	from the submissi	e vicinity of the fa on other than refe	rms or bays listed above or record that aquerence to Appendix 2. ?	uaculture will not affect	the relevant values.				
493	Karen Marchant	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
513	Helen Johnston	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm; o	r record that aquaculture will not affect the	e relevant values.					
518	Abigail Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
534	Anne-Marie Prendeville	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	H Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
	Image: Schedule of Values         AND         AND         Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay;         OR         The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3.         Appendix 2, as per separate submission.										
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in: - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. AND - Reduce the extent of the natural character - The natural character of Tory Channel sho OR The MEP should expressly recognise that m Appendix 2, as per separate submission.	ould be a	accurately mapped	d;	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,			
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	13	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	ed Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354, 8560, 8551, 8082, 8167, 8443, 8269 and 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the aquaculture will not affect the relevant valu	vicinity ıes.	of marine farms &	3574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 82	209 in Horseshoe Bay; o	r record that			
579	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested									
587	Caroline Farley	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farms 8	1	re will not affect the rele	evant values.			
601	Christopher Redwood	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
605	Colin Ronald Norton	2		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	ed Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
626	Christopher Peter Womersley	3		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	ed Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8181 and 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	2		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity c	of marine farm 80	01 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquacultu	re will not affect the re	levant values.				
637	Crail Bay Trust	2		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity c	of marine farm 85 <sup>,</sup>	40 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquacultu	re will not affect the rel	evant values.				
637	Crail Bay Trust	5		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and lar relevant values.	dscape	overlay from the v	vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay;	or record that aquacul	ture will not affect the				

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	15	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (inferred).										
645	Darnyl Gordon Slade	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
668	David Quintin Hogg	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from t	he vicinity	of marine farm	8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that a	aquaculture will not	affect the relevant values.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	44	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested		ait (page /		scribed in its entirety as an outstanding land ands to the Chetwodes and the Capes (page							
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from t	he vicinity	of marine farm	8515, 8519, 8520 and 8540; or record that	aquaculture will no	t affect the relevant values					
702	Frank Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
707	Frank Prendeville	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	211	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Appendix 2, <i>Values contributing to high very high and outstanding coastal natural character</i> must be re-written to clearly identify the specific natural elements, patterns and processes that must be preserved and protected within each coastal marine and coastal terrestrial area of the coastal environment. Only relevant and assessable indicators for natural character ratings should be referred to.										
719	Gary and Ellen Orchard	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm 85	520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture	will not affect the relev	vant values.					
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm 85	515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture	will not affect the relev	vant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested											

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
735	Gillian Margaret Rothwell	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
738	Glenda Vera Robb	18	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (inferred).									
748	GAL Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."									
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				

Sub No	Sub No Submitter		: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	ecision equested Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in: - Inner Port Ligar; - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from: - Horseshoe Bay; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms, residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the va classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.								
764	HARO Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>								
764	HARO Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from: - The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; - The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inl OR The MEP should expressly recognise that ma Appendix 2, as per separate submission.	et.	rms do not advers	sely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,			
788	Jessica Bunting	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity o	of marine farm 85	18; or record that aquaculture will not affe	ct the relevant values.			
815	Jonathan Large	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.							
820	Jeffrey Meachen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.							
	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Hebberd, John Roderick	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity o	of marine farm 81	49 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquacul	ture will not affect the r	elevant values.		
839	John Wilson	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Record that existing aquaculture will not aff	ect the r	elevant values.					
842	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."							
	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from:										
	- The Fitzroy Bay land and seascape;										
	- The northwestern side of Hallam Cove;										
	- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and										
	- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.										
	OR										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that ma Appendix 2, as per separate submission.	arine far	ms do not adverse	ely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,					
842	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Amend the overlay map by:										
	- Removing the very high natural character	overlay	from the seascape	e south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay	; and						
	- Removing the high natural character overl	ay at Ta	papa Point and in	Tawhitinui Bay;							
	OR										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that ma Appendix 2, as per separate submission.	arine far	ms do not adverse	ely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,					
843	Karen Anne Harris	2		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	n ted Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.										
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	20		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike throu	-	-						
	<i>There are no specific areas within Coastal M</i> <i>is rated moderate-high although it has</i>					pastal Marine area F			
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	21	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	That the boundary should run from Robertson Point to the southern point of Ocean Bay. Figures are included in the submission of the suggested modification.								
847	KJB Marine Farms Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
848	Kirsten Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove natural character overlay from the affect the relevant values.	vicinity o	of marine farms 84	400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte	e Sounds; or record that	aquaculture will not			
854	Kathleen Mary Mead	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
855	Kyra Madsen	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm 84	39; or record that aquaculture will not affe	ct the relevant values.				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
866	Karen Donaldson	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
867	Karl Donaldson	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
868	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 2 to clearly identify natura	al elemer	nts, patterns and	processes for preservation and protection i	n the coastal environm	nent [ <i>inferred</i> ].				
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."									
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed mapping in respect of: - Inner Admiralty Bay; and										
	- Port Ligar;										
	AND										
	Remove the natural character overlay from Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay;										
	OR										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.										
874	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	8	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Retain the natural character mapping as proposed for:										
	- Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pele	orus Sou	ind; and								
	- The eastern side of Crail Bay.										
	AND										
	Amend the overlay mapping by removing:										
	- the high natural character overlay in Kaua	uroa Ba	у;								
	- The very high and outstanding natural cha	aracter i	n Fairy Bay;								
	- The high natural character overlay in Sout	h East E	Bay;								
	- The high natural character overlay on the	point be	etween Hopai Bay	and Grant Bay; and							
	- The high natural character overlay in Kaiu	ma Bay.									
	OR										
	The MEP should expressly recognise that m Appendix 2, as per separate submission.	arine far	rms do not advers	sely impact the values that lead to that clas	sification, by amending	the values at Vol 3,					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."										
890	Lloyd Sampson David	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay; AND Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.										
890	Lloyd Sampson David	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
	d Retain the mapping as proposed in: - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. AND - Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and - The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per a separate submission.										
903	Lewis Wilson	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farms; o	or record that aquaculture will not affect th	e relevant values.						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
911	M and S Johns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	e vicinity	of marine farm	8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquacult	ure will not affect th	e relevant values.			
916	Margaret Hippolite	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	e vicinity	of Okiwi Bay, S	qually Cove and East Bay; or record that aq	uaculture will not af	fect the relevant values.			
923	Margaret Dalley	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	Remove natural character overlay from the aquaculture will not affect the relevant values of the relevant values o		of marine farms	s 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and	8209 in Horseshoe I	Bay; or record that			
926	Wainui Green 2015 Limited	28	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	e vicinity	of marine farm	8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture	will not affect the r	elevant values.			
928	Michael Headley Harris	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
934	M J H and R L Davison Family Trust	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to "high remote value	es" from	the property at	243 Renners Road.		·			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
935	Melva Joy Robb	15	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (inferred).									
940	Michelle Madsen	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Bemove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
952	Matthew White	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
958	Marine Farm Management Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559,	vicinity o 8264, 8	of marine farms 8 263, 8248, 8193;	452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, or record that aquaculture will not affect th	8420, 8425, 8435, 819 ne relevant values.	4, 8081, 8083, 8287,				
959	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
977	Nanette Bunting	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity o	of marine farm 85	18; or record that aquaculture will not affe	ct the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
997	The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."										
997	The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Retain the natural character mapping in Waihinau Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from: - The eastern headlands of Waitata Reach (for example, at the entrance to Forsyth and Richmond Bays); and - The headland at the northeastern entrance to Waitata Bay. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do no adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.										
997	The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove the high natural character overlay OR The MEP should expressly recognise that sa Appendix 2, as per separate submission.			·	ssification, by amending	the vallues at Vol 3,					
997	The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited	9	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose					
Decision Requested	Remove the natural character overlay from the Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays; AND The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.										

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	266	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support			
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 2.								
1003	Olivia Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1010	PB Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1019	Philip Henderson	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm 84	85 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquacult	ure will not affect the re	elevant values.			
1022	Patricia Redwood	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested									
1034	P W Archer	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the relevant values.	vicinity	of marine farms 8	184 Hallam Cove and 8304 Cregoe Point; o	or record that aquacultu	ire will not affect the			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
1036	Philip Wilson	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1037	PADD Investments Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farm 84	473 in Schnapper Point; or record that aqua	aculture will not affect	the relevant values.				
1042	Port Underwood Association	19	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend description for 'Coastal Marine Area F: Port Underwood' to read (bold and strike through): There are no specific areas within Coastal Marine Area F with Outstanding, High or Very High Coastal Natural Character values. The Coastal Marine area F is rated moderate-high although it has not been surveyed at levels 4/5 and high or very high sections may exist.									
1042	Port Underwood Association	20	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	See attached to show the suggested modifi	cation of	f section F.	·						
1056	Rob Curtis	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the not affect the relevant values.	vicinity	of marine farms 8	3098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in	Forsyth Bay; or record	l that aquaculture will				
1060	Richard F Paine	11	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the record that aquaculture will not affect the r	vicinity elevant	of Beatrix Bay, Ke values.	enepuru Sound, East Bay in Queen Charlott	e Sound, Tory Channel	and Waitata Reach; or				
1068	Robert Hippolite	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	ed Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	32	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested									
1094	Richards Family Trust	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
1098	Sandra Ann King	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
i lequesteu j									
1111	Stephen Cross	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
1125	Scott Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1143	Schwass Family Trusts Partnership	9	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8441, 8543 and 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.								
1145	Sea Health Foods Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity o	of marine farm 85	60 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquacultu	ire will not affect the re	levant values.			
1147	Shand Enterprises Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.								
1148	Shand Trust Partnership	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries.								
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose			
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."								
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Port Ligar; AND Remove the natural character overlay from the northeastern headland at the entrance to Waitata Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.									
1150	Shellfish Marine Farms Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	ed Remove the natural character overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.									
1154	Sounds Fun Mussel Company	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1156	Southern Crown Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the values.	vicinity	of marine farm 81	.08 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or reco	rd that aquaculture will	not affect the relevant				
1160	St George Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."									
1160	St George Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Retain the absence of a natural character of	verlay in	Inner Admiralty I	Bay and Camp Bay, Waitata Bay;						
	Remove the natural character overlay from Waikawa Bay, Current Basin;									
	OR									
	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.									
1160	St George Limited	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the very high natural character overlay fro the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.									
1171	Tim Madden	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity	of marine farms 8	532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture	will not affect the releva	ant values.				
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	220	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Change 'Arapawa' to 'Arapaoa'.		-							
1188	Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua	9	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the aquaculture will not affect the relevant value	vicinity es.	of the marine farm	ns licence numbers 290 in Admiralty Bay, 2	297 and 460 in Kenepur	u Bay; or Record that				
1196	Tiracaan Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
	In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoig human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.									
1197	Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the	vicinity o	of marine farm 84	05; or record that aquaculture will not affe	ct the relevant values.					
1200	Triple LG Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	n ted Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1203	Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the values.	vicinity o	of marine farm 85	30 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record t	hat aquaculture will not	affect the relevant				
1214	Vincent Rene Smith	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
	m									
1216	Victoria White	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
1219	William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	ed Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
1234	Waimana Marine Limited	3		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
1240	Worlds End Enterprise Limited	2		Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
1257	Allan Tester	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
1264	Ron Bothwell	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the relevant values.	vicinity c	of marine farms 84	131, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or	record that aquacultur	e will not affect the				
149	PF Olsen Ltd	70		Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Clarify									
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	246		Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Oppose				
Decision Requested	A note should be added at the beginning of	Appendi	x 3 stating "These	e criteria are intended to be applied by suit	ably qualified and expe	rienced ecologists."				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	247	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178; The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8).								
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	769	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part			
	"Ranking within each criterion are: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low. They collectively contribute to an overall ranking indicating the degree of significance. For a site to be considered significant <b>at least</b> one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness) must rank <del>M</del> . <del>or</del> H <b>and/or two or more must rank M</b> ."								
720	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	242	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Oppose			
Decision Requested	A note should be added at the beginning of Appendix 3 stating "These criteria are intended to be applied by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists." (This is modelled off the approach in the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016), at Appendix 5); and (a) Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178; (b) The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and (c) A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8).								
479	Department of Conservation	270	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part			

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Amend the Appendix 6 Ecological Significance Criteria as follows and to make changes to ensure the ranking criteria capture the sub criteria values to ensure the significance of all these values are considered in determining significance using the ranking system: <i>Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestrial, wetland and coastal <u>marine</u> environments 1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district or <u>biogeographic</u> area. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas. Distinctiveness H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district <u>or biogeographic area</u>; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or biogeographic area. Size and shape H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district and is compact in shape <u>or cohesive</u>; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive.</i>									
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the marine mammal site (dolphins) from the vicinity of the marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.									
648	D C Hemphill	48	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Re-format and revise. (It is not clear from	n the Su	bmission the spec	ific changes sought to the Appendix)						
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	109	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 3 to read:									
	Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestr	ial, wetla	and, <u>freshwater</u> ,	marine and coastal environments.						
	The following provides explanations or gu	idelines	for the applicatior	n of ecological significance criteria in the as	ssessment of sites.					
	Rankings within each criterion are: H = H For a site to be considered significant, one									

The scale at which significance is to be determined depends on the type of environment:

a. Terrestrial environment: the scale of assessment is at the ecological district level. [MDC: Insert an explanation of ecological district].

b. Marine environment: the scale of assessment is at the coastal biographic region level. This a region that is defined and classified according to visible ecological patterns and the physical characteristics or a geographic or hydrographic area. New Zealand's coastal biographic regions have been identified and mapped by the Ministry for the Environment. Marlborough falls within the South Cook Strait Region.

c. Freshwater environment: [ MDC: Insert assessment classification scale]

Representativeness

1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district, **<u>coastal biographic region or freshwater environment</u>**. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas.

2. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is a relatively large example of its type within the relevant ecological district, **<u>coastal</u> <u>biographic region or freshwater environment</u>**.

3. Additionally for the coastal marine area the site is significant if it contains biological features (habitat, species, community) that represent a good example within the biogeographic area.

H: The site contains one of the best examples of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or <u>coastal</u> biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area.

M: The site contains one of the better examples, but not the best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

L: The site contains an example, but not one of the better or best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or coastal

biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area.

Rarity

4. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that has been reduced to less than 20% of its former extent in Marlborough, or relevant land environment, ecological district or coastal biogeographic region, or freshwater environment.

5. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that supports an indigenous species that is threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region**, or **freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

6. The site contains indigenous vegetation or an indigenous species that is endemic to Marlborough or that are at distributional limits within Marlborough.

H: The site contains nationally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities; or the site contains several examples of regionally or locally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

M: The site contains one or a few regionally or locally (but not nationally) threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

L: The site is not known to contain flora, fauna or communities that are threatened or rare in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**, regionally or nationally.

Diversity and pattern

7. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that contains a high diversity of indigenous ecosystem or habitat types, indigenous taxa, or has changes in species composition reflecting the existence of diverse natural features or ecological gradients.

H: The site contains an unusually high diversity of species and ecosystem types.

M: The site contains a moderate diversity of species and ecosystem types.

L: The site contains a relatively low diversity of species and ecosystem types.

Distinctiveness

8. Indigenous vegetation or an association of indigenous species that is distinctive, of restricted occurrence, occurs within an originally rare ecosystem, or has developed as a result of an unusual environmental factor or combinations of factors.

H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district <u>or coastal biogeographic region or</u> <u>freshwater environment</u>; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or <u>coastal</u> biogeographic <del>area</del> <u>region or freshwater environment</u>.

M: The site contains ecological features that are notable or unusual but not outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **region or freshwater environment area**.

L: The site contains no ecological features that are outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**; i.e. the ecological features are typical rather than distinctive or special.

Size and shape

9. The site is significant if it is moderate to large in size and is physically compact or cohesive.

H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape

M: The site is moderate in size for the region or ecological district or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive.

L: The site is small in size for the region or ecological district, or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment or the site is moderate in size but not at all compact or cohesive. Connectivity/ecological context

10. **±**Vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides or contributes to an important ecological linkage or network, or provides an important buffering function.

11. A wetland which plays an important hydrological, biological or ecological role in the natural functioning of a river or coastal system.

12. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently.

H: The site is close or well connected to a large natural area or several other natural areas.

M: The site is in the vicinity of other natural areas but only partially connected to them or at an appreciable distance.

L: The site is very isolated from other natural areas.

Sustainability

13. The site is significant if it is ecologically resilient, i.e. its natural ecological integrity and processes (functioning) are largely self-sustaining.

H: The site can maintain its ecological integrity and processes with minimal human assistance.

M: The site requires some but not much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

L: The site requires much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

Adjacent catchment modification in respect of significant sites within the coastal marine area

	14. Catchments that drain large tracts of land can lead to high sediment loading into adjacent marine areas. A site in the coastal marine area is significant if the adjacent catchment is >400 ha and clad in relatively mature native vegetative cover resulting in a long term stable environment with markedly reduced sediment and contaminant run-off compared to developed or modified catchments.							
	H: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (>400ha) that is legally protected.							
	M: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (>400ha) with partial or no legal protection.							
	L: The site is surrounded by an adjacent lar	nd catch	ment area (>400ŀ	a) that is farmed, highly modified or has li	mited relatively mature	vegetative cover.		
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	427	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Support in Part		
Decision Requested	Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the	Wairau	River as Outstanc	ling landscapes				
	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	212	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Signifance		Oppose		
Decision Requested								
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	248	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Delete Appendix 4; or Use appropriate quantitative measure to de	fine sigr	nificance.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	771	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	That the Appendix is amended to make it a more robust decision making tool.									
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	243	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose				
Decision Requested	(a) Delete Appendix 4; or (b) Use appropriate quantitative measure to define significance.									
	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	110	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support in Part				
	Amend appendix 4 to read: Criteria for Determining Significant Adverse Effects The criteria below assists in determining whether a subdivision, use or development proposal will have significant adverse effects. The criteria shall be applied by the decision maker on resource consents or plan changes. 1. Character and degree of modification, damage, loss or destruction; 2. Duration and frequency of effect (for example long-term or recurring effects); 3. Magnitude or scale of effect (for example number of sites affected, spatial distribution, landscape context); 4. Irreversibility of effect (for example loss of unique or rare features, limited opportunity for remediation, the costs and technical feasibility of remediation or mitigation); 5. Resilience of heritage value or place to change (for example ability of feature to assimilate change, vulnerability of feature to external effects). <b>The criteria should be used to assess the effects of the proposal in 2 contexts:</b> <b>A.</b> The specific effects of the proposal in combination with all other relevant environmental stressors.									
	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	213	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to the effects of the propos	al on nat	tural character v	vithin the natural character unit it is located						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	267	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support			
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 4.								
1192	The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand	97	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose			
Decision Requested	Delete Appendix 4.								
338	Gwyneth Lowe	4	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support			
Decision Requested	<ol> <li>Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways <i>(Blenheim Springs)</i>.</li> <li>Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</li> </ol>								
339	Sharon Parkes	23	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Where are values for Primary Production, for Plan.	bod for a	nimal and human	use, and commercial development. Pleas	e include these Values a	as well in the New			
356	Coatbridge Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 5 to include primary produ	uction va	alues, and to add	Natural Character values to Bartletts Creek	. (Inferred)				
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	249	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Support Water Quality Classification of SG for all coastal water in respect of the value of food gathering (page 5-17).									
	Support the interpretation of the temperature, dissolved oxygen and suitability of fish for human consumption standards/parameters for SG classification or pages 5-21 and 5-22.									
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	28	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	That the Appendix be amended to include	a range (	of uses including i	rrigation, industrial, commercial and frost	fighting.					
	That the Appendix be amended to include	That the Appendix be amended to include cultural, social and economic values.								
	(Inferred)									
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	195	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	That gravel extraction is recognised as a le units to add gravel extraction to as a value	-	value for water re	esource units in Appendix 5. (Submitter d	lid not identify which sp	ecific water resource				
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	770	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
	Standards									

Sub N	No Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
125	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	772	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
Decision Requeste	That amendments are made to the Schedule as follows (strike through and bold) - Standard/Parameter - Biological growths									
	<ul> <li>Interpretation of Standard/Parameter</li> <li>Bacterial and/or fungal slime growths must not be visible to the naked eye as obvious plumose growths or mats.</li> <li>The daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter) must not exceed 2mg/l.</li> <li>Dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) must be &lt;0.015mg/l when rivers are at &lt; median flow.</li> <li>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) must be &lt;0.414mg/l when rivers are at &lt; median flow.</li> </ul>									
	Standard/Parameter - Turbidity									
	Interpretation of Standard/Parameter									
	<ul> <li>Turbidity must be no greater than 5.6 Nephelometric Turbidity Units when rivers are at &lt; median flow.</li> <li>The Awatere River is excluded from this standard.</li> </ul>									
	Standard/Parameter - Deposited Fine Sediment (DFS) Stoney Bottom Streams									
	Delete Standard/Parameter.									
	Standard/Parameter - Suitability for consumption by farm animals									
	Interpretation of Standard/Parameter									
	• Water must not be rendered unsuitable for farm animals.									

## • E.coli levels must be <1000/100mL.

## Standard Parameter - Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) – Stoney Bottom Streams

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

• Must be >+++++++ 80 when river flow is < median flow.

Standard Parameter - Escherichia coli (E. coli)

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year mean median E. coli levels must be <126 260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.
- At all other times mean median E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.
- Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year maximum the 95th percentile E. coli levels must be <260 540/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.
- Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year maximum E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.

## Standard Parameter - Colour or visual clarity

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

Measurements are to be made immediately upstream of the discharge and below the discharge after reasonable mixing.

- Hue must not be changed by more than 5 points on the Munsell scale.
- Turbidity must be no greater not change more than 1.5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	244	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Water Quality Classification of SG for Support the interpretation of the temperatu pages 5-21 and 5-22.	r all coas re, disso	tal water in res lved oxygen ar	spect of the value of food gathering (page 5- nd suitability of fish for human consumption s	17). standards/paramet	ers for SG classification o
479	Department of Conservation	271	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.			·		·
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	83	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add cultural values to the Appendix. (Infer	red)		·		
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	91	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments to Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams (inferred):									
	Water Resource Unit Values Number 56, Small Coastal Complex - add Bird Habitat with relevant bird species.									
	Water Resource Unit Values Number 57 Small Sounds Streams - include other bird species such as kingfishers, shining cuckoos, bellbirds, native herons, bellbirds and tuis.									
	Other Water Resources All Coastal Water (page APP 5-17)									
	ALL coastal water must be amended via water Quality classification to Shellfish Gathering, which is after all what water class SG (shellfish gathering) upholds and Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams should not be allowed to have the potential for adverse effects upon same.									
	Standard/parameter Suitability of fish for human consumption (page APP 5-22)									
More appropriate and cost efficient to adopt a precautionary view now by accepting a change to standard/parameter, which also upholds what v SG represents.										
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	332	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend the recreation values for each water	r resour	ce unit to recognis	e the contact recreation as a recreation v	/alue.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	333	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support				
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed									
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	334	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support				
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed									

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	335	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 5 to ensure the natural cha	aracter	values of all wate	er resource units are identified and stated in	n the Appendix.	
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	336	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to ensure all braided rivers values.	includin	g Wairau, Awate	re, Clarence, Branch and Acheron are class	fied as having significa	nt natural character
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	337	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to acknowledge that all wat significant.	erbodie	s provide inverte	brate habitat and state those where the pro	ovision for invertebrate	habitat is particularly
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	338	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
	Fish and Game seek to ensure that the wate aligned. An option to achieve this could be to require takes and minimum flows and levels or wate Resource Units and the Freshwater Manager targets clearly identified and will ensure that	e, for ea er takes ment Ur	ch of the Water I be specified. Thi nits and ensure th	Resource Units identified in Appendix 5, to is will alleviate current confusion over the re hat each freshwater body in the Region has	have specified quantity elationship between the specific water quantity	allocations for water identified Water

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	339	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generic	c 'fishing' value	and replace it with "Regionally significant bro	own trout fishery (l	both Acheron and Alma)".
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	340	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add Recreation values that recognises th	e waterfow	I hunting that	occurs in the tidal zone.		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	341	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generic	: `fishing' value	and replace it with "Locally significant browr	trout fishery".	·
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	342	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generic	c `fishing' value	and replace it with "Locally significant browr	ı trout fishery".	
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	343	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Fish Habitat values to reflect Amend the Recreation values to remove reflect the nature of the values of the ar	"highly val	ance of this are ued trout fisher	ea for Brown and Rainbow Trout spawning as ry" and replace it with "Regionally significant	s well as habitat. brown and rainbov	v trout fisheries" to better
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	344	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove "fis	shing" ar	nd replace it with	"regionally significant brown trout and salr	non fisheries".						
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	345	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	The Fish Habitat values are amended to recognise the importance of the Goulter River for Salmon spawning. The Recreation values are amended to recognise the Goulter River as a designated back-country fishery.										
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	346	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	d Update the Recreation values to remove the generic values description 'fishing' and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area.										
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	347	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Add Recreation values that reflect the impo	ortance of	f the water resou	rce unit for waterfowl hunting within the ti	dal zone.						
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	348	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Update the Recreation values to remove the nature of the values of the area.	e generic	: values descriptic	n `fishing' and replace it with ``locally signi	ficant brown trout fishe	ry" to better reflect the					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	349	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove rainbow trout fishery.	the generio	c `fishing' value	and replace it to recognise the importance o	f the area as a loc	ally significant brown and			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	350	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generic	c `fishing' value	and replace it with "locally significant brown	trout fishery"				
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	351	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generio	c `fishing' value	and replace it with "locally significant brown	trout fishery"				
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	352	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove trout fishery as well as adding the words			and replace it to recognise the regional signi front of `gamebird hunting'.	ificance of the area	a as a brown and rainbow			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	353	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.								
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	354	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре						
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.											
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	355		Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	ed Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"											
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	356		Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown fishery. Also, amend the recreation values to remove the term "shooting" and replace it with "hunting".											
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	357		Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the	generic	`fishing' value and	d replace it to recognise the local significar	nce of the area as a bro	wn fishery.						
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	358		Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognise the area for brown trout fishing.	ne regio	nal significance of	the area for game bird hunting within Par	a Wetland and the local	significance of the						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	359	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognis	se that the	area is a "locall	ly significant brown trout fishery".		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	360	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognis	se that the	area is a ``locall	ly significant brown trout fishery".		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	361	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognis	se the regio	onal significance	e of the area for gamebird hunting.		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	362	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove trout fishery.	the generic	c `fishing' value	and replace it to recognise the national signi	ficance of the area a	as a salmon and brown
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	363	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove	the generic	c "fishing" term	and replace it with "locally significant brown	trout fishery".	

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	364	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support			
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed								
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	365	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support			
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed								
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	366	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend Temperature parameters to require the following: • Maximum daily average temperature must not exceed 19oC • Shall not exceed 25oC								
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	367	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend Dissolved Oxygen as follows: • Saturation >80% • 9mg/L @ 11oC • 6-8mg/L @ 20oC	-							
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	368	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the parameters for MCI to require a	value of	f =120.						
640	Douglas and Colleen Robbins	16	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-thro	ough and	d bold) are made	to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds	Streams					
	Recreation Values									
	Children playing									
	Kayaking, swimming or fishing									
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	56	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
738	Glenda Vera Robb	19	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
769	Horticulture New Zealand	135	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Add 'food production' as a value to the follo Benmorven FMU Brancott FMU Omaka Aquifer FMU Omaka River FMU Riverlands FMU Southern Springs FMU Wairau Aquifer FMU Add 'food production' as a value to Schedu	-			production is undertaker	).				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	88	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Hearing evidence will be provided of socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit, as additions to Appendix 5.										
935	Melva Joy Robb	16	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose					
Decision Requested	d That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams <i>Recreation Values</i> <i>Children playing</i> <i>Kayaking, swimming or fishing</i>										
1039	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	135	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 5, subject to further inform	nation sh	owing these valu	ies are justified.	·						
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	8	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested											
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	9	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support					
Decision Requested	That the natural character of some areas w Stream, Waihopai River upper and lower, W	ithin the /aikakah	Wairau River cat ou, Wairau Lagoo	chment is assessed. Areas inlcude Bartlett's	s Creek, Pine Valley, Pu	kaka Stream, Timms					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	221	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>Amend the various tables to include a 'C' ur</li> <li>Kaituna (Page 5-8);</li> <li>Rai (Page 5-11);</li> <li>Tuamarina (Page 5-14);</li> <li>Small Coastal Complex (Page 5-16);</li> <li>Small Sounds Streams (Page 5-16);</li> <li>Waitohi (Page 5-17); and</li> <li>Wakamarina (Page 5-17).</li> </ul>	nder the	"Water Quality (	Classifications" of the following rivers:		
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	222	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>Amend the table to include the Waikawa str</li> <li>C – Cultural</li> <li>A – Aesthetic</li> </ul>	ream and	d, at the very lea	ast, identify it as having the following "Wate	r Quality Classificatio	ons":
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	223	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the schedule by inserting cultural w	ater qua	lity indicators.			
1201	Trustpower Limited	153	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from t 1. Amend as follows: " <i>Water Resource Unit values &amp; Water Quali</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendme	t <del>y Classi</del>	ification Standard	<del>ds</del> <u>Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh</u>	Water Management (	<u>Units"</u>

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
1201	Trustpower Limited	154	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</li> <li>Amend as follows:</li> <li>"Schedule 1 - Water Resource Unit Values Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh Water Management Units"</li> <li>"<u>Fresh Water Management Resource-Unit</u>"</li> <li>"<u>Natural and Human Use</u> Values"</li> <li>Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</li> </ul>									
1201	Trustpower Limited	155	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from t 1. Amend the Table for each FMU to ensu									
1201	Trustpower Limited	156	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose				
Decision Requested										
1201	Trustpower Limited	157	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:         1. Amend as follows: <u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u>									

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
1201	Trustpower Limited	158	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	d Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u>										
91	Marlborough District Council	85	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	d The Waihopai River C class allocation limit in Schedule 1 (page 6-3) is amended from " <i>241,920</i> " to " <i>271,000</i> ".										
91	Marlborough District Council	86	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Oppose					
Decision Requested	The Are Are Freshwater Management Unit a	Illocatior	n limit in Schedule	e 1 (page 6-1) is amended from " <del>43,200</del> "	to " <i>4,320</i> '.						
91	Marlborough District Council	103	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support					
Decision Requested											
91	Marlborough District Council	141	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support					
Decision Requested	An additional allocation limit is requested ur a reduction in the Riverlands Freshwater Ma	nder the anageme	Riverlands Fresh ent Unit allocation	water Management Unit for " <i>Municipal Si</i> limit from " <i>4,234,000</i> " to " <i>2,154,100</i> ".	upply" of " <b>2,079,900</b> "	and, by association,					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
91	Marlborough District Council	142	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support				
	An additional allocation limit is requested under the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit for " <i>Municipal Supply</i> " of "17,789,500" and, by association, a reduction in the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit from "73,006,000" to "55,216,500".									
91	Marlborough District Council	249	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support				
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Taylor River in	Schedu	le 3 on p 6-6 of Aj	ppendix 6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>Taj</i>	vlor <del>River (below Docto</del>	<i>r<del>s Creek confluence)</del>."</i>				
91	Marlborough District Council	250	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support				
Decision Requested	Insert a new table row for in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (bold) - Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) column " <i>Opaoa (below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</i> "; Class column " <i>n/a</i> "; Allocation column - " <i>24,000</i> "; Allocation column " <i>n/a</i> ".									
91	Marlborough District Council	251	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support				
	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwa <i>below O'Dwyers <b>Mills and Ford</b> Road <b>to th</b> And, amend the allocation in table column 3</i>	he conf	luence of the Op	paoa and Taylor Rivers)."						
93	Spencer & Susan White	16	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part				
Decision Requested										
181	Andebrook Farming Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support				
Decision Requested	Appendix 6 - Schedule 1 and 3. Retain prov	isions as	s proposed.							

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
196	Ian Woolley	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	I will attend the hearing when this comes u	p at the	council			
264	Walnut Creek Partnership	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Oppose
Decision Requested	water take restrictions from Spring C the influences on those water levels.	reek bas	sed on the water I	nern Springs area based on water levels in Level at the Spring Creek Motor Camp unti sed restrictions have effect while being det	there is absolute scien	tific clarity about
331	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Keep the status quo - I support the council	in the m	inimum flow leve	s for water take in all aquifers.		
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	773	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That information is made available to resou	rce user	s on the effects of	f the proposed changes, and transition tim	es are provided for.	
431	Wine Marlborough	69	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and	d Levels	. (inferred)		-	
431	Wine Marlborough	87	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ind impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	epender	ntly reviewed to d	emonstrate the appropriateness of such le	vels as they have the p	otential to seriously
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	69	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix 6. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix 6. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	53	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix. (inferred)					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	84	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested on the conten	t of this ,	Appendix is not c	detailed in the Submission.		·
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	371	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The mapping and schedules of Freshwate that each freshwater body is only represe			ne District Plan maps need to align to repre lanagement Unit.	sent the same geog	raphical areas and ensure
769	Horticulture New Zealand	136	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Oppose
	Withdraw Appendix 6 and develop environ for a waterbody, and then set objectives In the interim continue to use and apply 6	and flows	5.	and develop for each catchment through a n	robust consultation p	process to identify all values
776	Indevin Estates Limited	42	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

Support
Oppose
oses of the submission).
Support
Oppose
Support
Support in Part
er FMU from 1850 to 2070.
Support in Part
h and bold) -

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
91	Marlborough District Council	313	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Roses Overf	ow Fresh	water Managem	nent Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-3 of Appendix	x 6 as follows (bold)	-
	"Roses Overflow (below control weir)."	ı				
342	Willow Flat Farm Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
357	Trudie Lasham	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the allocation limit for the Rarang	i Shallow	Freshwater Mar	nagement Unit to reduce the allocation. (In	ferred)	
359	WilkesRM Limited	38	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the allocation levels for Freshwate Management Unit.	r Manage	ment Units dom	inated by rivers as proposed except for the	C Class allocation ir	n the Awatere Freshwater
359	WilkesRM Limited	39	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the volume of Awatere Freshwater	Manager	nent Unit Class	C water available for allocation be increase	d from 224,640 m3/	/day to 302,400 m3/day.
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	72	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be i impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	independe	ently reviewed t	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have	the potential to seriously

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
473	Delegat Limited	69	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain schedule 1. (inferred)					
475	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	9	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions as proposed.					
479	Department of Conservation	272	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the zero allocations within the Sched	ule for s	specific catchment	s to compliment prohibited activity Rule 2	.6.4.	
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	73	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the quantity allocations and water take access than the quantities currently imposed	es detail d.	ed in Schedule (1)	) have specifically no greater negative imp	act upon water availabi	lity, allocation and
491	Peter Winston James	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	This will allow farmers/grape growers etc to	take m	easures to protect		d crops.	
	2. Council to provide multi well level recordi	ngs in o	rder to achieve ar	accurate over view of water levels in the	Northern Springs Secto	r.
492	Stephanie Joan and Luke Peter Radich	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Submitters request that the property be adjusted accordingly.	e re-cate	egorised as falling	within the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Mai	nagement Unit and that	the boundary be
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	13	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	Clarify the relationship between water res align with the requirements of the draft N freshwater management, environmental fl	ational E	nvironmental Stan	dard on Flow Setting (2008). Introduce ne	ew objectives, policies,			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	369	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part		
Decision Requested	All waterbodies in the Region need to be within a defined and/or described Freshwater Management Unit. The Plan needs to be amended to ensure that there are no FMU's that are not specifically defined on either the Freshwater Management Unit Maps or described in the Schedules or both to ensure that the NPSFM is appropriately given effect to.							
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	370	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part		
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to ensure that all mir Water Resource Unit.	imum flo	ows and allocation	volumes are measured at the same point	(s) in each Freshwater	Management Unit and		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	372	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part		
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend Appendix 6 each FMU to ensure that the relationship o tributaries are clear.							
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	373	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part		
Decision Requested	Provide further explanation over the inten	ded appl	ication of allocatio	n limits in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6.				
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	374	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Amend quantity allocations for water takes For Freshwater Management Units dominat by the Council or the total allocation from t For Freshwater Management Units dominat the Council or the total allocation from the higher or lower (than that proposed in the For Freshwater Management Units dominat Council or the total allocation from the cato For Freshwater Management Units dominat total allocation from the catchment, less an	ed by st he catch ced by st catchme NES) per ced by sh chment, l ced by ot	reams and rivers with ment, less any rest reams and rivers with the contract of the second recentage allocation hallow, coastal aquitess any resource ther aquifers, an a	source consents surrendered, lapsed, cance with mean flows greater than 5m3/s, an a urce consents surrendered, lapsed, cancell in is necessary to preserve values, this show uffers, an allocation limit of 15% of the ave consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled of llocation limit of 35% of the average annu	celled or not replaced. Ilocation limit of 50% of ed or not replaced or w uld instead be adopted. erage annual recharge a r not replaced. Ial recharge as calculate	<sup>F</sup> MALF as calculated by here studies indicate a as calculated by the
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	375	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek, as an alternative alloc flow is allocated at any one time or one for				uses ensuring that 20%	o of the instantaneous
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	376	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Schedule 1 of Appendix 6 to include	e instant	aneous rate of tak	e for all allocations rather than using a vo	lume-based method for	allocation.
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	377	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Awatere Freshwater Management Unit Reduce allocation limits to ensure a total al	location	of no greater thar	n 30% of MALF.		

	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	378	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit					
	Remove the additional proposed 8,640m3/ Remove controlled short-term irrigation co 20% of MALF.				educe the Class A al	location to no greater tha
09	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	379	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opouri Freshwater Management Unit					
	MALF data is required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 17,280m3	8/day Cla	ss B allocation ir	n the Opouri FMU and replace the flows wit	h a minimum flow c	of 80% of MALF.
09	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	380	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
ecision		_	-	-		
lequested	Pelorus (Lower) freshwater Management L	nit				
equested	Pelorus (Lower) freshwater Management L MALF data required for this FMU. Remove temporary (emergency) water per Remove the additional proposed 45,000m3	mits from			IU to reduce it to les	s than 20% of MALF.
equested	MALF data required for this FMU. Remove temporary (emergency) water per	mits from			IU to reduce it to les	ss than 20% of MALF.
equested	MALF data required for this FMU. Remove temporary (emergency) water per Remove the additional proposed 45,000m3	mits from B/day Cla 381 the draft	ss B allocation ir Volume 3 : NES to reduce i	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels t to less than 30% of MALF.		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Ronga Freshwater Management Unit					
	MALF data required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 8,460m3/d	ay Class	B allocation in th	e Ronga FMU.		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	383	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit					
	MALF data is required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 8,460m3/d	ay Class	B allocation in th	e Tunakino FMU.		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	384	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit Remove all water allocation for the Tuamari	na FMU	until more inform	ation on in-stream flows and MALF are av	ailable to enable accura	te management.
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	385	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Waihopai Freshwater Management Unit Amend the allocation volumes to align with	the draf	t NES to reduce it	to less than 30% of MALF.		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	386	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit Amend the allocation for the Wairau River F commissioned Cawthron Report to reduce it percentage allocation is necessary to preser	MU to ro to less	eflect the existing than 50% of MAL	rule in the WARMP or either of the option F or where studies indicate a higher or low		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	387	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the possibility for future allocation By reducing the allocation limit to the total					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	140	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Aw b) Increase the volume of Awatere FMU Cla				)m3/day	
					moyday.	
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix	6, Schec	lule 1.			
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	35	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits for the Fla	xbourne	FMU be extended	d such that additional water be taken dur	ing high flows.	
746	Gregory Walter Webb	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 1 - Quantity All	ocations	s for Water Takes.			
776	Indevin Estates Limited	48	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision.					
835	Osgro Seed Service	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule as proposed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре	
909	Longfield Farm Limited	80	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support	
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)						
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	19	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support	
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)						
992	New Zealand Defence Force	98	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part	
Decision Requested	Amend these provisions to correct errors.						
1201	Trustpower Limited	159	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support	
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from t 1. Retain as notified.	he Marlt	oorough District Co	ouncil:			
1201	Trustpower Limited	160	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part	
Decision Requested							
1218	Villa Maria	79	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support	
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 1.						
1231	Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support	

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Retain provision as proposed.					
1242	Yealands Estate Limited	43	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix	6, Schec	dule 1.			
1248	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	73	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be inc impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	lependei	ntly reviewed to a	emonstrate the appropriateness of such le	evels as they have the p	otential to seriously
473	Delegat Limited	70	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule 2. (inferred)	-	-			
776	Indevin Estates Limited	46	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision.	-	-			
909	Longfield Farm Limited	81	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
1201	Trustpower Limited	161	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	d Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified.									
1218	Villa Maria	80	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support				
Decision Requested	d Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 2.									
3	Nicola Wood	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
	The decision I seek from council is:Identify the A and B class of water consent in MEP Vol 3 Appendix 6 for Southern Springs recognising the obligations associated with those currently on A class water and remove the restrictions for A Class consent holders associated with the limits the MEP is introducing.Continue to manage the A class right as best practice for the vineyard volume of water, as was implemented with us recently when we renewed our consent, with the release of water historically unused. This water should not be reallocated but used to boost the water in the Southern Springs aquifer benefiting those on B class restrictions. Because this was historically the case, any reallocation of this water will result in a net increase of water use and subsequently negatively impact on the volume of water measured in Southern SpringsCancel all consents transferring water out of the Southern Springs areas. Any ongoing MDC support of these activities, while limiting those within this area, is highly questionable.Remove the immediate implementation effect of restrictions for A Class consent holders as identified in Vol 2 Chap 2.									
91	Marlborough District Council	257	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part				
	Amend the table row for the Taylor River FN Class column "A"; Minimum Flow column - " column " <i>Minimum of</i> Fully restricted belo Class column " <i>C</i> "; Minimum Flow column - column " <i>Fully restricted below 0.300m</i>	' <i>Minimul</i> ow 1.000 '' <i>Minim</i>	<i>m of 1.000m3/s a</i> 0m3/s".	t Hutcheson Street"; Monitoring Site colum	n " <i>Hutcheson Street</i> "; N	-				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
91	Marlborough District Council	258	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 to add the following line - FMU column " <i>Opaoa (below Mills and Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</i> "; Class column " <i>n/a</i> "; Minimum flow column " <i>Minimum of 0.500m3/s at Opaoa River immediately below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers</i> "; Monitoring Site column " <i>Hutcheson Street</i> "; Management Flow column " <i>Fully restricted below 1.000m3/s</i> ".								
91	Marlborough District Council	259	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Opaoa (from <del>below O'Dwyers</del> Mills and Ford Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)"</i> ; and replace " <i>A</i> " with " <i>n/a</i> ".								
124	Russell Lindsay	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support			
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flows as proposed.	-	-						
140	Marcus Wickham	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Abolish minimum level and rethink the proposed restrictions for the 3x springs areas. Contact anyone who has previously provided feedback for your plan so we can be informed directly rather than having to dig through mountains of paperwork to find it buried in the appendix.								
		-							

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested										
	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.									
	Before implementing a blanket restriction fur the long term trend of the aquifer levels is e				ocess is required. A bet	ter understanding of				
	Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.									
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	11	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
	More monitoring of the Wairau Aquifer affec	ts on th	e Spring Creek riv	ver.						
	Not to be fully restricted.									
143	Starborough Farming Company Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the blanket cut off to all Springs wa	Remove the blanket cut off to all Springs water .								
	Treat all Wairau Aquifer users equally (not j the set limit (example. 11.8m for Northern S water is utilised (not a blanket cut to only S	Springs)	. This would give	all farmers/growers the opportunity to prio						
	Before implementing a blanket restriction w required of the long term trend of the aquife				arge process. A better u	nderstanding is				
144	Wickham Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested	Abolish the proposed water restrictions for t "springs area" unrestricted.	he Wair	au aquifer "spring	is area" based on water levels in nearby w	vells while leaving the w	ater users west of the				
	Notify the proposed changes to people who them.	provide	feedback in the e	early stages, don't just expect them to dig	through pages and page	ges to find what affects				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
145	Ormond Nurseries Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Abolish proposed changes in the MEP which 'springs' area 100% unrestricted.	i prose t	o restrict the wat	er users in the "springs" area water levels	in nearby wells while le	eaving users outside the		
154	T P McGrail	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Withdraw the change until proper consultat	ion has	been carried out					
155	Mark Hodges	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.							
168	Georges Michel	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut off to Spring	Creek s	ector water users					
169	Grapelands Marl Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	We are asking for water decisions to be bas	ed on s	ound science and	be fairly applied to all Wairau aquifer perr	nit holders.			
171	Christopher and Philippa Vickers	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	We oppose the changes as put forward by t	he cour	ncil					
173	Thymebank	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	We are asking fro the Council to remove the	e Appeno	lix 6 clause propo	sing water cut offs to the Springs area in t	he Wairau Catchment.				
	We are asking that any water decisions are made based on sound science, take into account the impact all users/permit holders have on the Wairau catchment. I would also ask that the Council consider the current allocations of water permit holders have been given and concession or dispensation be made for those that have a very small take in comparison to other users, or those that already operate in a manner that maximises efficiency and minimises water need.								
174	Palmer Vineyards Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the limits for the Northern, Central	and Urb	an Springs from A	ppendix 6 (inferred).					
175	Welton Vineyards Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the limits for the Northern, Central and Urban Springs from Appendix 6 (inferred).								
176	Stembridge Vineyards Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
	d Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.								
202	Giesen Wines	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the provisions until proper consulta	tion, ass	essment of the in	npact and explanation of the change has b	een made.				
204	Stephen and Kristen Dempster	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	To implement a graduated restriction syster	n to avo	id the cut off leve	being reached. To have this as a conditio	n of all new and renewe	ed water permits			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
206	Melynda Bentley	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Decision Requested								
	We would like to see accurate and reliable data and evidence that support these proposed guidelines. A public meeting for all those concerned.								
	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Spring	s sector	water users.						
	Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.								
209	O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Our request is that common sense prevails with the removal of the 100% blanket cut off to springs sector water users.								
212	O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Our request is that common sense prevails	with the	removal of the 1	00% blanket cut off to springs sector wate	er users.				
213	Christopher Vickers	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	I wish the proposed provision to be deleted			•					
	This idea could usefully be discussed by all	affected	land owners.						
223	Alistair Sutherland	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the limits for taking water from the	e Northe	rn Springs Sector	(inferred).					
226	Murphy Horticulture	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Spring has a significantly better system that includ the people of Marlborough to manage their Water users west of the 3 proposed areas u measures. A better understanding of how w term trends of the aquifer levels before sett	es stepp water u use signi vater res	ed reductions in v se in times of dro ficantly more wate trictions on certai	water use rather than the sledge hammer a ught to ensure their businesses can survive er per hectare than the 3 proposed area's s n areas will affect the aquifer is needed. W	approach of 100% cut-o e so need to be included i	off. This would allow in any water protection		
234	Cherrybank Orchard	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	We request more information and clarity are	ound sci	entific and histori	cal data before a decision is made.				
249	James Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users based on the minimum well levels. Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction (example - restricted all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users to 50% allocation when the monitor wells drop below the set level). This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised and help maintain minimum flow levels in Springs. Before implementing a blanket restriction further information and knowledge is required of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process. A better understanding of the long term trend of the Wairau Aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.							
253	James Collett	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
Decision Requested	That all Wairau River surface water takes ar of 8m3/s at Barnett's Bank. This is effective bridge flow which has never been implemer there are no adverse effects on instream va currently above The Narrows.	ly the c nted. V	urrent situation, h Nith the current m	nowever it is noted that a portion of conse nanagement flow of 8m3/s for full restriction	nts do have a conditio on for all Wairau Rive	on relating to the Wash r users, it has shown that			
254	Scott MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part			
Decision Requested	That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flowlevel cut off of 8m3/s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m3/s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holderscurrently above The Narrows.								
262	Kaye Surgenor	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	I request that these changes are rejected								
268	Chris Kirk	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part			
Decision Requested	Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallov Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the	w Aquifo Rarang	er North at well P2	28w/4349 from 0.25mamsl to 0.5-0.6mam South at well P28w/4331 but would prefer	nsl. r to see this raised to	1.50mamsl. Modify			
	the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallov	•							
	Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the								
	Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the		-						
	Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the								
	These changes take into account climate ch	ange/gl	lobal warming and	d will help to 'future-proof' these vital wate	er supply.				

Sub No	Submitter	Poir	nt Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
271	Caythorpe Trustees Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to	Springs sect	or water users.						
	Before implementing a blanket restric the long term trend of the aquifer lev			e of the complex aquifer/springs recharge p lower limits and cut-off thresholds.	process is required. A	A better understanding of			
75	Myra Sandall	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Restricted water use rather than total ban.								
88	Mike Croad	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove cut off for Springs Area (infe	erred).							
88	Mike Croad	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support			
Decision Requested	Support in favour of current cut off f	lows in the A	watere and Waira	au Rivers.					
95	Caythorpe Farm Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% Blanket Cut-off	to Southern	Springs sector	water users.					
		w/level must	be imposed, the	f 0.010m3 at Batty's Road Bridge for the wh n it should be graduated, i.e. 0.010m3 trigg					
296	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose			
Decision Requested	Reconsider minimum flow levels that	are set outsi	ide of subject aqu	uifers, more information and data required.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
297	Red Barn Vineyards	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.									
297	Red Barn Vineyards	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support				
Decision Requested	I support current min flow cut offs for Wa	airau, V	/aihopai and Aw	ratere Rivers.						
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested	Remove the cut off for the Springs Area (inferred).									
301	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support				
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flow cut offs for Waira	ı, Waiho	opai and AwatereF	Rivers (inferred).						
303	Ross Flowerday	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested										
312	James Fowler	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part				

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
Decision Requested	Delete the Management Flow of 7.320m3/s	for the V	Wairau River Fresl	hwater Management Unit (above The Narro	ows) monitored at Dip F	Flat from the Schedule.		
315	Nicholas Winter	1		Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part		
	Decision Requested That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flow levelcut off of 8m3/s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m3/s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holders currentlyabove The Narrows.							
341	Neylon Vineyards	1		Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose		
Decision Requested	Reject the proposal in its entirety. Until MD	C has pr	oduced scientific	evidence to support their proposal and der	nonstrate a fair policy c	on restrictions.		
342	Willow Flat Farm Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support		
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.							
356	Coatbridge Limited	4		Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support		
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flows and levels for wa			River as proposed.				
357	Trudie Lasham	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part		
Decision Requested	Amend the minimum level limit for the Rara	ngi Shal	low Freshwater M	anagement Unit to raise the level at which	restrictions would appl	y. (Inferred)		
359	WilkesRM Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ind	That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed.									
359	WilkesRM Limited	36	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part					
Decision Requested	That the Awatere River Freshwater Management Unit Class C minimum flow and level be amended to reflect the increased Class C allocation as above being 302,400 m3/day or 3500 l/s.										
359	WilkesRM Limited	37	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support					
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flows and levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, save for the proposed Class C water allocation for the Awatere Freshwater Management Unit, as proposed.										
372	Milton and Pauline Bailey	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	/ater Ta he Wair	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(1</i>	ter Management Uni <i>nferred)</i>	t, Wairau Aquifer Northern					
375	Norman Alexander Ham	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose					
Decision Requested	Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Ma	nageme	nt Unit minimum	level for water takes. (Inferred)							
377	Fiona Mary Patchett	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose					
Decision Requested	Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Ma	nageme	ent Unit minimum	level for water takes. <i>(Inferred)</i>							
381	Brentwood Farm Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose					
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (Inferred)										

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
382	Nicola M Clouston	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	/ater Tal he Waira	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(I</i> )	ater Management Unit <i>nferred)</i>	, Wairau Aquifer Northern
383	Francis Estate Vineyards Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t				2	, Wairau Aquifer Northern
384	Bures Vineyard Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					, Wairau Aquifer Northern
386	Shirley J Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	ater Tal he Wair	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(I</i> )	ater Management Unit <i>nferred)</i>	, Wairau Aquifer Northern
387	O'Dwyers Creek Vineyard Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	/ater Tal he Waira	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(I</i> )	ater Management Unit <i>nferred)</i>	, Wairau Aquifer Northern
389	Thomson Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					, Wairau Aquifer Northern

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
390	Marlborough Hort	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	'ater Tal he Waira	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Ir</i>	nter Management Unit, N Inferred)	Wairau Aquifer Northern
391	G J and R M Gane Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
392	Alistair Dawson	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
395	Moore Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Minimum Flows and Levels for V Northern Springs Freshwater Management I					
396	Hamish Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
398	Selwyn and Mary Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
399	Thymebank	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	ater Tal he Waira	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwa Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(I</i> i	iter Management Unit, <i>nferred)</i>	Wairau Aquifer Northern
400	Thymebank	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
402	Rose Waghorn	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
403	Thymebank	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
405	Thymebank	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern
406	David Adams	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Wairau Aquifer Northern

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
407	Walnut Block Wines Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for N Springs Freshwater Management Unit and					it, Wairau Aquifer Northern
408	Kenneth James Coles	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for N Springs Freshwater Management Unit and					it, Wairau Aquifer Northern
409	Paul Anthony Scott	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for N Springs Freshwater Management Unit and					it, Wairau Aquifer Northern
410	Awarua Trust - Dodson Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for N Springs Freshwater Management Unit and					it, Wairau Aquifer Northern
411	Bird Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for N Springs Freshwater Management Unit and					it, Wairau Aquifer Northern
412	Kotare Vineyard	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for V Central Springs Freshwater Management L Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>	Vater Ta Init, Wair	kes applying to th au Aquifer Northe	e Wairau Aquifer ern Springs Freshwater Management Unit	and the Wairau Aqui	ifer Urban Springs
413	Herd Properties	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t				-	Vairau Aquifer Northern
414	Growing Horizon Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t	/ater Ta he Wair	kes applying to th au Aquifer Urban	e Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwat Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(In</i>	er Management Unit, V <i>ferred)</i>	Vairau Aquifer Northern
415	Taequi Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Vairau Aquifer Northern
416	Sandra Irene Shadbolt	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Vairau Aquifer Northern
417	Patrick John Murphy	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for W Springs Freshwater Management Unit and t					Vairau Aquifer Northern
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	74	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be inc impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	lepende	ntly reviewed to a	lemonstrate the appropriateness of such le	evels as they have the p	potential to seriously
461	Brookside Holdings Trust and King Contracting Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the minimum level for the Central	and Nor	thern Springs Sec	tor (inferred).		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
473	Delegat Limited	71	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	independe	ently reviewed t	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have	the potential to seriously
475	Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family)	10	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions as proposed.					
479	Department of Conservation	273	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek that the MDC undertake instream that the minimum flows will provide for t			nents for the individual FMU's, to ensure that tion of the values listed in that policy.	at these are set to gi	ve effect to Policy 5.2.4 and
479	Department of Conservation	274	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	I seek that the default minimum flow of minimum flows that will provide instream the MEP.	90 percent 1 flow requ	t of 7DMALF for uirements for fre	A allocation is imposed in this catchment u eshwater ecosystems to give effect to prope	ntil the MDC underta osed Objective 5.2 a	akes a review of setting nd proposed Policy 5.2.4 of
479	Department of Conservation	275	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	I seek that the default minimum flow of minimum flows that will provide instream the MEP.	90 percent n flow requ	t of 7DMALF for lirements for fre	A allocation is imposed in this catchment u eshwater ecosystems to give effect to propo	ntil the MDC underta	akes a review setting and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of
479	Department of Conservation	276	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Requested	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 minimum flows that will provide instream flot the MEP.					
479	Department of Conservation	277	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 minimum flows that will provide instream flot the MEP.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	74	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
	That the minimum flows and levels for wate imposed.	r takes	detailed in Schedu	Ile (3) have specifically no greater negative	e impact upon the flows	and levels currently
489	Larges Rose Nursery	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	No setting of groundwater take restrictions	for the S	Spring Creek Moto	r Camp ( <i>inferred</i> ).		
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	388	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
	The minimum flows need to be amended to For Freshwater Management Units dominate seven day mean annual low flow (MALF7). For Freshwater Management Units dominate calculated by the Council.	ed by sti	reams and rivers v			
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	389	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

oub no	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar	nd/or repla	ace the flows wi	th a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised M	1ALF7 within the Aw	atere FMU.
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	390	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar	nd/or repla	ace the flows wi	th a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised 7	' day MALF.	
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	391	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision						
Decision Requested	Opouri Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar FMU.	nd/or repla	ace the flows wi	th a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised ${ t N}$	1ALF7 which is a mir	nimum flow for the Opour
Decision Requested	Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar	nd/or repla	ace the flows wi	th a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised M Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	1ALF7 which is a mir	nimum flow for the Opour Support in Part
Requested 509 Decision	Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar FMU.	392	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		
Requested 509 Decision	Undertake in-stream flow assessments an FMU. Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	392	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		

	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	394	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rai (total including Opouri, Tunakino and Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar			r Unit vith a minimum flow of 80% of MALF of natu	uralised MALF7.	
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	395	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ronga Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments ar	id/or repla	ace the flows wi	ith those that fall in line with the draft nation	nal environment star	ndards for the Ronga FMU.
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	396	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit	or replace	the flows with t	those that fall in line with the draft national	environment standa	rds for the Tunakino FMU
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	397	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
<b>509</b> Decision Requested	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow and wetland hy	397 drology as	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and	Schedule 3 oad to 90% of the n	Oppose aturalised 7-day MALF.
Decision	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow and wetland hy	397 drology as	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3 oad to 90% of the n	Oppose aturalised 7-day MALF.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	399	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit Fish and Game seek that the new approach minimum flow and allocation setting to be r	to flow	modelling (net ra	te of energy intake modelling NREI) be co		ne management for
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	400	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Spring Creek Freshwater Management Unit Council undertake suitable ecological asses of 90% of MALF.		accurately deter	mine minimum flows for the Spring Creek	FMU or replace the flow	s with a minimum flow
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	401	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Omaka Freshwater Management Unit Council undertake suitable ecological asses of 80% of MALF.	sment to	accurately deter	mine minimum flows for the Omaka River	FMU or replace the flow	s with a minimum flow
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	402	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Taylor River Freshwater Management Unit Council undertake suitable ecological asses of 90% of MALF.	sment to	accurately deter	mine minimum flows for the Taylor River F	- MU or replace the flows	s with a minimum flow
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	403	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Opaoa River Freshwater Management Unit (below O'Dwyer's Road)									
	Council undertake suitable ecological assess of 80% of MALF.	sment to	accurately deterr	nine minimum flows for the Opaoa River I	MU or replace the flow:	s with a minimum flow				
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions relating to water cut- (Inferred)	offs for	irrigators in the W	airau Aquifer when water levels drop in w	ells P28w/3009, P28w/4	4404 and P28w/3954.				
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	141	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part				
Decision Requested	a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Aw b) Amend the Awatere FMU - Class C Mana 259,200m3/day (3,000L/s).									
632	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose				
Decision Requested	Review minimum levels for the following FM - Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU; - Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and - Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU.	IU's and	amend Schedule	3 accordingly:						
746	Gregory Walter Webb	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support				
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 3 - Minimum Fl	ows and	Levels for Water	Takes.						

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
776	Indevin Estates Limited	45	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ir impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	ndepende	ently reviewed to	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have t	he potential to seriously
835	Osgro Seed Service	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule as proposed.					
844	K and L Morgan Partnership	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ir impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	ndepende	ently reviewed to	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have t	he potential to seriously
871	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We ask that the existing cut-offs be maint	ained.				
909	Longfield Farm Limited	82	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ir impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	ndepende	ently reviewed to	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have t	he potential to seriously
966	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ir impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	ndepende	ently reviewed to	o demonstrate the appropriateness of such	levels as they have t	he potential to seriously

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
992	New Zealand Defence Force	99	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This table requires further investigation and	d review	to confirm the co	ntent is correct.		
	NZDF has assumed that "fully restricted" m below 0.067m <sup>3</sup> /s (67L/s), rather than rest				oning occurs when t	he Tyntesfield Gorge is
	NZDF is happy to discuss these matters wit	th Counc	il prior to a hearir	ıg.		
1124	Steve MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the flow restriction on Class A water monitoring site, and delete the restriction a	for the at Dip Fla	Wairau River (abo at for class A wate	ove the narrows) FMU to be fully restricted r in the same FMU.	d at 8m³/s accordin	g to the Barnetts Bank
1142	Save the Wairau River Incorporated	7	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the absence of sound scientific assessme seek the adoption of the Cawthron Report			e Council follow the NES guidelines in sett	ting minimum flows.	For the Wairau River we
1159	Spring Creek Vintners	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ind impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	depende	ntly reviewed to c	emonstrate the appropriateness of such l	levels as they have	the potential to seriously
1201	Trustpower Limited	162	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from 1. Retain as notified.	the Marl	borough District (	Council:		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from t 1. Retain as notified.	he Marll	oorough District C	ouncil:		
1218	Villa Maria	81	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be ind impact upon aquifer based viticulture.	epender	ntly reviewed to d	emonstrate the appropriateness of such le	vels as they have the p	otential to seriously
1231	Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as proposed.					
1248	James Simon Fowler	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
1267	Patrick Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
	We are not asking for an increase or an unr the area need it. We are asking for any water decisions to be					en we, and others in

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	404	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Branch River					
	Fish and Game seek higher minimum and m Currently the minimum flow is 0.700m3/s at insufficient to support fish passage and ther Fish and Game support the monitoring locat	: State H efore ne	lighway 63 Road I eed to be increase	Bridge and Management flows – fully restried to retain 80% of naturalised MALF7.	cted below 1.200m3/s	These flows are
1201	Trustpower Limited	164	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from to 1. Amend the table as follows: "0.700 m3/s at State Highway Road Bridge		-			
268	Chris Kirk	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed conductivity levels for v	water tal	kes, but also add	a conductivity level for the Rarangi Shallow	Aquifer North (inferred	1).
263	Mark Batchelor	7	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Oppose
	Appendix 7 should have the following rule a 13. A landscape planting and development in pathways and other structures and public un be vested in Council and how existing trees	including tilities ai are inco	land shaping and Ind services propos	d tree species and location and public gard and to be vested within the road reserves a		
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	36	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	: Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Appendix 7 should have the following rule a	idded ur	nder the heading '	Information';		
	New point under Heading Information					
	Point 13. A landscape planting and develop pathways and other structures and public us be vested in Council and how existing trees	tilities a	nd services propo	sed to be vested within the road reserves		
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	250	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new item 24 under "Other Relevant Sit Under heading "Sewerage" add - "Any subc water."					amination of coastal
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	245	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Support in Part
Requested		/ subdiv	ision of land within	ation of any relevant marine farm protectin the marine farm protection overlay must		
100	East Bay Conservation Society	32	Volume 3	Appendix 8 Discharge to Air		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Distinguish between the Living zones and th	ne Enviro	onment zones to r	ecognise the difference in effects		
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	92	Volume 3	Appendix 8 Discharge to Air		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify in the explanation, using layman's t	terms, w	vhat is meant by "	softwood" (inferred).		
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	202	Volume 3	Appendix 12 Determination of Wave Energy		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
56	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents' Association	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Add the Sounds Soldier Memorial at Torea S	Saddle t	o Appendix 13.			
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	774	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the owners of all listed buildings in the owner's written agreement to its inclusion a Waihi Tapu sites and any sites of significant	and the	rules that the build	ding will be bound by.	that no building is inclu	uded without the
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	4	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support
Decision Requested	Retain MEP Notable Tree Reference 2 (Fore	shore re	eserve of Lochmar	a Bay West)		
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	45	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 13 to include reference to	any cur	rent or future iwi	management plans. <i>(Inferred)</i>		
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	85	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested regarding sites	to be ad	Ided to the Appen	dix is not detailed in the Submission.		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
516	Bissell, Adele Rattray, Patrick and	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>Protection and heritage primarily:</li> <li>the <i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i> on th</li> <li>2 other <i>Sequoiadendron</i> and</li> <li>1 <i>Cupressus altantic glauca</i>.</li> </ul>	ne corne	r of valley in pado	lock as priority, and	,	
	to be included in the MEP, with collusion an	d ongoir	ng discussion with	the Cemetery Trust (Jeff Hammond).		
517	Waihopai/Avon Residents Association	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That this notable length of historic plantatic night on the old Delta property.	on, which	has been part of	f the Valley for over 130 years is protected	. Others of same age h	ave been fallen at
531	Alastair MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Sounds Soldiers' Memorial is grant	ed Herit	age Resource stat	tus and is included in Appendix 13 of the M	larlborough Environmer	it Plan.
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	71	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council add a new schedule to Append Any sites of significance currently in Schedu Schedule 2, these include MEP Reference 1, That any buildings or structures of historic I That Council note that where iwi do not wa	ile 1 or 2 , 2, 3, 4, heritage	2 should be move 49, 50, and 131. value located on	d into the new schedule. For Schedule 1, t a site of significance are included in Sched	hese include MEP Refer	

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	72	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read:					
	Schedule 1: Category <b><u>1</u>A</b> Heritage Resource	ces				
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	73	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read:					
	Schedule 2: Category <b>H<u>B</u> and <del>Locally Sig</del></b>	<del>Inifican</del>	t-Heritage Resou	rces		
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	74	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
	That the following be added to Schedule 2 MEP Reference – 147 HNZ List No (if applicable) – 1534 Heritage Resource – Wairau Public Hospita Address – 2 Hospital Road, Witherlea, Bler Value applies to – Building envelope	l Nurses				
	Refer to Hard Copy Submission for informa	ation on t	he Wairau Publi	c Hospital Nurses Home (Former).		
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	75	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word `proposed' be added inside	parenthe	ses after the He	ritage New Zealand List Number for Herita	ge Resources MEP Re	eference 61.
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	76	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word `proposed' be added inside	parenthe	ses after the He	ritage New Zealand List Number for Herita	ge Resources MEP Re	eference 73.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	77	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside	parenthe	ses after the He	eritage New Zealand List Number.		
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	78	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside	parenthe	ses after the He	eritage New Zealand List Number.		
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	48	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the 90 year old plus grove MEP.	of 4 hist	oric Norfolk Pin	es in the Portage public carpark are design	ated as notable trees	s and marked as such in the
1043	Presbyterian Church Property Trustees - Wairau Presbyterian Parish	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Omaka Presbyterian Church (First C	Church) fi	rom Schedule 2	in the Appendix.		
1089	Rarangi District Residents Association	33	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support
Decision Requested	Add the eucalyptus tree at Blue Gum Corn	er (where	e Rarangi Road	turns into Rarangi Beach Road) to Schedu	le of Notable Trees.	
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	224	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Either modify the title of the section to ref significant cultural resources.	ect that	the register is a	list of significant buildings, structures and	trees; or, another lis	t identifying sites of
1186	Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	225	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
	The introduction should be amended to ider not contained within the register.	ntify that	the register is no	t complete and indicate that there are sign	ificant resources within	Marlborough that are
1299	Philip James Sim	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That Property Number 527547 Lot 1 DP 461	.5 is graı	nted Heritage Res	ource status and is included in Appendix 1	3 of the Marlborough E	nvironment Plan.
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	8	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
	That MDC be made to apply for resource co people owning land around these river cont				otection work because i	n our experience the
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	34	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
	Add the following Designated Land to the ta ID No <i>(Old ref 168)</i> Map No <i>159</i> Site Description - <i>287 Hammerichs Rd, B</i> Legal Description - <i>Lot 1 DP 2323</i> Designation - <i>Substation</i>	lenhein	n	Limited in Appendix 14 -		
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	36	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
	Amend the following rows in the table of De described in the Submission. Rows - E5, E6					pecific detail is
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	211	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
	Allow the provision in part and amend as fo In all sections, replace "Leq (number in dec limits stated in each section After the heading "Conditions" on page 14-: add a new clause as follows "In the conditions listed below noise must b Measurement of Environmental Sound, and In all sections delete "at a notional boundar any point within the notional dwelling of a c Note: The scope of relief sought is intended this section or elsewhere in the plan, or con	ibels) dB 16, and l e measu assessed y 20m fr lwelling o l to inclu	before "Rahotia M ured in accordance d in accordance w rom the façade of outside the sched de amendments t	icrowaveetc" e with NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics – vith NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environme any dwelling, or the site boundary, which uled area" o the like effect arising from consolidation	ntal Noise. ever is the closer to the , re-ordering or expansi	e dwelling:" with "at ion of like provisions in
463	Valerie Bridget Orman	1	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	The river bed should not be controlled with w prevent high flows negatively affecting nearly	vorks, bu oy prope	t a decision made rty.	that the banks and land adjacent be sympa	thetically adjusted (stop	banks/groins) to
466	Vivienne Faye Peters	1	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
	I wish to be advised on any changes to entr I wish that the remaining curbside be free c -School after all is 197 days in a year. Should be more classrooms be added. I would like the Ministry or Council to requir	of yellow	(no parking) mar	kings. That there be no limit to amount of		
474	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	12	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 14 pages 4 and 5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	203	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows"					
	New Zealand Railways Corporation KiwiRai	<del>' Holding</del>	<del>s Ltd</del>			
	ID No. Map No. K1 Numerous	Site Des Picton Te	•	Legal Description North <u>Line</u> <del>Railway</del> Railway Land	n Designa Railway Pu	
	Explanation: Railway Purposes : The operation, enhancement and maintena logistics. The rail corridor consists of the M New Zealand			k through the Marlborough District to supp	ort rail passenger servio	ces and freight
905	Lee Street Residents	1	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
	Flood hazard Level 3 is overstated in the re 1. We seek to have the hazard level review 2. To create a more appropriate and bette the residential properties. This would then	ved and r bounda	reduced to Level 1 ary between flood	way, recreation and residential properties t		ay stopbank to outside
967	Marlborough Roads	13	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 14: Schedule of Designate	d Land i	n respect of Marlb	orough District Council - Roads, and the N	ew Zealand Transport A	Agency designations.
974	Ministry of Education	22	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
	Amend – Remove Section 229 Omaka Distr submission).	ict from	the designated an	ea as shown on the Planning Map 14 unde	r Annexure 2 (of the M	nistry of Education
992	New Zealand Defence Force	96	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support

Sub No	Submitter	Poin	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
Decision Requested	Retain as notified, with the exception of a read 86°00 (the degree symbol amended			ection (a) of the Explanation A2, where the b	pearing reads 8600(	) where in fact it should
992	New Zealand Defence Force	97	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the designations as currently prov	ded for.				
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	94	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove designation B16 from schedule in	n Append	ix 14 of the MEF	P and from Planning Maps 58 and 186.		
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	268	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested		ng of the	Appendix, order	gency designations and description of `State ring and numbering the designations by requ		
Requested	Add a table of contents at the beginning	ng of the	Appendix, order	gency designations and description of `State ring and numbering the designations by requ		Support
Requested 1045 Decision	Add a table of contents at the beginnin Amend requiring authorities for P7, P	ng of the 8, P12 ar 6	Appendix, order nd P14 to New Z	gency designations and description of 'State ring and numbering the designations by require aland Transport Agency only. Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated		
Requested 1045 Decision Requested	Add a table of contents at the beginnin Amend requiring authorities for P7, P Pukematai Farm Limited	ng of the 8, P12 ar 6	Appendix, order nd P14 to New Z	gency designations and description of 'State ring and numbering the designations by require aland Transport Agency only. Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated		
Requested 1045 Decision Requested 1045 Decision	Add a table of contents at the beginnin Amend requiring authorities for P7, P Pukematai Farm Limited Retain Designations B32 and B41. (Infer	ng of the 8, P12 ar 6	Appendix, order nd P14 to New Z Volume 3	gency designations and description of 'State ring and numbering the designations by require aland Transport Agency only. Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated		Support
Requested <b>1045</b> Decision Requested <b>1045</b> Decision Requested	Add a table of contents at the beginnin Amend requiring authorities for P7, P Pukematai Farm Limited Retain Designations B32 and B41. <i>(Infer</i> Pukematai Farm Limited	ng of the 8, P12 ar 6	Appendix, order nd P14 to New Z Volume 3	gency designations and description of 'State ring and numbering the designations by require aland Transport Agency only. Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated		Support
Requested 1045 Decision Requested 1045 Decision Requested 1186 Decision	Add a table of contents at the beginnin Amend requiring authorities for P7, P Pukematai Farm Limited Retain Designations B32 and B41. <i>(Infer</i> Pukematai Farm Limited Retain Designation B29. Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui	ng of the 8, P12 ar 6 <i>red)</i> 7 35	Appendix, order nd P14 to New Z Volume 3 Volume 3	gency designations and description of `State ring and numbering the designations by require lealand Transport Agency only. Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Amend the Schedule of Designated Land in	ncluded	in Appendix 14 to	o refer to Transpower's requiring authority	name as follows:					
	"Transpower <u>New Zealand Limited</u> "									
1201	Trustpower Limited	165	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support				
Decision Requested	Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified.									
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	205	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.1	Support in Part				
	<ul> <li>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</li> <li>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</li> <li>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</li> </ul>									
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	206	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.2	Support in Part				
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	207	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.3	Support in Part				

Sub No	Submitter	Point V	olume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.										
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	208 Vo	olume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.4	Support in Part					
Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:. In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.										
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	209 Vo	olume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	3.2.5.1	Support in Part					
	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:. In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.										
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	210 Vo	olume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	3.2.5.1	Support in Part					

Sub No	Submitter	Point V	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре				
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:. In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section, In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq." Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.									
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	56 \	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.									
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	34 \	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy		Oppose				
Decision Requested	d Reassess the Reading hierarchy as set out in Appendix 17.									
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	269 \	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy		Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Replace Appendix 17 Roading Hierarcl	<b>hy</b> with th	ne hierarchy inclu	ided as <b>Annexure 2</b> to this submission.						
454	Kevin Francis Loe	126	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy	3.	Support in Part				
Decision Requested	Add " <b>Ward Beach Road</b> ' to the list of Sec	condary Ar	rterials.							
984	Neville James Hall	2 ۱	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy	3.	Oppose				
Decision Requested	d That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.									
149	PF Olsen Ltd	69 N	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support				
Decision Requested	use as listed or align with NES harvest plan	template								

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре	
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part	
Decision Requested	Add an additional matter to be addressed in	the Co	mmercial Forest	ry Harvest Plan as follows -			
	"Provide written approval from Marlbo	orough	Lines Limited	if there any harvesting proposed where	e distribution circ	uits are present."	
	(Inferred)						
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	76	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part	
	<ul> <li>In order to ensure certainty and clarity, any discretionary activity resource consent for the establishment of a commercial forestry shall encompass provision for transport of logs from the forest at harvest, including commensurate controls and conditions where required to protect community amenity values and roading network beyond the boundaries of the forest.</li> <li>In addition any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan shall include:</li> <li><i>The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.</i></li> </ul>						
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	86	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part	
Decision Requested	Add the following to the matters that must						
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	28	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Oppose	
Decision Requested	That a compulsory monitoring clause, at the	e applica	ants cost, should	be introduced <i>(inferred)</i> .			
504	Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association	93	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part	

Sub No	Submitter	Point	t Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре						
Decision Requested	Within the area of the Marlborough Sounds compulsory monitoring, by independent approved persons shalt at the applicants cost at a period of 1 and then 5 years after each harvesting stage be a condition of any consent granted. Major issues re sedimentation as per the CMA, local streams and the potential for future adverse effects need to be addressed. Forestry Harvest applications should be "notified" to all potentially affected parties via a resource consent application.											
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	174	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support						
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan.											
715	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird)	428	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	Amend to address submission											
845	Kenneth R and Sara M Roush	22	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	That the following is included in the matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan: <i>New point X. The method and routing to be used for transport of establishment equipment and transport of cut logs and materials.</i>											
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	34	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part						
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 22 to be clearly stated as a standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities and one that a Registered Forestry Consultant prepares. Amend Appendix 22 with more focus on the applicant identifying key erosion prone areas such as gully heads and a clear requirement as to how they will then be protected.											
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	271	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре					
Decision Requested	Add new matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan: 10. The route(s) that forestry trucks will travel on the public road network. 11. The expected number of daily truck movements to and from the forestry site over the duration of the harvesting operation. 12. A road surface monitoring and reinstatement plan that demonstrates how any damage to the surface of the road carriageway resulting from the harvesting operation will be identified and remediated to the satisfaction of the road controlling authority. 13. Evidence that the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan has been developed in consultation with the New Zealand Transport Agency and the Council.										
1042	Port Underwood Association	21	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Add additional point to Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan: 10. The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from the boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.										
1140	Sanford Limited	32	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Amend point 5 to include the Location map	of CMA	to include the pre	sence of marine farms downstream of the	planting.						
1198	Transpower New Zealand Limited	165	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part					
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 22 'Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan' to include the following: <u>"x. When harvesting in the vicinity of a National Grid transmission line, the methods to be used to ensure the operation of the National Grid is not</u> <u>compromised and compliance with NZECP 34:2001 is achieved at all times."</u>										
1201	Trustpower Limited	152	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support					
Decision Requested	Image: Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:         1. Retain Appendix 22 as notified in the PMEP.										

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре		
255	Warwick Lissaman	23	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Clarify the intent of the Appendix (inferred)	•						
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	611	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Delete Appendix.							
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	775	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Support in Part		
Decision Requested	That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan.							
445	Trelawne Farm Limited	14	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Support in Part		
Decision Requested	The following changes are sought - (a) amend the map to identify the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is a Permitted Activity instead of the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is not a Permitted Activity; (b) amend the heading for Appendix 24 as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Permitted Activity zone for remote on-site Seasonal Worker</i> <i>Accommodation Exclusion Area</i> "; (c) consequential amendment to the legend to reflect (a) and (b). ( <i>Inferred</i> )							
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	97	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose		
Decision Requested	Remove Appendix 24.			·		·		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	35	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove appendix 24 altogether.					
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	98	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 24 so that the worker Ac	commoda	ation Exclusion a	area does not apply to the Business and Ind	dustrial zones.	
255	Warwick Lissaman	22	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Oppose
Decision Requested	Include Chilean Needles grass in the apper	ndix.				
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	776	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan					
501	Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia	87	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested regarding pest	plants to	be added to th	e Appendix is not detailed in the Submissic	on.	
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	405	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul> <li>Retain the Appendix with amendments to i</li> <li>Hawthorn</li> <li>Briar rose</li> <li>Pampass</li> <li>Yellow flag iris</li> <li>Alders</li> <li>Poplars</li> <li>Wattles</li> <li>Wilding conifers</li> <li>Wilding kiwifruit</li> <li>Banana passionvine</li> </ul>	nclude th	ne following pla	nt pest species:		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Туре			
769	Horticulture New Zealand	137	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part			
	Add to Appendix 25: Pest plants will include plant that are unwanted organisms, or infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.								
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	44	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part			
Decision Requested									