

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type	Decision Requested
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	198	Volume 2	13	13.2.3	Support in Part	The standard implies that noise measurement could be taken at the noise source, rather than at the notional boundary of a property. The standard is marginally more restrictive than the current limits under the operative MSRMP, even allowing for the different measurement. (a) Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read: "For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits: Location Day-night Night-time (Long term) (Short term) At any point on land at, or beyond, 65 Ldn (5 days) 60 dB LAeq (9 hours) the Inner Noise Control Boundary. 68 Ldn (1day) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFmax"; (b) Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits: "For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits: Location Day-night Night-time (Long term) (Short term) At any point on land at, or beyond, 55 Ldn (5 days) 50 dB LAeq (9 hours) the Outer Noise Control Boundary. 58 Ldn (1day) 55 LAeq (15 min)
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5	5.2.8	Oppose	Delete Policy 5.2.8 in its entirety.
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	114	Volume 2	All		Oppose	EDS seeks that a specific chapter(s) be included in Volume 2 setting out the rules framework for each environmental overlay addressing all relevant activities in particular (but not limited to) - a. Construction and siting of buildings and structure b. Vegetation clearance c. Forestry & farming d. Cultivation and excavation e. Subdivision
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	115	Volume 2	2.11.4		Support in Part	EDS seeks that stock also be excluded from the active bed and riparian area of main-stem rivers and of other intermittent and ephemeral rivers where they are important habitat or breeding areas or important to the hydrological function of the water body.
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	116	Volume 2	2.11.5		Support in Part	EDS seeks that stock also be excluded from the active bed and riparian area of main-stem rivers and of other intermittent and ephemeral rivers where they are important habitat or breeding areas or important to the hydrological function of the water body.
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	117	Volume 1	15.M.6		Support in Part	EDS seeks that discharges from farm animals to land as a part of a farming operation are identified in and controlled by the PMEP as a Discretionary Activity.
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	118	Volume 4	Overlays	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part	The consents for the marine farms at site 8166 and 8165 have lapsed and MDC has declined consent on application on the basis that the environmental effects of the farms are unacceptable. Because of this change in context the natural character mapping should be revisited. The removal of the marine farms may result in a change in the natural character rating of Pig Bay and this needs to be assessed.
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	119	Volume 4	Overlays	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part	The consents for the marine farms at site 8166 and 8165 have lapsed and MDC has declined consent on application on the basis that the environmental effects of the farms are unacceptable. Because of this change in context the natural character mapping should be revisited. The removal of the marine farms may result in a change in the natural character rating of Pig Bay and this needs to be assessed.
925	Michelle Gail Harris	1	Volume 2	3	3.3.35.2	Oppose	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2: "If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge, excluding discharges under (b) or (c), must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August. " At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen. (Inferred)
925	Michelle Gail Harris	2	Volume 2	5	5.3.16.2	Oppose	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2: "If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge, excluding discharges under (b) or (c), must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August. " At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen. (Inferred)
925	Michelle Gail Harris	3	Volume 2	8	8.3.15.2	Oppose	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2: "If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge, excluding discharges under (b) or (c), must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August. " At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen. (Inferred)
925	Michelle Gail Harris	4	Volume 2	19	19.3.9.2	Oppose	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2: "If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge, excluding discharges under (b) or (c), must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August. " At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen. (Inferred)
925	Michelle Gail Harris	5	Volume 2	23	23.3.7.2	Oppose	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 23.3.7.2: "If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge, excluding discharges under (b) or (c), must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August. " At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen. (Inferred)
1004	Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited	67	Volume 2	12	12.2.2.4	Support in Part	Amend the rules relating to night time noise in Industrial 1 and 2 zones so that the level at any time at the notional boundary of a dwelling in any other adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 zones) is 50dBA LAeq and 70dBA LAFmax, and to ensure compliance is measured against dwellings that are existing at the time of the establishment of an activity. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: 12.2.2.4 An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones): At any time 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax