


Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Plan Change 69 – Blenheim Urban Growth Area Six

Further Submissions

December 2013



Further Submission Form

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to a submission on Proposed Plan Change 66 Urban Growth Area Three to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

ISO 9001:2008
Document Number:
EAF003-CI1255

Further submissions close Thursday, 28 November 2013

Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submitter details

Full Name: Gary John Barnett

Organisation (if applicable):

Contact Person (if applicable): Gary

Postal Address: 132 Kaituna/Tua Marina Rd,
R.O.3.
Blenheim. Post Code 7273

Email: barnettgj@silkweb.net.nz

Telephone Business: Home 035705586

Fax: Mobile

Address for Service:
(if different from above)
Post Code

Council hearing

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? Yes No

If others made a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. Yes No

Tick as appropriate

I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.

I have an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest that the general public has.

Signature of further submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter. Signature not required if you make your further submission by electronic means)

Date 28/11/2013

Please note that all further submissions are made available for public inspection

Return submission to Marlborough District Council by one of the following options:

Post to: Attention Planning Technician
Marlborough District Council
PO Box 443
Blenheim 7240

Deliver to: Marlborough District Council
15-21 Seymour Street
Blenheim

Fax: 520 7496
Email: urbangrowth@marlborough.govt.nz

Submit by email

My further submission relates to:

I Support Oppose the submission of:

Name of original submitter

Deluxe Properties limited

Address of original submitter

Number of original submission

6 (66, 68, 69) 7 (67, 65) 8 (64)

The particular parts of the submission I Support Oppose (tick preference) are:

(clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal i.e. [submitter number / reference number])

Developing fertile land to residential with good gardens

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my Support Opposition (tick preference) are:


Sub-divisions are a highly modified environment with soils stripped back and often sold, a base level of gravel inserted and then a mixture of sub soil and top soil relaid.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) (give precise details)

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary





MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

Further Submission Form

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to a submission on Proposed Plan Change 64 - Urban Growth Area One to the Wairau/Atawere Resource Management Plan

ISO 9001:2008 Document Number: EAF0003-C11255

Further submissions close Thursday, 28 November 2013

Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Further submitter details

Full Name: Gary John Barnett
Organisation:
Contact Person: Gary
Postal Address: 132 Kaituna/Tua Marina Road, R.D.3, Blenheim. Post Code: 7273
Email: barnettgj@silkwab.net.nz
Telephone Business: Home 035705586
Fax: Mobile
Address for Service:
(if different from above)
Post Code:

Council hearing

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? [X] Yes [] No
If others made a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. [X] Yes [] No

Tick as appropriate

I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest. [X]
I have an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest that the general public has. []

Signature of further submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter. Signature not required if you make your further submission by electronic means)

[Handwritten signature]

Date 28/11/2013

Please note that all further submissions are made available for public inspection

Return submission to Marlborough District Council by one of the following options:

Post to: Attention Planning Technician, Marlborough District Council, PO Box 443, Blenheim 7240
Deliver to: Marlborough District Council, 15-21 Seymour Street, Blenheim
Fax: 520 7496
Email: urbangrowth@marlborough.govt.nz
Submit by email

My further submission relates to:

I Support Oppose the submission of:

Name of original submitter

Jill Bunting.

Address of original submitter

Number of original submission

3 (4 on 65)

Relates also to pc 66 67 68 69

The particular parts of the submission I Support Oppose (tick preference) are:

(clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal i.e. [submitter number / reference number])

Current use of proposed rezoned land.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my Support Opposition (tick preference) are:

While I agree that plan 70 is mainly residential the other areas (64 to 69) have a wide mixture of land uses and the residents who live there accept the environment ^{which} acts as a buffer zone between urban and what is at present farmland or vineyard.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) (give precise details)

Residential is not the current predominant land use.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary



RECEIVED

28 NOV 2013

**MARLBOROUGH
DISTRICT COUNCIL**

27-11-13
Planning Technician
Marlborough District Council
Blenheim
Attn. Mr Mark Caldwell

Plan change 69

Dear Sir

Further to my submission dated 17-08-13

The two earthquakes that struck during July and August measuring 6.5 and 6.6 were a wake up call for what could happen when one of greater magnitude say 7.5 or even 8 on the Richter scale strikes this region. With an earthquake of that intensity Blenheim and areas to the north, west and east could be seriously effected by liquefaction and lateral spread. (The Paul & Lynell Ham Trust has subdivision property to the east of Redwood Street, land we purchased in 1977 already zoned Borough residential).

We have recently been notified that the MDC intends to carry out a significant upgrade of the town branch drain along with similar work to the north of the current Blenheim urban area, Casey's creek is one that I am aware of, perhaps Council could inform me of their intentions for the storm water drain that runs parallel to Old Renwick Road, is that to be upgraded to avoid lateral spreading as well? One might also ask what about all of the creeks that flow through the residential area of Springlands.

The MDC has established a restriction on further urban development approximately 400m to the east of Redwood Street.

One can accept land that has been committed to urban development for some years should proceed providing all possible methods known to the engineers of stabilising the ground are put in place. For the MDC to proceed with re-zoning more land with liquefaction and lateral spread potential coupled with zoning highly productive land for urban development seems to me rather short-sighted, to put it more bluntly ludicrous.

I understand the total MDC development levy for area's to the north and west of Blenheim is about \$50,000 per lot plus land cost plus all other development costs would make the section costs prohibitive. Surely common sense will prevail and land to the south west of Blenheim will be zoned for residential purposes in preference to land to the north and west.

I also question the Councils wisdom or otherwise in engaging a consultant from out of the district to tell Blenheim residents with local knowledge where is the best area for urban growth and at what cost.

Every person I have spoken to agree that urban growth should not be to the north and west (apart from those with a vested interest) but to the south west of Blenheim.

The World population is projected to increase to nine billion by 2050, about a 30% increase; those extra people will have to be fed, a very good reason to preserve our highly productive land for food production with a spin off benefit of creating more jobs. Blenheim's future employment is more likely to come from the primary than manufacturing industry.

Yours sincerely

Paul Ham

From: martin.granddesigns@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, 18 November 2013 8:40 a.m.
To: Plan Change Urban Growth
Subject: Cross Submission on Submissions made on Plan Change 69 – proposed rezoning of MDC Wairau/Awatere Plan

Cross Submission on Submissions made on Plan Change 69 – proposed rezoning of MDC Wairau/Awatere Plan

To: Planning Technician, Marlborough District Council, PO Box 443, Blenheim

From: Martin Ridgway and Lindsay Ridgway owners of 29 Rose Street, and as Directors of Grand Designs Ltd, owner of 25 Rose Street, Springlands, Blenheim – we, as owners of land subject to proposed rezoning, having a greater interest than the general public in these matters make this cross submission. We confirm that we would not gain advantage in trade competition in making this cross submission.

Date: 18 November 2013

1. We wish to confirm that we support Plan change 69.
2. Particular reference is made to submissions from Outer Limits Ltd, Foodstuffs Ltd, John Marris and the Kapiti Trust.
3. The introduction of urban residential 2 will help soften the impact and provide a smoother transition from the rural landscape on entry into Blenheim from the West which is currently ugly with a large yellow Pack and Save food warehouse and enormous green Bunnings shed.
4. The density of development permitted under urban residential 2 is appropriate for this growth area. If a lesser density is mandated this will result in a greater area of land being needed for re-zoning to achieve the residential growth needs for Blenheim.
5. The 'potential' reverse sensitivity referred to in the submissions is not substantiated and it is asserted that these potential reverse sensitivity effects 'would be less than minor', and consequently we do not support the proposals for buffer zones proposed in the submissions.
6. In particular we strongly support the rezoning of the portion of land contained in Plan Change 69 where our properties are located to the east of Westwood business park and to the west of Rose Street. The infrastructure already has capacity to accommodate urban residential 2 in the sub area. This land has been rendered non viable for continued rural 3 use due to being sandwiched between urban residential 2 properties to the east and the retail properties to the west.

Submitted by email.

Please acknowledge receipt of this submission by return email.

FURTHER SUBMISSION

TELEPHONE 0800 327 646 | WEBSITE WWW.FEDFARM.ORG.NZ



To: **Marlborough District Council**

From: **Marlborough Province Federated Farmers of New Zealand**

On: **Plan Changes 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, and 72 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan**

Date: 9 December 2013

Contact: Michael Bennett

Regional Policy Advisor

Federated Farmers of New Zealand
P.O. Box 1992
Christchurch 8140

Phone: (03) 3579452

Fax: (03) 3579451

Email: mbennett@fedfarm.org.nz

Further Submissions by Marlborough Province, Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Name of person or group making original submission	Submission ref	I support or oppose the relief sought in the original submission
----------------------------------------------------	----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Plan Change 64 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
Alastair Murdoch Campbell	4	Support
Alasdair Drew	9	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	18	Support
Alison Mackenzie	21	Support
Tony Orman	28	Support

Plan Change 65 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
J Bush and Sons Limited	3	Support
Alastair Murdoch Campbell	5	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	18	Support
Alison Mackenzie	21	Support
Tony Orman	28	Support

Plan Change 66 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support

Alastair Murdoch Campbell	4	Support
Alasdair Drew	7	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	14	Support
John Ernest Marris	19	Support
Kevin and Lynda Morgan	21	Support
Tony Orman	24	Support

Plan Change 67 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
J Bush and Sons Limited	4	Support
Alastair Murdoch Campbell	5	Support
Alasdair Drew	8	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	19	Support
Alison Mackenzie	22	Support
Tony Orman	29	Support
David Leslie Price	30	Support
Clyde and Helen Sowman	33	Support

Plan Change 68 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
Alastair Murdoch Campbell	4	Support
Alasdair Drew	7	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	17	Support
Alison Mackenzie	20	Support
Tony Orman	27	Support
Basil Roger Stanton	29	Support

Plan Change 69 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
Alastair Murdoch Campbell	4	Support
Alasdair Drew	7	Support
Foodstuff Properties Ltd	8	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	15	Support
Alison Mackenzie	18	Support
John Ernest Marris	20	Support
Tony Orman	25	Support
Outer Limits Ltd	26	Support
David Leslie Price	27	Support
Provincial Coolstores Ltd	28	Support

Plan Change 70 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Roger William Beardsworth	2	Support
John Ernest Marris	19	Support
David Leslie Price	23	Support

Plan Change 71 to the Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

Name	Number	Support/Oppose
Gary John Barnett	1	Support
Foodstuff Properties Ltd	4	Support
Kapiti Views Trust	11	Support
John Ernest Marris	15	Support