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## FROM THE MAYOR'S DESK


#### Abstract

It is with great pleasure that I present this Annual Plan for 2002/03. Some clear messages were taken at the 2001 elections, in particular the need for Council to focus on essential core services. This plan has been prepared with that focus clearly in mind, delivering a balance of infrastructure development and community responsiveness within a funding package that distributes costs as fairly and as reasonably as possible.


Council has confronted significant cost pressures in preparing this plan, including the effect of inflation on some of the underlying costs of Council services. An even greater impact has been caused by decisions taken by central government. The reduction in Transfund roading subsidies, for example, has effectively imposed a $\$ 53,000$ increase on Council, leaving us no recourse but to absorb this new cost. Despite these pressures, Council has resisted increasing user charges, and wherever possible has endeavoured to minimise the effect of these district-wide increases.

Other costs are driven by regional growth placing new demands on infrastructure - including roads, sewerage systems, and water supply networks. Spending on these assets cannot and should not be deferred - there are often public health issues at stake, quite apart from any other questions. This plan includes important capital works affecting Renwick, Picton, and Blenheim.

As our community grows, there are other changes that Council must also respond to - not just by ourselves, but as part of a community-wide response. Our growing elderly community, for example, has a range of needs that require a collective and co-ordinated approach. Council is one of the bodies concerned to ensure that all the people of Marlborough enjoy the opportunities that the region can offer for a high quality of life - not just the lucky few, but all sectors of the community.

Council spending should not overtake the ability of the region to bear the costs of development. Although some of the Council's revenue is derived from investments, the bulk of it comes from ratepayer's pockets. Every proposal in this plan has been reviewed with that knowledge in mind, with a view to preserving the viability of our productive economic base, and limiting the impact of rates increases on household budgets.


Tom Harrison
M ayor

It has become fashionable in some circles to talk of sustainability. Sustainable development is not just the province of environmentalists - it is also a fundamental principle of sound business management, and good housekeeping. It is a matter of living within our means common sense. Living day to day without thought for the future is shortsighted and ultimately limiting or even selfdestructive. This plan is a plan for sustainable growth. The proposals that it makes offer improvements to our quality of life here and now, but they are also investments in the future.

If we are to genuinely prepare and provide for the future, there are a number of specific challenges facing the region that cannot be ignored. Left without attention, they have the potential to become significant problems. They include the management of our natural resources especially our marine environment - as well as how we manage our rate of economic development - the impact of forestry extraction on our roading, for example. This plan includes preparations for these and other emerging issues.

Marlborough is not alone in facing these challenges, which are shared by other parts of New Zealand, and indeed in communities like our own all around the world. Our principal resource in facing these challenges is our people. The people of Marlborough are its greatest strength, and working together I have no doubt that we will respond positively to both the opportunities and the challenges that we face, and that our future will be a happy and prosperous one.

## CHIE EXECUTIVE'S INTRODUCTION

This year's annual plan continues the development path established in previous years, of prudent financial management of the Council's assets balanced with a carefully planned programme for replacement and extensions of the district's critical infrastructures of sewerage, water reticulation, stormwater, and roading. Within the limited resource base that is available from year to year Council endeavours to ensure that the level of provision of core services is responsive to community preferences, and that the cost of providing critical infrastructure and core services is shared fairly and equitably across the district. This is a consistent principle of Council's planning.

This year is an especially significant one for local government in New Zealand, as the Government is currently considering legislation that will replace the present Local Government Act. In many respects this new legislation represents the most significant change to the way in which local government operates since the nineteenth century. It is part of a bundle of legislative reforms to local government that will affect how council activities are determined, how they can be funded, and even the way in which councils are elected.

The new Local Government Act is expected to be enacted later this year, and will place renewed emphasis on councils' role in enabling local decision-making. The Bill that is before Parliament is an enabling one, giving councils more freedom to respond to the specific needs and preferences of their communities. This freedom comes with new requirements for transparency and accountability - the Bill emphasises the very close relationship that councils must have with their communities, and the accountabilities that must apply to the way that decisions are made.

Although the Bill has yet to become law, Council has already begun preparing for this new environment. Irrespective of any changes brought in by the new Act, future plans will continue to embody core principles of stewardship, prudence, and responsiveness. Council's management and staff are committed to delivering excellence of service, and we look forward to implementing the Annual Plan for 2002/03.


Andrew Besley
Chief Executive
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## COUNCIL COM MITTEES AND MEMBERS

The Marlborough District Council has four standing committees.

## The present committees and their membership are as follows:

## FINANCE \& ADMINISTRATION

This committee is responsible for annual and long term financial plans, strategic planning, funding policy, economic development, reviews, financial performance, financial services including rate collection and treasury management, general administration, property management and development, council subsidiaries, community development, social development, youth, elderly and access issues, art and culture, grants and donations, corporate issues, sister cities, democratic process, liquor licensing and information systems.

Chairperson - Clr David Dew<br>Deputy Chairperson - Clr Alistair Sowman<br>Clr Jenny Andrews<br>Clr Graeme Barsanti<br>Clr Cliff Bowers<br>Clr Francis T Connor<br>Clr Larry Pigou<br>Mr Barry Mason (Iwi representative)<br>Mayor Tom Harrison (ex officio)

## ASSETS \& SERVICES

This committee has responsibility for water supplies, sewerage, stormwater, rivers and drainage, waste management, recycling, reserves, halls, museums, cemeteries, public conveniences, animal control, libraries, civil defence, roading, parking and road safety.

Chairperson - Clr Larry Pigou<br>Deputy Chairperson - Clr Graeme Barsanti<br>Clr Jenny Andrews<br>Clr Cliff Bowers<br>Clr Francis T Connor<br>Clr David Dew<br>Clr Alistair Sowman<br>Mr Richard Hunter (Iwi representative)<br>Mayor Tom Harrison (ex officio)

## ENVIRONMENT

This committee is responsible for Biosecurity, building control, dangerous goods, fencing of swimming pools, food and health monitoring, harbour management, hazardous substances, historic places, resource management, consent processing, monitoring of consents, compliance control, environmental policy, regional planning and rural fire.

Chairperson - Clr Ian Mitchell
Deputy Chairperson - Clr Francis Maher
Clr Andrew Barker
Clr Cheryl Cairns
Clr John Craighead
Clr Liz Davidson
Mrs Yvonne Burns (Iwi representative)
Mr Chris Bowron (Rural services representative)

## HEARINGS

The hearings committee makes decisions on applications for resource consents. Provision has been made for two hearings committees of three members each to be meeting simultaneously. The makeup of each committee may change from time to time.

Chairperson - Clr Francis Maher<br>Deputy Chairperson - Clr Cheryl Cairns<br>Clr Andrew Barker<br>Chairperson - Clr Ian Mitchell<br>Deputy Chairperson - Clr Liz Davidson<br>Clr John Craighead

## APPOINTMENT OF COUNCILLORS AND STAFF TO SUBSIDIARIES

MDC Holdings Limited

Mayor Tom Harrison (Director)
Clr David Dew (Director)
Andrew Besley (Director)
Port Marlborough NZ Limited and Marlborough
Airport Limited
Clr David Dew (Director)
Marlborough Regional Forestry
(Joint Committee of Marlborough District Council and Kaikoura District Council)

Clr Andrew Barker (Chairperson)
Mayor Tom Harrison

## COUNCIL STAFF STRUCTURE



## AREA FOCUS

## BENCHMARK PROPERTIES

The following section has been prepared to provide an outline of how the rating income received by Council from the different geographic areas is utilized, and to identify significant activities or capital works affecting rate movements. This section provides a comparison between rating levels proposed for 2002/03 with rates in the previous year, and with anticipated rates for 2003/04. A table showing the indicative rating impact on benchmark properties for each year to 2011/12 is included in the Rating Charges section of this draft plan at page 66.

Any key initiatives referred to should be read in conjunction with the relevant sections of the plan, in particular the Significant Activity statements and the notes to the accounts.

## Note:

In order to ensure that the graphical representation is maintained at a level of financial significance groupings of Community Services and Other services have been made. In cases where an individual function in this list is of specific significance to a geographic area it has been shown separately in that area.

## Community Services includes:

## Libraries

Swimming Pools
Community \& Recreation Grants
Halls, Museums \& Memorials

## Other Services includes:

Emergency Management
Economic Development
Pest Control
Waste Management
Public Conveniences
Cemeteries

## BLENHEIM

As in previous years, the key initiatives in Blenheim are increased service capacity and improvements in utility services to comply with increasing environmental standards, as well as the continued development of the commercial centre of town.

Significant Capital Works (2002/2003)

## Sewerage

- As part of the overall upgrade of Blenheim's Sewerage system $\$ 1.322$ million for the 2002/03 financial year is to be spent on new sewer pipeline with a further $\$ 1.718$ million proposed for the 2003/2004 financial year.
- Main operating pump station $\$ 631,000$, a further $\$ 387,000$ is proposed for the 2003/2004 financial year to complete the upgrade.


## Water

- Reticulation
\$257,000
- Treatment study to achieve compliance with Drinking Water Standards

57,000
$\$ 1.043$ million provided in 2003/04 for treatment to comply with compulsory Drinking Water Standards.

- Lateral Renewals
\$200,000
- Public Conveniences Railway Station and Queen Street
\$175,000
Blenheim rates will be affected by completion of the ten year programme of River control works in 2003/04. The Wairau River rate declines \$430,000 (15\%).

The review of the stormwater system to provide options associated with achieving higher standards and the financial impact is yet to be reviewed by Council.

## BLENHEIM RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY - (Land value \$55,500 Capital value \$146,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)



## BLENHEIM COMMERCIAL PROPERTY - (Land value \$51,000 Capital value \$208,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)



- 2001/02

■ 2002/03
$\square$ 2003/04

## BLENHEM VICINITY

Rates in this area are affected by the completion of the ten year programme of River control works in 2003/04. The Wairau river rate declines \$430,000 (15\%).

## BLENHEIM VICINITY PROPERTY - (Land value \$920,000 Capital value \$1,960,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)



## PICTON

Two significant capital projects are scheduled for Picton.

## Significant Capital Works (2002/2003)

- Water Treatment Plant Essons Valley upgrade $\quad \$ 1.007$ million
- Car Parking Development

Parking developed over the years 2000/2001 to 2003/2004, completed with funding provided from Port Marlborough reserves $(\$ 200,000)$ and development levies $(\$ 50,000)$. The balance of funding required $(\$ 340,000)$ has been provided by way of a uniform charge across all of Picton.

PICTON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY - (Land value $\$ 42,500$ Capital value $\$ 137,000$ )
(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)



[^0](Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)
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Note: This property pays the Picton Sewerage Treatment Loan Rate

## PICTON VICINITY PROPERTY - (Land value \$50,000 Capital value \$194,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


## RENWICK

The Renwick Sewerage Scheme is planned to be commenced during the 2002/2003 financial year. The total cost of the scheme is yet to be finalised but provision of $\$ 4$ million has been provided for in this plan. The works carried out during the 2002/2003 financial year are to be funded by income from Marlborough Regional Forestry with rating to commence from the 2003/2004 financial year.

Renwick rates will also be affected by completion of the ten year programme of River Control works in 2003/04. The Wairau River rate declines \$430,000 (15\%).

The planned introduction of compulsory Drinking Water Standards will have a significant impact on Renwick residents, as in order to comply it is likely that a reservoir would be required along with treatment of the water supply. The capital cost of these works has been estimated at $\$ 900,000$ as signalled in the 2005/2006 financial year.

RENWICK RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY - (Land value \$42,000 Capital value \$148,000)
(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)



## HAVELOCK

Allowance has been made in 2004/05 for water supply treatment of unsecure catchments $(\$ 155,000)$. Significant design and consultation will occur before any commitments are made. Treatment would resolve water quality and availability issues currently experienced by the township.

Havelock's consent for sewerage comes up for renewal in 2006/ 07 and provision of \$105,000 has been made for meeting new conditions of consent.

## HAVELOCK RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY - (Land value \$39,500 Capital value \$169,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{U} \\ & \pm \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { へ্} \\ & \text { © } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { Cow } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\eta} \\ & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { y } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | O O O W W |
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## AWATERE

The cubic metre rate for water will remain unchanged from the current 45 cents $\mathrm{m}^{3 .}$ However the planned introduction of compulsory Drinking Water Standards, if introduced, will significantly affect the water supply metered charge rate. An allowance has been made in the 2005/2006 financial year for the treatment of the water.

The Bovine Tb control works programme has increased by $\$ 1.78$ million to a total programme of $\$ 3.15$ million. The Animal Health Board is funding the majority of this increased programme with Council's contribution amounting to approximately $\$ 75,000$. An additional increase in rates is expected in the 2003/04 financial year as a result of a further increase in the overall programme.

## SEDDON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY (Land value \$10,000 Capital value \$93,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


## AWATERE RURAL PROPERTY (Land value \$360,000 Capital value \$520,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


## GENERAL RURAL

The Bovine Tb control works programme has increased by $\$ 1.78$ million to a total programme of $\$ 3.15$ million. The Animal Health Board is funding the majority of this increased programme with Council's contribution amounting to approximately $\$ 75,000$. An additional increase in rates is expected in the 2003/04 financial year as a result of a further increase in the overall programme.

GENERAL RURAL PROPERTY - (Land value \$637,000 Capital value \$882,000)
(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


## ADMINISTRATIVE RURAL

The Bovine Tb control works programme has increased by $\$ 1.78$ million to a total programme of $\$ 3.15$ million. The Animal Health Board is funding the majority of this increased programme with Council's contribution amounting to approximately $\$ 75,000$. An additional increase in rates is expected in the 2003/04 financial year as a result of a further increase in the overall programme.

## ADM INISTRATIVE AREA PROPERTY (Land value \$66,000 Capital value \$151,000)

(Actual rates for 2001/02 and estimated rates for 2002/03 and 2003/04)


## District Wide

Several activities affect district-wide rating. Council is extending its emergency management capability following a review of the Wither Hills fire, and in order to comply with anticipated Civil Defence legislation. An extended programme of environmental policy work is being planned for, as are new recycling initiatives. Rating for land transport is affected by a reduction in Transfund subsidies.

Emergency Management $\$ 144,485$
In order to comply with the requirements of the proposed Civil Defence Emergency Management Act and to increase the planning and readiness for rural fire and other disasters. An upgrade of Civil Defence headquarters is planned, funded from Council reserves.

Environmental Policy $\$ 150,000$
The district faces a number of critical environmental policy issues, especially in the area of marine ecology. An extended programme of environmental policy work is being planned, to strengthen the information base supporting decision making on resource consents and compliance, and to provide greater certainty for resource users.

Waste Management \$40,000
To fund recycling initiatives within the region.
Land Transport \$56,574
Council receives financial assistance from Transfund for its roading programme. The current financial assistance rate is currently $50 \%$. Transfund calculates the financial assistance rate with the programme size along with land value for each region being the main variables. Transfund have advised that their formulae indicate a subsidy rate of $47 \%$ should be applied to Marlborough. The main contributor to this decline in subsidy rate is due to the increase in land value experienced across our region relative to other areas in New Zealand. Transfund have set the 2002/03 financial assistance rate at $49 \%$, the rating impact is $\$ 53,000$. Further reductions in the financial assistance rate of $1 \%$ are expected for the following two years.

## Forthcoming issues

Over the coming year, Council will be considering a number of other issues that have implications for the District as a whole, but which may have particular significance for certain communities. These include:

- Development and management of the Wither Hills
- New Ministry of Health requirements for water supply quality
- Parking charges in the Blenheim CBD area
- Forestry roading

Consultation is planned for the near future - please contact Council offices for information on any of these topics.

## COUNCIL GOALS

## The Marlborough District Council has nine key goals:

## 1. Customer Service

To provide quality customer service by identifying and delivering appropriate services efficiently, courteously and in the most cost effective manner which meets the needs of the community.

## 2. Community

To provide (within available resources) recreational, cultural and social services to meet the needs of the various communities within the District.

## 3. Sustainability

To manage the natural resources of the District in a sustainable manner to achieve benefits for present and future generations.

## 4. Growth

To ensure that planning for the District is undertaken in a manner that encourages social and economic growth, giving full recognition to the natural environment.

## 5. Services

To provide services that meet the needs and provide for the well being of the various communities within the District.

## 6. Communication

To use an open and consultative approach to decision making, and inform the public on matters relating to Council activities and policies.

## 7. Employment

To be a good employer and in particular promote equal employment opportunities and develop a work environment that responsibly provides for change.

## 8. Assets and Property

To manage and maintain Council assets (including land and buildings owned by the Marlborough District Council) in the most cost effective and efficient manner while taking account of community needs.

## 9. Administration

To develop administrative services which serve the Marlborough District Council's requirements in an efficient and effective manner. To administer the regulatory obligations of the Marlborough District Council in a manner which is fair, responsible and courteous to the public.
(In the 2001/02 Annual Plan Council had identified 10 key goals. The separate goals for Assets and for Property have now been merged into a single goal).

The relationship between these goals and the Council's mission, and with the services and amenities that are provided, is presented in the following table:

## OUR MISSION

## "Enabling social and economic development in balance with environmental and community needs"

Present and future generations of Marlburians will enjoy social, cultural and economic well being in a quality natural, physical and social environment

|  | is achieved by our goals for: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Community | Sustainability |

- The recreational, cultural and social needs of Marlborough's local communities will be provided for.
- Economic development will improve the quality of life without compromising the environment.
- The achievements of past generations will be respected and the entitlements of future generations protected.


## and through the results of the Services we provide:

- Marlborough residents, and visitors to Marlborough, have access to services and facilities that foster community health and well-being.
- Marlborough residents' and ratepayers' interests are represented in a fair and equitable manner.
- The District's communities are able to participate in the decision-making processes that affect them.
- Marlborough's solid waste is managed in a manner which is environmentally and economically acceptable.
- The personal wealth of Marlborough residents and ratepayers is increased.
- The region is well prepared for emergency events, and any harm or loss from emergency events is minimised.
- There is effective dog and stock control in the District.
- The movement of people and goods on roads within the District is convenient and safe.
- All property holdings provide the maximum benefit to the community by either achieving a commercial return on investment or appropriate levels of utilisation.
- Council-provided water reticulation systems are reliable and sustainable, and meet agreed community health standards.
- The economic and ecological threats of animal and plant pests in the District are minimised.
- The spread of Bovine Tuberculosis is contained and the number of infected herds reduced.
- Policy statements and resource management plans promote the sustainable management of Marlborough's natural and physical resources.
- There is extensive and reliable information available on the state of the District's environment.
- Local communities are active participants in sustainable management of the District's resources.
- There is safe use of the region's natural resources (including Marlborough's coastal waters).
- Total compliance costs (including the costs to applicants, appellants and to Council) of administering the statutory processes associated with resource consents, building consents and any regulatory responsibilities are minimised.
- Residents and visitors are protected from public health risks.
- There is a level of protection against flood damage to houses and property commensurate with the economic risk that is faced by the community.
- The productive capacity of the land is improved.
- There is a level of protection of property and public health from stormwater flooding (in designated areas), commensurate with the economic risk that is faced by the community.
- Council-provided sewage collection, treatment and disposal services provide protection against public health risks without endangering the environment.

These services will be delivered according to the values expressed by our internal goals:
Customer Service • Administration • Communication • Assets and Property • Employment

- Customers will receive excellent service.
- The administration of Council's business will be efficient and effective.
- Communication will be clear and effective.
- The management of Council's property and other assets will be efficient and effective.
- Council will be a good employer.


## MEASURING PERFORMANCE

Our success in balancing social and economic development with the needs of our communities and with the environment will best be judged by future generations. The goals that Council has set are long term ones, and achieving them will require the resources of the entire community. Sustainability, Growth, and Community development are goals that we all contribute to.

The Council will be developing indicators that can show progress towards these high level goals. Some of the indicators of environmental and economic health are already in place. Identifying appropriate indicators for the Community goal will take more time, and will involve the people of Marlborough considering what best defines a strong and healthy community.

Performance indicators for the services that the Council provides are listed in the following section, which details the significant activities undertaken by Council. Progress here should mean we are also making progress towards our mission.

Performance against our internal goals is incorporated into the Chief Executive's performance agreement with Council and through the organisation's performance management system for all staff.

## SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES

Presentation of this section has been changed from that of the 2001/02 Annual Plan. Goals associated with each activity have been replaced by outcomes that the activity is expected to contribute towards - in other words, a description of the impact or consequence that the activity has for the community. Each significant activity is expected to contribute to the Council's mission and its three main goals (Community, Sustainability, and Growth) by way of one or more outcomes. In aggregate, these outcomes combine to achieve the bigger impacts that Council is working towards - enabling social and economic development in balance with environmental and community needs.

As an example, the activities described in the section on Animal and Plant Pests have the outcome of minimising the economic and ecological threats of regional animal and plant pests in the District. In turn, this combines with the outcomes of other activities to assist growth and sustainability.

A focus on outcomes - keeping the purpose of the activity uppermost - helps to ensure resources are allocated in order to achieve their greatest possible benefit.

As part of this change, the number of objectives and performance indicators has been reduced from 2001/02, and some have been changed. This does not correspond to a reduction in service, or mean less scrutiny of the Council's activities. New objectives and indicators provide a greater focus on the outcome the activity is trying to bring about, with less emphasis on the process. For example, in 2001/02 one of the performance targets was to maintain a log of reported footpath faults. In this year's plan, the focus is on fixing the faults.

## SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES

## Community, Recreation, Culture and Heritage

## Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- Marlborough residents, and visitors to Marlborough, have access to services and facilities that foster community health and well-being.


## Description

Marlborough District Council considers social and community development to be an important part of the well-being of Marlborough's community and is an appropriate organisation in partnership with others - to play an active role in the development of the community. Council assists this development by:

## Providing and maintaining:

- Library facilities
- Parks, reserves, walkways and sportsgrounds
- Community halls and pavilions
- Memorials
- Cemeteries
- Public conveniences.

Providing financial assistance for the provision of:

- Sports and Events Centre
- Swimming Facilities
- Recreation and leisure programmes
- Art facilities
- Historical facilities
- Social and community services.


## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

## Performance Indicators

To provide well maintained gardens, reserves and walkways for public recreational use.

- Maintain reserves to the standard required in the Reserves Asset Management Plan.
- $100 \%$ compliance of playground equipment with NZS 5828.
- Respond to all customer enquiries within three working days.
- Report on future management and development of the Wither Hills.

To provide access to recreation opportunities for all communities in Marlborough.

Facilities are maintained to agreed services and standards.

- Facilities are allocated to meet the needs of Marlborough Sports Codes.
- Hillary Commission Community Sports Fund is administered in accordance with partnership agreement.

To provide support for arts, museums and historical facilities.

Administer the Creative Communities New Zealand Funding Scheme in line with partnership agreement.

- Provide assistance to museums and archives on a case by case basis as provided in existing budgets.
- Maintain to an appropriate standard all memorial monuments, clocks and fountains.
- Develop and implement a Heritage Policy.
- Implement the recommendations of the Arts and Culture Policy Review.
- Increase usage and membership of library services.
- Maintain average age of library resources.
- Maintain the average cost per issue.
- Establish processes for the establishment of a long term community plan.
- Provide grants and donations for social and community development groups from within existing budgets.
- Provide assistance as required to community groups to access funds from other sources.
- Continue to support the Marlborough Safer Community Group in line with sponsorship agreement.
- Provide liaison and develop partnerships between community organisations.
- Provide assistance for regular networking and forums for youth workers and youth issues.
- Review of Cemetery operations completed by December 2002.

To provide cost effective cemetery services to meet the needs of the Marlborough community.

To provide attractive, hygienic public conveniences at locations designed to cater for public needs.

- Inspect all public conveniences monthly to ensure compliance with contract specifications.
- Investigate complaints relating to operations and facilities within 12 hours.
- Remedy minor faults within public conveniences within 24 hours of notification.


## Community, Recreation, Culture and Heritage <br> Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | 2002/03 <br> ( $\$ 000$ 's) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2003/04 } \\ (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{gathered}$ | 2004/05 (\$000’s) | 2005/06 <br> (\$000's) | 2006/07 <br> (\$000's) | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 / 08 \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 / 09 \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | 2009/10 <br> (\$000's) | 2010/11 <br> (\$000's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming Pools | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 |
| Reserves | 1,864 | 1,870 | 1,876 | 1,889 | 1,878 | 1,882 | 1,885 | 1,887 | 1,867 | 1,865 |
| Halls Museums |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Memorials | 182 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 185 | 183 | 187 | 176 |
| Libraries | 1,088 | 1,089 | 1,089 | 1,068 | 1,067 | 1,067 | 1,068 | 1,072 | 1,068 | 1,077 |
| Cemeteries | 274 | 274 | 274 | 277 | 270 | 275 | 275 | 275 | 276 | 277 |
| Public Conveniences | 332 | 342 | 344 | 350 | 351 | 351 | 352 | 352 | 355 | 360 |
| Community Development | 979 | 1,038 | 918 | 926 | 925 | 925 | 926 | 926 | 894 | 885 |
| Special Work Schemes | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Total Operating Costs | 5,139 | 5,216 | 5,105 | 5,114 | 5,095 | 5,104 | 5,112 | 5,116 | 5,068 | 5,061 |

FUNDED BY

| Rates | 4,189 | 4,150 | 4,160 | 4,156 | 4,142 | 4,143 | 4,149 | 4,158 | 4,110 | 4,097 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subsidies | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| User Charges | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 | 131 |
| Other Revenue | 342 | 344 | 347 | 351 | 354 | 358 | 362 | 367 | 371 | 376 |
| Total Revenue | $\mathbf{4 , 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 0 9}$ |
| General Revenues Applied | 472 | 586 | 462 | 471 | 463 | 467 | 465 | 455 | 451 | 452 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 , 1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 6 1}$ |

## CAPITAL WORKS

| Swimming Pools | 4 | - | 5 | - | 6 | - | - | 7 | 8 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reserves | 263 | 273 | 223 | 239 | 226 | 200 | 178 | 158 | 203 | 95 |
| Halls Museums |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Memorials | 52 | 35 | 6 | 8 | - | 20 | 2 | - | 5 | 4 |
| Libraries | 183 | 215 | 230 | 245 | 255 | 279 | 306 | 212 | 230 | 257 |
| Cemeteries | 85 | 35 | - | 37 | 25 | 65 | - | - | - | - |
| Public Conveniences | 250 | 80 | 16 | 73 | 5 | 35 | - | 4 | 30 | 65 |
| Total Capital Works | 837 | 638 | 480 | 602 | 517 | 599 | 486 | 381 | 476 | 421 |
| Debt Repayment | 40 | 42 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
|  | 877 | 680 | 523 | 648 | 565 | 649 | 536 | 431 | 527 | 473 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings | 250 | 80 | 16 | 110 | 25 | 65 | - | - | 30 | 65 |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation Reserve | 258 | 290 | 309 | 328 | 345 | 403 | 400 | 309 | 326 | 356 |
| Other Reserves | 369 | 310 | 198 | 210 | 195 | 181 | 136 | 122 | 171 | 52 |
|  | 877 | 680 | 523 | 648 | 565 | 649 | 536 | 431 | 527 | 473 |

Note - Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest

## Economic Development

## Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- The personal wealth of Marlborough residents and ratepayers is increased.


## Description

Council's actions significantly affect the development of the regional economy. As a provider of infrastructure, a regulator and a democratic organisation for the people, Council is able to be a facilitator and encourager of the development of the region. Council has determined that its role in economic development is:

- To ensure that Council's infrastructure is able to cope with present and future business and industry needs.
- To ensure that Council services are provided in the most efficient and cost effective manner.
- To ensure that the compliance costs associated with the Council's regulatory responsibilities are balanced with community benefits.
- To ensure that both information providers and seekers are able to easily obtain information on the District.
- To promote Marlborough.

Council provides funding to the following organisations who assist in carrying out its roles:

- Destination Marlborough \$300,000
- Marlborough Economic Development Trust \$50,000
- Marlborough Research Centre \$120,000

Long-term indicators of economic development within the region that information is collected on include:

- Increases in the value of land
- Increased capitalisation of land
- Levels of unemployment
- Levels of income generated by individuals and industries.


## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

| To increase the productivity of agricultural <br> land in Marlborough. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| To encourage education and research <br> opportunities in Marlborough. |  |
| To encourage business development in <br> Marlborough. |  |
| To promote tourism in Marlborough by <br> supporting and monitoring Destination <br> Marlborough. |  |

## Performance Indicators

## Economic Development Operating Costs and Funding Forecasts

Financial Year
OPERATING COSTS
Destination Marlborough
Marlborough Research
Centre
Other
Total Operating Costs

## FUNDED BY

Rates
Transfers from Reserves
General Revenues Applied
TOTAL REVENUE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | 2004/05 (\$000's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | 2011/12 <br> (\$000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| 189 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| 610 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 |
| 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 | 374 |
| 170 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 610 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 |

## Land Transport

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- The movement of people and goods on roads within the District is convenient and safe.


## Description

As owner of the local roading network Council has a legal requirement to provide services to certain standards. Other services and facilities are provided in order to ensure safety and to enhance the economy by encouraging and facilitating development.
Council is responsible for all roads in Marlborough except the State Highways, which are the responsibility of Transit New Zealand. In addition Council is responsible for all roading related assets - footpaths, berms, street trees and plots, kerb and channelling, undergrounding (in conjunction with Marlborough Lines), street lighting and carparks. Council also participates in road safety programmes for Marlborough roads.

## Objectives for 2002/2003 Performance Indicators

| To provide a cost effective, safe and efficient roading network. | Maintain average road roughness standards for sealed roads of: <br> (a) 120 for urban roads, and <br> (b) 85 for rural roads. <br> Note: A newly sealed road has an average roughness value of 50-70. A very rough gravel road will have a roughness value higher than 300 . <br> Not more than eight motor vehicle crashes involving injury on District roads recorded on the Land Transport Safety Authority database with a reported contributing factor of "Road Conditions". <br> Maintain roading network in accordance with the Asset Management Plan. <br> Maintain residents' level of satisfaction with roading network to: <br> $80 \%$ for sealed roads. <br> $50 \%$ for unsealed roads. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adequately manage the impacts of increased forestry traffic. | - Negotiated measures with forest industry to mitigate the effects of significant road damage. |
| Maintain all sealed footpaths free from potholes and concrete footpaths free from unevenness. | - Minor faults on footpaths repaired within 20 working days of detection. Unevenness greater than 8 mm repaired within 5 days. |
| Create an environment in the Central Business District conducive for retail shopping. | - Complete Blenheim CBD upgrade at the eastern end of High Street. |
| To provide well maintained street trees and plots. | - Maintain street trees and plots to contract standards and monitor through three monthly audits. <br> - Plant no fewer than 100 new or replacement street trees each year. |
| To ensure that parking is reasonably available for the use of shoppers and visitors. | - Maintain estimated occupancy rates (on and off-street) at no more that $85 \%$. <br> Increased compliance with parking limits. |

## Land Transport and Other Services <br> Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts



NG
COSTS
Roads, Streets
and Bridges
Subdivision Works Parking
Total Operating Costs

| 8,582 | 8,614 | 8,608 | 8,606 | 8,555 | 8,548 | 8,551 | 8,561 | 8,557 | 8,560 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 514 | 525 | 503 | 502 | 498 | 500 | 503 | 505 | 508 | 509 |
| $\mathbf{9 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 3}$ |

Operating Surplus
Transferred to Reserves

| 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 123 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{9 , 1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 6}$ |

## FUNDED BY

Rates
Subsidies
User Charges
Other Revenue
Total Revenue

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5,425 | 5,311 | 5,270 | 5,264 | 5,130 | 5,118 | 5,141 | 5,341 | 5,321 | 5,505 |
| 3,154 | 3,061 | 3,001 | 2,911 | 2,979 | 2,973 | 2,927 | 3,018 | 3,039 | 3,035 |
| 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 |
| 213 | 170 | 223 | 213 | 197 | 247 | 233 | 253 | 306 | 295 |
| $\mathbf{9 , 1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 240 | 260 | 363 | 390 | 353 | 396 | 97 | 42 | - |
| $\mathbf{9 , 1 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 6}$ |

## CAPITAL WORKS

Roads, Streets and Bridges
Subdivision Works Parking
Total Capital Works
Debt Repayment

| 5,046 | 3,854 | 3,745 | 3,537 | 3,655 | 3,607 | 3,618 | 3,839 | 3,988 | 3,960 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 |
| 125 | 125 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| $\mathbf{5 , 2 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 9 8}$ |
| 711 | 314 | 314 | 1,018 | 219 | 219 | 219 | 219 | 219 | 300 |
| $\mathbf{5 , 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 6 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 3 9 8}$ |

## FUNDED BY

| Borrowings | 1,100 | 335 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sinking Fund Withdrawals | 346 | - | - | 704 | - | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation Reserve | 606 | 606 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 605 | 603 | 605 |
| DISP Reserve | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 | 3,006 |
| Other Reserves | 936 | 459 | 586 | 379 | 402 | 353 | (282) | 362 | 543 | 707 |
|  | 5,994 | 4,406 | 4,197 | 4,694 | 4,013 | 3,964 | 3,329 | 3,973 | 4,152 | 4,399 |

DISP (Decline in Service Potential) is explained in the glossary.
Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest.

## Rivers and Land Drainage

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- There is a level of protection against flood damage to houses and property commensurate with the economic risk that is faced by the community.

Note: The Wairau River is a potential destroyer of resources and is the greatest threat to the Wairau plains. Council is committed to protect Blenheim and the main Wairau floodplain (downstream of the Waihopai) to a high standard and ratepayers in this area are charged a rivers works rate accordingly. Picton and Waikawa are also protected to a high standard. Outside of these areas, and given the level of risk and the cost of reducing that risk, Council has assumed limited responsibility for protection work to lessen the impact of flooding and erosion.

- The productive capacity of the land is improved.


## STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORM ANCE

## CONSOLIDATED

STATEM ENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FORECASTS - FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | 2003 <br> \$000 | 2004 <br> $\$ 000$ | 2005 <br> \$000 | 2006 <br> \$000 | 2007 <br> \$000 | 2008 <br> \$000 | 2009 <br> \$000 | $2010$ $\$ 000$ | 2011 <br> \$000 | 2012 <br> \$000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Cost of Council Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significant Activities - Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community, Recreation, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Development | 610 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 |
| Rivers and Land Drainage | 2,253 | 2,228 | 2,230 | 2,228 | 2,233 | 2,226 | 2,227 | 2,227 | 2,236 | 2,228 |
| Land Transport and Other Services | 8,648 | 8,701 | 8,673 | 8,670 | 8,647 | 8,642 | 8,648 | 8,660 | 8,659 | 8,663 |
| Sewerage | 2,300 | 2,611 | 2,638 | 2,657 | 2,778 | 2,706 | 2,743 | 2,832 | 2,944 | 2,943 |
| Stormwater | 559 | 559 | 557 | 559 | 560 | 562 | 565 | 566 | 567 | 555 |
| Waste Management | 2,367 | 2,380 | 2,376 | 2,498 | 2,523 | 2,530 | 2,539 | 2,547 | 2,555 | 2,606 |
| Water | 2,059 | 2,270 | 2,326 | 2,543 | 2,605 | 2,655 | 2,657 | 2,685 | 2,686 | 2,690 |
| Animal Control | 194 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 |
| Democratic Process | 1,626 | 1,649 | 1,696 | 1,627 | 1,630 | 1,672 | 1,614 | 1,637 | 1,681 | 1,619 |
| Emergency Management | 575 | 425 | 415 | 416 | 416 | 416 | 417 | 417 | 417 | 419 |
|  | 26,248 | 26,640 | 26,617 | 26,913 | 27,088 | 27,114 | 27,123 | 27,288 | 27,414 | 27,385 |
| Significant Activities - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animals and Plant Pests Control | 3,619 | 4,005 | 4,033 | 4,096 | 4,174 | 4,350 | 4,930 | 4,281 | 4,283 | 4,287 |
| Consents and Compliance | 3,404 | 3,355 | 3,355 | 3,352 | 3,343 | 3,342 | 3,346 | 3,348 | 3,353 | 3,370 |
| Environment | 2,158 | 2,153 | 2,156 | 2,153 | 2,152 | 2,156 | 2,161 | 2,165 | 2,168 | 2,168 |
| Harbour Control | 501 | 496 | 495 | 489 | 490 | 490 | 493 | 495 | 497 | 501 |
|  | 9,682 | 10,009 | 10,039 | 10,090 | 10,159 | 10,338 | 10,930 | 10,289 | 10,301 | 10,326 |
| Significant Activities - Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and Other Property | 774 | 751 | 771 | 750 | 763 | 767 | 763 | 807 | 759 | 774 |
|  | 774 | 751 | 771 | 750 | 763 | 767 | 763 | 807 | 759 | 774 |
| General Expenses | 817 | 669 | 696 | 719 | 708 | 708 | 710 | 691 | 710 | 723 |
| Marlborough Regional Forestry Expenditure | 511 | 362 | 405 | 414 | 402 | 458 | 496 | 545 | 538 | 578 |
| Total Expenditure of Council Activities | 38,032 | 38,431 | 38,528 | 38,886 | 39,120 | 39,385 | 40,022 | 39,620 | 39,722 | 39,787 |
| Subsidiaries Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port Marlborough (Consolidated) | 7,876 | 9,166 | 9,085 | 9,032 | 8,980 | 8,455 | 8,268 | 7,998 | 7,851 | 8,017 |
| MDC Holdings Ltd | 924 | 920 | 915 | 910 | 905 | 901 | 896 | 891 | 887 | 882 |
|  | 8,800 | 10,086 | 10,000 | 9,942 | 9,885 | 9,356 | 9,164 | 8,889 | 8,738 | 8,899 |
| Total Expenditure | 46,832 | 48,517 | 48,528 | 48,828 | 49,005 | 48,741 | 49,186 | 48,509 | 48,460 | 48,686 |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District wide -General Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geographic Areas General Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \& Charges | 12,213 | 12,267 | 12,278 | 12,423 | 12,314 | 12,371 | 12,405 | 12,630 | 12,584 | 12,880 |
| Separate Rates \& Charges | 9,711 | 9,892 | 9,990 | 10,174 | 10,345 | 10,320 | 10,503 | 10,700 | 10,809 | 10,853 |
| Subsidies \& Grants | 5,591 | 5,818 | 5,760 | 5,740 | 5,889 | 6,055 | 6,586 | 6,011 | 6,032 | 6,028 |
| Interest | 597 | 555 | 738 | 910 | 1,070 | 1,282 | 1,488 | 1,710 | 1,925 | 2,211 |
| Other - Marlborough District Council | 7,525 | 7,324 | 7,508 | 7,435 | 7,435 | 7,467 | 7,435 | 7,436 | 7,432 | 7,414 |
| Other - Subsidiaries | 18,035 | 21,528 | 20,613 | 22,131 | 23,474 | 24,062 | 25,288 | 25,456 | 26,762 | 27,232 |
| Total Revenue | 58,843 | 62,616 | 62,168 | 64,046 | 65,750 | 66,820 | 68,906 | 69,173 | 70,812 | 71,832 |
| Less Total Expenses | 46,832 | 48,517 | 48,528 | 48,828 | 49,005 | 48,741 | 49,186 | 48,509 | 48,460 | 48,686 |
| Surplus / (Deficit) | 12,011 | 14,099 | 13,640 | 15,218 | 16,745 | 18,079 | 19,720 | 20,664 | 22,353 | 23,146 |
| Taxation | 2,074 | 2,720 | 2,767 | 3,176 | 3,644 | 3,998 | 4,408 | 4,690 | 4,986 | 5,180 |
| Net Surplus / (Deficit) after Taxation | 9,937 | 11,379 | 10,873 | 12,042 | 13,101 | 14,081 | 15,312 | 15,974 | 17,367 | 17,966 |

## Sewerage

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- Council-provided sewage collection, treatment and disposal services provide protection against public health risks without endangering the environment.


## Description

Council operates urban sewage collection, treatment and disposal system to protect both the health of the community and the physical environment. Council operates five sewerage systems as follows:

| Area | Pipeline <br> Length | Number <br> of Users (Est.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blenheim | 126 km | 9,570 |
| Picton | 27 km | 2,110 |
| Havelock | 7 km | 249 |
| Seddon | 7 km | 210 |
| Spring Creek | 4 km | 149 |
|  |  |  |

## Objectives for 2002/2003 Performance Indicators

| To provide sewage collection, treatment <br> and disposal to a high standard. | -Respond to $95 \%$ of unplanned interruptions or blockages of the <br> sewerage system within two hours, and to restore toilet facilities <br> to all customers affected within six hours of notification. <br> Where extended interruption occurs, to provide alternative toilet <br> facilities after the six hour period. <br> Respond to blockages in the sewerage reticulation system <br> causing an overflow within one hour of notification, take <br> action to stop the overflow within two hours, and clean up <br> after overflows within 24 hours. <br> Monitor groundwater flows against sewer flows and report on <br> the effectiveness of the infiltration improvement programme. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| To dispose of sewage in a manner which <br> does not endanger public health. | - $\quad 100 \%$ compliance with Resource Consent requirements. |
| To provide sustainable sewage disposal <br> networks. | -Maintain asset management systems and plans for all <br> sewerage systems. |

## Sewerage Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS | 1,739 | 1,952 | 1,959 | 1,972 | 2,063 | 2,002 | 2,063 | 2,100 | 2,101 | 2,097 |
| Picton | 675 | 663 | 662 | 662 | 661 | 661 | 661 | 714 | 824 | 822 |
| Havelock | 75 | 75 | 81 | 86 | 105 | 84 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 89 |
| Renwick |  | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Seddon | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 61 | 59 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 |
| Spring Creek | 40 | 40 | 56 | 57 | 51 | 63 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| Total Operating Costs | 2,573 | 2,884 | 2,911 | 2,930 | 3,051 | 2,979 | 3,016 | 3,105 | 3,216 | 3,216 |
| Operating Surplus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transferred to Reserves | 335 | 452 | 480 | 506 | 512 | 557 | 577 | 686 | 717 | 762 |
|  | 2,908 | 3,336 | 3,391 | 3,436 | 3,563 | 3,536 | 3,593 | 3,791 | 3,933 | 3,978 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 2,495 | 2,909 | 2,943 | 2,967 | 3,070 | 3,019 | 3,049 | 3,220 | 3,330 | 3,342 |
| User Charges | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 | 382 |
| Other Revenue | 31 | 45 | 66 | 87 | 111 | 135 | 162 | 189 | 221 | 254 |
| Total Revenue | 2,908 | 3,336 | 3,391 | 3,436 | 3,563 | 3,536 | 3,593 | 3,791 | 3,933 | 3,978 |
| CAPITAL WORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blenheim | 2,055 | 2,293 | 352 | 559 | 438 | 670 | 317 | 1,431 | 256 | 271 |
| Picton | 61 | 70 | 223 | 61 | 61 | 82 | 438 | 763 | 61 | 61 |
| Havelock | 54 | 17 | 17 | 28 | 111 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Renwick | 1,782 | 3,181 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Seddon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Spring Creek | 13 | 3 | 152 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Capital Works | 3,966 | 5,565 | 745 | 655 | 707 | 761 | 765 | 2,204 | 325 | 340 |
| Debt Repayment | 247 | 347 | 351 | 355 | 360 | 370 | 375 | 423 | 438 | 497 |
|  | 4,213 | 5,912 | 1,096 | 1,010 | 1,067 | 1,131 | 1,140 | 2,627 | 763 | 837 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings | 1,749 | 3,347 | 153 | 190 | 234 | 310 | - | 1,730 | - | - |
| Sinking Fund Withdrawal Transfers from - | s | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 19 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers from Depreciation Reserve | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| DISP Reserve Other Reserves | 371 | 391 | 530 | 451 | 418 | 421 | 559 | 445 | 308 | 308 |
|  | 2,091 | 2,172 | 411 | 367 | 413 | 398 | 575 | 447 | 434 | 452 |
| Other Reserves | 4,213 | 5,912 | 1,096 | 1,010 | 1,067 | 1,131 | 1,140 | 2,627 | 763 | 837 |

DISP (Decline in Service Potential) is explained in the glossary. Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest.

## Stormwater

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- There is a level of protection of property and public health from stormwater flooding (in designated areas) commensurate with the economic risk that is faced by the community.


## Description

Stormwater systems are provided to minimise disruptions from rain events and to provide for the safety of residents.

Council operates 120 kilometres of stormwater pipe drains for these purposes, predominantly in the urban areas of Picton and Blenheim. As stormwater systems are complex and expensive, a degree of prioritisation is required. Those areas where there is a possibility of water entering houses or commercial buildings are given first priority. Second priority is given to areas where
there is a possibility of water entering garages or outbuildings, followed by areas where water may pond on garden areas and roads.

There is an increasing community expectation in relation to stormwater disposal. Short term ponding of stormwater during heavy storm events is less acceptable now than in the past. This increased expectation coupled with the increased flow to stormwater systems from driveway and yard drainage has created a need to review design standards. Council has commissioned an investigation of the entire Blenheim stormwater system in order to determine the extent of capacity restrictions in order to develop an upgrade programme. The cost of any upgrades required are likely to be significant but are not able to be quantified at present. As such no provision has been included in the budgets for upgrade work.

## Objectives for 2002/2003

To provide stormwater collection and disposal to a high standard.

## Performance Indicators

- Carry out scheduled maintenance of stormwater disposal assets and ensure that flow obstruction caused by debris build up is kept within acceptable limits.
- For storms of severity equivalent to a two year return period, there will no incidences of stormwater damage to homes or commercial buildings.


## Stormwater - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0} \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blenheim | 574 | 572 | 570 | 570 | 569 | 569 | 570 | 570 | 570 | 569 |
| Picton | 132 | 134 | 135 | 137 | 139 | 141 | 143 | 144 | 145 | 144 |
| Other Schemes | 68 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 56 |
| Total Operating Costs | 774 | 774 | 772 | 774 | 775 | 777 | 780 | 781 | 782 | 769 |
| Operating Surplus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transferred to Reserves | - | - | - | 8 | 36 | 65 | 73 | 85 | 103 | 131 |
|  | 774 | 774 | 772 | 782 | 811 | 842 | 853 | 866 | 885 | 900 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 667 | 677 | 687 | 708 | 729 | 751 | 753 | 755 | 764 | 768 |
| User Charges | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Other Revenue | 22 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 62 | 71 | 82 | 92 | 103 |
| Total Revenue | 718 | 735 | 753 | 782 | 811 | 842 | 853 | 866 | 885 | 900 |
| General Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied | 56 | 39 | 19 | (8) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 774 | 774 | 772 | 774 | 811 | 842 | 853 | 866 | 885 | 900 |
| CAPITAL WORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blenheim | 290 | 170 | 170 | 264 | 170 | 140 | 130 | 172 | 130 | 134 |
| Picton | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 24 | 24 |
| Other Schemes | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 31 | 52 |
| Total Capital Works | 363 | 243 | 243 | 337 | 243 | 223 | 213 | 255 | 185 | 210 |
| Debt Repayment | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 320 |
|  | 468 | 348 | 348 | 442 | 348 | 328 | 318 | 360 | 290 | 530 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | - | - |
| Sinking Fund Withdrawals | 1 s | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 221 |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISP Reserve | 247 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 187 | 157 | 157 | 157 | 144 | 144 |
| Other Reserves | 197 | 117 | 117 | 211 | 137 | 147 | 137 | 179 | 146 | 165 |
|  | 468 | 348 | 348 | 442 | 348 | 328 | 318 | 360 | 290 | 530 |

DISP (Decline in Service Potential) is explained in the glossary. Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest.

## Waste Management

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- Marlborough's solid waste is managed in a manner which is environmentally and economically acceptable.


## Description

Council provides waste management services for public health reasons and to protect the environment. Kerbside refuse collection is provided in Picton and Blenheim and waste transfer
stations are operated at seven locations throughout
Marlborough. Waste is transported to the regional landfill south of Blenheim on the Taylor Pass road. Green waste composting and some recycling facilities are provided at the Blenheim transfer station along with a hazardous waste collection facility. Council conducts education and training programmes for recycling and waste minimisation as well as providing support for research programmes.

| Objectives for 2002/2003 | Performance Indicators |
| :--- | :--- |
| To ensure the provision of waste collection <br> in specified areas. | Weekly kerbside refuse collection services in <br> Blenheim and Picton. |
| To dispose of the District's waste in an <br> environmentally acceptable manner. | - Landfill sites comply fully with resource consent conditions. |
| To encourage better recycling and Waste <br> Management practices. | Provide schools, businesses and interest groups with <br> education and training programmes for waste minimisation. <br> Support community recycling initiatives. |

## Waste Management - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 / 03 \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer Stations | 1,842 | 1,859 | 1,859 | 1,981 | 2,007 | 2,014 | 2,022 | 2,030 | 2,038 | 2,089 |
| Blenheim Refuse | 605 | 602 | 599 | 599 | 598 | 598 | 599 | 599 | 599 | 599 |
| Picton Refuse | 166 | 165 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 |
| Total Operating Costs | 2,613 | 2,626 | 2,622 | 2,744 | 2,769 | 2,776 | 2,785 | 2,793 | 2,801 | 2,852 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 1,441 | 1,438 | 1,442 | 1,562 | 1,586 | 1,630 | 1,631 | 1,631 | 1,631 | 1,752 |
| User Charges | 763 | 782 | 782 | 783 | 784 | 784 | 785 | 786 | 786 | 786 |
| Other Revenue | 25 | 35 | 45 | 56 | 70 | 84 | 100 | 116 | 133 | 151 |
| Total Revenue | 2,229 | 2,255 | 2,269 | 2,401 | 2,440 | 2,498 | 2,516 | 2,533 | 2,550 | 2,689 |
| General Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied | 384 | 371 | 353 | 343 | 329 | 278 | 269 | 260 | 251 | 163 |
|  | 2,613 | 2,626 | 2,622 | 2,744 | 2,769 | 2,776 | 2,785 | 2,793 | 2,801 | 2,852 |
| CAPITAL WORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landfill and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital Works | 240 | 30 | 25 | 1,482 | 280 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 1,487 |
| Debt Repayment | 190 | 190 | 190 | 234 | 242 | 242 | 242 | 242 | 242 | 392 |
|  | 430 | 220 | 215 | 1,716 | 522 | 272 | 272 | 272 | 272 | 1,879 |
| Transfers to Reserves | 366 | 345 | 357 | 319 | 319 | 321 | 328 | 335 | 342 | 119 |
|  | 796 | 565 | 572 | 2,035 | 841 | 593 | 600 | 607 | 614 | 1,998 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings | 250 | - | - | 1,456 | 255 | - | - | - | - | 1,384 |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depn / Amort. Reserve | 546 | 565 | 572 | 579 | 586 | 593 | 600 | 607 | 614 | 614 |
|  | 796 | 565 | 572 | 2,035 | 841 | 593 | 600 | 607 | 614 | 1,998 |

Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest.

## Water Supply

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- Council-provided water reticulation systems are reliable and sustainable, and meet agreed community health standards.


## Description

Council has a legal obligation to undertake the provision of water to urban areas and seeks to ensure appropriate quality and service standards are met. In addition Council ensures that growth is catered for and that reticulation systems meet fire fighting standards. Council operates seven water supply systems as follows:

| Area | Pipeline <br> Length <br> (Kms) | Number <br> of Connections <br> (Est.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blenheim | 105 | 9,440 |
| Picton | 41 | 2,020 |
| Havelock | 6 | 230 |
| Renwick | 11 | 650 |
| Riverlands | 3 | 89 |
| Wairau Valley | 13 | 42 |
| Awatere | 134 | 600 |

## Objectives for 2002/ 2003 <br> Performance Indicators

To provide a reliable water supply.

To provide a water supply which meets agreed community standards.

To provide a sustainable water supply.

- Council and its contractors operate to the following service targets:
(a) Respond within two hours to complaints of lost supply.
(b) Restore any lost supply within eight hours of the fault.
(c) Install $85 \%$ of new connections within 10 working days of application, or by agreed alternative date.
- All water systems tested regularly to ensure that the water quality established for that system is maintained (the standard against which results are compared is the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand 2000).
- Details of test results supplied to the Ministry of Health for inclusion in the national grading programme.
- Maintain asset management systems and plans for all water supply systems.

Water - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7} \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS Blenheim | 1,552 | 1,723 | 1,762 | 1,761 | 1,759 | 1,759 | 1,760 | 1,761 | 1,762 | 1,758 |
| Picton | 543 | 580 | 578 | 628 | 694 | 693 | 693 | 693 | 693 | 692 |
| Renwick | 110 | 109 | 118 | 185 | 184 | 184 | 184 | 189 | 189 | 189 |
| Havelock | 111 | 112 | 123 | 128 | 131 | 131 | 132 | 132 | 132 | 131 |
| Awatere | 223 | 226 | 225 | 324 | 324 | 324 | 324 | 346 | 346 | 346 |
| Riverlands | 40 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 99 |
| Wairau Valley | 17 | 17 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Total Operating Costs | 2,596 | 2,806 | 2,872 | 3,092 | 3,158 | 3,207 | 3,209 | 3,237 | 3,238 | 3,242 |
| Operating Surplus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transferred to Reserves | 807 | 914 | 949 | 993 | 1,021 | 1,036 | 1,082 | 1,129 | 1,116 | 1,185 |
|  | 3,403 | 3,720 | 3,821 | 4,085 | 4,179 | 4,243 | 4,291 | 4,366 | 4,354 | 4,427 |
| FUNDED BY Rates | 3,272 | 3,573 | 3,653 | 3,893 | 3,957 | 3,990 | 4,002 | 4,040 | 4,039 | ,070 |
| User Charges | -73 | -73 | -73 | -73 | -73 | -73 | 73 | 73 | 23 | 23 |
| Other Revenue | 58 | 74 | 95 | 119 | 149 | 180 | 216 | 253 | 292 | 334 |
| Total Revenue | 3,403 | 3,720 | 3,821 | 4,085 | 4,179 | 4,243 | 4,291 | 4,366 | 4,354 | 4,427 |
| CAPITAL WORKS Blenheim | 666 | 3,680 | 1,492 | 308 | 289 | 756 | 380 | 400 | 385 | 557 |
| Picton | 604 | 612 | 318 | 744 | 921 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 90 | 213 |
| Renwick | 47 | 45 | 153 | 862 | 12 | 12 | 18 | 78 | 12 | 12 |
| Havelock | 39 | 34 | 159 | 68 | 58 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Awatere | 69 | 115 | 114 | 1,363 | 62 | 63 | 77 | 344 | 62 | 57 |
| Riverlands | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 774 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 161 |
| Total Capital Works | 1,426 | 4,487 | 2,373 | 3,346 | 1,390 | 1,699 | 571 | 918 | 568 | 1,003 |
| Debt Repayment | 243 | 324 | 339 | 418 | 443 | 452 | 452 | 462 | 462 | 600 |
|  | 1,669 | 4,811 | 2,712 | 3,764 | 1,833 | 2,151 | 1,023 | 1,380 | 1,030 | 1,603 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings | 503 | 2,732 | 851 | 2,783 | 868 | 625 | - | 335 | - | 135 |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depn Reserve | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| DISP Reserve | 385 | 430 | 384 | 384 | 410 | 364 | 375 | 367 | 368 | 423 |
| Other Reserves | 777 | 1,645 | 1,473 | 593 | 551 | 1,158 | 644 | 674 | 658 | 1,041 |
|  | 1,669 | 4,811 | 2,712 | 3,764 | 1,833 | 2,151 | 1,023 | 1,380 | 1,030 | 1,603 |

## Animal Control

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- There is effective dog and stock control in the District.


## Description

Council carries out animal control functions in accordance with the Dog Control Act 1996, the Impounding Act 1995, and Dog Control Bylaws. Council is required to control animals and stock within the region, and to educate the public about the care and control of animals. A large part of the activity involves dogs and dog registrations.

## Objectives for 2002/2003 Performance Indicators

To provide effective animal control in the Marlborough District.

- Investigate all dog attacks within four hours of notification and complete processing within three days.
- Investigate all other complaints within two working days.
- Recover all dog control costs through registration fees and fines.
- Recover $70 \%$ of other pound costs through impounding fees.


## Animal Control - Operating Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 / 03 \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS <br> Animal Control | 194 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 |
| Total Operating Costs | 194 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 |
| Operating Surplus: <br> Transferred to Reserves | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Revenue | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 |
|  | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 | 203 |

## Democratic Process

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- Marlborough residents' and ratepayers' interests are represented in a fair and equitable manner.
- The District's communities are able to participate in the decision-making processes that affect them.


## Description

Council is an elected body that governs the direction and objectives it is responsible for on behalf of the District. The Marlborough District Council is a unitary authority. A unitary authority has the functions, duties and powers of both a regional council and a territorial authority as conferred by the Local Government Act.

## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

To represent constituents in a fair and equitable manner.

To conduct Council business in a transparent manner.

## Performance Indicators

- All meetings of councillors to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act and Standing Orders.
- All scheduled meetings advertised in the local media no less than five working days prior to meeting wherever possible.
- Agendas and attachments available at least three working days prior to meeting.
- Council's Annual Plan and Report adopted in accordance with statutory requirements.


## Democratic Process - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Financial Year } & 2002 / 03 & 2003 / 04 & 2004 / 05 & 2005 / 06 & 2006 / 07 & 2007 / 08 & 2008 / 09 & 2009 / 10 & 2010 / 11 & 2011 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}(\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s) & (\$ 000 ' s)\end{array} \quad(\$ 000 ' s) \quad(\$ 000 ' s)$

## OPERATING COSTS

Democratic Process
Total Operating Costs
FUNDED BY
Rates
Other Revenue
General Revenue
Applied

CAPITAL WORKS
Democratic Process
Total Capital Works
FUNDED BY

| 1,626 | 1,649 | 1,696 | 1,627 | 1,630 | 1,672 | 1,614 | 1,637 | 1,681 | 1,619 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 9}$ |
| 1,566 | 1,589 | 1,636 | 1,567 | 1,570 | 1,612 | 1,554 | 1,577 | 1,621 | 1,559 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 1 9}$ |
| 10 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |  |  | 4 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | - | $\mathbf{4}$ | - | - | $\mathbf{4}$ | - | - | $\mathbf{4}$ | - |

Transfers from -
Depreciation Reserve

| 10 | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - |

## Emergency Management

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- The region is well prepared for emergency events, and any harm or loss from emergency events is minimised.


## Description

Council is responsible for a number of emergency services such as civil defence, rural fire response, oil spills in the Marlborough Sounds, and flooding. Revised legislation is expected to set new requirements for local authorities to have in place systems and processes so that communities are prepared for civil defence events, and respond effectively when events occur. As part of its response to these new requirements, Council is consolidating the management of its emergency services, and will be upgrading civil defence facilities.

## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

The Marlborough region is adequately prepared for emergency events.

## Performance Indicators

- Maintain a Civil Defence plan for the region.
- Upgrade emergency management control facilities and communication systems.


## Emergency Management - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency Management | 444 | 425 | 415 | 416 | 416 | 416 | 417 | 417 | 417 | 419 |
| Total Operating Costs FUNDED BY | 444 | 425 | 415 | 416 | 416 | 416 | 417 | 417 | 417 | 419 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 385 | 366 | 356 | 357 | 357 | 357 | 358 | 358 | 358 | 360 |
| User Charges | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 |
| Total Revenue | 444 | 425 | 415 | 416 | 416 | 416 | 417 | 417 | 417 | 419 |
| CAPITAL WORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management | 427 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 26 |
| Total Capital Works FUNDED BY | 427 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposals | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation Reserve | 30 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 26 |
| Other Reserves | 297 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 427 | 23 | 27 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 26 |

## Animal and Plant Pests

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- The economic and ecological threats of animal and plant pests in the District are minimised.
- The spread of Bovine Tuberculosis is contained and the number of infected herds reduced.


## Description

This activity provides protection to the environment and productive land. Council carries out animal and plant pest control in accordance with its Regional Pest Management Strategy, prepared in conjunction with stake holders and in compliance with the Biosecurity Act 1993 and the Resource Management Act 1991. Control is carried out jointly by Council and land occupiers, and a major part of Council's pest management activity is directed towards providing advice to land owners on identifying and controlling pests. The Regional Pest Management Strategy defines and classifies pests in the region into four categories:

- Total Control Pests - pests to be eradicated throughout the region. The onus for control is shared between the land occupier and Council.
- Containment Pests - pests that require control to prevent spread and to reduce overall pest density levels over time.
- Surveillance Pests - pests which have significance but where the only control is the banning of sale and distribution. Council provides advice and education as well as monitoring of impacts and distribution.
- Ecological Threats - pests that are regarded as being widely distributed and have been acknowledged as a threat to ecological values. Possible future control at certain sites of ecological value.
In the main land occupiers have responsibility for controlling pests on their properties - the exception to this is where Council manages pests classified as "total control" pests. Further information on Council's control of regional plant and animal pests is available in the Regional Pest Management Strategy, which can be viewed on our website or is available from Council. An annual operational plan provides detailed information on activities being undertaken in any year.

Council also carries out activities to reduce the impact of bovine tuberculosis in the region, as part of a proposed national strategy for a Tb free New Zealand by 2012/13. Central government provides funding (with other stakeholders) to carry out the programme of works for bovine Tb vector control.

## Objectives for 2002/2003

To monitor and implement control for Regional animal and plant pests throughout the District.

## Performance Indicators

- Monitor and report on the effectiveness of control programmes for regional pests.
- Long-term (5 year +) trend of no increase in containment pest populations in known sites.
- Long-term (5 year +) trend of decline in total control pest populations.
- $100 \%$ compliance for all land occupiers where containment control pests exists above the maximum allowable levels determined by the Pest Management Strategy.

To prevent the establishment of new Bovine Tuberculosis risk areas and/or the expansion of existing risk areas into farmland free of Bovine Tb .

To prevent any increase from vector related Bovine Tb in vector control areas.

- Maintain possum populations in operational areas to less than or equal to the residual trap catch level stipulated in the operational plan.
- No infected herds outside existing risk areas.
- Hold or decline in the number of infected herds in existing risk areas.


## Animal and Plant Pest Control - Operating Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & \text { (\$000’s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS <br> Bovine TB Control | 3,152 | 3,538 | 3,541 | 3,605 | 3,684 | 3,860 | 4,439 | 3,790 | 3,791 | 3,794 |
| Regional Pest Management | 467 | 467 | 492 | 491 | 490 | 490 | 491 | 491 | 492 | 493 |
| Total Operating Costs | 3,619 | 4,005 | 4,033 | 4,096 | 4,174 | 4,350 | 4,930 | 4,281 | 4,283 | 4,287 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 679 | 747 | 773 | 766 | 763 | 768 | 770 | 787 | 788 | 793 |
| Subsidies | 2,425 | 2,745 | 2,746 | 2,817 | 2,898 | 3,070 | 3,647 | 2,981 | 2,981 | 2,981 |
| Other Revenue | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 | 376 |
| Total Revenue | 3,480 | 3,868 | 3,895 | 3,959 | 4,037 | 4,214 | 4,793 | 4,144 | 4,145 | 4,150 |
| General Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied | 139 | 137 | 138 | 137 | 137 | 136 | 137 | 137 | 138 | 137 |
|  | 3,619 | 4,005 | 4,033 | 4,096 | 4,174 | 4,350 | 4,930 | 4,281 | 4,283 | 4,287 |

## Consents and Compliance

## Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- There is safe use of the region's natural resources.
- Total compliance costs (including the costs to applicants, appellants and to Council) of administering the statutory processes associated with resource consents, building consents and any regulatory responsibilities are minimised.
- Residents and visitors are protected from public health risks.


## Description

The Resource Management Act charges Council with the statutory function of receiving, processing and granting resource consents, including certificates of compliance. As a unitary authority, Council is responsible for regional and district as well as coastal functions. There are five types of consents that Council must process:

- Land use, including the erection of buildings and land disturbance, as well as the use of river beds and lakes.
- Subdivision of land.
- Coastal marine, including reclamation of the foreshore or seabed, the erection or demolition of structures and occupancy of the coastal marine area, including marine farming.
- Water, including the taking, damming or diversion of water.
- Discharges of contaminants into the environment, being to water, land or air.

The majority of resource consents are approved subject to conditions. Council encourages consent holders to undertake monitoring functions. Compliance with consent conditions is monitored by way of a sample audit process.
The Building Act 1991 charges Council with the responsibility for receiving, processing and issuing building consents to ensure compliance with the New Zealand Building Code. Council also has responsibility to protect and promote public health by undertaking the licensing, inspection and enforcement of standards with regard to all premises to ensure compliance with the Health Act 1956, Sale of Liquor Act 1989, Local Government Act 1974, Dangerous Goods Act 1974 and Hazardous Substance and New Organisms Act 1996 and Council Bylaws. Council is responsible for ensuring the abatement of nuisances, to control pollution to air and land and to carry out environmental noise monitoring.

| Objectives for 2002/2003 | Performance Indicators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To meet all statutory timeframes for regulatory processing. | - | $100 \%$ compliance with statutory timeframes. |
| To monitor resource users for compliance with resource consent conditions. |  | Maintain a database for the monitoring of resource consents. |
| To monitor all complaints received by Council with regard to non-compliance with Resource Management and Building Act statutory requirements | - | Resolve all complaints, or develop a resolution strategy, within 21 days of receipt. |
| To optimise the safety of all food manufactured, prepared, stored or sold in food establishments and issue licences where necessary in matters of environmental health, food quality and the safety of the community. |  | To carry out at least one inspection of all registered food premises, and premises registered as hairdressers, camping grounds, offensive trades, funeral directors and mobile traders to ensure compliance with health standards. |
| To optimise the safety of all dangerous goods manufactured, stored, used or sold from industrial and commercial premises. | - | To carry out at least one inspection of all premises where dangerous goods are manufactured, stored or used to ensure that safety standards are being met. |
| To ensure the control of pollution incidences, environmental noise, and nuisances within the community. | - | To respond to and investigate within two working days all incidents that threaten public health. |

Consents and Compliance- Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resource Consents | 1,709 | 1,665 | 1,667 | 1,666 | 1,660 | 1,659 | 1,662 | 1,664 | 1,666 | 1,670 |
| Building Consents | 906 | 907 | 908 | 907 | 904 | 903 | 904 | 904 | 906 | 913 |
| Resource Consent Hearings | 491 | 490 | 490 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 494 |
| Environmental Health | 298 | 293 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 291 | 291 | 291 | 292 | 293 |
| Total Operating Costs FUNDED BY | 3,404 | 3,355 | 3,355 | 3,352 | 3,343 | 3,342 | 3,346 | 3,348 | 3,353 | 3,370 |
| Rates | 1,793 | 1,877 | 1,878 | 1,912 | 1,903 | 1,903 | 1,906 | 1,909 | 1,913 | 1,930 |
| User Charges | 1,307 | 1,176 | 1,176 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,138 |
| Other Revenue | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Total Revenue | 3,110 | 3,063 | 3,064 | 3,060 | 3,051 | 3,051 | 3,054 | 3,057 | 3,061 | 3,078 |

General Revenues -
Applied

## CAPITAL WORKS

Resource Consents
Building Consents
Environmental Health
Total Capital Works

| 294 | 292 | 291 | 292 | 292 | 291 | 292 | 291 | 292 | 292 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 , 4 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 0}$ |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

## FUNDED BY

Transfers from -
Depreciation Reserve Other Reserves

| 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |

## Environment

## Activities in this group are associated with the following outcomes:

- Policy statements and resource management plans promote the sustainable management of Marlborough's natural and physical resources.
- There is extensive and reliable information available on the state of the District's environment.
- Local communities are active participants in sustainable management of the District's resources.


## Description

As a unitary authority, the Marlborough District Council has the powers, functions and responsibilities of both a regional and district council. The Council has statutory obligations to comply with and must enforce legislation; the most significant being the Resource Management Act 1991. This Act requires the Council to promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources of the District.
Under the Resource Management Act 1991, the Council is required
to prepare a regional policy statement and resource management plans for the District and to monitor and review them to ensure their ongoing effectiveness. The Marlborough Regional Policy Statement underpins the District's resource management framework and sets out the Council's policy approach to significant resource issues. The Council's two resource management plans: the Wairau/ Awatere Plan and the Marlborough Sounds Plan combine the Regional Coastal Plan, the District Plan and the Regional Plan into single integrated planning documents.
The Resource Management Act 1991 requires that the Council shall gather information, monitor and keep records of the state of the environment. A detailed State of the Environment Report for Marlborough is prepared every five years with environmental updates prepared for the years in between. The report describes Marlborough's environmental quality and outlines what the Council and other agencies and organisations are doing to monitor and protect the environment from the pressures placed on human activity. The state of the natural environment (air, land and water quality and their use) and the physical and social factors affecting the quality of life are monitored and reported on.

## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

To develop Policy Statements and Plans.

| To develop Policy Statements and Plans. | - Discussion document on review of water allocation provisions of the Proposed Wairau/ Awatere Resource Management Plan released for public consultation by 30 November 2002. <br> - Release of discussion document on aquaculture policy review, and completion of public consultation by 31 December 2002. <br> - $75 \%$ of references on the Proposed Wairau/ Awatere Resource Management Plan resolved by 30 June 2003. <br> - Notification of variations to resource management plans for the management of large, high-speed ships, and for the introduction of coastal occupancy charges (if adopted) and decisions publicly notified by 30 June 2003. |
| :---: | :---: |
| To monitor the environment in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991. | - Carry out monitoring as specified in the monitoring strategies and programmes for hydrology, air quality, fresh and coastal water quality, soils condition, and freshwater, terrestrial, and coastal ecosystems. <br> - Carry out resource investigations to assist in their management and conservation - significant projects include: <br> o Wairau Plain aquifer sustainability studies <br> o South Marlborough ecology <br> o North Marlborough terrestrial and freshwater ecology <br> o Coastal ecosystems. |
| To report on the state of the environment. | - Record environmental data and report on any significant trends, as soon as they are detected, to the Environment Committee. <br> - Arrange media articles on environmental issues and projects. <br> - Provide rainfall and flow information to the Rivers group, and others, as specified in the Flood Response Manual. <br> - Provide resource information as required to assist in the assessment of applications for resource consents. <br> - Provide resource information as required to assist in the development of policy. |
|  | - Provide daily river flows for publication in the Marlborough Express, and maintain a river information line as part of the public information process. |
| To work with iwi, industry and the community on projects for maintaining and enhancing the condition of natural resources. | - Provide information, education, advocacy to the community on opportunities to maintain or enhance resources. <br> - Assist land users and community groups in works to implement enhancement strategies for South Marlborough ecological areas, Spring Creek, Rai River, Rarangi Beach and Grovetown Lagoon. <br> - Find solutions and promote best practice relating to resource use and development issues, including: <br> o Irrigation practice <br> o Agri-chemical use <br> o Riparian management <br> o Coastal activities <br> o Domestic waste disposal. |

## Environment - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & \text { (\$000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environment Policy | 1,137 | 1,137 | 1,138 | 1,137 | 1,135 | 1,135 | 1,136 | 1,136 | 1,137 | 1,137 |
| Environment Monitoring | 1,021 | 1,016 | 1,018 | 1,016 | 1,017 | 1,021 | 1,025 | 1,029 | 1,031 | 1,031 |
| Total Operating Costs FUNDED BY | 2,158 | 2,153 | 2,156 | 2,153 | 2,152 | 2,156 | 2,161 | 2,165 | 2,168 | 2,168 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 1,840 | 1,843 | 1,845 | 1,843 | 1,839 | 1,840 | 1,841 | 1,842 | 1,844 | 1,844 |
| General Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applied | 318 | 310 | 311 | 310 | 313 | 316 | 320 | 323 | 324 | 324 |
|  | 2,158 | 2,153 | 2,156 | 2,153 | 2,152 | 2,156 | 2,161 | 2,165 | 2,168 | 2,168 |

CAPITAL WORKS
Environment Monitoring
Total Capital Works

| 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ |

## FUNDED BY

Transfers from -
Depreciation Reserve
Other Reserves

| 39 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 41 | 45 | 47 | 49 | 48 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 |
| $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ |

## Harbour Control

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- Safe use is able to be made of Marlborough's coastal waters.


## Description

Harbour control is undertaken primarily to ensure safety in Marlborough's water ways as required by legislation.
The Marlborough Sounds contain 4,136 square kilometres (total water area) and approximately 1,500 kilometres of coastline that
is used extensively for recreational purposes, commercial shipping, fishing and other aquaculture industries.
The Harbourmaster is tasked with the responsibility of addressing matters of navigation and safety as required in the Local Government Amendment Act. In addition the Marine Transport Act 1994 requires councils to prepare, maintain and review Regional Marine Oil Spill Contingency Plans. For the purpose of oil spill response, the Marlborough region's area of responsibility extends to the 12 nautical mile territorial boundary.

## Objectives for 2002/2003

To ensure that marine farms comply with lighting requirements and do not pose a danger to navigation.

To maintain swimming areas and water-ski access lanes in the Marlborough Sounds.

To ensure that the existing navigation aid network is kept fully operational.

To monitor waters within harbour limits for breaches of Marlborough District Council Bylaws and appropriate Maritime legislation.

To maintain a Regional Marine Oil Spill Contingency Plan.

## Performance Indicators

- Carry out a programme of random auditing to increase compliance rates.
- Inspect swimming and water-ski access lanes monthly between Labour weekend and Easter of the following year.
- Inspect leading lights quarterly, remaining lights biannually.
- Repair outages within 24 hours. Action on leading light outages to be taken immediately.
- Implement new Harbour Bylaws in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government Amendment Act prior to 31 March 2003.
- Investigate reports of breaches of Bylaws and appropriate Maritime legislation and respond within five working days.
- Review the approved Marlborough Maritime Oil Spill Contingency Plan as required pursuant to Section 297 of the Maritime Transport Act prior to 23 January 2003.
- Conduct a desk-top marine oil spill response management exercise annually.
- Conduct at least one water equipment deployment exercise of appropriate oil spill response equipment located in Marlborough.
- Evaluate oil spill reports within one hour of notification.


## Harbour Control - Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

Financial Year
OPERATING COSTS
Harbour Control
Total Operating Costs FUNDED BY
Rates
User Charges
Other Revenue
Total Revenue
General Revenues Applied

## CAPITAL WORKS

Harbour Control
Total Capital Works
FUNDED BY
Transfers from -
Depreciation Reserve
Other Reserves

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ' s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501 | 496 | 495 | 489 | 490 | 490 | 493 | 495 | 497 | 501 |
| 501 | 496 | 495 | 489 | 490 | 490 | 493 | 495 | 497 | 501 |
| 343 | 339 | 337 | 342 | 341 | 341 | 342 | 342 | 342 | 344 |
| 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| 446 | 442 | 440 | 445 | 444 | 444 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 447 |
| 55 | 54 | 55 | 44 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 |
| 501 | 496 | 495 | 489 | 490 | 490 | 493 | 495 | 497 | 501 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 24 |
| - | - | - | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |

## Property

Activities in this group are associated with the following outcome:

- All property holdings provide the maximum benefit to the community by either achieving a commercial return on investment or appropriate levels of utilisation.


## Description

Council's property functions are carried out for community benefit and to assist Council operations.

The Marlborough District Council has inherited a number of property holdings due to the amalgamation of local councils and regional authorities. These properties can be classified as being held for commercial, community or operational means.
In addition to holding property Council occasionally becomes involved in the development of land holdings. Outlined in the Treasury

Management Policy of Marlborough District Council are the various reasons why Council holds property.
Council has limited its role in the development of land by selling or leasing land to enable commercial development to occur. Examples include the lease of land to enable vineyard development at Conders, Renwick and the sale of land for subdivision at Harbour Heights, Picton. In the case of the Forest Hills residential development at the Taylor Pass/ Wither Road area, Council entered into a development agreement with a third party which has seen the risks associated with development resting with the developer. Council intends to consult with the community with regard to the developments around the Wither Hills area, including the potential for further development of Forest Hills.

Council constantly reviews its land holdings to ensure that they are required by Council and are achieving the best return for the District.

## Objectives for 2002/2003

To maximise return on investment.

To provide provision of maximum benefit to the community.

## Performance Indicators

- Achieve a market acceptable return for land development whilst retaining minimal risk to Council.
- Manage Council's rental portfolio (including Community Housing) to achieve a minimum level of $90 \%$ occupancy at market rentals.
- Monthly report to be provided within ten working days from the end of the month that outlines expenditure/ income across all property groups against budget, compliance certifications, status of rent renewals, and acquisitions and disposals being undertaken for Council.


## Commercial and Other Property - <br> Operating and Capital Costs and Funding Forecasts

| Financial Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2002/03 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2003/04 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \text { s }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2004/05 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2005/06 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2006/07 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2007/08 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2008/09 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2009/10 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010/11 } \\ & (\$ 000 ’ s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2011/12 } \\ & (\$ 000 \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Leases | 145 | 139 | 145 | 138 | 143 | 159 | 138 | 184 | 139 | 150 |
| Rental Housing | 736 | 719 | 733 | 719 | 727 | 715 | 732 | 730 | 727 | 610 |
| Forestry | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| Land Development | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Operating Costs | 905 | 882 | 902 | 881 | 894 | 898 | 894 | 938 | 890 | 785 |
| Operating Surplus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transferred to Reserves | 357 | 386 | 371 | 399 | 394 | 399 | 412 | 377 | 435 | 460 |
|  | 1,262 | 1,268 | 1,273 | 1,280 | 1,288 | 1,297 | 1,306 | 1,315 | 1,325 | 1,245 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| User Charges | 1,243 | 1,243 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 | 1,240 |
| Other Revenue | 19 | 25 | 33 | 40 | 48 | 57 | 66 | 75 | 85 | 5 |
| Total Revenue | 1,262 | 1,268 | 1,273 | 1,280 | 1,288 | 1,297 | 1,306 | 1,315 | 1,325 | 1,245 |
| CAPITAL WORKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Housing | 120 | 83 | 91 | 92 | 101 | 88 | 93 | 88 | 120 | 120 |
| Total Capital Works | 120 | 83 | 91 | 92 | 101 | 88 | 93 | 88 | 120 | 120 |
| Debt Repayment | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 1,594 | 3 |
| Surplus - to Reserves | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 121 | 188 |
|  | 311 | 274 | 282 | 283 | 292 | 279 | 284 | 279 | 1,835 | 311 |
| FUNDED BY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Sale Proceeds | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 | 191 |
| Sinking Fund Withdrawals | 1s | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,524 | - |
| Transfers from - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation Reserve | 120 | 83 | 91 | 92 | 101 | 88 | 93 | 88 | 120 | 120 |
|  | 311 | 274 | 282 | 283 | 292 | 279 | 284 | 279 | 1,835 | 311 |

Refer to note 2 on page 56 for elimination of internal interest.

## SUBSIDIARIES AND JOINT COMMITTEES

## Marlborough <br> District Council

## MDC Holdings Limited

Port Marlborough NZ Limited
Marlborough Airport Limited

## MDC HOLDINGS LIMITED

The Marlborough District Council established MDC Holdings Limited on 1 July 1996. The holding company acquired Council's interest in Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited.
The company is a local authority trading enterprise (LATE) and is owned $100 \%$ by the Council.

## Objectives for 2002/2003

To operate as a successful holding company.
To provide the means for bringing the main trading enterprises of the Marlborough District Council together into one structure.

To separate the commercial trading activities of the Council from the other functions carried out by the Council.

To obtain commercial borrowing facilities at the most attractive rates attainable.

## Performance Targets

- Prepare and forward financial statements to Council in accordance with legislative requirements.
- Report annually to Council on the performance of the subsidiary trading enterprises.
- Report annually to Council on the level and rate of its borrowings.

MDC HOLDINGS LIMITED FORECAST FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Stand Alone)

|  | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's | \$000's |
| Cost of Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Costs | 3,453 | 4,134 | 4,088 | 3,963 | 3,467 | 2,948 | 2,430 | 1,765 | 1,586 | 1,581 |
| Revenue | 4,031 | 5,252 | 5,951 | 6,036 | 5,940 | 5,795 | 5,627 | 5,277 | 5,361 | 5,578 |
| Net Surplus/Deficit | 578 | 1,118 | 1,863 | 2,073 | 2,473 | 2,847 | 3,197 | 3,512 | 3,775 | 3,997 |
| Statement of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Equity | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Current Assets | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Less Current Liabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Working Capital | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| Non Current |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | 49,030 | 57,430 | 55,080 | 55,580 | 50,180 | 47,780 | 42,868 | 36,118 | 28,918 | 25,300 |
| Non Current |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities | 43,250 | 51,650 | 49,300 | 49,800 | 44,400 | 42,000 | 37,088 | 30,338 | 23,138 | 19,520 |
| Net Assets | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |

## PORT MARLBOROUGH NEW ZEALAND LIMITED

## Mission Statement

To expertly and profitably manage and develop the company's assets and operations to achieve their full potential and benefit the company's customers and shareholders.

## Relationship to Marlborough District Council

Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited is a company established under the Port Companies Act 1988. While the Marlborough District Council owns $100 \%$ of the shares through MDC Holdings Limited, its intent is that Port Marlborough operates as a separate commercial entity in a completely commercial manner.

Councillor David Dew is appointed as Marlborough District Council's representative on Port Marlborough's Board of Directors. The Company operates on a 30 June financial year.

Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited wholly owns Marlborough Airport Limited. Maintenance of the runways and
taxiways used by civil aircraft is the responsibility of the Airport Company as well as the provision of a terminal facility with associated minor freight handling.

## Port Marlborough Provides:

- Port facilities and services for Cook Strait freight and passenger ferry services including four roll on - roll off berths, a passenger terminal and associated facilities.
- Wharf facilities for cargo ships, cruise ships, fishing vessels, freight barges and vessels used in the marine farming industry.
- Stevedoring services for shippers using the Port of Picton.
- Cargo storage areas.
- Marinas and boat storage facilities for recreational craft and facilities for associated businesses.
- Facilities and services related to the above activities.
- The company is the sole shareholder of Marlborough Airport Limited which operates a passenger and freight terminal facility at Marlborough Airport (Woodbourne).


## Objectives for 2002/2003

To operate a successful port company.

## Performance Targets

- Report on performance against targets agreed in the company's Statement of Corporate Intent.


## PORT M ARLBOROUGH NZ LIMITED FORECAST FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Stand Alone)

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ 000 \prime \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 / 04 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 / 05 \\ \$ 000 \prime s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 / 06 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ 000 ' s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \$ 000 \text { 's } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ 000 \text { 's } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Costs <br> Revenue | $\begin{array}{r} 8,145 \\ 14,332 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,431 \\ 17,567 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,345 \\ 17,635 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,288 \\ 18,797 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,234 \\ 20,149 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,704 \\ 20,681 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,513 \\ 21,720 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,238 \\ 22,288 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,086 \\ 23,017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,250 \\ 23,756 \end{array}$ |
| Net Surplus/Deficit Taxation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 1 8 7} \\ & 2,042 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 1 3 6} \\ & 2,685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 2 9 0} \\ & 2,736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 , 5 0 9} \\ & 3,138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 0 , 9 1 5} \\ 3,602 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,977 \\ 3,952 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 3 , 2 0 7} \\ 4,358 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,050 \\ 4,636 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,931 \\ 4,927 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 5 , 5 0 6} \\ 5,117 \end{array}$ |
| Net Surplus/ Deficit after Tax | 4,145 | 5,451 | 5,554 | 6,371 | 7,313 | 8,025 | 8,849 | 9,414 | 10,004 | 10,389 |
| Statement of Financial Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Equity | 31,865 | 35,582 | 38,660 | 42,348 | 46,582 | 51,156 | 56,208 | 61,513 | 67,148 | 72,949 |
| Current Assets | 2,113 | 2,515 | 2,606 | 2,817 | 3,026 | 3,086 | 3,190 | 3,315 | 3,372 | 8,469 |
| Liabilities | 1,629 | 1,886 | 1,870 | 1,858 | 1,847 | 1,741 | 1,703 | 1,646 | 1,616 | 1,650 |
| Working Capital | 484 | 629 | 736 | 959 | 1,179 | 1,345 | 1,487 | 1,669, | 1,756 | 6,819 |
| Non Current Assets Non Current | 55,211 | 66,183 | 66,804 | 70,569 | 69,183 | 71,091 | 71,089 | 69,462 | 67,810 | 66,130 |
| Liabilities | 23,830 | 31,230 | 28,880 | 29,180 | 23,780 | 21,280 | 16,368 | 9,618 | 2,418 | 0 |
| Net Assets | 31,865 | 35,582 | 38,660 | 42,348 | 46,582 | 51,156 | 56,208 | 61,513 | 67,148 | 72,949 |

## MARLBOROUGH REGIONAL FORESTRY Goal

To maximise return on investment.

Marlborough Regional Forestry (MRF) is a joint committee of the Marlborough District Council and the Kaikoura District Council (KDC). MDC owns $88.62 \%$ of the forestry estate and KDC owns the remaining $11.38 \%$. The estate was valued at $\$ 33.3$ million as at 30 June 2001.

The primary aim of production forest management is to create a resource that will maximise utilisation and provide the best financial return from the predominantly radiata pine forests.

Clear felling operations, which have commenced are expected to reach a sustainable annual harvest of $59,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

Distributions made to Council will in the first instance repay advances provided for projects such as the Picton sewage treatment plant and Renwick sewerage. Funds remaining have been set aside to fund infrastructure projects in accordance with the contributions made by the different areas within Marlborough.

## Objectives for 2002/ 2003

To ensure the programme of timber extraction maximises return on investment.

## Performance Targets

- Harvesting strategy maintained.
- Operating plans for pruning, maintenance and replanting reviewed annually.


## MARLBOROUGH REGIONAL FORESTRY FORECAST FINANCIAL INFORMATION

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ 000 ’ \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 / 04 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 / 05 \\ \$ 000 ' s \end{array}$ | 2005/06 <br> \$000's | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ 000 \prime \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ 000 ’ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ 000 ' s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \$ 000 \prime \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ 000 \text { 's } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ 000 \text { 's } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Services Operating Costs | 925 | 724 | 741 | 720 | 675 | 707 | 718 | 743 | 702 | 717 |
| Revenue | 3,803 | 4,034 | 3,027 | 3,462 | 3,512 | 3,638 | 3,905 | 3,520 | 4,221 | 4,006 |
| Net Surplus/Deficit | 2,878 | 3,310 | 2,285 | 2,742 | 2,837 | 2,932 | 3,187 | 2,777 | 3,518 | 3,289 |
| Statement of <br> Financial Position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Equity | 23,093 | 25,604 | 26,589 | 28,031 | 29,568 | 31,000 | 32,687 | 33,964 | 35,983 | 37,772 |
| Current Assets | 976 | 2,744 | 3,324 | 4,362 | 5,496 | 6,525 | 7,810 | 8,687 | 10,306 | 11,636 |
| Liabilities | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 |
| Working Capital | 569 | 2,337 | 2,917 | 3,955 | 5,089 | 6,118 | 7,403 | 8,280 | 9,898 | 11,279 |
| Non Current Assets Non Current | 33,317 | 33,287 | 33,258 | 33,228 | 33,199 | 33,169 | 33,140 | 33,110 | 33,081 | 33,051 |
| Liaibilities | 10,793 | 10,020 | 9,576 | 9,152 | 8,720 | 8,287 | 7,856 | 7,426 | 6,996 | 6,558 |
| Net Assets | 23,093 | 25,604 | 26,589 | 28,031 | 29,568 | 31,000 | 32,687 | 33,964 | 35,983 | 37,772 |

## STATEM ENT OF CASH FLOWS

## CONSOLIDATED

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW FORECASTS for the year ending 30 June

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 \\ (000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ (000 ’ s) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ (000 ’ s) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES <br> Cash Provided From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 27,225 | 27,523 | 27,682 | 27,964 | 28,019 | 28,093 | 28,250 | 28,703 | 28,805 | 29,093 |
| Tax Refund | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| User Charges \& Other Receipts | 31,523 | 34,609 | 34,206 | 35,565 | 37,088 | 38,050 | 39,790 | 39,464 | 40,886 | 41,390 |
| Interest | 510 | 505 | 586 | 725 | 825 | 971 | 1,118 | 1,260 | 1,426 | 1,499 |
|  | 59,258 | 62,637 | 62,624 | 64,254 | 65,932 | 67,114 | 69,158 | 69,427 | 71,117 | 71,982 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments to Suppliers \& Employees | 35,569 | 35,737 | 35,390 | 34,849 | 36,018 | 36,343 | 36,899 | 36,624 | 35,168 | 36,029 |
| Interest Paid | 3,662 | 4,834 | 4,712 | 4,931 | 4,518 | 4,073 | 3,556 | 3,053 | 2,974 | 2,974 |
| Taxes Paid | 2,090 | 2,736 | 2,736 | 3,192 | 3,660 | 4,014 | 4,424 | 4,706 | 5,002 | 5,196 |
|  | 41,321 | 43,307 | 42,838 | 42,972 | 44,196 | 44,430 | 44,879 | 44,383 | 43,144 | 44,199 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 17,937 | 19,330 | 19,786 | 21,282 | 21,736 | 22,684 | 24,279 | 25,044 | 27,973 | 27,783 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash Provided From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposal of Fixed Assets | 338 | 239 | 252 | 238 | 239 | 236 | 235 | 242 | 236 | 252 |
| Mortgage Repayments Received | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 358 | 259 | 252 | 238 | 239 | 236 | 235 | 242 | 236 | 252 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinking Fund Investments | 1,260 | 1,395 | 1,425 | 1,562 | 1,509 | 1,539 | 1,539 | 1,595 | 1,592 | 1,549 |
| Sinking Fund Interest | $221$ | 282 | 374 | 472 | 543 | 654 | 773 | 895 | 1,027 | 1,077 |
| Purchase of Fixed Assets | 26,856 | 29,117 | 11,716 | 16,976 | 8,861 | 12,155 | 10,777 | 9,686 | 7,687 | 9,923 |
|  | 28,337 | 30,794 | 13,515 | 19,010 | 10,913 | 14,348 | 13,089 | 12,176 | 10,306 | 12,549 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | -27,979 | -30,535 | -13,263 | -18,772 | -10,674 | -14,112 | -12,854 | -11,934 | -10,070 | -12,297 |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES <br> Cash Provided From: Term Loans | 11,475 | 14,917 | 1,043 | 5,862 | 1,605 | 1,023 | 24 | 2,088 | 30 | 1,584 |
|  | 11,475 | 14,917 | 1,043 | 5,862 | 1,605 | 1,023 | 24 | 2,088 | 30 | 1,584 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Loans | 2,565 | 1,712 | 2,749 | 1,399 | 5,999 | 2,899 | 5,311 | 7,149 | 7,599 | 2,826 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 8,910 | 13,205 | -1,706 | 4,463 | -4,394 | -1,876 | -5,287 | -5,061 | -7,569 | -1,242 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | -1,132 | 2,000 | 4,817 | 6,973 | 6,668 | 6,696 | 6,138 | 8,049 | 10,334 | 14,244 |
| ADD OPENING CASH BALANCE | 12,747 | 11,615 | 13,615 | 18,432 | 25,405 | 32,073 | 38,769 | 44,907 | 52,956 | 63,290 |
| CLOSING CASH BALANCE | 11,615 | 13,615 | 18,432 | 25,405 | 32,073 | 38,769 | 44,907 | 52,956 | 63,290 | 77,534 |
| Represented By: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Deposits | 11,615 | 13,615 | 18,432 | 25,405 | 32,073 | 38,769 | 44,907 | 52,956 | 63,290 | 77,534 |

MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW FORECASTS
for the year ending 30 June

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 \\ (000 ’ s) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 \\ (000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 \\ (000 ’ s) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 \\ (000 ’ \mathrm{~s}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ (000 \text { 's }) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES <br> Cash Provided From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rates | 27,373 | 27,674 | 27,836 | 28,121 | 28,179 | 28,256 | 28,416 | 28,872 | 28,977 | 29,268 |
| User Charges \& Other Receipts | 16,896 | 17,158 | 16,266 | 16,603 | 16,794 | 17,111 | 17,867 | 16,901 | 17,609 | 17,363 |
| Interest | 1,108 | 1,100 | 1,198 | 1,314 | 1,402 | 1,537 | 1,675 | 1,810 | 1,962 | 2,028 |
| Dividends Received | 578 | 1,118 | 1,863 | 2,073 | 2,473 | 2,847 | 3,197 | 3,512 | 3,775 | 3,997 |
|  | 45,955 | 47,050 | 47,163 | 48,111 | 48,848 | 49,751 | 51,155 | 51,095 | 52,323 | 52,656 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments to Suppliers \& Employees | 30,323 | 30,673 | 30,548 | 29,916 | 30,795 | 31,054 | 31,540 | 30,885 | 29,547 | 30,333 |
| Interest Paid | 790 | 1,294 | 1,365 | 1,725 | 1,808 | 1,890 | 1,891 | 2,053 | 2,057 | 2,062 |
| Subvention Payment | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 619 |
|  | 31,732 | 32,586 | 32,532 | 32,260 | 33,222 | 33,563 | 34,050 | 33,557 | 32,223 | 33,014 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 14,223 | 14,464 | 14,631 | 15,851 | 15,626 | 16,188 | 17,105 | 17,538 | 20,100 | 19,642 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposal of Fixed Assets | 338 | 239 | 252 | 238 | 239 | 236 | 235 | 242 | 236 | 252 |
| Mortgage Repayments Received | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 358 | 259 | 252 | 238 | 239 | 236 | 235 | 242 | 236 | 252 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinking Fund Investments | 1,260 | 1,395 | 1,425 | 1,562 | 1,509 | 1,539 | 1,539 | 1,595 | 1,592 | 1,549 |
| Sinking Fund Interest | 221 | 282 | 374 | 472 | 543 | 654 | 773 | 895 | 1,027 | 1,077 |
| Purchase of Fixed Assets | 15,638 | 16,497 | 9,346 | 11,476 | 8,361 | 8,336 | 8,677 | 9,186 | 7,187 | 9,423 |
|  | 17,119 | 18,174 | 11,145 | 13,510 | 10,413 | 10,529 | 10,989 | 11,676 | 9,806 | 12,049 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | $(16,761)$ | $(17,915)$ | $(10,893)$ | $(13,272)$ | $(10,174)$ | $(10,293)$ | $(10,754)$ | $(11,434)$ | $(9,570)$ | $(11,797)$ |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES Cash Provided From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Loans | 3,875 | 6,517 | 1,043 | 4,562 | 1,405 | 1,023 | 24 | 2,088 | 30 | 1,584 |
| Cash Applied To: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Loans | 2,565 | 712 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 399 | 408 |
| NET CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 1,310 | 5,805 | 644 | 4,163 | 1,006 | 624 | (375) | 1,689 | (369) | 1,176 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | $(1,228)$ | 2,354 | 4,382 | 6,742 | 6,458 | 6,519 | 5,976 | 7,793 | 10,161 | 9,021 |
| ADD OPENING CASH BALANCE | 12,470 | 11,242 | 13,596 | 17,978 | 24,720 | 31,178 | 37,697 | 43,673 | 51,466 | 61,627 |
| CLOSING CASH BALANCE | 11,242 | 13,596 | 17,978 | 24,720 | 31,178 | 37,697 | 43,673 | 51,466 | 61,627 | 70,648 |
| Represented By: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Deposits | 11,242 | 13,596 | 17,978 | 24,720 | 31,178 | 37,697 | 43,673 | 51,466 | 61,627 | 70,648 |

## STATEM ENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORM ANCE

## CONSOLIDATED

STATEM ENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FORECASTS - FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{n n n y y y y y y}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ |

## MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

STATEM ENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FORECASTS - FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | 2003 <br> \$000 | 2004 <br> \$000 | 2005 <br> $\$ 000$ | 2006 <br> \$000 | 2007 <br> \$000 | 2008 <br> \$000 | 2009 <br> $\$ 000$ | 2010 <br> \$000 | 2011 <br> \$000 | $2012$ <br> \$000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Cost of Council Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Significant Activities - Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community, Recreation, Culture and Heritage | 5,057 | 5,134 | 5,023 | 5,032 | 5,013 | 5,022 | 5,030 | 5,034 | 4,986 | 4,979 |
| Economic Development | 610 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 | 490 |
| Rivers and Land Drainage | 2,253 | 2,228 | 2,230 | 2,228 | 2,233 | 2,226 | 2,227 | 2,227 | 2,236 | 2,228 |
| Land Transport and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Services | 8,648 | 8,701 | 8,673 | 8,670 | 8,647 | 8,642 | 8,648 | 8,660 | 8,659 | 8,663 |
| Sewerage | 2,300 | 2,611 | 2,638 | 2,657 | 2,778 | 2,706 | 2,743 | 2,832 | 2,944 | 2,943 |
| Stormwater | 559 | 559 | 557 | 559 | 560 | 562 | 565 | 566 | 567 | 555 |
| Waste Management | 2,367 | 2,380 | 2,376 | 2,498 | 2,523 | 2,530 | 2,539 | 2,547 | 2,555 | 2,606 |
| Water | 2,059 | 2,270 | 2,326 | 2,543 | 2,605 | 2,655 | 2,657 | 2,685 | 2,686 | 2,690 |
| Animal Control | 194 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 | 193 |
| Democratic Process | 1,626 | 1,649 | 1,696 | 1,627 | 1,630 | 1,672 | 1,614 | 1,637 | 1,681 | 1,619 |
| Emergency Management | 575 | 425 | 415 | 416 | 416 | 416 | 417 | 417 | 417 | 419 |
|  | 26,248 | 26,640 | 26,617 | 26,913 | 27,088 | 27,114 | 27,123 | 27,288 | 27,414 | 27,385 |
| Significant Activities - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animals and Plant Pests Control | 3,619 | 4,005 | 4,033 | 4,096 | 4,174 | 4,350 | 4,930 | 4,281 | 4,283 | 4,287 |
| Consents and Compliance | 3,404 | 3,355 | 3,355 | 3,352 | 3,343 | 3,342 | 3,346 | 3,348 | 3,353 | 3,370 |
| Environment | 2,158 | 2,153 | 2,156 | 2,153 | 2,152 | 2,156 | 2,161 | 2,165 | 2,168 | 2,168 |
| Harbour Control | 501 | 496 | 495 | 489 | 490 | 490 | 493 | 495 | 497 | 501 |
|  | 9,682 | 10,009 | 10,039 | 10,090 | 10,159 | 10,338 | 10,930 | 10,289 | 10,301 | 10,326 |
| Significant Activities - <br> Commercial <br> Commercial and Other Property | 774 | 751 | 771 | 750 | 763 | 767 | 763 | 807 | 759 | 774 |
|  | 774 | 751 | 771 | 750 | 763 | 767 | 763 | 807 | 759 | 774 |
| General Expenses | 817 | 669 | 696 | 719 | 708 | 708 | 710 | 691 | 710 | 723 |
| Subvention Payment to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marlborough Regional Forestry Expenditure | 511 | 362 | 405 | 414 | 402 | 458 | 496 | 545 | 538 | 578 |
| Total Expenditure of Council Activities | Total Expenditure of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District wide -General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,535 |
| Geographic Areas General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Separate Rates \& Charges | 12,213 9,711 | 12,267 9,892 | 12,278 9,990 | 10,174 | 10,345 | 10,320 | 10,503 | 10,700 | 10,809 | 10,853 |
| Subsidies \& Grants | 5,591 | 5,818 | 5,760 | 5,740 | 5,889 | 6,055 | 6,586 | 6,011 | 6,032 | 6,028 |
| Interest | 1,195 | 1,150 | 1,349 | 1,499 | 1,647 | 1,848 | 2,045 | 2,260 | 2,461 | 2,740 |
| Dividends | 578 | 1,118 | 1,863 | 2,073 | 2,473 | 2,847 | 3,197 | 3,512 | 3,775 | 3,997 |
| Other - Marlborough |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District Council | 7,525 | 7,324 | 7,508 | 7,435 | 7,435 | 7,467 | 7,435 | 7,436 | 7,432 | 7,414 |
| Other - Subsidiaries | 3,283 | 3,526 | 2,531 | 2,882 | 2,867 | 2,914 | 3,090 | 2,676 | 3,245 | 2,962 |
| Total Revenue | 45,544 | 46,609 | 46,847 | 47,751 | 48,490 | 49,387 | 50,769 | 50,767 | 51,923 | 52,410 |
| Less Total Expenses | 38,651 | 39,050 | 39,147 | 39,505 | 39,739 | 40,004 | 40,641 | 40,239 | 40,341 | 40,406 |
| Surplus / (Deficit) | 6,893 | 7,559 | 7,700 | 8,246 | 8,751 | 9,383 | 10,128 | 10,528 | 11,583 | 12,003 |
| Taxation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net Surplus / (Deficit) after Taxation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,893 | 7,559 | 7,700 | 8,246 | 8,751 | 9,383 | 10,128 | 10,528 | 11,583 | 12,003 |

## STATEM ENT OF MOVEM ENTS IN EQUITY

## CONSOLIDATED

STATEMENT OF MOVEMENTS IN EQUITY FORECASTS - FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | $2003$ | $2004$ $\$ 000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $2006$ $\$ 000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity at beginning of year | 457,063 | 467,000 | 478,379 | 489,252 | 501,294 | 514,395 | 528,476 | 543,788 | 559,762 | 577,129 |
| Surplus / (Deficit) and Revaluations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Surplus / (Deficit) after Taxation | 9,937 | 11,379 | 10,873 | 12,042 | 13,101 | 14,081 | 15,312 | 15,974 | 17,367 | 17,966 |
| Closing Equity | 467,000 | 478,379 | 489,252 | 501,294 | 514,395 | 528,476 | 543,788 | 559,762 | 577,129 | 595,094 |

## MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

STATEMENT OF MOVEM ENTS IN EQUITY FORECASTS - FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | 2003 <br> \$000 | 2004 <br> \$000 | $2005$ $\$ 000$ | 2006 <br> \$000 | 2007 <br> \$000 | 2008 <br> \$000 | 2009 <br> \$000 | 2010 <br> \$000 | $2011$ <br> \$000 | $2012$ $\$ 000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity at beginning of year | 452,699 | 459,592 | 467,151 | 474,851 | 483,097 | 491,848 | 501,231 | 511,359 | 521,887 | 533,470 |
| Surplus / (Deficit) and Revaluations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Surplus / (Deficit) after Taxation | 6,893 | 7,559 | 7,700 | 8,246 | 8,751 | 9,383 | 10,128 | 10,528 | 11,583 | 12,003 |
| Closing Equity | 459,592 | 467,151 | 474,851 | 483,097 | 491,848 | 501,231 | 511,359 | 521,887 | 533,470 | 545,473 |

## STATEM ENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

## CONSOLIDATED

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION FORECASTS- FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $2008$ $\$ 000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratepayers' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Funds/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained Earnings | 393,054 | 410,902 | 420,149 | 425,645 | 431,515 | 437,346 | 443,775 | 452,284 | 459,029 | 468,312 | 477,298 |
| Special Funds | 21,021 | 21,524 | 23,656 | 29,033 | 35,205 | 42,475 | 50,127 | 56,930 | 66,159 | 74,243 | 83,222 |
| Revaluation Reserve | 30,717 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 |
| EQUITY | 444,792 | 467,000 | 478,379 | 489,252 | 501,294 | 514,395 | 528,476 | 543,788 | 559,762 | 577,129 | 595,094 |
| Represented By:Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Deposits | 11,608 | 11,615 | 13,615 | 18,432 | 25,405 | 32,073 | 38,769 | 44,907 | 52,956 | 63,290 | 77,534 |
| Receivables \& Prepayments | 4,553 | 4,497 | 4,923 | 4,937 | 5,093 | 5,273 | 5,348 | 5,488 | 5,566 | 5,666 | 5,767 |
| Stocks | 231 | 261 | 264 | 268 | 271 | 275 | 278 | 282 | 285 | 289 | 293 |
|  | 16,392 | 16,373 | 18,802 | 23,637 | 30,769 | 37,621 | 44,395 | 50,677 | 58,807 | 69,245 | 83,594 |
| Less:- <br> Current Liabilities | Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payables \& Accruals | 8,469 | 8,171 | 8,429 | 8,415 | 8,405 | 8,394 | 8,290 | 8,253 | 8,198 | 8,169 | 8,205 |
| Deposits Trusts \& Agencies | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
|  | 9,159 | 8,861 | 9,119 | 9,105 | 9,095 | 9,084 | 8,980 | 8,943 | 8,888 | 8,859 | 8,895 |
| Working Capital | 7,233 | 7,512 | 9,683 | 14,532 | 21,674 | 28,537 | 35,415 | 41,734 | 49,919 | 60,386 | 74,699 |
| Non Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Taxation | 168 | 184 | 200 | 19 | 35 | 51 | 67 | 83 | 99 | 115 | 131 |
| Investments | 5,838 | 7,735 | 9,791 | 11,969 | 13,699 | 16,140 | 18,798 | 21,438 | 24,270 | 25,746 | 28,148 |
| Fixed Assets | 472,735 | 498,656 | 518,973 | 521,757 | 529,838 | 529,689 | 532,808 | 534,323 | 534,670 | 532,976 | 533,446 |
|  | 478,741 | 506,575 | 528,964 | 533,745 | 543,572 | 545,880 | 551,673 | 555,844 | 559,039 | 558,837 | 561,725 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET ASSETS | 444,792 | 467,000 | 478,379 | 489,252 | 501,294 | 514,395 | 528,476 | 543,788 | 559,762 | 577,129 | 595,094 |

MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION FORECASTS- FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH JUNE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2002 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \$ 000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratepayers' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Funds/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained Earnings | 388,265 | 403,494 | 408,921 | 411,244 | 413,318 | 414,799 | 416,530 | 419,855 | 421,154 | 424,653 | 427,677 |
| Special Funds | 21,021 | 21,524 | 23,656 | 29,033 | 35,205 | 42,475 | 50,127 | 56,930 | 66,159 | 74,243 | 83,222 |
| Revaluation Reserve | 30,717 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 |
| EQUITY | 440,003 | 459,592 | 467,151 | 474,851 | 483,097 | 491,848 | 501,231 | 511,359 | 521,887 | 533,470 | 545,473 |
| Represented By:- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Deposits | 10,768 | 11,242 | 13,596 | 17,978 | 24,720 | 31,178 | 37,697 | 43,673 | 51,466 | 61,627 | 70,648 |
| Receivables \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepayments | 2,846 | 2,515 | 2,520 | 2,525 | 2,530 | 2,535 | 2,540 | 2,545 | 2,550 | 2,555 | 2,560 |
| Stocks | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 13,714 | 13,857 | 16,216 | 20,603 | 27,350 | 33,813 | 40,337 | 46,318 | 54,116 | 64,282 | 73,308 |
| Less:- <br> Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payables \& Accruals | 7,253 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 | 7,211 |
| Deposits Trusts \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,943 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 | 7,901 |
| Working Capital | 5,771 | 5,956 | 8,315 | 12,702 | 19,449 | 25,912 | 32,436 | 38,417 | 46,215 | 56,381 | 65,407 |
| Non Current Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments | 19,578 | 21,475 | 23,531 | 25,709 | 27,439 | 29,880 | 32,538 | 35,178 | 38,010 | 39,486 | 41,888 |
| Fixed Assets | 427,720 | 443,075 | 452,450 | 454,642 | 458,988 | 460,255 | 461,496 | 463,043 | 465,047 | 465,036 | 467,215 |
|  | 447,298 | 464,550 | 475,981 | 480,351 | 486,427 | 490,135 | 494,034 | 498,221 | 503,057 | 504,522 | 509,103 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Liabilities | 13,066 | 10,914 | 17,145 | 18,202 | 22,779 | 24,199 | 25,239 | 25,279 | 27,385 | 27,433 | 29,037 |
| NET ASSETS | 440,003 | 459,592 | 467,151 | 474,851 | 483,097 | 491,848 | 501,231 | 511,359 | 521,887 | 533,470 | 545,473 |

## STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Reporting Entity

Marlborough District Council (MDC) is a unitary authority as defined by the Local Government Act 1974. These financial statements comprise the activities of the Marlborough District Council and the other entities in which the Council has a significant interest.

The group consists of:

- MDC Holdings Limited - MDC wholly owned
- Port Marlborough NZ Limited - wholly owned by MDC Holdings Limited
- Marlborough Airport Limited - wholly owned by Port Marlborough NZ Limited

The financial statements have been prepared in terms of Sections 223D and 223E of the Local Government Act 1974.

## Measurement Base

The accounting principles recognised as appropriate for the measurement and report of financial performance, cashflows and financial position on an historical cost basis are followed in the financial statements, with the exception that certain fixed assets have been revalued.

## Accounting Policies

The following are the particular accounting policies which have a material effect on the measurement and reporting of results and financial position.

## Basis of Consolidation - Purchase Method

The consolidated financial statements include Marlborough District Council and its subsidiaries. The subsidiaries are accounted for using the purchase method, which involves adding together corresponding assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses on a line-by-line basis. All significant inter-entity transactions are eliminated on consolidation.

## Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are valued at expected realisable value.

## Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Where the cost basis is used the cost has been determined by the weighted average cost method.

## Fixed Assets

## Land Improvements and Buildings

Land was valued by QV Valuations (Registered Valuers). The value is based on net current value as at 1 July 1999.
Improvements and buildings were valued by Alexander Hayward Limited (Registered Valuers). The values are based on the 1 July 1999 lower of depreciated replacement cost or net realisable value. Depreciation has been provided for the year ended 30 June 2001. Any assets acquired subsequent to 1 July 1999 are shown at cost less depreciation.

In the case of Port Marlborough these assets are stated as acquisition cost less accumulated depreciation.

In the case of Marlborough Regional Forestry these assets were valued by Merrill \& Ring New Zealand Limited (Forestry Consultants). The land was based on the 1 September 1999 government valuation adjusted for capital roading undertaken after government valuation date.

## Library Books and Parking Meters

These are stated at independent valuation as at 30 June 1991 less accumulated depreciation plus additions at cost. The valuation was undertaken by Landcorp Management Services Limited, Registered Valuers, and was based on depreciated replacement cost. This valuation is deemed to be the cost of the asset and there is no intention to revalue these assets.

## Forestry

The Conders Bend forest was revalued by Mr Murray Turbitt (Bachelor of Forestry) of Merrill \& Ring New Zealand Limited as at 30 June 2001. The basis of valuation is the 'Expectation Method'.

The 'Expectation Method' involves forecasting future cashflows likely to be generated from the forest. These cashflows are then discounted back to the present giving a net present value.

Marlborough Regional Forestry forest crop values were determined by Merrill \& Ring New Zealand Limited at 30 June 2001. The basis of valuation is the estate based Net Present Value Method.

## Other Fixed Assets Excluding Infrastructural Assets

With the exception of Port Marlborough these are stated at cost or independent valuations (as at 19 March 1990) plus the cost of additions less accumulated depreciation. This valuation is deemed to be the cost of the asset and there is no intention to revalue these assets. The valuations were undertaken by Harrison Grierson Consultants Limited, Registered Valuers, and were based on each item being valued as an essential part of the whole activity. Valuations were established at current market rates for reinstating the unit, and thereafter adjusting downward having regard to the age and condition of the items.

In the case of Port Marlborough these assets are stated at acquisition cost less accumulated depreciation.

## Infrastructural Assets

## (Marlborough District Council Only)

Infrastructural assets are recorded at valuation, plus additions at cost less accumulated depreciation. Land under roads has not been valued.

Roads, bridges, wharves, street lighting, water, sewer, stormwater and river control and drainage assets were valued at depreciated replacement cost as at 1 July 1998. The valuation was performed by Council engineering staff directly associated with managing these assets. Independent reviews of these valuations were carried out by Opus International Consultants (roads, bridges, wharves and street lighting) and Alexander Hayward Limited (water, sewer, stormwater, river control and drainage).

Reserves, public conveniences, war memorial and unmetered carparks land was valued by QV Valuations (Registered Valuers). The value is based on as at 1 July 1999 'net current value'. Any land acquired after 1 July 1999 is shown at cost.

Reserves, public conveniences, war memorial and unmetered carpark buildings and improvements were valued by Alexander Hayward Limited (Registered Valuers). The value is based on, as at 1 July 1999, the lower of cost and net realisable value. Any buildings and improvements acquired after 1 July 1999 are shown at cost less depreciation. Depreciation has been provided for the year ended 30 June 2001.

Certain infrastructural assets have been vested in Council as part of the subdivision consent process. Vested infrastructure assets have been valued based on the estimated quantities of the components vested in Council.

## Decline in Service Potential

The basis for measuring the change in service potential of Roading infrastructural assets is the long run average of future renewals expenditure as indicated by the Asset Management Plan. A two-step approach to recognising changes in service potential is adopted as follows:

1. The annual proportion of the long run average future expenditure is expensed each period as depreciation.
2. Any work undertaken to reinstate service potential is capitalised.

In addition, any work that adds to the service potential of the asset is capitalised.
In determining the long-run average cost of the renewals the following useful lives have been used.

## Roads:

| top surface (seal) | $12-24$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| pavement (basecourse) | 100 years |
| formation | N/A (no decline in service potential) |
| culverts | $40-50$ years |
| footpaths | $25-80$ years |
| kerb and channel | 80 years |
| retaining walls | 40 years |
| signs | 15 years |
| street lights | 20 years |

Depreciation is charged in the financial statements based on remaining economic life.

## Building

(excluding properties intended for sale) 100 years
Council bridges 25-100 years
Council wharves
40 years
Port Marlborough wharves and marinas $10-50$ years
Council computers (excluding GEMS) 4 years
Council GEMS computer equipment 5 years
Plant, equipment
(excluding Council infrastructural assets) 5-13.33 years
Mowers, chainsaws 1-2 years
Parking meters 10 years
Library books 13.33 years
Water Reticulation:
pipes 90-105 years
pumps and mechanical 25-40 years
reservoirs $70-150$ years
structures 100-150 years
Sewerage Reticulation:
pipes
105-120 years
pumps and mechanical
25-40 years
structures
100-150 years
Stormwater Systems:

| pipes | $105-120$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| pumps | $25-40$ years |

Apart from plant and equipment (see above), no provision has been made for depreciation on the fixed assets of the Marlborough Regional Forestry. These assets principally comprise land and improvements, forests and forestry development.

As owner and operator of the Blenheim landfill, Council has depreciated the cost of the landfill development over its 30 year life and charged the depreciation to operating costs. The amortisation rate is based on volume utilisation divided by the capacity of the landfill site.

## Landfill Post Closure Costs

As owner and operator of the Blenheim landfill, Council has a legal obligation to provide ongoing maintenance and monitoring services at the landfill site after closure. To provide for these estimated costs of aftercare, a charge is made each year based on a sum being invested annually which together with interest thereon will at the end of the life of the landfill yield the estimated aftercare costs for a period of 20 years.

## Taxation

The Council and its subsidiaries use the liability method of accounting for deferred taxation and applies this on a comprehensive basis. Future tax benefits attributable to tax losses or timing differences are only recognised when there is virtual certainty of realisation. Marlborough Regional Forestry is a joint committee of Marlborough District Council (88.62\%) and Kaikoura District Council (11.38\%) and is not subject to income tax on income from its own operations.

## Goods and Services Taxation

These accounts are prepared on a GST exclusive basis except for accounts receivable/accounts payable which are shown GST inclusive.

## Cost of District Council's Significant Activities

(a) Operating Costs

All direct costs of providing services and allocating overheads have been charged to the relevant significant activity.
(b) Direct costs include salaries and wages, materials, services, depreciation and a share of the other costs of managing each significant activity within the groupings of:

- Services
- $\quad$ Resource Management and Regulatory
- Commercial and Other Property
(c) Allocated Overheads

The costs of providing supporting services for the Marlborough District Council are accumulated and then allocated to each significant activity using appropriate allocation bases which reflect usage and/or capacity for each significant activity.
(d) General type rates have been allocated to significant activities of Council in the Cost of Service statements. The basis of allocation is as detailed in the Annual Plan.

## Separate Rate Financed Activities

Where significant activities include the delivery of services which benefit a specific group within the community, the net cost of delivering such services is financed where practicable by separate rate charged only to that specific group. Such rates are shown separately as revenue earned by the particular significant activity.

## Special Purpose Reserves

(a) Revenue

Revenue related to special purpose reserves is reported in the Statement of Financial Performance. It is not available for ordinary purposes.

## Expenditure

Operating expenditure from a special purpose reserve is shown within the appropriate significant activity to which it relates. Capital expenditure is added to the appropriate fixed asset category in the Statement of Financial Position.
(c) Appropriations

The net amount of special purpose reserves revenue less allowable expenditure as in (b) above, is appropriated from accumulated funds to special purpose reserves each year. These appropriations are shown in note 6.

## Employee Entitlements

The gratuity and long service provisions have been calculated on an actuarial basis which recognises the liability for what is likely to be paid out to staff over the long term based on years of service accumulated to date.

## Investments

All investments are valued at the lower of cost and net realisable values. Records of the cost were not available for all investment when the Council adopted accrual accounting methods. In those instances where the original cost of an investment was not available, par or nominal value has been used. Where investments have been purchased at a premium/discount that premium/discount is amortised over the term of the investment.

## Financial Instruments

The Council and its subsidiaries are party to financial instrument arrangements as part of its everyday operations. These financial instruments include cash and bank balances, investments, receivables, payables and borrowing. These instruments are generally carried at their estimated fair value as described in the Statement of Financial Position. Revenues and expenses in relation to financial instruments are recognised in the Statement of Financial Performance.

## Statement of Cash Flows

Cash means cash balances on hand, held in bank accounts, demand deposits and other highly liquid investments in which the Council or group invests as part of its day-to-day cash management.
Operating activities include cash received from all income sources of the group and record the cash payments made for the supply of goods and services.
Agency transactions are recognised as receipts and payments in the Statement of Cash Flows given that they flow through the Council's main bank account.
Investing activities are those activities relating to the acquisition and disposal of non-current assets.
Financing activities comprise activities that change the equity and debt capital structure of the Council and group.

## Changes in Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes in accounting policies. All policies have been applied on a basis consistent with that used in the previous accounting period.

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. All Financial Information Contained in the Notes are Forecasts (Budgets)
The forecasts have been prepared on the basis of assumptions as to future events that the Council reasonably expects to occur that are associated with actions that the Council reasonably expects to take place as at the date the information is prepared.
The actual results achieved for the years ending 30 June 2003 to 2012 are likely to vary from the information presented, and the variations may be material.
2. Elimination of Internal Interest

In order to minimise the risk of interest rate fluctuations on externally borrowed funds and maximise interest earned on Council investments, Council has continued to finance its loan requirements internally, wherever possible. The interest rate used is the estimated average market rates prevailing over the term of the loan. The interest on these loans is recorded as an expense in the Significant Activity Accounts.
This internal interest has been eliminated from the Consolidated Statement of Financial Performance as follows:


Net Surplus after Taxation
The Net Operating Surplus together with Loan Raising, Asset Sales and Utilisation of Reserves are fully utilised for Capital Expenditure and Loan Repayments as per the table below.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $2003 / 04$ $\$ 000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 / 05 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 / 06 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Expenditure | 15,638 | 16,497 | 9,346 | 11,476 | 8,361 | 8,336 | 8,677 | 9,186 | 7,187 | 9,423 |
| Loan Repayments | 3,825 | 2,107 | 1,824 | 1,961 | 1,908 | 1,938 | 1,938 | 1,994 | 1,991 | 1,957 |
|  | 19,463 | 18,604 | 11,170 | 13,437 | 10,269 | 10,274 | 10,615 | 11,180 | 9,178 | 11,380 |
| Surplus on Operations | 6,893 | 7,574 | 7,715 | 8,261 | 8,768 | 9,400 | 10,146 | 10,548 | 11,602 | 12,024 |
| New Loans | 3,875 | 6,517 | 1,043 | 4,562 | 1,405 | 1,023 | 24 | 2,088 | 30 | 1,584 |
| Net Movement in Reserves | 8,357 | 4,274 | 2,160 | 376 | (143) | (385) | 210 | $(1,698)$ | $(2,690)$ | $(2,480)$ |
| Asset Sales | 338 | 239 | 252 | 238 | 239 | 236 | 235 | 242 | 236 | 252 |
|  | 19,463 | 18,604 | 11,170 | 13,437 | 10,269 | 10,274 | 10,615 | 11,180 | 9,178 | 11,380 |


| 4. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY (GST exclusive) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 / 04 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 / 05 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 / 06 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Funded by General Rates \& Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Swimming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pools | Picton | Capex | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Awatere |  | Capex | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 3,500 | 0 | 4,500 | 0 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 8,000 | 0 |
| Funded by Land Subdivision Account |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserves | Unspecified | Structures and Improvements | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 |
|  | Esplanade | Structures and Improvements | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
|  | Athletic Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | A \& P Park | Structures and Improvements | 15,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Burleigh | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ching Park | Structures and Improvements | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Churchwood Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Dillons Point Cty Centre | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 |
|  | Eltham Road Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fultons Creek Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | George Powell Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hammond Place Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Harling Park | Structures and Improvements | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 20,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Henderson St Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Horton Park | Structures and Improvements | 10,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
|  | Morrington Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,500 |
|  | Olliver Park | Structures and Improvements | 5,000 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 25,000 | 5,000 | 7,500 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
|  | Pollard Park General | Structures and Improvements | 35,000 | 30,000 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pollard Park Golf | Structures and Improvements | 10,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rata Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rewi Murray Polo Ground | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|  | Riversdale Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Riverside Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Seymour Square | Structures and Improvements | 40,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 |
|  | Sutherland Stream | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Taylor Dam | Structures and Improvements | 3,500 | 5,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Whitehead Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Eric Young Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | McKendry Park | Structures and Improvements | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Taylor River | Structures and Improvements | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Kowhai Drive | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 |
|  | Renwick Domain | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rousehill Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 |
|  | Upper Spring Creek |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Wither Farm Park | Structures and Improvements | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Wither Recreation | Structures and Improvements | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Wither Forest | Structures and Improvements | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Auckland St / <br> Wairau Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Beach Road Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Fisherman's Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Picton Foreshore | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 |
|  | Scotland St Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
|  | Victoria Domain | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Waikawa Foreshore | Structures and Improvements | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Havelock Domain | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Seddon Domain | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ward Domain | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Okiwi Bay Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Tirimoana Reserve | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Koromiko Deer Park | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rural <br> Wways <br> Grp 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Picton | Structures and Improvements Structures and Improvements | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 15,000 | 0 |
|  | Wither | Structures and Improvements | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 262,500 | 272,500 | 222,500 | 238,500 | 225,500 | 199,500 | 177,500 | 157,500 | 202,500 | 95,000 |
| Museums | Picton <br> Flaxbourne |  | Funded by General Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 2,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Memorials | Blenheim <br> Seymour <br> Square Fountain <br> Awatere <br> Flaxbourne <br> Havelock <br> Picton <br> Rai |  | Funded by General Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 12,000 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 35,000 | 35,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Structures and Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 |
|  |  |  | 47,000 | 35,000 | 5,500 | 7,500 | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 4,000 |
| District <br> Library |  | Computer Equipment Office Equip., Furnishings Library Materials Library Books | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Funded by General Rates and Charges } \\ 12,000 & 33,000 & 51,000 & 0 & 12,000\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 33,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 51,000 | 0 | 12,000 | 33,000 |
|  |  | 5,000 | 10,000 |  | 60,000 | 51,000 | 47,500 | 50,000 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 11,700 | 12,200 | 12,700 | 13,200 | 13,700 | 14,200 | 14,700 | 15,200 | 15,700 | 16,200 |
|  |  | 154,000 | 160,000 | 166,000 | 172,000 | 178,000 | 184,000 | 190,000 | 196,000 | 202,000 | 208,000 |
|  |  | 182,700 | 215,200 | 229,700 | 245,200 | 254,700 | 278,700 | 305,700 | 212,200 | 229,700 | 257,200 |
| Cemeteries |  |  |  | Funded by User Charges and General Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fairhall |  | Fairhall Cemetery Extension | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 12,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Omaka |  | Carpark \& House Removal |  | 30,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tuamarina |  | Berm Extension, Roading | 70,000 | ${ }_{5}^{0}$ | 0 |  |  | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Awatere |  | Fences and hedges | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Havelock | Gazebo refurbishment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Picton | Picton Cemetery Extension | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 85,000 | 35,000 | 0 | 37,000 | 25,000 | 65,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Conv. |  |  | Funded by General Rates and Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blenheim | Queen St, Railway Stat \& RSA | 175,000 | 70,000 | 0 | 65,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65,000 |
|  | Renwick | Replacement |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rural | Improvements |  | 5,000 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
|  | Awatere | Improvements |  | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Flaxbourne | Improvements |  | 0 |  |  | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Picton | Carpark | 65,000 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Unspec Sounds | Improvements | 10,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rai | Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Canvastown | Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 250,000 | 80,000 | 16,000 | 73,000 | 4,500 | 34,500 | 0 | 4,000 | 30,000 | 65,000 |
| Civil Defence |  | Buildings <br> Plant and Equipment | Funded by General Rates and Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 400,000 Funded by Forrest Hills Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11,800 | 7,500 | 11,500 | 11,300 | 8,000 | 10,400 | 12,400 | 7,500 | 10,900 | 11,300 |
|  |  |  | 411,800 | 7,500 | 11,500 | 11,300 | 8,000 | 10,400 | 12,400 | 7,500 | 10,900 | 11,300 |
| Rivers <br> Operations | Wairau Floodplain | Land Purchases (Reserve Funded) Lower Wairau Wairau Diversion Wairau (Tuamarina to Waihopai) | Funded by Separate Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100,000 | 50,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  |  |  | 110,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 350,000 |
|  |  |  | 250,000 | 150,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |



|  |  | \$ \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seal Extensions | 165,200 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 0 |
|  | Alabama Roundabout | 70,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Alternatives to Roading Projects | cts 00100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Stock Effluent Disposal | 80,000 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total Roading 5 | 5,046,111 3,853,511 | 3,744,599 3 | 3,537,354 | 3,655,309 | 3,606,664 | ,618,019 | 3,838,846 | 3,988,234 | 3,960,056 |
|  | Total Land Transport 5 | 5,298,861 4,106,261 | 3,897,349 3 | 3,690,104 | 3,808,059 | 3,759,414 | 3,770,769 | 3,991,596 | 4,140,984 | 4,112,806 |
| Sewerage |  | Funded by Separ | rate Rates | s \& Cha | arges and | Trade | Vaste Ch | arges |  |  |
| Blenheim | Sewer Pipeline (NEW) 1, | 1,322,0001,718,000 | 240,000 | 325,000 | 177,000 | 488,000 | 160,000 | 170,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Oxidation Ponds (NEW) | 0 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1,000,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pump Stations (NEW) | 631,000 387,000 | 6,000 | 130,000 | 5,000 | 78,000 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Connections (NEW) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 154,000 | 0 | 0 | 154,000 | 154,000 | 163,000 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (NEW) | 25,000 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 30,00 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (Renewal) | 76,000 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 |
|  | Telemetry recharges | 1,250 6,500 | 5,000 | 2,625 | 1,000 | 2,750 | 5,750 | 5,750 | 1,250 | 2,000 |
| Havelock | Sewer Oxidation Ponds (NEW) | 38,271 0 | 0 | 12,000 | 105,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Connections (NEW) | 1,244 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 | 1,244 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (NEW) | 3,800 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,200 |
|  | Pump Station Upgrades | 10,000 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Telemetry recharges | 391 2,031 | 1,563 | 820 | 313 | 859 | 1,797 | 1,797 | 391 | 625 |
| Picton | Sewer Pumps (NEW) | 0 6,000 | 160,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Treatment Plant (NEW) | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,000 | 340,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (RENEWAL) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | 700,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Connections (NEW) | 10,000 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (NEW) | 10,000 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Sewer Pipeline (Renewal) | 40,000 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
|  | Telemetry | 703 3,656 | 2,813 | 1,477 | 563 | 1,547 | 3,234 | 3,234 | 703 | 1,125 |
| Seddon | Sewer Treatment Plant | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 5,000 | 95,000 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Connections (NEW) | 1,000 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | Telemetry | $78 \quad 406$ | 313 | 164 | 63 | 172 | 359 | 359 | 78 | 125 |
| Spring Creek | Oxidation Ponds | 12,000 1,000 | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sewer Connections (NEW) | 1,000 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | Telemetry | 234 1,219 | 938 | 492 | 188 | 516 | 1,078 | 1,078 | 234 | 375 |
| Renwick | Sewer Pipeline (NEW) 1, | 1,782,000 3,181,032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total Sewerage 3, | 3,965,971 5,564,888 | 744,671 | 655,622 | 706,371 | 760,088 | 765,4622 | 2,204,462 | 324,900 | 340,694 |
| Stormwater |  | Funded b | by Geogra | aphic Ar | rea rates | (excepti | ns note |  |  |  |
| Blenheim | Blenheim NW reticulation (*) | 0 | 0 | 94,300 | 0 | 9,700 | 0 | 42,400 | 0 | 3,500 |
|  | Blenheim NW Pump stations (*) | 120,000 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | (*) Funde | d by Dev | velopme | nt Impac | t levies |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stormwater Connections (NEW) | ) 20,000 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (NEW) | 60,000 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (Renewal) | 90,000 $\quad 90,000$ | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Havelock | Stormwater Connections (NEW) | ) 1,000 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (NEW) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (Renewal) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 |
| Picton | Stormwater Connections (NEW) | ) 5,000 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (NEW) | 20,000 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (Renewal) | 30,000 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Renwick | Stormwater Connections (NEW) | ) 2,000 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (NEW) | 00 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 10,000 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (Renewal) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,0000 |
| Spring Creek | Stormwater Connections (NEW) | ) 711711 | 711 | 711 | 711 | 711 | 711 | 711 | 711 | 711 |
|  | Stormwater Pipeline (NEW) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,000 |
| Okiwi Bay | Capital Works | 14,222 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 | 14,222 |
|  | Total Stormwater | 362,933 242,933 | 242,933 | 337,233 | 242,933 | 222,633 | 212,933 | 255,333 | 184,933 | 209,433 |
| Waste Management |  | Funded by Geographic Area Rates and by User Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regional Landfill | 240,000 30,000 | 25,3001 | 1,482,205 | 280,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,0001 | ,486,733 |
| Water |  | Funded by Separate Rates \& Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Awatere | Treatment | 7,000 50,000 | 50,0001 | 1,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Pipelines (NEW) | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | New reservoir | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 240,000 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Water Connections (NEW) | 6,000 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 533 |
|  | Water Pipeline (Renewal) | 55,860 $\quad 55,860$ | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 | 55,860 |
| Blenheim | Water Pumping Stations (Renewals)-Telemetry | 547 2,844 | 2,188 | 1,148 | 438 | 1,204 | 2,516 | 2,516 | 547 | 875 |
|  | Water Pipeline (NEW) | 257,000 875,000 | 580,000 | 40,000 | 22,000 | 378,100 | 0 | 19,700 | 9,000 | 175,000 |
|  | Water Treatment plant (NEW) | 57,000 1,043,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Reservoirs (NEW) | 37,000 593,000 | 642,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



## 5. Overhead Accounts

Marlborough District Council Overhead Accounts have been allocated to various significant activities using appropriate allocation bases.

## 6. Schedule of Movements in Reserves,Separate and Special Funds

Schedule of Movements in Reserves,Separate and Special Funds

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 / 04 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2004 / 05 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 / 06 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2009 / 10 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Purpose Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 20,484 | 19,814 | 21,464 | 26,500 | 32,190 | 39,205 | 46,427 | 54,127 | 62,748 | 70,210 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | (670) | 1,650 | 5,036 | 5,690 | 7,015 | 7,222 | 7,700 | 8,621 | 7,462 | 8,578 |
| Closing Balance | 19,814 | 21,464 | 26,500 | 32,190 | 39,205 | 46,427 | 54,127 | 62,748 | 70,210 | 78,788 |
| Depreciation Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 729 | 1,213 | 1,668 | 2,054 | 2,453 | 2,648 | 2,945 | 2,064 | 2,567 | 2,933 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | 484 | 455 | 386 | 399 | 195 | 297 | (881) | 503 | 366 | 214 |
| Closing Balance | 1,213 | 1,668 | 2,054 | 2,453 | 2,648 | 2,945 | 2,064 | 2,567 | 2,933 | 3,147 |
| Decline in Service Potential Reserve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 343 | 369 | 390 | 339 | 417 | 471 | 598 | 576 | 676 | 926 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | 26 | 21 | (51) | 78 | 54 | 127 | (22) | 100 | 250 | 181 |
| Closing Balance | 369 | 390 | 339 | 417 | 471 | 598 | 576 | 676 | 926 | 1,107 |
| Bequests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 186 | 128 | 134 | 140 | 145 | 151 | 157 | 163 | 168 | 174 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | (58) | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Closing Balance | 128 | 134 | 140 | 145 | 151 | 157 | 163 | 168 | 174 | 180 |
| Revaluation Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Closing Balance | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 | 34,574 |
| Total Reserves and Bequests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening Balance | 56,316 | 56,098 | 58,230 | 63,607 | 69,779 | 77,049 | 84,701 | 91,504 | 100,733 | 108,817 |
| Transfer from / (to) accumulated fund | (218) | 2,132 | 5,377 | 6,172 | 7,270 | 7,652 | 6,803 | 9,229 | 8,084 | 8,979 |
| Closing Balance | 56,098 | 58,230 | 63,607 | 69,779 | 77,049 | 84,701 | 91,504 | 100,733 | 108,817 | 117,796 |

## 7. Loans

New loan raising requirements projected for the next ten financial years are outlined below. These loans have been budgeted as 'external' borrowing. Should sufficient funds be available at the time of borrowing, the loans will be internally financed. Any Internal financing will reduce both the Cash and Deposits and the Term Liabilities as detailed in the Statement of Financial Position.
Loan finance is used to fund certain capital projects.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 / 03 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | 2003/04 $\$ 000$ | 2004/05 $\$ 000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 / 06 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 / 07 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2007 / 08 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2008 / 09 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $2009 / 10$ $\$ 000$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 / 11 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 / 12 \\ \$ 000 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cemeteries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 25 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Conveniences | 250 | 80 | 16 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 65 |
| Car Parks | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blenheim CBD | 250 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blenheim Power Undergrounding | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blenheim Sewerage | 1,749 | 1,965 | 0 | 179 | 90 | 310 | 0 | 1,073 | 0 | 0 |
| Picton Sewerage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 657 | 0 | 0 |
| Havelock Sewerage | 0 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renwick Sewerage | 0 | 1,375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seddon Sewerage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spring Creek Sewerage | 0 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Picton Stormwater | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste Management | 250 | 0 | 0 | 1,456 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,384 |
| Awatere Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 |
| Blenheim Water | 0 | 2,223 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Havelock Water | 0 | 9 | 149 | 58 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Picton Water | 503 | 500 | 0 | 642 | 820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renwick Water | 0 | 0 | 133 | 843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 |
| Riverlands Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Wairau Valley Water | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3,876 | 6,517 | 1,044 | 4,563 | 1,405 | 1,024 | 24 | 2,088 | 30 | 1,584 |

## 8. Bank Account/Reserves

The Bank account is budgeted to increase from $\$ 11$ million in 2002/03 to $\$ 72$ million in 2011/12. This increase is due to Council's reserves increasing over the period of the plan. The increasing reserve balances are mainly due to funds set aside in the event of disasters including storm and flood damage and depreciation reserves. In addition income from Council investments in Port Marlborough NZ Limited and Marlborough Regional Forestry accumulates in later years. Investment income from these investments is fully committed for the next few years with income from later years subject to assumptions regarding growth and investment decisions being realised.

## ASSUMPTIONS, DISCLOSURES AND COMPLIANCE

## Assumptions

With any financial forecasting a number of assumptions must be made. The following assumptions have been made in the preparation of this document:

## Population

It is extremely difficult to determine the level of growth in Marlborough over the next ten years. Using demographic trends indicated by Statistics New Zealand the rate of growth has been assumed to be $1.6 \%$ per annum. This growth along with known areas of development has been used in planning for future infrastructure requirements.

## Inflation

The costs and revenues reflected in this plan do not account for any inflation impacts.

## Interest Rates /Term of Loans

All loans have been raised for a period of twenty years with interest of $8 \%$ being applied.

## Change in Levels of Service

With the exception of where major capital works are undertaken there has been no change to the level of infrastructure service to customers incorporated into this plan. Reviews into the level of service supplied for stormwater are currently being undertaken. Greater emphasis is proposed in the areas of environmental planning, pest control and harbour control.

## Asset Management Plans

Council maintains asset management plans for all its significant assets. These plans define the levels of service to be provided, plan for future infrastructure requirements and are used to determine a likely timing for replacement of infrastructure components.

## Change in Activities

Council will continually review its activities to ensure they remain appropriate for Council to undertake. In addition Council will continually review how the services it is responsible for can be most effectively delivered. This plan does not include any change in Council's activities from those it is currently undertaking. Emergency Management has been newly identified as a significant activity, collecting activities previously recorded under Land Transport, and under Community, Recreation, Culture, and Heritage.

## Legislative Framework

Local government is bound by legislation. The extent of legislation that local authorities are required to follow is significant. This plan has been prepared on the basis that no change to existing legislation or central government policy will occur over the next ten years. Clearly this will not be the case. Of most significance to local government are the Resource Management Act 1991, the Local Government Act 1974 and the Rating Powers Act 1988.

Currently a number of reviews are being undertaken by central government which cover:

- Local Government Funding Powers.
- Local Government Act 1974 - General powers of competence in governance role.
- Land Transport - Roading reforms associated with funding and management structure of New Zealand roading systems.
- Water quality standards.
- Resource Management Act.
- Bovine Tb control.

The above list is not exhaustive but changes in legislation or central government policy could significantly impact on local government and the communities it serves. Council will continue to ensure that it is in the forefront of change to ensure that the Marlborough community is best served.

## Consumption and Demand

The current levels of demand for services and consumption of services and functions provided by Council have been used to forecast for the next ten years. Clearly changes to consumption patterns or activity within the District will impact on the functions carried out by Council. In the event of infrastructure planning, increases to demand associated with population growth have been incorporated.

## Subsidies

It has been assumed that subsidies received by Council for carrying out Bovine Tb control will continue unchanged. The level of Transfund subsidy for roading functions has been reduced from $50 \%$ to $47 \%$ over the next three years.

## Reserves

Reserves established for infrastructure will not be drawn upon within the ten year period. Of note are flood damage reserves for river control re-instatement, storm damage reserves for roading re-instatement and general infrastructure disaster reserves for reinstatement in the event of a significant natural disaster (most likely threat is a earthquake).

## Disclosures

## Loss of Service Potential /Depreciation

Council provides for the future replacement of its assets by providing for depreciation and loss of service potential for its fixed assets. In the case of infrastructure assets a provision for the loss of service potential is calculated using the relevant asset management plan. By legislation Council is required to fund the provision for future asset replacement. The purpose of this is to ensure that the generations that use the assets pay for the wear and tear on them.

Council in preparing this plan has reviewed its asset management plans and has recalculated the provisions made. The impact on some communities of having to fund the loss of service potential causes significant financial burden and generates an
unfair charge against the residents using the service today.

The Havelock sewerage scheme predominantly funded by way of lump sum contributions in the past would have to also fund its replacement in the future. Council believes that the requirement for the Havelock community to fund the provisions made in the account create an unfair charge against the residents who have established the scheme to date. Council has not funded the loss of service potential for this scheme within the plan.

The Wairau Valley water supply was similarly funded by previous generations and Council has not funded the provision for its future replacement within this plan.

## Contingencies and Commitments

There are no significant commitments other than those represented in the plans.
There are no significant contingencies that have not been represented within the plan.

## Compliance

## ENTITY STATEMENT

This Annual Plan covers the activities of the Marlborough District Council. The Council is a unitary authority established under the Local Government Act 1974. A unitary authority has the functions, duties and powers of both a regional council and a territorial authority as conferred by the Local Government Act.

This Annual Plan also covers the activities of the following subsidiary entities of the Marlborough District Council:

1. MDC Holdings Limited ( $100 \%$ owned by Council).
2. Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited ( $100 \%$ owned by MDC Holdings Limited).
3. Marlborough Airport Company ( $100 \%$ owned by Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited).
4. Marlborough Regional Forestry ( $88.62 \%$ owned by Council).

The 2002/2003 Annual Plan has been published incorporating the requirements of the Local Government Act.

## Marlborough District Council Funding Policy

(adopted pursuant to Section 122N of the Local Government Act). Section 223D(3)(a) of the Local Government Act requires Council to publish an outline of the adopted funding policy within the Annual Plan. It is Council 's intention that the outline provided in this document constitutes compliance with Section 223D.

## Marlborough District Council Long Term Financial Strategy

(adopted pursuant to Section 122 K of the Local Government Act). Section 223D(3)(d) of the Local Government Act requires Council to publish an outline of the adopted Long Term Financial Strategy within the Annual Plan. It is Council 's intention that the outline provided in this document constitutes compliance with Section 223D.

## Annual Plan 2002/2003

This document is intended to constitute compliance with all other aspects of Section 223D of the Local Government Act.

## LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

## Legislation

The principal statutes that the Marlborough District Council is required to follow are:

- Local Government Act 1974
- Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987
- Local Authorities (Members ' Interests) Act 1968
- Resource Management Act 1991
- Harbours Act 1950
- Biosecurity Act 1993a
- Reserves Act 1977
- Soil Conservation and Rivers Control Act 1941
- Rating Powers Act 1988

A requirement under the statutes is for Council to prepare a number of plans e.g. resource management plans, regional policy statement, Biosecurity plans, annual plan, long term financial strategy, infrastructure asset management plans etc. These are available for inspection at the Council offices.

## Bylaws

With the amalgamation of the three local authorities in Marlborough, the Marlborough District Council inherited a vast number of bylaws, many of which are no longer required. A review of the bylaws has been carried out with the intent of incorporating all Council bylaws into one document. For any enquiries please contact Mr Tony Quirk, District Secretary with regard to official information and Mr Mike Porter, Democratic Co-ordinator for Council 's bylaws.

## EQUAL EM PLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES POLICY

The following is a summary of Council's Equal Employment Opportunities Policy.

## Reason for Policy

An Equal Employment Opportunities Policy is a planned, result orientated management programme designed to achieve equal opportunity in the work place. The Policy involves the identification and elimination of the policies, procedures and other institutional barriers that cause or perpetuate inequality.

## Objectives of Policy

The goal of an Equal Employment Opportunities Policy is to ensure that for any given position the best available person for the job gets the job regardless of their gender, race, religion, disability, or any other irrelevant factor. Specific objectives the Council strives to achieve are:

Objective One: To operate EEO policies in the Council's recruitment and promotion process to ensure that the best person available for the job is selected.

Objective Two: To ensure EEO policies are incorporated in the
review procedures for all staff for the purposes of regular performance appraisal and assessment of potential for staff development and promotion.

Objective Three: To promote the Council's image as an EEO organisation. The main target groups which this Equal Employment Opportunities programme is aimed at are women, Maori, Pacific Island and ethnic minority groups and the disabled.

Council's Human Resources Co-ordinator is responsible for ensuring that any recruitment carried out by the Marlborough District Council follows the objectives and guidelines set out above. It is the co-ordinator's responsibility to ensure management and staff involved in the recruitment process have had the training that incorporates an awareness of equal employment opportunities.

## RATES AND CHARGES

## 2002-2003

Total Rates and Charges are estimated at $\$ 27,398,161$ (GST exclusive), which is $\$ 912,021$ (3.44\%) more than the 20012002 levy. The increase principally relates to the following activities:

| Roads, Streets and Bridges | \$229,450 | Reduction in Transfund Subsidy (\$53,000), New Works ( $\$ 93,766$ ). Loan funding of underground power reticulation $(\$ 82,684)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Policy <br> Development | \$150,000 | Increased funding to meet community expectations for environmental issues. |
| Emergency Management | \$144,485 | Emergency management issues in relation to planning and management procedures for fire protection and disaster events. |
| Public Conveniences | \$33,316 | New Public Conveniences - Blenheim Railway Station, Queen Street, and Picton Carpark. |
| Community Development | \$35,648 | Maintain Financial assistance Grants at $\$ 100,000$ p.a. 2001-02 budget was part funded from previous year's unspent budget. |
| Bovine Tb | \$74,749 | Additional rating requirement as a result of the Bovine Tb programme increasing by $\$ 1.37$ million, offset by the Animal Health Board subsidy increasing by $\$ 1.295$ million. |
| Picton Parking | \$24,363 | Loan repayments to meet debt-servicing costs by Picton Ratepayers, for the new Picton car park and its development cost. |
| Waste Management | \$40,000 | New recycling initiatives. |
| Blenheim Sewerage | \$167,588 | Loan repayments to meet debt servicing costs by Blenheim ratepayers for pipeline and pump station upgrades. |
| Picton Sewerage | \$15,570 | Increase in Sewerage Charges to compensate for reduction in trade waste revenue. |
| Grants | \$63,854 | Grants to various organisations. |
| Tyntesfield Road Charge | \$8,800 | New charge for upgrading and sealing the Tyntesfield Road. |
| Okiwi Bay Stormwater Charge | \$14,222 | New charge for stream protection and stormwater. |
| Blenheim Roading Loans | -\$47,705 | Reduction in Blenheim Consolidated Loan Rate as a result of loans being fully repaid. |
| Separate Roading Charges | -\$30,492 | The cessation of the Sandy Bay Road Charge, and a reduction in the Moutapu Bay Road Charge. |

## 2003-2004

Total rates and charges are estimated at \$27,699,401 (GST exclusive), which is $\$ 301,240$ "more" than that estimated for 2002/03. The increase is principally attributable to the following movements:

- Picton Water Treatment Plant Upgrade (increase)
\$55,100
- Blenheim Sewerage Upgrades (increase) \$272,921
- Blenheim Water Upgrades (increase)
- Proposed Renwick Sewerage Scheme (increase)
- Bovine TB (increase)
- Resource Management Control (increase)
- Environmental Health (increase)
- Public Conveniences (increase)
- Wairau River Control Works (decrease)
- Blenheim Consolidated Loan Rate (decrease)
- Grants to organisations (decrease)


## 2004-2005

Total rates and charges are estimated at \$27,861,423 (GST exclusive), which is $\$ 162,022$ "more" than that estimated for 2003/04. The increase is principally attributable to the following movements:

- Roads, Streets and Bridges (decrease)
$(\$ 24,109)$
- Cost of Democracy (increase) \$46,464
- Blenheim Water Upgrades (increase) \$48,906
- Regional Pest Management (increase) \$25,191
- Blenheim Sewerage Upgrades (increase) \$10,908
- Spring Creek Sewerage (increase) \$20,102
- Havelock Water (increase)
\$19,638
- Wairau Valley Water (increase)
\$13,749


## 2005-2012

The following rates and charges are estimated:

- 2005-2006 \$28,146,818
- 2006-2007 \$28,204,822
- 2007-2008 \$28,281,187
- 2008-2009 \$28,441,613
- 2009-2010 \$28,897,990
- 2010-2011 \$29,002,593
- 2011-2012 \$29,293,403


## INDICATIVE RATING IMPACT ON BENCHM ARK PROPERTIES (No provision for inflation)

|  | Land <br> Value | Capital <br> Value | $\begin{array}{r} 01 / 02 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 02 / 03 \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 03 / 04 \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \quad 04 / 05 \\ & \text { T BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 09/10 BUDGET | $\begin{array}{r} 10 / 11 \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 / 12 \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blenheim Residential | 55,500 | 146,000 | 1,311 | 1,361 | 1,414 | 1,399 | 1,407 | 1,413 | 1,414 | 1,414 | 1,435 | 1,434 | 1,452 |
| Blenheim Residential | 48,500 | 140,000 | 1,299 | 1,344 | 1,392 | 1,370 | 1,376 | 1,383 | 1,384 | 1,383 | 1,403 | 1,401 | 1,417 |
| Blenheim Residential | 62,000 | 216,000 | 1,413 | 1,466 | 1,525 | 1,506 | 1,514 | 1,518 | 1,521 | 1,520 | 1,545 | 1,543 | 1,561 |
| Blenheim Vacant Section | 60,000 | 60,000 | 1,160 | 1,210 | 1,265 | 1,256 | 1,264 | 1,265 | 1,272 | 1,269 | 1,294 | 1,292 | 1,307 |
| Blenheim Commercial | 51,000 | 208,000 | 2,459 | 2,500 | 2,534 | 2,524 | 2,532 | 2,542 | 2,527 | 2,552 | 2,591 | 2,600 | 2,614 |
| Blenheim Commercial | 136,000 | 385,500 | 5,243 | 5,330 | 5,411 | 5,417 | 5,431 | 5,443 | 5,410 | 5,476 | 5,582 | 5,606 | 5,631 |
| Picton Residential Note 1 | 42,500 | 137,000 | 1,513 | 1,561 | 1,588 | 1,590 | 1,594 | 1,619 | 1,626 | 1,625 | 1,661 | 1,709 | 1,718 |
| Picton Residential Note 2 | 42,500 | 137,000 | 1,393 | 1,445 | 1,472 | 1,474 | 1,478 | 1,503 | 1,510 | 1,509 | 1,545 | 1,593 | 1,602 |
| Picton Residential Note 1 | 55,000 | 147,000 | 1,705 | 1,755 | 1,791 | 1,792 | 1,797 | 1,831 | 1,839 | 1,836 | 1,882 | 1,930 | 1,940 |
| Picton Residential Note 2 | 55,000 | 147,000 | 1,550 | 1,604 | 1,640 | 1,641 | 1,646 | 1,680 | 1,688 | 1,685 | 1,731 | 1,779 | 1,789 |
| Picton Vacant Section Note 1 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 1,231 | 1,275 | 1,307 | 1,308 | 1,313 | 1,341 | 1,348 | 1,346 | 1,384 | 1,405 | 1,413 |
| Picton Commercial Note 1 | 96,000 | 152,000 | 3,047 | 3,149 | 3,214 | 3,215 | 3,221 | 3,282 | 3,292 | 3,291 | 3,370 | 3,414 | 3,434 |
| Picton Motels (12 units) Note 2 | 100,000 | 452,000 | 3,485 | 3,603 | 3,671 | 3,671 | 3,690 | 3,754 | 3,765 | 3,763 | 3,845 | 3,888 | 3,948 |
| Blenheim Vicinity | 363,000 | 658,000 | 2,951 | 3,073 | 3,091 | 2,947 | 2,953 | 2,931 | 2,940 | 2,936 | 2,968 | 2,963 | 3,000 |
| Blenheim Vicinity | 413,000 | 813,000 | 3,419 | 3,557 | 3,577 | 3,400 | 3,407 | 3,382 | 3,392 | 3,387 | 3,423 | 3,419 | 3,460 |
| Blenheim Vicinity | 4,785,000 | 5,250,000 | 29,167 | 30,738 | 0,964 | 29,777 | 29,813 | 29,499 | 29,572 | 29,551 | 29,940 | 29,949 | 30,396 |
| Blenheim Vicinity | 920,000 | 1,960,000 | 7,457 | 7,762 | 7,806 | 7,378 | 7,388 | 7,329 | 7,346 | 7,340 | 7,416 | 7,414 | 7,502 |
| Blenheim Vicinity | 979,000 | 2,081,000 | 7,896 | 8,220 | 8,267 | 7,814 | 7,825 | 7,763 | 7,781 | 7,774 | 7,855 | 7,853 | 7,946 |
| Renwick Residential Note 3 | 42,000 | 148,000 | 842 | 860 | 1,299 | 1,272 | 1,406 | 1,398 | 1,398 | 1,398 | 1,420 | 1,415 | 1,424 |
| Spring Creek Residential | 30,000 | 118,000 | 900 | 918 | 921 | 1,031 | 1,047 | 1,047 | 1,052 | 1,048 | 1,055 | 1,048 | 1,057 |
| Rarangi Residential | 48,000 | 128,000 | 600 | 619 | 623 | 618 | 622 | 621 | 626 | 623 | 628 | 623 | 631 |
| Picton Vicinity | 477,000 | 567,000 | 3,315 | 3,533 | 3,577 | 3,513 | 3,521 | 3,481 | 3,492 | 3,488 | 3,540 | 3,533 | 3,600 |
| Ngakuta Bay - bach | 50,000 | 194,000 | 560 | 595 | 603 | 606 | 613 | 611 | 616 | 613 | 621 | 618 | 629 |
| General Rural - no water | 637,000 | 882,000 | 3,515 | 3,789 | 3,853 | 3,857 | 3,860 | 3,814 | 3,830 | 3,828 | 3,902 | 3,893 | 3,965 |
| General Rural - Awatere Water | 360,000 | 520,000 | 3,529 | 3,686 | 3,722 | 3,725 | 4,695 | 4,670 | 4,681 | 4,679 | 4,925 | 4,922 | 4,961 |
| Havelock Residential | 39,500 | 169,000 | 1,029 | 1,064 | 1,073 | 1,162 | 1,217 | 1,267 | 1,270 | 1,266 | 1,275 | 1,277 | 1,281 |
| Seddon Residential | 10,000 | 93,000 | 683 | 691 | 692 | 694 | 782 | 785 | 787 | 785 | 805 | 808 | 813 |
| Admin Rural - farm | 775,000 | 1,160,000 | 2,326 | 2,491 | 2,520 | 2,525 | 2,530 | 2,525 | 2,533 | 2,531 | 2,543 | 2,547 | 2,563 |
| Admin Rural - bach | 66,000 | 151,000 | 359 | 377 | 381 | 383 | 387 | 390 | 394 | 391 | 393 | 395 | 401 |
| Admin Rural - bach | 64,000 | 158,000 | 354 | 371 | 375 | 377 | 381 | 384 | 388 | 385 | 387 | 389 | 394 |

[^1]
## GENERAL TYPE RATES AND CHARGES

Although general-type rates and charges have increased overall by $4.66 \%$, there are varying percentage movements (both up and down) in the different rates and charges. These variations are the result of expenditure movements not uniformly impacting on geographic areas.
e.g. The general rural geographic area funds the majority of the funding requirements for Bovine TB and Fire Protection.

## District-wide General Rates (\$3,777,362 GST inclusive)

This rate funds the "District-wide component" of various activity rate requirements.
e.g. $11 \%$ of the Cemeteries rating requirement is funded from the District-wide General Rate.

There is an overall $15.26 \%$ increase in this rate, which produces an additional \$444,417 (GST exclusive). This increase is principally attributable to increased expenditure on Harbour Control, Environmental Policy, Emergency Management, Public Conveniences, and Grants.

## District-wide Uniform Annual General Charge

 (\$2,371,833 GST inclusive)The District-wide uniform annual general charge applies to every property in the district and funds the Cost of Democracy (includes the cost of elected members, public consultation and policy advice); $60 \%$ of Environmental Health; and $18 \%$ of Recreation and Events.

The uniform charge remains unchanged at \$118.

## Geographic Area General Works and Services Rates (\$9,702,372 GST inclusive)

These rates fund the "Geographic Area Component" of various activity rate requirements.
e.g. $89 \%$ of the Cemeteries rating requirement is funded from Geographic Area Works and Services rates.

There is an overall 2.74\% increase in the geographic area rates which results from Roads, Streets and Bridges; Stormwater; Parking; Fire Protection and Bovine Tb funding movements.

General Works and Services amounts levied in each geographic area are as follows:

- Blenheim
- Blenheim Vicinity
- Picton
- Picton Vicinity
- General Rural
- Administrative Rural


## Geographic Area Uniform Annual Charges (\$4,037,130 GST inclusive)

These charges fund the respective area's share of the following activities:

- Reserves and Parks
- Libraries
- Waste Management
- Swimming Pools
- Civil Defence
- Community Development
- Recreation and Events ( $82 \%$ portion)
- Parking (Picton only)
- Environmental Health (( $40 \%$ portion)

There is an overall $4.66 \%$ increase in geographic area charges which results from increased expenditure for Community Development, Emergency Management, Grants, Waste
Management and Picton Parking.

General Works and Services amounts levied in each geographic area are as follows:

| - | Blenheim | $\$ 2,188,625$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| - | $\$ 646,466$ |  |
| - | Picton | $\$ 589,077$ |
| - | $\$ 66,006$ |  |
| - | Gicton Vicinity | $\$ 471,493$ |
| - | Administrative Rural | $\$ 75,463$ |

## DEBT SERVICING RATES AND CHARGES

## Blenheim Consolidated Loan Rate (\$318,803 GST inclusive)

The Blenheim Consolidated Loan Rate funds loan repayments for the District Administration Building, Parking Areas, Public Conveniences and Roading Loans relating to the former Blenheim Borough Council. It is levied on a land value basis.

The 14.79\% decrease in this rate for 2002-03 is mainly the result of reductions in Roading loan repayments. On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,500$, the rate will "decrease" from $\$ 43.29$ to $\$ 36.94$ (\$6.35 decrease).

Picton Sewerage Treatment Loan Rate (\$169,899 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on the land value of all properties in the sewerage district, which did not fund their share of the treatment plant costs by way of lump sum contribution.

A 5.05\% reduction has occurred as a result of value movements. On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,000$, the rate will "decrease" from $\$ 155.49$ to $\$ 150.72$ (\$4.77 decrease).

## Picton Consolidated Loan Rate <br> (\$30,989 GST inclusive)

The Picton Consolidated Loan Rate is levied on land value and funds loan repayments for minor loans relating to the former Picton Borough Council.

A 4.08\% reduction has occurred as a result of some loans having been repaid. On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,000$, the rate will "decrease" from $\$ 15.85$ to $\$ 15.22$ (\$0.63 decrease).

## Miscellaneous Loan Rates/Charges (\$3,418 GST inclusive)

These rates and charges relate to separate rating areas where lump sum payments were not made.

- Havelock Sewerage Loan Rate \$619
- Spring Creek Sewerage Loan Rate \$433
- Tuamarina Water Charge \$1,464
- Wairau Valley Water Loan Charge \$902

The rates are levied on a land value basis - the charges are uniform.

No increases have occurred.

## ROADING RATES AND CHARGES

## Okiwa Bay Jetty Charge (\$260 GST inclusive)

This charge is levied to fund expenditure incurred on replacing the former Okiwa Bay jetty. The charge will be discontinued after 2010-11.

No increase has occurred.

## Kenepuru Road Rate (\$34,602 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on a capital value basis to provide roading improvements in the Kenepuru area.

No increase has occurred.

## Wakaretu Road Charge (\$4,000 GST inclusive)

This charge is funding the cost of sealing the road into Wakaretu Bay, and ceases in 2002-03.

The charge for 2002-03 remains unchanged at $\$ 250$ per property.

## Archers Road Charge (\$19,296 GST inclusive)

A poll of affected ratepayers is being held to determine whether any further sealing works are to be carried out.
If further works are to proceed, the charge of $\$ 268$ per property will remain unchanged.

## French Pass Road Charge ( $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 3 4 7 \text { GST inclusive) }}$

This charge is funding the cost of sealing between Matapehe Hill and French Pass.

The charge of $\$ 97.00$ per property will continue until 2014-15.

## Moetapu Bay Seal Extension Charge (\$11,223 GST inclusive)

Funding of the local share of this seal extension ceases in 2002-03.
The Moetapu Bay road charge will be $\$ 129$ per annum ( $60.3 \%$ reduction).

## Tyntesfield Road Charge (\$9,900 GST inclusive)

At a meeting of Tyntesfield ratepayers in November 2001, Marlborough Roads was requested to estimate the cost of upgrading and sealing the Tyntesfield Road, and Council staff were requested to provide various funding options.
The cost estimate and funding options were provided to the Residents Committee on 20 December 2001 to ascertain whether the proposal should proceed, and if so, how it should be funded.

A poll of affected ratepayers was carried out, prior to the closing of Annual Plan submissions, to determine whether a majority of ratepayers supported the proposal for a uniform annual charge for a three year period.

## SEWERAGE RATES AND CHARGES

Blenheim Sewerage (Total \$1,899,438 GST inclusive)
An overall increase of $\$ 188,503$ (GST exclusive) will occur.

## Capital Sewerage Rate (\$777,564 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs. There is a $26.75 \%$ increase in this rate to meet debt servicing costs on new loans raised for pipelines and pump stations.

On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,500$ the rate will increase from $\$ 66.59$ to $\$ 84.29$ ( $\$ 17.70$ increase).

## Sewerage Charge (\$1,121,874 GST inclusive)

Increased operating costs will necessitate a \$3increase in the charge for connected properties and a $\$ 1.50$ increase for unconnected properties.
This charge funds the balance of expenditure and will increase to $\$ 113$ per connected property and $\$ 56.50$ per unconnected property. Properties with sewerage discharges where the quantity or the strength of the effluent is greater than the average domestic property, will be levied an additional trade waste charge.

## Havelock Sewerage (Total \$81,664 GST inclusive) <br> An overall increase of \$2,281 (GST exclusive) will occur.

## Capital Sewerage Rate (\$17,792 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.

On a property with a land value of $\$ 39,500$ the rate will remain unchanged at \$52.95.

## Sewerage Charge (\$63,872 GST inclusive)

Increased operating costs will necessitate a $\$ 14$ increase in the charge for connected properties and a $\$ 7$ increase for unconnected properties.
This charge funds the balance of expenditure and will increase to $\$ 237$ per connected property and $\$ 118.50$ per unconnected property.

## Picton Sewerage (Total \$553,662 GST inclusive)

An overall increase of \$16,374 (GST exclusive) will occur:
Capital Sewerage Rate (\$22,444 GST inclusive)
This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.
There is a $\$ 729$ increase in the funding requirement.
On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,000$ the rate will increase from $\$ 10.47$ to $\$ 10.87$ ( $\$ 0.40$ increase).

## Sewerage Charge (\$531,218 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure. An increase is necessary to compensate for reduced trade waste revenue and results in charges of $\$ 235$ per connected property and $\$ 117.50$ per unconnected property: ( Properties with sewerage discharges where the quantity or the strength of the effluent is greater than an average domestic property, will be levied an additional trade waste charge.)

## Seddon Sewerage (Total \$56,915 GST inclusive)

There is no change in the overall rates.

## Capital Sewerage Rate (\$14,264 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.

On a property with a land value of $\$ 10,000$ the rate will remain unchanged at \$56.26.

## Sewerage Charge (\$42,651 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 197$ per connected property and $\$ 98.50$ per unconnected property.

## Spring Creek Sewerage (Total \$44,431 GST inclusive)

An overall increase of $\$ 820$ will occur.

## Capital Sewerage Rate (\$4,192 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.
There is an $\$ 820$ increase in the funding requirement.
On a property with a land value of $\$ 30,000$ the rate will increase from $\$ 18.39$ to $\$ 23.29$ ( $\$ 4.90$ increase).

## Sewerage Charge (\$40,239 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 263$ per connected property and $\$ 131.50$ per unconnected property.

## REFUSE COLLECTION CHARGES

Blenheim Refuse Collection Charge (\$606,660 GST inclusive)

The collection charge remains unchanged at $\$ 60$ per service.

## Picton Refuse Collection Charge (\$182,250 GST inclusive)

The collection charge remains unchanged at $\$ 81$ per service.

## WATER SUPPLY RATES AND CHARGES

## Awatere Water Supply (\$257,069 GST inclusive)

Although there is an increase in the overall rate requirement of \$3,323 (GST exclusive), it is anticipated that increased consumption will compensate for this movement.

The uniform charge of $\$ 25.00$ per meter and consumption charge of 45 cents per cubic metre will remain unchanged.

## Blenheim Water Supply (Total \$2,252,059 GST inclusive)

The overall rates remain unchanged.

## Capital Water Rate (\$636,698 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.

On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,500$ the rate will remain unchanged at \$69.08.

## Water Supply Charge (\$1,615,361 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 144$ per connected property and $\$ 72$ per unconnected property. Metered consumers will pay $\$ 0.44$ per cubic metre with a minimum quarterly charge of $\$ 36.00$.

## Havelock Water Supply (Total \$133,710 GST inclusive)

The overall rates remain unchanged.

## Capital Water Rate (\$38,750 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.
On a property with a land value of $\$ 39,500$ the rate will remain unchanged at \$109.36.

## Water Supply Charge (\$94,960 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 211$ per connected property and $\$ 105.50$ per unconnected property. Metered consumers will pay $\$ 1.20$ per cubic metre with a minimum quarterly charge of $\$ 52.75$.

## Picton Water Supply (Total \$793,938 GST inclusive)

The overall rates remain unchanged.

## Capital Water Rate (\$265,993 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.
On a property with a land value of $\$ 55,000$ the rate will remain at \$126.33

## Water Supply Charge (\$527,945 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 191$ per connected property and $\$ 95.50$ per unconnected property.
Ordinary metered consumers will pay $\$ 0.6455$ per cubic metre with a minimum quarterly charge of $\$ 47.75$.
Koromiko Special Agreement Consumers will pay $\$ 0.5164$ per cubic metre.

Consumers on-selling water will pay $\$ 0.7161$ per cubic metre with a minimum quarterly charge of $\$ 47.75$.

Renwick Water Supply (Total \$157,401 GST inclusive)
An overall increase of $\$ 441$ will occur.

## Capital Water Rate (\$36,749 GST inclusive)

This rate is levied on land value and funds Capital Expenditure, Loan Repayments and Debt Servicing costs.
On a property with a land value of $\$ 42,000$ the rate will increase from $\$ 45.34$ to $\$ 45.94$ (\$0.60 increase).

## Water Supply Charge (\$120,652 GST inclusive)

This charge funds the balance of expenditure and is unchanged at $\$ 159$ per connected property and $\$ 79.50$ per unconnected property. Metered consumers will pay $\$ 0.474$ per cubic metre with a minimum quarterly charge of $\$ 39.75$.

## Riverlands Water Supply ( $\$ 71,325$ GST inclusive)

There is no increase in the charges.

## Wairau Valley Water Supply (\$15,136 GST inclusive)

There is no increase in the charges.

## WAIRAU VALLEY RIVER RATES

Wairau Valley River Works Rates (\$3,178,481 GST inclusive)
These rates cover activities associated with river control and drainage in the Wairau Valley Rating Area and are levied differentially on a Capital Value basis on all properties in the Wairau Catchment.

There are no increases in these rates.
The following is a schedule of the rates in the dollar are levied on the capital values in each group: (In brackets is the levy amount for each $\$ 10,000$ of Capital Value).

| Rural A Properties | 0.195822 | $(\$ 19.58)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rural B Properties | 0.166405 | $(\$ 16.64)$ |
| Rural C Properties | 0.117493 | $(\$ 11.75)$ |
| Rural D Properties | 0.029373 | $(\$ 2.94)$ |
| Blenheim Urban 1 Properties | 0.130329 | $(\$ 13.03)$ |
| Blenheim Urban 2 Properties | 0.092031 | $(\$ 9.20)$ |
| Blenheim Urban 3 Properties | 0.061361 | $(\$ 6.14)$ |
| Blenheim Urban 4 Properties <br> Other Urban 1 Properties in <br> Wairau Catchment | 0.046020 | $(\$ 4.60)$ |
| Other Urban 2 Properties in | 0.137079 | $(\$ 13.71)$ |
| Wairau Catchment | 0.088119 | $(\$ 8.81)$ |

## OTHER RATES AND CHARGES

## Rarangi Works and Service Charge (\$6,180 GST inclusive)

The $\$ 30$ Uniform charge, which is levied for the Rarangi Volunteer Fire Brigade and other community purposes, remains unchanged.

## Tuamarina/Waikakaho Recreation Charge (\$1,210 GST

 inclusive)This charge is levied on behalf of the Tuamarina Hall Committee to assist with replacement insurance costs for the Tuamarina/Waikakaho Hall.

The $\$ 10$ Uniform Charge remains unchanged.

## Okiwi Bay Stormwater Charge (\$16,000 GST inclusive)

At the Annual General Meeting of the Okiwi Bay Residents’ Association, a presentation was made on a proposed stormwater and stream protection scheme.
There was an indication from the meeting that a uniform charge of $\$ 100$ per annum would be acceptable, however, staff were requested to prepare alternative funding options for ratepayers' consideration.

A poll of affected ratepayers was carried out, prior to the closing of Annual Plan submissions, to determine whether a majority of ratepayers supported the proposal.

TIMETABLED ACTION - RATE ASSESSMENTS

|  | Post-Out Date | Last Date <br> Before Penalty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Rate Instalment | 26 July 2002 | 12 September 2002 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Rate Instalment | 25 October 2002 | 12 December 2002 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Rate Instalment | 24 January 2003 | 12 March 2003 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Rate Instalment | 25 April 2003 | 12 June 2003 |
|  |  |  |

## FUNDING POLICY

1. The decision-making process underlying Council's funding policy follows the "three step process" outlined in the Local Government Act 1974.

In essence the three step process involves:

- Determining the benefits and beneficiaries of each Council function, and allocating the costs associated with each function to the beneficiaries in proportion to the benefit.
(This allocation is based on economic principles and results in an "economically efficient funding allocation").
- Adjusting the economically efficient funding allocation to take account of "considerations" which Council determined on reasonable grounds to be relevant to those expenditure needs.
- Determining a practical funding mechanism to achieve the allocation that resulted from step two.

2. Council's Funding Policy deals with the allocation of costs and funding mechanisms at a "Function" level.

Functions are a sub-set of Significant Activities eg: One of Council's
"Significant Activities" is Community, Recreation, Culture and
Heritage, and Libraries is one "function" of that significant activity.
The following is a summary of Council's Funding Policy as it applies to various functions:

## Community, Recreation, Culture and Heritage

## Libraries

User charges for priority bookings, fiction books, overdue returns and other miscellaneous services.

Residual funded $50 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $50 \%$ by district-wide general rate.

## Swimming Pools

User charges are set by the contractor or local community that manages the pool.

Picton and Seddon residuals are funded $97 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $3 \%$ from the districtwide general rate.

## Aquatic Centre Complex

A funding arrangement is in place for the on-going maintenance and improvement of the asset. The maintenance and operations grant and debt servicing costs for the Aquatic Centre are funded $89 \%$ from the geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges, and $11 \%$ from district-wide general rates.

## Reserves and Walkways

Reserves are classified into four groupings and apart from recoveries from organised events, the residual amount is funded as follows:

## Local/Neighbourhood Reserves and Walkways

Residual funded $99 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $1 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## District High Use Reserves

Residual funded from $60 \%$ to $95.5 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and from $4.5 \%$ to $40 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## District Leased Reserves

User charges normally meet $100 \%$ of the costs. Any residual is met from the district-wide general rate.

## District Low Use Passive Reserves

Residual funded $71 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $29 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Cemeteries

One payment is made by the family of the deceased or the deceased's estate, recognising the costs of the burial and the capital cost of the plot.

Residual funded $89 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services rates and $11 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Public Conveniences

Residual funded $100 \%$ from district-wide general rate.

## Recreation and Events

Residual funded $82 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $18 \%$ from the district-wide uniform annual general charge.

## Community Grants - Heritage

Residual funded $100 \%$ from district-wide general rate.

## Community Grants - Other

Residual funded $52 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $48 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Halls and Museums

Halls and Museums are administered and maintained by local community groups.

There are three classifications for the function. The Council grant for rates and indemnity insurance is funded as follows:

## Local Use Halls

Residual funded $99 \%$ by the geographic areas' works and services rates and $1 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Wider Benefit Halls

Residual funded $95 \%$ by the geographic areas' works and services rates and 5\% from the district-wide general rate.

## Museums

Residual funded $50 \%$ by the geographic areas' works and services rates and $50 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Civil Defence

Residual funded $60 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $40 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Memorials

## District Memorials

Residual funded $100 \%$ by district-wide general rate.

## Locality Specific Memorials

Residual funded $80 \%$ by the geographic areas' works and services rates and $20 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Economic Development

## Tourism

Residual funded $93 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services rate (commercial/industrial ratepayers only) and $7 \%$ from the districtwide general rate.

## Development Initiatives

Residual funded $100 \%$ by district-wide general rate.

## Research Centre Grant

Residual funded $52 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges and $48 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Land Transport

## Non-carriage

Residual funded $100 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services rates.

## Extraordinary Use

User pay charges/rate for funding over and above that for which the road was originally designed where the use generates extraordinary heavy traffic loading and frequencies.

## Other Roads, Streets and Bridges

Residual funded $100 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services rates.

## Rivers and Land Drainage

## Wairau Valley Scheme

Funded $100 \%$ by rate on property capital values using differentials to reflect benefit.

## Other Schemes

Residual funded $99 \%$ from the geographic areas' works and services rates and $1 \%$ from the district-wide general rate for river management; and $100 \%$ user charges on the area being drained.

## Sewerage

## Blenheim Sewerage

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge, or a Trade Waste Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Picton Sewerage

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge, or a Trade Waste Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Havelock Sewerage

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Seddon Sewerage

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Spring Creek Sewerage

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Stormwater

## Blenheim Stormwater

Residual funded $71 \%$ from commercial geographic area works and services rate and $29 \%$ from residential geographic area works and services rate.

## Other Stormwater

Residual funded by geographic areas' works and services rates in the appropriate locality.

## Waste Management

## Refuse Collection

Uniform annual charge per service.

## Other Waste Management

User charges are set at a level which encourages use and discourages illegal dumping. Residual is funded from geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges.

## Water Supplies

## Awatere Water

The rating requirement is funded by a Uniform Annual Charge for each meter, with the residual being funded by a metered charge to reflect consumption.

## Blenheim Water

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge on each separately used or inhabited portion, or by a Metered Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Picton Water

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge on each separately used or inhabited portion, or by a Metered Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Havelock Water

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge on each separately used or inhabited portion, or by a Metered Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Renwick Water

Operating costs are recovered from all properties connected to the system by way of a Uniform Annual Charge on each separately used or inhabited portion, or by a Metered Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

Capital Expenditure and Debt Servicing costs are recovered from all properties within the area of service by way of a land value rate.

## Riverlands Water

All users are charged a metered charge to reflect consumption.

## Wairau Valley Water

All properties connected to the system are charged a Uniform Annual Charge. Non-connected properties in the area of service are levied half the connected charge.

## Fire Protection

Exacerbator pays for fire fighting. Residual funded $99 \%$ by geographic areas' works and services rates and $1 \%$ from the districtwide general rate.

## Democratic Process

Residual funded from district-wide uniform annual general charge.

## Parking

## Parking (Blenheim)

Debt servicing charges funded by Blenheim Parking Loan Rate. Opportunity cost of land set aside for parking ( $8 \%$ of the land value) is funded by Blenheim Commercial Works and Services rate.

## Parking Loans (Picton)

Funded by Picton Works and Services charge.

## Animal Control

Residual funded $100 \%$ by user charges.

## Animal and Plant Pest Control

Residual funded 75\% from geographic areas' works and services rates and $25 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Consents and Compliance

## Resource Management Control

Residual after user charges funded from geographic areas' works and services rates.

## Resource Consent Hearings

Residual funded from district-wide uniform annual general charge.

## Building Control

Residual after user charges funded from geographic areas' works and services rates.

## Environmental Health, and Liquor

Residual after user charges funded $60 \%$ from district-wide uniform annual general charge and $40 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services uniform annual charges.

## Environment

## Environmental Reviews

Residual funded $66.06 \%$ from geographic areas' works and services rates and $33.94 \%$ from the district-wide general rate.

## Environmental Policy

Residual funded $100 \%$ from the district-wide general rates.

## Harbour Control

Residual after user charges funded from the district-wide general rate.

## TREASURY M ANAGEM ENT POLICY

## 1. Philosophy

The Marlborough District Council has a diversified portfolio of investments ranging from trading enterprises within the Marlborough region (ie; land, ports, airport and forestry) to cash used in the day to day operations of Council.

The Council borrows funds for capital projects where the Council activity provides benefits over a number of years. It is felt that the capital cost (ie; principal and associated interest) of these projects should be met in the years in which benefits are enjoyed.

Council faces similar investment and borrowing risks to those faced by the public. The Council seeks to minimise the risks associated with:

- Investments by having a diversified portfolio of low risk investments.
- Interest rates by working within proven guidelines which do not seek to anticipate the fluctuations of the financial markets.
- The level of borrowings by maintaining prudent levels of security and debt servicing ability.
- Liquidity by ensuring that there is sufficient funds to meet its day to day operations. This is achieved with prudent budgeting and daily cash management.

In meeting the above objectives Council is a risk averse entity and does not wish to seek risk from its treasury activities. Interest rate risk, liquidity risk and credit risk are risks Council seeks to manage. Accordingly activity which may be construed as speculative in nature is expressly forbidden.

## 2. Investment Policy

The objective of Council is to ensure firstly that its investments are secure and secondly to maximise its return on investment.

Council's investments include:

- Equity investments
- MDC Holdings Limited which owns Port Marlborough NZ Limited which owns Marlborough Airport Limited.
- Marlborough Regional Forestry.
- Property
- Council owned property held for strategic reasons.
- Council owned property providing community benefits.
- Loans and Advances provided to:
- MDC Holdings Limited
- Community groups
- Other
- Treasury Investments

These investments comprise:

- Special funds, sinking funds and reserves.
- Funds set aside for approved future expenditures.
- Asset sale proceeds; and
- Temporary surpluses and working capital funds.

These investments are held for a variety of purposes and are subject to a variety of risks. An outline of how those risks are managed for each type of investment is as follows:

## Equity Investments

Council reviews the performance of the trading enterprises regularly to ensure that strategic and financial objectives set are being achieved. In particular the Council reviews the financial returns and the present and future values of these investments.

Significant dispositions and acquisitions require Council approval.

All income is reflected in the consolidated Council accounts with dividend income reflected in the Council accounts as revenue.

It is not contemplated that Council will make any future equity investments; to do so would require a specific Council resolution.

## Property

All property investments are reviewed to ensure that the aims of holding the property are still valid. The varying reasons for holding property are outlined above. All property investments are required to achieve a commercial return on the capital invested with the exception of some property held for community benefits eg; reserves.

## Loans and Advances

Loans to trading enterprises are made at arm's length and in accordance with normal commercial practice. Council sets the criteria to apply for any other loans or advances as they are granted. It is normal that Council secures loans and advances against the assets of the organisation and obtains personal guarantees. Council as a rule is not a lender of money. Interest and principal repayments are monitored to ensure they comply with loan agreements.

## Treasury Investments

Council has contracted Bancorp Treasury Services Limited (Bancorp) to manage its monetary investments. Council has established investment criteria that Bancorp are authorised to operate within. Council criteria only allows risk free and low risk monetary investments. These criteria can only be altered by way of Council resolution. Council will review the criteria annually.

Risks Associated with Investment are:

## Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk management is about minimising Council's exposure to market conditions prevailing at any one time.

To minimise interest rate risk in the investment or borrowing context it is necessary to "hedge" against adverse movements. This is often achieved by spreading the maturity dates of investment or debt, as the case may be, in order that they mature at different times so that you do not have all your eggs in one basket. Alternatively, various hedging instruments can be used to "lock in" to today's rates because adverse movements are expected in the future.

## Liquidity Risk

Forecasting and regular cash management ensure that the Council's liquidity risk is minimised. Investments are made in accordance with forecast requirements but may be broken should unforeseen events cause temporary liquidity problems.

## Credit Exposure and Security

Credit risk is minimised by limiting the authorised institutions in which funds can be invested. Council selects only institutions which have achieved an appropriate minimum formal credit rating or better. In addition a diversified portfolio is achieved by placing maximum percentage investment limits to each authorised rating class.

## Settlement Risk

Council selects organisations only of high standing and good reputation to arrange their financial transactions.

## 3. Borrowing Policy

Council's objective is to minimise interest rate risk by managing its borrowings in a manner that does not try to anticipate or leave it at the mercy of financial market fluctuations. Council also seeks to maintain prudent levels of security and debt servicing for its borrowings.

Council has a wholly owned subsidiary, MDC Holdings Limited (MDCH). This company has access to borrowing facilities within the financial markets and where appropriate any external borrowing by Council is undertaken through MDCH. In the event that Council borrowed externally it would do so in accordance with MDCH policy and with the specific approval of Council.

## Desired Weighted Average Term or Debt

Council uses the average term of the New Zealand Government debt portfolio as a benchmark.

## Liquidity Policy

This is achieved by managing the debt maturity profile and ensuring that this will meet the requirements that are identified in long term financial forecasts. Funds flow monitoring will ensure the Council is able to service its debt on time.

## Debt Repayment Policy

Council manages its funds as a consolidated pool. Internal mechanisms have been established so that activities fund principal repayments in accordance with the useful life of the asset to a maximum period of 20 years.

## Specified Borrowing Limits

- Gross interest expense is not to be greater than $10 \%$ of total operating revenues.
- Gross interest expense is not to exceed $20 \%$ of total rates revenues.


## Security

Council provides security to its lenders by way of a charge over rates. In particular circumstances, approved by Council, other assets may be used as security (this is considered extremely unlikely).

## Authorised Instruments

The following interest rate risk management instruments may be used for interest rate risk management:

- Forward Rate Agreements (FRA's)
- Interest Rate Swaps (Swaps)
- Interest Rate Options (Options)


## 4. Foreign Exchange Policy

Council may have foreign exchange exposure through the occasional purchase of foreign exchange denominated plant, equipment and services.

Generally all significant commitments for foreign exchange are hedged using foreign exchange contracts, once expenditure is approved. Both spot and forward foreign exchange contracts are used by Council.

The use of other foreign exchange risk management products is not permitted.

## Here are the definitions for some of the terms you will find in the information relating to the Annual Plan, Financial Statements and Proposed Rates and Charges.

## Accruals

These are similar to accounts payable in that they are expenses incurred during the current year for which payment has not been made and invoices have not yet been recovered.

## Allocated Overheads

Overhead accounts are used to allocate revenues and expenses that relate to various managerial operations (such as general management, general administration, reception and office management and information systems management) and are currently allocated on an annual basis.

## Asset Sales

Refers to the sale of MDC assets e.g. cars, property.

## Borrowings

Refers to the raising of loans for capital items, such as the sewage scheme.

## Charge

A charge is levied as a uniform amount on every property.

## CV

Capital Value

## Infrastructural Assets

These are the fixed assets that are not generally regarded as tradeable and which provide a continuing service to the community - such as reserves and parks, toilets, memorials, roads, bridges and wharves, water and sewerage schemes.

## Decline in Service Potential (DISP)

In lieu of Depreciation, Council is required to fund Decline in Service Potential (DISP), as part of its operating costs. DISP is the cost of maintaining the service potential (or the value of assets) in their current condition. DISP is calculated from the Capital Renewal Programme contained in the Asset Management Plans and has to be recalculated from time to time to reflect movements in renewal costs. DISP therefore provides the necessary funds for asset renewal.

## District Wide

Applies to every property in the District.

## Geographic Areas

The District is divided up into six geographic areas for the purpose of funding general works and services. The geographic areas are Blenheim, Blenheim Vicinity, Picton, Picton Vicinity, General Rural and Administrative Rural.

## LV

Land Value.

## Operational Assets

These are tangible assets that are generally regarded as tradeable, such as buildings and improvements, library books, office equipment, plant and machinery, land and forestry crops.

## Other Revenue

Revenue received for the activity that is not related to the use of services e.g., interest.

## Prepayments

Amounts paid to creditors by the MDC in the current year that relate to future years.

## Property Value

For rating purposes this refers to either the "land" or "capital" value of a property.

## Public Debt

This is the amount of borrowed funds that the MDC owes to external parties. The net public debt is the public debt minus the sinking funds held, and the mortgage advances for which the MDC has borrowed funds i.e. rural housing loans.

## Public Equity

Represents the net worth of the MDC if all assets were sold for the values recorded and the liabilities were extinguished.

## Rate / Rates

A Rate is levied as cents in the dollar of property value. The term Rates refers to all rates, including general type rates, separate rates and uniform charges.

## Sinking Funds

These are investment accounts funded by annual instalments to repay the principal components of loans.

## Statement of Cash Flows

This describes the cash effect of transactions and is broken down into three components: operating, investing and financing activities.

## Statement of Financial Performance

This can also be referred to as the Profit and Loss Statement, the Income Statement or the Operating Statement. It shows the financial results of various MDC activities at the end of each period as either a surplus or deficit. It does not include asset purchases or disposals.

## Statement of Financial Position

This shows the financial state of affairs at a particular point in time. It can also be referred to as the Balance Sheet.

## Subsidies

Amounts received from other agencies for the provision of services e.g. Transfund roading subsidies.

## User Charges

The charges levied for use of MDC services e.g. building consent fees, health inspections.

## Transfer to/ from Reserves

Transfers of funds to reserves are generally surpluses on operations. Transfers from reserves assist to fund capital expenditure.

## Working Capital

This is the remainder left when the value of current liabilities is deducted from the value of current assets. It is a measure used to indicate the short-term solvency of an entity.


[^0]:    Note: This property pays the Picton Sewerage Treatment Loan Rate

[^1]:    *1 These properties pay the Sewer Treatment Loan Rate because they did not make a lump sum payment
    *2 These properties made a Sewer Treatment Lump Sum payment
    *3 Proposed Sewer Scheme increases rates in 2003/04

