# Dairyshed Effluent Survey 2006/2007



Report Prepared By Joanne Smart

Survey Carried Out By Joanne Smart & Justine Hughes



March 2007

# **Dairy Effluent Survey**

#### Introduction

This report summarises the results of the 2006/2007 Marlborough Dairy Effluent Survey. The purposes of the Dairy Effluent Survey are:

- To prevent contamination of groundwater and waterways and the degradation of soil by promoting good dairy effluent management.
- To provide details regarding the level of dairy effluent system compliance in Marlborough.
- To ensure compliance with the rules regarding dairy effluent.
- To provide farmers with information about dairy effluent systems and their management.

The 2006/2007 Dairy Effluent Survey involved Council staff (Joanne Smart and Justine Hughes) inspecting dairy effluent systems in Marlborough to determine if the system and its management complied with the rules in the relevant Resource Management Plan (See Appendix A and B) or the resource consent.

All of Marlborough's 61 dairy farms were visited. These visits were carried out during January, February and March 2007. Follow up visits will be carried out when appropriate.

Based on observations made on site, the dairy effluent system is given one of the following ratings:

- **Compliance** Full compliance with rules or resource consents;
- **Compliance** (**Marginal**) Complying with rules or resource consents, the system or its management should be improved to ensure continued compliance;
- **Non-compliance** (**Minor**) A level of non-compliance with rules and/or resource consents, and some potential for environment degradation;
- **Non-compliance (Major)** Non-compliance with rules and/or resource consents resulting in a greater potential for environmental degradation.





#### **Results**

The results from the 2006/2007 Marlborough Dairy Effluent Survey are outlined below.

#### 1. Compliance Rates

48 (79%) dairy effluent systems were in compliance at the time of the first visit. Of the complying effluent systems, 14 (23%) were rated as being compliance (marginal).

13 (21%) dairy effluent systems were in non-compliance at the time of the first visit. Of the non-complying effluent systems, 2 (3%) were non-compliance (major).

#### 2. Comparison with Previous Surveys

The table below shows the compliance rates during the previous seven surveys.

Year	Compliance	Non-Compliance		
2006/07	79%	21%		
2005/06	87.5%	12.5%		
2004/05	78%	22%		
2003/04	81%	19%		
2002/03	76%	24%		
2001/02	47%	53%		
2000/01	75%	25%		

The table below shows the percent of dairy effluent systems considered to be in non-compliance (major) over the last five seasons.

Year	Non-Compliance (Major)		
2006/07	3%		
2005/06	3%		
2004/05	7%		
2003/04	6%		
2002/03	6%		
2001/02	20%		

#### 3. Non-Compliance (Major)

Details of the two (3%) effluent systems in non-compliance (major) are outlined below.

#### A Issue

Effluent overflowed from the sump and resulted in considerable ponding of effluent on land.

An abatement notice was issued with respect to unauthorised discharges on this farm during the 2005/06 season.

#### Action to Address Problem

There is a history of non-compliance at this farm. This farm was purchased by a new owner during the middle of 2006. The new owner was concerned about the effluent system and has taken steps to rectify issues. At the time of our inspection he had purchased a travelling irrigator and a new pump, and was installing effluent pipes. However, the installation of the new system was not completed.



Under the normal course of events enforcement action would have been taken with respect to this discharge, however, as this farmer was taking appropriate steps to upgrade the system enforcement action has not commenced.

#### B Issue

There is a pipe from the centre of the rotary cowshed through which contaminants are discharged into a waterway. Also effluent is being discharged to land at too high a discharge rate.

This farmer has previously been prosecuted for unauthorised discharges of effluent to water and land.

#### Action to Address Problem

The Environment Committee of Council is considering what action to take with respect to these unauthorised discharges.



#### 4. Enforcement Action

Below is a table that summarises enforcement action taken over the previous six seasons.

 $Table \ A-Summary \ of \ Enforcement \ Action \ Taken$ 

	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07 *1
No. of Abatement Notices Issued	8	4	0	4	1	0
No. of Infringement Notices Issued	0	0	0	2	0	0
<b>Prosecution Action Initiated</b>	0	0	1	0	0	0

<sup>\*1</sup> The Environment Committee of Council is presently considering action to be taken at one farm.

#### 5. Back Up Systems

A back up system is required to ensure that effluent will be managed in accordance with the rules, regardless of weather conditions or mechanical failure.

For a number of years Council has been encouraging farmers to have a back up system but this requirement has previously not been enforced. This season Council collected data on the number of farmers that have a back up system in place.

Of the 61 farms visited 50 had some form of back up. However, in reality some of these back up systems need improving. Eleven farmers had no form of back up.

Council is yet to determine how much storage is necessary to ensure compliance during wet weather. Obviously the volume of storage necessary would be dependant on factors such as expected rain fall, soil types, topography, ground water levels, location of waterways, herd size etc. At the Fonterra field days held on the 9 March an effluent expert suggested that about 2 months storage would be necessary in some parts of Marlborough. Council is yet to investigate necessary volume of storage.

To be suitable as a back up system effluent needs to be removed from ponds when weather conditions allow, ponds must be managed so that they always have additional capacity for storage of effluent.





#### 6. Regional Action Plan and Clean Streams Accord

Fonterra, in conjunction with groups representing central and local government, has produced the Dairying and Clean Streams Accord. This Accord sets national targets to deal with water quality issues as a result of dairying. Council, in partnership with Fonterra, produced a Regional Action Plan which details a local commitment towards achieving the goal of the Accord. A copy of the Regional Action Plan is attached as Appendix C. The Regional Action Plan includes the following targets:

- That the rate of non-compliance minor should not exceed 15% in one season.
- That there shall be no incidents of non-compliance (major).

Marlborough dairy farmers are not meeting either targets set in the Regional Action Plan.





#### 7. Obtaining Information

Fonterra has produced a website that provides information for farmers about dairying in an environmentally sustainable manner. This website has been set up to be easy to use as well as interesting. The address for the website is <a href="https://www.envirodirect.co.nz">www.envirodirect.co.nz</a>.

DEXCEL and Fonterra held a field day on 9 March 2007 on effluent management. This field day was very informant and it was unfortunate that only a small number of farmers attended. Fonterra have indicated if there was enough interest they may hold another field day in Rai Valley.

Another website that may be of initerest to farmers is the Marlborough District Council website (<a href="www.marlborough.govt.nz">www.marlborough.govt.nz</a>). This website has information about Marlborough's environment such as rainfall data, riverflow levels etc, and is currently being upgraded to provide links to dairy related report and websites.

In conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, DEXCEL have produced booklets on managing effluent and waterways. Copies of these booklets were sent to farmers a couple of years ago. Additional copies of these booklets can be obtained from the Marlborough District Council on 5207400.

Posters that outlined "Tips for Operating an Environmentally Sustainable Dairy Effluent System" were provided last survey. It is intended that these posters be put up in the cowshed as a reminder to farm owners and staff. Additional copies of these posters can be obtained from the Marlborough District Council on 5207400.



# **Appendix A**

Rule 1.7.3 permitted activities (rural zones) – Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan

#### (2) 1.7.3 Dairy Effluent Disposal

The discharge of contaminants (but excluding hazardous substances) from dairysheds, or dairy washdown facilities onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering groundwater shall be a Permitted activity provided that:

The discharge shall not be within 20 metres of a surface water body or over any unconfined aquifer;

- There shall be no run-off of contaminants into surface water resulting from the discharge of the contaminant onto or into land;
- The total nitrogen loading on the area to be used for discharging shall not exceed 200 kg N/ha/yr;
- When discharging effluent a buffer zone of a minimum 10 metres in width is to be maintained between the area of discharge and any property boundary;
- The wash water collection, containment and application system shall not be within 20 metres of the boundary of any neighbouring property without that person's prior written consent, a copy of which shall be forwarded to the Marlborough District Council;
- The wash water collection and containment system shall not be within 20 metres of any surface water body;
- The wash water collection, containment and application system shall not be within 20 metres of any area identified by Tangata Whenua as being of special value, or any filed archaeological site;
- There shall be no spray drift beyond the boundary of the land to which the effluent is discharged;
- No objectionable odours shall be able to be detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the land to which the effluent is discharged;
- There shall be contingency measures in place to ensure that there is no contravention of the above conditions in the event of system failure or adverse climatic conditions;
- The system will be monitored by the Marlborough District Council to ensure there is compliance with the above conditions.
- The discharge, after reasonable mixing shall not breach the water quality standard set for the waterbody in Appendix H.

# **Appendix B**

Rule 2.5 controlled activities (rural zones) - Proposed Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan

#### (3) 2.5 Discharge of Liquid Wastes and Animal Effluent

- 2.5.1 Subject to rule 1.8.9 the discharge of any liquid waste or animal effluent onto or into land is a controlled activity subject to the following standards and terms:
- 2.5.1.1 The characteristics of the waste or effluent shall be such that:
  - BOD<sub>5</sub> 10,000 g/m<sup>3</sup>
  - Faecal coliforms 1 x  $10^6/100$  mL (median of at least 6 samples taken at monthly intervals)
  - Free available chlorine < 2 g/m<sup>3</sup>,
  - Other contaminants shall not exceed the toxicant limits for irrigation water quality which are set out in Appendix P. These limits are derived from the Australian Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters (Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council [ANZECC] 1992)
  - No objectionable odours can be detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area on which the liquid waste is discharged

For the purposes of assessing whether an odour is objectionable or offensive, the opinion shall be sought from an officer of the Council who is responsible for monitoring air quality.

- 2.5.1.2 The discharge is not within 20m of any surface water body.
- 2.5.1.3 The discharge shall not be within any class NS catchments defined in Appendix J.
- 2.5.1.4 The total nitrogen loading on the area of land to be used for the discharge shall not exceed 200 kgN/ha/yr.
- 2.5.1.5 There is a buffer zone of 10m width between any point of discharge and the legal boundary of the area of land on which the treated animal waste is discharged.

# (4) 2.5.2 Matters over which the Marlborough District Council reserves its control are:

- the location of the area over which the waste is discharged,
- the volume of discharge and application rate,
- the actual and potential effect the discharge may have on surface water bodies,
- duration of the consent.
- monitoring requirements.

# **Appendix C**



# **Background Information**

#### **Dairying and Clean Streams Accord**

Dairying is a significant land use in New Zealand.

However, there have been increasing concerns regarding the effects of this intensive land use on the quality of water within our streams, rivers, lakes and wetlands.

The Dairying and Clean Streams Accord is an agreement between Fonterra Co-operative Group, regional councils, unitary authorities (such as the Marlborough District Council), the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry and the Ministry for the Environment to improve the environmental performance of dairying. It establishes a goal of achieving "clean healthy water in dairying areas".

Five priorities for action are identified in the Accord to reduce the impact of dairying on streams, rivers, lakes and wetlands: cattle access to water bodies, dairy herd stream crossings, dairy shed effluent discharges, nutrient management and wetlands. Each of these priorities has a national performance target, as follows:

- Dairy cattle are excluded from 50% of streams, rivers and lakes by 2007, 90% by 2012
- 50% of regular crossing points have bridges or culverts by 2007, 90% by 2012
- 100% of farm dairy effluent discharges comply with resource consents and regional plans immediately
- 100% of dairy farms have in place systems to manage nutrient inputs and outputs by 2007
- 50% of regionally significant wetlands to be fenced to prevent stock access by 2007, 90% by 2012

The Marlborough Regional Action Plan adapts these national targets to local conditions. See inside for Marlborough targets.

#### **Regional Action Plan**

The Dairying and Clean Streams Accord represents an industry taking responsibility for improving its environmental management. This initiative therefore presents an opportunity for the Marlborough District Council to assist efforts to improve the sustainability of dairying in Marlborough while exercising its statutory responsibilities under the Resource Management Act 1991.

Regional Action Plans have been developed by Fonterra and each of the regional councils and unitary authorities to assist the implementation of the Accord.

The purpose of the Marlborough Regional Action Plan is to detail local commitments toward achieving the Accord's goal, while taking into account local circumstances. The Regional Action Plan records commitments made by Fonterra and the Marlborough District Council to reduce the adverse effects of dairying activities on water and habitat quality in Marlborough. These commitments focus on the priorities for action already established by the Accord. Some of the local targets differ to the national targets, reflecting the relative adverse effects of dairying operations in the local context.

The Regional Action Plan also sets out the respective roles of the Council and Fonterra in achieving the local targets.

The Marlborough Regional Action Plan has been developed with input and support from local Federated Farmer representatives.

To get further information about the Regional Action Plan, or to receive a free copy, please contact either Pere Hawes at the Council on (03) 578 5249 or Fonterra Shareholder Services Contact Centre on 0800 65 65 68

#### Dairying and Clean Streams Accord



# Regional Action Plan for Marlborough

July 2004







#### Dairying & Clean Streams Accord

# Regional Action Plan for Marlborough: Local Targets

Stock access to waterbodies



The Council will continue to encourage dairy farmers to prevent stock access to water bodies. This will include working with individual farmers to protect particular rivers and streams from the adverse effects of stock access and general advocacy with groups representing dairy farmer interests.

In most cases, fencing will be the only practical method of excluding stock.

#### Dairy herd stream crossings

- 90% of category 1 and 2 dairy herd stream crossings in the Rai River catchment are eliminated by the commencement of milking season (August) in 2006.
- Except for those stream crossings in the Rai River catchment, 50% of all other crossing points have bridges or culverts by 2007, 90% by 2012.

The Council will continue with the implementation of the existing management strategy for the Rai River catchment.

The adverse effects of dairy herd stream crossings in other areas will be progressively investigated from 2004. The management strategies that are subsequently developed will depend upon the results of monitoring and consultation with the dairy farming community. The investigations will focus on the following areas:

- Canvastown
- Linkwater
- Koromiko/Tuamarina

#### Management of dairy shed effluent

- There is no "major" non-compliance with relevant resource consents or permitted activity rules.
- The rate of "minor" non-compliance with relevant resource consents or permitted activity rules shall not exceed 15% in any one milking season and any instance of "minor" non-compliance shall be rectified to the satisfaction of the Council within 2 weeks.
- All dairy farmers that require a discharge permit to discharge dairy shed effluent onto land are operating with the necessary consents.

The Council will continue to annually inspect dairy shed effluent discharges and assess the discharge as either in compliance, in "minor" non-compliance or in "major" non-compliance. <sup>1</sup>

Currently 26 out of 30 farmers who require resource consents for their effluent discharges have the necessary consents.

#### **Nutrient Management**

100% of dairy farms to have in place systems to manage nutrient inputs and outputs by 2007

Fonterra will promote nutrient budgeting systems for all dairy farms, in consultation with the dairy farming community and fertiliser industry.

#### Wetlands



The Council is currently identify significant natural areas throughout Marlborough. Where significant wetlands are identified on or adjacent to dairy farms, the Council will work with the dairy farmer to protect the wetland from the adverse effects of stock access.

#### Monitoring and reporting on targets

Fonterra will monitor progress toward achieving these targets. However, the Council has a statutory responsibility to monitor the state of Marlborough's environment, compliance with the permitted activity standards of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan and Proposed Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan, and conditions of resource consents. Where this monitoring information is relevant to ascertain progress toward achieving the targets, the Council will provide this information to Fonterra. Examples include the Council's strategy for eliminating stream crossings in the Rai River catchment and the annual inspections of dairy shed effluent discharges. This will avoid any duplication in monitoring effort.

There is also a need to evaluate the effectiveness of the Regional Action Plan in achieving the overall objective of the Accord (i.e., "clean healthy water in dairying areas") and to ensure that it reflects community expectations. Monitoring of the targets may identify that the targets or implementation actions need to be modified or replaced. For this reason, representatives of the Council and Fonterra will meet on at least an annual basis to evaluate and review the content of the Regional Action Plan.



Major non-compliance is categorised as non-compliance likely to result in significant adverse effects on the surrounding environment and includes unlawful discharges of effluent to water or the excessive application of effluent to land. Minor non-compliance, on the other hand, represents non-compliance that is not likely to result in significant adverse effects on the surrounding environment.