

Appendix 1 – Conflicts of Interest

Block	Date	Topic	Statement made by	Comments
2	19/2/2018	Topic 16: Climate Change and Energy	Commissioner Kenderdine	Disclosure by Commissioner Kenderdine of her interest and range of work relating to climate change. No contention was raised to her remaining as Commissioner on these matters.
2	26/2/2018	Topic 5: Natural Character and Landscape	Commissioner Crosby	Commissioner Crosby stated that he was a member of the Advisory Panel on the Salmon Farm Relocation hearing. The hearings focus was specifically for salmon farms at the following sites: Blowhole Point, Horseshoe Bay, Richmond Bay, mid-channel Waitata and Tio Point. Commissioner Crosby confirmed he would not deliberate on matters relating to those locations.
4	10/4/2018	Topic 11: Coastal Environments	Commissioner Crosby – on behalf of Councillors on Panel	Port Clifford Limited challenged the member of the Panel who were also Councillors, questioning their suitability to remain on the panel for this topic. The challenge was based on Council's involvement in and ownership of Port Marlborough Limited and, in respect of Clr Hook in particular, based on statements made by him in 2012/2013 on the effect on Picton of the potential relocation of the ferry terminal to Clifford Bay. The Panel considered the challenge and concluded that the reasoning for the Councillors to step down was insufficient. All Panel members remained.
4	12/4/2018	Topic 11: Coastal Environments	Commissioner Crosby – on behalf of Councillors on Panel	Commissioner Crosby, responded to a challenge by Christopher McCallum to the councillors on the hearing panel that they should not be permitted to hear and deliberate on Coastal Environment topic matters. The independent panel members considered the challenge and concluded that the reasoning for the councillors to step down was insufficient. An interim decision was issued in response to this challenge.
5	1/5/2018	Topic 17: Subdivision	Commissioner Shenfield	Commissioner Shenfield made a statement noting her property could be affected by what was being sought in Perry Gilbert's submission and therefore a perception of conflict arose.

				As a result, Commissioner Shenfield would not participate in deliberations on rules relating to minimum lot sizes in the Rural Living Zone.
5	2/5/2018	Topic 17: Subdivision	Commissioner Arbuckle	Commissioner Arbuckle made a statement declaring a conflict of interest due to his personal residence being located in close proximity to the Timberlink NZ Ltd sawmill. Commissioner Arbuckle stood down from considering Timberlink's submission point relating to the subdivision topic.
5	2/5/2018	Topic 17: Subdivision	Commissioner Crosby and Commissioner Shenfield	Statements were made by Commissioner's Crosby and Shenfield noting their personal properties, on Benmorven Road and in Dry Hills respectively, were located in areas possibly affected by the Fire and Emergency New Zealand submission. Both offered to stand down. As Commissioner Crosby's property was not of a sufficient size to be subdivided and therefore would not be affected by the amendment being sought, it was agreed he would remain on the Panel to hear the submission. Commissioner Shenfield's property however could be subdivided and she would therefore not participate in hearing or deliberations on this matter.
6	22/5/2018	Topic 15: Transportation and signage	Commissioner Shenfield	Prior to the commencement of the Marlborough Violence Intervention Project presentation, Commissioner Shenfield stated that she was a member of the Marlborough Violence Intervention Project. No issue was raised by Commissioner Shenfield's continuing involvement on matters raised in MVIP's submission and she remained on the Panel.
6	23/5/2018	Topic 15: Transportation and signage	Commissioner Hook – as Chairperson	At the commencement of the afternoon session Chairman Hook read a prepared statement covering the following conflicts. Due to Commissioner Crosby's previous involvement in matters relating to the Colonial Vineyards Ltd subdivision in Omaka, he stood down from all matters relating to this area and the associated noise contour plan. Commissioner Oddie sat on the hearing panel which declined Colonial Vineyard Limited's original Plan Change proposal. He too stood down from the relevant matters. Further, the Panel noted Council's development entity, Marlborough Holdings Limited, may own land impacted by any changes to the Omaka airfield noise contour. The potential for perception of a conflict was raised. No party made comment or suggested any issue would result from the remainder of the Panel hearing and deliberating on these matters.

7	3/7/2018	Topic 12: Rural Environments	Commissioner Hook	<p>After the commencement of John Oswald's presentation, Commissioner Hook declared he had a conflict due to his personal property having riparian rights.</p> <p>Commissioner Hook removed himself from consideration on matters of riparian rights.</p>
7	4/7/2018	Topic 12: Rural Environments	Commissioner Hook	<p>Commissioner Hook declared he had a conflict due to his property having riparian rights.</p> <p>Commissioner Hook removed himself from consideration on matters of riparian rights.</p>
10	6/11/18	Topic 21: Zoning and Definitions	Commissioner Hook – as Chairperson	<p>Commissioner Hook made a statement, as detailed below, on all known conflicts for the Zoning topic affecting submitters both appearing and not appearing. In all cases the identified Commissioner stood down from considering the specified matter(s).</p> <p>Commissioner Oddie stood down from all zoning matters that related to the plan changes known as the 'growth plan changes'.</p> <p>Commissioner Arbuckle stood down from all zoning requests affecting property in the general vicinity of his personal residence on Battys Road.</p> <p>Due to previous involvement as a commissioner hearing a subdivision application in the vicinity of Waters Avenue/Birchwood Avenue, Commissioner Crosby stood down from consideration zoning matters in that area.</p> <p>Commissioner Hook and Commissioner Arbuckle were involved in the Southern Marlborough Urban Growth Strategy. Commissioner Arbuckle owned property in the area affected. As a consequence both would not be involved in the consideration of requests for rezoning north of Renwick or in the Wairau Valley Township.</p> <p>Due to Commissioner Crosby's involvement in legal proceedings relating to Plan Change 59, he stood down from submissions made by Colonial Vineyards Limited relating to the land adjoining their subdivision.</p>
10	7/11/18	Topic 21: Zoning and Definitions	Commissioner Oddie and Commissioner Crosby	<p>Commissioner Crosby again noted his conflict in relation to the legal proceedings relating to Plan Change 59. Commissioner Oddie also noted his potential conflict due to hearing the original plan change request, however the submitters did not see a conflict.</p> <p>Commissioner Crosby stood down from hearing submissions relating to rezoning of the neighbouring property to the Colonial Vineyard Limited site.</p>
10	7/11/18	Topic 21: Zoning and Definitions	Commissioner Oddie	<p>Commission Oddie declared a conflict with the submission content from submitters Mike and Karen Gray due to his involvement in Plan Change 60. Commissioner Oddie would not be part of consideration of the Gray submission.</p>

10	8/11/18	Topic 21: Zoning and Definitions	Commissioner Arbuckle and Commissioner Oddie	Commissioner Oddie and Commissioner Arbuckle declared a conflict with submission content from Francis Maher due to their involvement in Plan Change 60. Both Commissioners removed themselves from further consideration on this submission point.
11	11/12/18	Topic 11: Allocation of Public Space within the Coastal Marine Area	Commissioner Crosby	Commissioner Crosby declared his involvement in Waitangi Tribunal proceedings and the MACA applications. This involved the matters raised in pages 46-48 of the Section 42A Report. Commissioner Crosby removed himself from consideration on these matters.
11	Post hearing	Topic 11: Allocation of Public Space within the Coastal Marine Area	Commissioner Arbuckle	Due to a perception of conflict that arose through the local body election process and a formal complaint received by Council. Commissioner Arbuckle confirmed that he had made statements with respect to Coastal Occupancy Charges which might appear to predetermine outcomes. In the circumstances the panel does not need to make a determination as the Commissioner has withdrawn from the decision making process on Coastal Occupancy Charges. The Panel reached a determination on this topic without his involvement.