

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY**

ENV-2020-CHC-34

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First
Schedule of the Act in relation to the
Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan

BETWEEN **Omaka Valley Group Inc**

Appellant

AND **Marlborough District Council**

Respondent

**NOTICE OF WISH TO BE
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO
SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

1. Horticulture New Zealand ("**HortNZ**") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") to the following proceedings:
 - (a) *Omaka Valley Group Inc v Marlborough District Council (ENV-2020-CHC-34)* being an appeal against decisions of the Marlborough District Council on the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.
2. HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan (submitter number 769).
3. HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Respondent.
4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in, including the particular issues and whether HortNZ supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.
7. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

J Landers

Jordyn Landers
Environmental Policy Advisor
Horticulture New Zealand

8 June 2020

Address for service of the Appellant:

Horticulture New Zealand
PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143
Phone: 04 470 5669
Email: jordyn.landernz@hortnz.co.nz
Contact person: Jordyn Landers

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	HortNZ submission point for scope	Support / Oppose	Reason
Objective 14.4	HortNZ submitted on this provision	Oppose	HortNZ seek that the objective remains as in the decision version. An objective to 'enhance' would require that the rural environment would be changed, this is not appropriate in all circumstances (noting that this objective applied across the Rural Environment Zone).