

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY**

ENV-2020-CHC-64

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First
Schedule of the Act in relation to the
Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan

BETWEEN **Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society
of New Zealand Incorporated**

Appellant

AND **Marlborough District Council**

Respondent

**NOTICE OF WISH TO BE
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO
SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

To: The Registrar

Environment Court

Christchurch

1. Horticulture New Zealand ("**HortNZ**") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") to the following proceedings:
 - (a) *Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated v Marlborough District Council (ENV-2020-CHC-64)* being an appeal against decisions of the Marlborough District Council on the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.
2. HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan (submitter number 769).
3. HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Respondent.
4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in, including the particular issues and whether HortNZ supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.
7. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



Jordyn Landers
Environmental Policy Advisor
Horticulture New Zealand

8 June 2020

Address for service of the Appellant:

Horticulture New Zealand
PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143
Phone: 04 470 5669
Email: jordyn.landernz@hortnz.co.nz
Contact person: Jordyn Landers

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	Support / Oppose	Reason
Standards Indigenous vegetation clearance 3.3.12, 4.3.10, 7.3.7, 17.3.2, 18.3.3, 19.3.3	Oppose	It is unclear the extent to which the appellant wishes to remove rules permitting clearance standards; HortNZ seeks that the exemptions for shelter belts and maintenance of existing farm tracks remain.